

On Partial Cartesian Closed Categories.

Leopoldo Román

Introduction.

Recently the study of partial categorical notions has been subject by several people ([Cu.Ob.], [Di.He.], [Ro.]). The main interest in this paper is to clarify the relationship between Partial Cartesian Categories, Partial Cartesian Closed Categories, and Cartesian, Cartesian Closed Categories. We will follow the spirit of [Cu.Ob.] and we will try to answer some natural questions which arise after reading that paper.

I want to express my sincere thanks to M. Makkai and R. Paré for his encouragement and advice on several aspects of this work.

This paper was written while the author was a guest of McGill University and of the Groupe Interuniversitaire en études catégoriques in Montréal.

1. Categories with partial morphisms.

1.1 Definition [Cu.Ob.]. A category with partial morphisms or $p\mathcal{C}$ is a category \mathcal{C} such that for every homset $hom_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$ we have a partial order structure and has a distinguished subset of maximal arrows called total; composition is monotonic.

Total arrows satisfy the following: Identity arrows are total, total arrows are closed under composition.

1.2 Examples.

Every pointed category [Di.He.] is a $p\mathcal{C}$ -category. Every category with finite limits is a $p\mathcal{C}$ -category.

For every $p\mathcal{C}$ -category \mathcal{C} , we can consider the subcategory \mathcal{C}_T of \mathcal{C} whose objects are the same as \mathcal{C} and whose morphisms are the total arrows. We are interested in studying the relationship between the notions of partial product, partial exponentiation, for \mathcal{C} and the corresponding notions (products, exponentiation) for \mathcal{C}_T .

1.3 Definition [Cu.Ob.]. A $p\mathcal{C}$ category \mathcal{C} has liftings if for every \mathcal{C} -object A there is an object \tilde{A} called the lifting of A , such that for every object B there is a bijection $\sigma_{B,A} : hom_{\mathcal{C}}(B, A) \rightarrow hom_{\mathcal{C}_T}(B, \tilde{A})$ such that for all $f : C \rightarrow B$ and $g : B \rightarrow A$ in \mathcal{C} we have:

$$\sigma_{B,A}(g)f \leq \sigma_{C,A}(gf).$$

Perhaps, liftings are motivated by the following example. If we start with any topos \underline{E} and consider the category of partial morphisms then \tilde{A} is the partial map classifier of A .

Notice that when a $p\underline{C}$ -category \underline{C} has liftings then for every \underline{C} -object A we have an inclusion

$$\eta_A : A \rightarrow \tilde{A}$$

where $\eta_A = \sigma_{A,A}(1_A)$; in fact, this arrow is a \underline{C} -section via $ex_A = \sigma_{\tilde{A},A}^{-1}(1_A)$; Moreover, η_A is always a total morphism but ex_A is not necessarily a total morphism. We will say that A is a complete object when in fact there is a total map

$$p : \tilde{A} \rightarrow A$$

such that $p\eta_A = 1_A$.

Curien and Obtulowicz study in detail complete objects; for instance,

$$\tilde{\underline{C}} \longrightarrow \underline{C}_T$$

is a functor and it is right adjoint to the inclusion functor $i : \underline{C}_T \longrightarrow \underline{C}$. In particular, the following proposition will be very useful.

1.4 Proposition [Cu.Ob.] An object A is complete if and only if, for every object B and every morphism $f : B \rightarrow A$, there is a total morphism $g : B \rightarrow A$ such that $f \leq g$. In particular, \tilde{A} is complete for every object A .

2. Partial Cartesian and Cartesian Closed Categories.

We introduce now the notion of partial cartesian category.

