1125-68-997 **Bangyan Wen*** (ztong@otterbein.edu), 110 Marlene Dr., Westerville, OH 43081, and **Yi Lin**.

The answer to the P/NP problem is P≠NP — proof via logical analysis.

Assertion 1: The answer to the P/NP problem is P≠NP. The problems having no polynomial time DTM solutions are denoted -P. The first proof: Defining NP is to research -P, and NTM is not used as only DTM, NTM must be used for some problems in -P, thus , P≠NP.

The second proof: Assume NP = P, then we have that the NTM NP algorithms are DTM P algorithms. However, the NP algorithms require parallel multi-valued and random guess which can finish in a glance, which does not exist in real life. Thus to avoid the confusions, we have P≠NP.

Assertion 2: According to the current understanding with self-contradictory, neither NP = P nor P≠NP is provable. 1) To show NP = P, we need to show for all problems X, (X∈NP)∧(X∈P) . It is known now that, thus the existence of P requires the evidence of the real existence of P1 (P=P1). However, all NP problems depending on NP algorithms do not have evidence of P1, which means the proof cannot be finished. 2) To show NP≠P, we need to show there exists problem x:(x∈NP)∧(x∈P). The evidence of the real nonexistence of P1 will be rejected, because it is known now that the nonexistence of P (denote -P) is not equivalent to the nonexistence of P : (-P≠-P1), thus the proof cannot be done. (Received September 13, 2016)