2.1 Definition [Cu.Ob.] A $p\underline{C}$ -category \underline{C} is called partially cartesian or $p\underline{CC}$ when it has the following structure:

I.-A partial terminal object 1 and for every object A , an arrow $!_A : A \rightarrow 1$ satisfying

- i. $!_A$ is maximum in $hom(A, 1)$ for every object A .
- ii. The total morphisms are exactly those $f : A \rightarrow B$ such that $!_B f = !_A$.
- iii. If $f, f', g : A \rightarrow B$ are such that $f, f' \leq g$ and $!_B f \leq !_B f'$ then $f \leq f'$.
- iv. If $h, h' : A \rightarrow B$ are such that $h \leq h'$ then for any $g : B \rightarrow 1$ we have $gh = (gh') \cap (gh)$.

II.- For every object A, B a partial product given by an object $A \times B$, partial projections $\pi_{A,B} : A \times B \rightarrow A, \pi'_{A,B} : A \times B \rightarrow B$, and such that for every pair of morphisms $f : C \rightarrow A, g : C \rightarrow B$ there is a morphism $\langle f, g \rangle : C \rightarrow A \times B$, satisfying:

- v. $\pi_{A,B}, \pi'_{A,B}$ are total morphisms.
- vi. $\langle -, - \rangle$ is monotonic in both arguments.
- vii. For any $h : C \rightarrow A \times B, h = \langle \pi_{A,B} h, \pi'_{A,B} h \rangle$
- viii. $\pi_{A,B} \langle f, g, \rangle \leq f$ and $\pi'_{A,B} \langle f, g \rangle \leq g$.
- ix. For all $k : D \rightarrow C, \langle f, g \rangle k = \langle fk, gk \rangle$.
- x. $1_{A \times B} \langle fg, \rangle = (!_B f) \cap (!_B g)$.

2.2 Example.

Every category with finite limits is a partial cartesian category.

An interesting consequence of this definition, as is pointed out in [Cu.Ob.], is that \underline{C}_T is actually a Cartesian Category.

We are now ready to introduce the notion of partial cartesian closed category.

2.3 Definition [Cu.Ob.]. A partial cartesian category \underline{C} is partially cartesian closed or pCCC if for any objects A, B there is an object A^B such that for every object C there is a bijection

$$\lambda : \text{hom}_{\underline{C}}(C \times B, A) \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\underline{C}_T}(C, A^B)$$

satisfying the following condition:

For all $f : D \rightarrow C, g : C \times B \rightarrow A$

$$\lambda(g)f \leq \lambda(g(f \times 1_B))$$

where $f \times 1_B \equiv \langle f\pi_{D,B}, \pi'_{D,B} \rangle$.

2.4 Example. If \underline{E} is any topos and we consider the category of partial morphisms \underline{E}_p then A^B is nothing but $(\tilde{A})^B$. This suggests that every pCCC-category has liftings.

2.5 Proposition [Cu.Ob.] Every pCCC-category \underline{C} has liftings; namely, for every \underline{C} -object A , we have $A^1 = \tilde{A}$.

In fact we can exploit more the definition of a pCCC-category. Notice that for every object A , $1 \times A$ is isomorphic to A . Therefore we have the following isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hom}_{\underline{C}_T}(C \times B, \tilde{A}) &\simeq \text{hom}_{\underline{C}}(1 \times (C \times B), A) \\ &\simeq \text{hom}_{\underline{C}}(C \times B, A) \simeq \text{hom}_{\underline{C}_T}(C, A^B). \end{aligned}$$

Complete objects in a pCCC-category \underline{C} satisfy the following:

2.6 Lemma. 1 is a complete object. Complete objects are closed under products and for every \underline{C} -objects A, B A^B is a complete object.

Proof. We will use the characterization of complete objects.

Indeed, 1 is a complete object because $!_B : B \rightarrow 1$ is maximum in $\text{hom}_{\underline{C}}(B, 1)$. Suppose now, A, B are complete objects and take any \underline{C} -morphism $f : C \rightarrow A \times B$ then making $f_A = \pi_{A,B}f : C \rightarrow A, f_B = \pi'_{A,B}f : C \rightarrow B$; by the hypothesis, there are total morphisms f_A^-, f_B^- such that $f_A \leq f_A^-$ and $f_B \leq f_B^-$. Notice that

$$f = \langle f_A, f_B \rangle$$

and $f \leq \langle f_A^-, f_B^- \rangle$.

Clearly, $\langle f_A^-, f_B^- \rangle$ is a total morphism.

Finally, if A, B are arbitrary objects of \underline{C} and $f : C \rightarrow A^B$ is any \underline{C} -morphism, then we want a total morphism $f^- : C \rightarrow A^B$; i.e., a \underline{C} -morphism $g : C \times B \rightarrow A$.

From $f : C \rightarrow A^B$, we have: $f \times 1_B : C \times B \rightarrow A^B \times B$. Call

$$\text{ev}_A = \lambda^{-1}(1_{A^B}) : A^B \times B \rightarrow A$$

then we get $g = ev_A(f \times 1_B) : C \times B \rightarrow A$. Define, $f^- = \lambda(g) : C \rightarrow A^B$; then, we have

$$f \leq \lambda(g)$$

simply because $\lambda(g) = \lambda(ev_A(f \times 1_B)) \leq \lambda(ev_A)f = f$.

In [Cu.Ob.], it was stated that the full subcategory \underline{C}_{TL} of \underline{C}_T which has as objects 1 and \tilde{A} for all \underline{C} -object A , is Cartesian Closed. The problem is that there is no good reason for $\tilde{A}^{\tilde{B}}$ be of the form \tilde{C} for some \underline{C} -object C .

2.7 Proposition. If \underline{C} - is a partial cartesian closed category then the full subcategory \underline{CC}_T of \underline{C}_T whose objects are complete objects of \underline{C} , is a Weak cartesian closed category.

In [L.S.] (see exercise 2, p.97) , the notion of a weak \underline{C} -monoid is introduced. In order to be more clear we will define a weak cartesian closed category.

2.8 Definition. A weak cartesian closed category \underline{C} , is a category with the following structure:

- i. A terminal object 1
- ii. For every objects A, B , an object $A \times B$ together with morphisms $\pi_{A,B} : A \times B \rightarrow B, \pi'_{A,B} : A \times B \rightarrow A$.
- iii. For every objects A, B , an object A^B , and an arrow $ev_{A,B} : A^B \times B \rightarrow A$.

These data satisfy the following conditions:

- a. For all $f : C \rightarrow A, g : C \rightarrow B$, there is an arrow

$$\langle f, g \rangle : C \rightarrow A \times B.$$

- b. For any $k : C \times B \rightarrow A$ there is an arrow $k^* : C \rightarrow A^B$.

satisfying the following equations.

- 1. $\pi_{A,B}\langle f, g \rangle = f$.
- 2. $\pi'_{A,B}\langle f, g \rangle = g$.
- 3. For all $h : D \rightarrow C, \langle f, g \rangle h = \langle fh, gh \rangle$.
- 4. $ev_{A,B}\langle k^* f, g \rangle = k\langle f, g \rangle$.
- 5. $k^* f = (k\langle f\pi_{A,B}, \pi'_{A,B} \rangle)^*$.

We will prove now the proposition 2.7.

Proof of 2.7 .

The only thing we need to show is the weak exponentiation. If A, B are arbitrary \underline{CC}_T -objects, then by the lemma 2.6, A^B is a complete object. We define first the evaluation morphism.

We already have a \underline{C} -arrow $ev_A : A^B \times B \rightarrow A$: since A is a complete object, there is a total arrow

$$ev_{A,B} : A^B \times B \rightarrow A$$

such that $ev_A \leq ev_{A,B}$.

Given any \underline{CC}_T -morphism $f : C \times B \rightarrow A$, we get a \underline{CC}_T -morphism $f^* = \lambda(f) : C \rightarrow A^B$; we will show that

$$ev_{A,B}\langle f^* \pi_{C,B}, \pi'_{C,B} \rangle = f.$$

Since $\lambda(f), \pi_{C,B}, \pi'_{C,B}$, are total morphisms we have: $\lambda(ev_A \langle f^* \pi_{C,B}, \pi'_{C,B} \rangle) f^* = \lambda(ev_A) f^* = \lambda(f)$. Therefore $f = ev_A \langle f^* \pi_{C,B}, \pi'_{C,B} \rangle$. Using $ev_A \leq ev_{A,B}$ we get $f = ev_A \langle f^* \pi_{C,B}, \pi'_{C,B} \rangle \leq ev_{A,B} \langle f^* \pi_{C,B}, \pi'_{C,B} \rangle$; since f is total we get:

$$ev_{A,B} \langle f^* \pi_{C,B}, \pi'_{C,B} \rangle = f.$$

From this equality, 2.8.4 and 2.8.5 follow easily, hence \underline{CC}_T is a weak cartesian closed category.

How can we get a cartesian closed category from \underline{CC}_T ? Using the Karoubi envelope of a category (see [L.S.], p.100), we can prove that the Karoubi envelope of \underline{CC}_T , denoted by $K(\underline{CC}_T)$, is a cartesian closed category. In fact we can state a more general result; if \underline{C} is a weak cartesian closed category then $K(\underline{C})$ is cartesian closed. This result is stated for weak \underline{C} -monoids in [L.S.] (see exercise 3 p.100).

2.9 Proposition The Karoubi envelope of a weak cartesian closed category \underline{C} is cartesian closed.

Proof. Recall that the objects of $K(\underline{C})$ are idempotents $f_A : A \rightarrow A$ and the morphisms are \underline{C} -arrows $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ such that $f_B \phi f_A = \phi$. We will show first that $K(\underline{C})$ is cartesian.

Clearly, $K(\underline{C})$ has a terminal object. Now, if f_A, f_B are to arbitrary objects of $K(\underline{C})$ the the product is:

$$f_A \times f_B = \langle f_A \pi_{A,B}, f_B \pi'_{A,B} \rangle.$$

Using 2.8 it is easy to see that $f_A \times f_B$ is an idempotent. The projections are $f_A \pi_{A,B} : f_A \times f_B \rightarrow f_A$ and $f_B \pi'_{A,B} : f_A \times f_B \rightarrow f_B$. We check, for instance that $f_A \pi_{A,B}$ is a morphism.

$$(f_A \pi_{A,B})(f_A \times f_B) = f_A f_A \pi_{A,B} = f_A \pi_{A,B}.$$

If $\psi : f_C \rightarrow f_A$ and $\phi : f_C \rightarrow f_B$ are two $K(\underline{C})$ -morphisms then $\langle \psi, \pi \rangle : f_C \rightarrow f_A \times f_B$ is a $K(\underline{C})$ -morphism because

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi, \phi \rangle f_C &= \langle \psi f_C, \phi f_C \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi, \phi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Using 2.8, it is easy to see that $\langle \psi, \phi \rangle$ is unique.

Finally, $K(\underline{C})$ is cartesian closed. If f_A, f_B are two arbitrary $K(\underline{C})$ -objects $f_A^{f_B}$ is an idempotent and $f_A ev_{A,B}(1_{A^B} \times f_B) : f_A^{f_B} \times f_B \rightarrow f_A$ is a morphism (using again 2.8). Given $h : f_C \times f_B \rightarrow f_A$, $h^* : f_C \rightarrow f_A^{f_B}$ satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i. } f_A^{f_B} h^* f_C &= (f_A ev_{C,B} \langle h^* \pi_{C,B}, f_B \pi'_{C,B} \rangle) f_C \\ &= (f_A h(1_C \times f_B)) f_C \\ &= (f_A h(1_C \times f_B))(f_C \times 1_B) f_C \\ &= h^*. \end{aligned}$$

hence, h^* is a $K(\underline{C})$ -morphism.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ii. } f_A ev_{A,B}(1 \times f_B)(h^* \times 1_B)(f_C \times f_B) &= f_A ev_{A,B}(h^* \times 1_B)(f_C \times f_B) \\ f_A h(f_C \times f_B) &= h. \\ \text{iii. } h^* &\text{ is unique.} \end{aligned}$$

If $k : f_C \rightarrow f_A^{f_B}$ satisfies also $f_A ev_{A,B}(1 \times f_B)(k \times 1_B)(f_C \times f_B) = h$. then since $k = f_A^{f_B} k f_C$ we have

$$k = (f_A ev_{A,B}(k f_A \times f_B))^* = h^*.$$

Therefore $K(\underline{C})$ is a cartesian closed category.

3. When \underline{C}_T is cartesian closed ?

If \underline{C} is a $pCCC$ -category, when \underline{C}_T , the category of total maps is cartesian closed? We will give an answer to this but first we will make the following observation.

3.1 Lemma. If \underline{C} is a partial category with liftings then the diagram

$$A \xrightarrow{\eta_A} \tilde{A} \xrightarrow[\tilde{\eta}_A]{\eta_{\tilde{A}}} \tilde{\tilde{A}}$$

is a \underline{C}_T -equalizer.

Proof. The diagram commutes because

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{\tilde{A}} \eta_A &= \sigma_{\tilde{A},A}(1_{\tilde{A}}) \eta_A \\ \tilde{\eta}_A \eta_A &= \sigma_{\tilde{A},\tilde{A}}(\eta_A ex_A) \eta_A = \\ &= \sigma_{A,\tilde{A}}(\eta_A). \end{aligned}$$

hence $\eta_{\tilde{A}} \eta_A = \tilde{\eta}_A \eta_A$.

If $f : C \rightarrow \tilde{A}$ is a \underline{C}_T -morphism such that $\eta_{\tilde{A}} f = \tilde{\eta}_A f$ then

$$\eta_{\tilde{A}} f = \sigma_{\tilde{A},\tilde{A}}(1_{\tilde{A}}) f = \sigma_{C,\tilde{A}}(f)$$

and $\tilde{\eta}_A f = \sigma_{\tilde{A},\tilde{A}}(\eta_A ex_A) f = \sigma_{C,\tilde{A}}(\eta_A ex_A f)$; hence $f = \eta_A(ex_A f)$. Since f is a total morphism then $ex_A f$ is also a total morphism.

By the remark after 2.5, we already know that for any objects $\tilde{A}, B, (\tilde{A})^B$ exists in \underline{C}_T . Using the lemma 3.1 we can prove the following:

3.2 Proposition If \underline{C}_T has equalizers then \underline{C}_T is a cartesian category.

Proof. Let A, B any objects of \underline{C}_T consider the following two \underline{C} -arrows:

$$\{\eta_{\tilde{A}}\}^B : (\tilde{A})^B \rightarrow (\tilde{\tilde{A}})^B.$$

$$\{\tilde{\eta}_A\}^B : (\tilde{A})^B \rightarrow (\tilde{\tilde{A}})^B.$$

Define A^B as the equalizer of these two arrows:

$$A^B \xrightarrow{\epsilon} (\tilde{A})^B \xrightarrow[\begin{smallmatrix} (\eta_{\tilde{A}})^B \\ (\tilde{\eta}_A)^B \end{smallmatrix}]{(\eta_{\tilde{A}})^B} (\tilde{\tilde{A}})^B$$

We can form the following commutative diagram:

Then we have an arrow $ev_{A,B} : A^B \times B \rightarrow A$. Now, given any morphism $f : C \times B \rightarrow A$ in \underline{C}_T , we get a \underline{C}_T -morphism $f^\dagger : C \times B \rightarrow \tilde{A}$ and therefore $(f^\dagger)^* : C \rightarrow (\tilde{A})^B$ equalizes $(\eta_{\tilde{A}})^B$ and $(\tilde{\eta}_A)^B$ because:

$$\begin{aligned} & ev_{\tilde{\tilde{A}},B}((\eta_{\tilde{A}})^B)((f^\dagger)^* \times 1_B) \\ &= \eta_{\tilde{A}} ev_{\tilde{A},B}((f^\dagger)^* \times 1_B) = \eta_{\tilde{A}} f^\dagger. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$ev_{\tilde{\tilde{A}},B}((\tilde{\eta}_A)^B((f^\dagger)^* \times 1_B)) = \tilde{\eta}_A ev_{\tilde{A},B}((f^\dagger)^* \times 1_B) = \eta_{\tilde{A}} f^\dagger.$$

it is easy to see that $\eta_{\tilde{A}} f^\dagger = \tilde{\eta}_A f^\dagger$ using $ex_A f^\dagger = f$. Therefore there is an arrow $f^* : C \rightarrow A^B$ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & C & \\ & \swarrow f^* & \downarrow (f^\dagger)^* \\ A^B & \xleftarrow{\epsilon} & (\tilde{A})^B \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} (\tilde{\tilde{A}})^B \\ \\ (\tilde{\tilde{A}})^B \end{array}$$

commute.

Clearly, $ev_{A,B}(f^* \times B) = f$, because we can form the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & C \times B & & \\ & \swarrow f^* \times 1_B & \downarrow (f^\dagger)^* \times 1_B & & \\ A^B \times B & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (\tilde{A})^B \times B & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (\tilde{\tilde{A}})^B \times B \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow ev_{\tilde{A},B} & & \downarrow ev_{\tilde{\tilde{A}},B} \\ A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \tilde{A} & \xrightarrow[\eta_{\tilde{A}}]{\eta_{\tilde{A}}} & \tilde{\tilde{A}} \end{array}$$

Now, given any $h : C \rightarrow A^B$ in \underline{C}_T , $(ev_{A,B}(h \times 1_B))^* = h$ if and only if $\eta_{AB}(ev_{A,B}(h \times 1_B))^* = \eta_{AB}h$; Where the arrow $\eta_{AB}(ev_{A,B}(h \times 1_B))^*$ is given by:

$(\overline{ev_{A,B}(h \times 1_B)})^*$ and $\overline{ev_{A,B}(h \times 1_B)} = \overline{ev_{A,B}(h \times 1_B)}$; Moreover, $\overline{ev_{A,B}} = \eta_A ev_{A,B}$ as is easily seen; hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \eta_{AB}(ev_{A,B}(h \times 1_B))^* = \eta_A ev_{A,B}(h \times 1_B) \\ &= ev_{\tilde{A},B}(\eta_{AB} \times 1_B)(h \times 1_B) = ev_{\tilde{A},B}(\eta_{AB}h \times 1_B) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(ev_{A,B}(h \times 1_B))^* = (ev_{\tilde{A},B}(\eta_{AB}h \times 1_B))^* = \eta_{AB}h$ and we prove the assertion.

REFERENCES .

- [Cu.Ob.] P.L.Curien and A.Obtulowicz. Partial and Cartesian Closedness, preprint 1986.
- [Di.He.] R.DiPaola and A.Heller, Dominical Categories, J. Symb. Logic ,1988.
- [L,S] J.Lambek and P.J.Scott, Intoduction to Higher Order Categorical Logic, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 7, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge England,1986.
- [R.] G.Rosolini, Continuity and Effectiveness in Topoi, Ph.D. Thesis, Carnegie Mellon University, 1986.

Department of Mathematics.
McGill University.
Montreal Quebec , H3A 2K6.

Current Adress:
Instituto de Matemáticas
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México.
México D.F.; C.P. 04510.