

*The Functional Method
and Its Applications*

by
E. V. Voronovskaja

Volume Twenty-Eight
Translations of Mathematical Monographs

TRANSLATIONS
OF
MATHEMATICAL
MONOGRAPHS

Volume 28

THE FUNCTIONAL METHOD
AND ITS APPLICATIONS

by

E. V. VORONOVSKAJA

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

Providence, Rhode Island 02904

1970

МЕТОД ФУНКЦИОНАЛОВ И ЕГО ПРИЛОЖЕНИЯ

Е. В. ВОРОНОВСКАЯ

Министерство Связи СССР
Ленинградский Электротехнический Институт Связи
им. проф. М. А. БОНЧ-БРУЕВИЧА

Ленинград 1963

Translated from the Russian by
R. P. Boas

International Standard Book Number 0-8218-1578-4
Library of Congress Card Number 70-138816

Copyright © 1970 by the American Mathematical Society

Printed in the United States
All Rights Reserved
No portion of this book may be reproduced
without the written permission of the publisher

PREFACE

This book can be used as a textbook for graduate students of mathematics and those preparing for examinations in the theory of functions, and in particular in the theory of best uniform approximation.

The material presented here may also be useful for engineers and students of radio and electrical engineering who are concerned with problems of the theory of filters, amplifiers, pulse technology, etc.

To make it easier for engineers to read the book, it contains a brief introductory section on the theory of moment sequences and the simplest theorems of functional analysis.

The first part of the book contains an exposition of the new theory which was announced in a series of papers by the author between 1934 and 1953; a detailed exposition has not been published previously. The second part illustrates the theory by solving a number of problems of Čebyšev type which could not be handled by classical methods.

The author hopes that the availability of this new method and its effectiveness in solving problems of various kinds will help graduate students in finding thesis topics, and engineers in the theoretical analysis of their experimental problems.

The author wishes to thank her student M. Ja. Zinger, and E. L. Rabkin, assistant in the department of mathematics of the Leningrad Electrotechnical Institute of Communication, for their help in preparing this book for publication.

Leningrad, 8 July 1963

This page intentionally left blank

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Moment sequences and Hausdorff's theorems	1
2. The linear functional determined by a moment sequence	9
Part One. EXTREMAL POLYNOMIALS OF FINITE FUNCTIONALS	
Chapter I. Segment-functionals on Polynomials	14
1. Extremal polynomials of a moment sequence	14
2. Absolutely monotonic segments and their best extension	21
3. The non-absolutely-monotonic segment and its extremal polynomials	33
4. Čebyšev polynomials in the critical intervals	39
Chapter II. Properties of the Norm; Segments of the First and Second Classes	49
1. The norm as a function of the elements	49
2. Segments of class I	53
3. Faithful and fictitious distributions of a segment	57
4. Some sufficient conditions for a segment to belong to class II	60
5. Variable segment-functionals	64
Chapter III. Families of Extremal Polynomials	69
1. Construction of segments with given distribution	69
2. Parametrization of families of polynomials	72
3. Determined segment-functionals	77
Chapter IV. Polynomials of Passport $[n, n, 0]$	81
1. Determining segments for $[n, n, 0]$ polynomials	81
2. Analytic construction of $[n, n, 0]$ polynomials	91
3. Some metric properties of $[n, n, 0]$ polynomials	99
Chapter V. Polynomials of Passport $[n, n, 1]$	103
1. Existence and nature of deformation of $[n, n, 1]$ polynomials	103
2. Methods of constructing $[n, n, 1]$ polynomials in the simplest cases	107
3. General analytic construction of $[n, n, 1]$ polynomials	112

Chapter VI. Polynomials of Passport $[n, n - 1, 0]$	117
1. Determining functional and qualitative investigation	117
2. Equations of the boundary of the region M	123
3. Analytic construction of $[n, n - 1, 0]$ polynomials	126
 Part Two. PROBLEMS OF ČEBYŠEV APPROXIMATION	
Chapter I. Polynomials of Least Deviation for Some Classical Problems	133
1. V. A. Markov's problem	133
2. The Zolotarev-Pšeborskiĭ problem	137
3. N. I. Ahiezer's problem and its solution by the functional method	143
Chapter II. Best Uniform Approximation of Polynomials and Analytic Functions	146
1. Approximation of polynomials	146
2. Approximation of analytic functions	149
Chapter III. The First Derivative Functional and A. A. Markov's Problem	156
1. Extremal polynomials of the derivative functional	156
2. The norm of the derivative functional on $[0, 1]$	162
Chapter IV. The Trigonometric Functionals $F_{\rho \cos}$ and $F_{\rho \sin}$ and Inequalities for Polynomials in the Complex Plane	168
1. Stability of trigonometric functionals	168
2. Asymptotic behavior of the Zolotarev regions in the z -plane	171
Bibliography	177
Appendix: V. A. Gusev, Derivative Functionals of an Algebraic Polynomial and V. A. Markov's Theorem	179
Index of Numbered Examples	199
Index of Numbered Theorems	199
Subject Index	201

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. I. P. Natanson, *Theory of functions of a real variable*, GITTL, Moscow, 1957; English transl., Ungar, New York, 1961. MR 26 # 6309.
2. ———, *Constructive function theory*, GITTL, Moscow, 1949; English transl., Ungar, New York, 1964. MR 11, 591; MR 33 # 4529a.
3. F. Hausdorff, *Summationsmethoden und Momentfolgen*, Math. Z. 9 (1921), 74-109, 280-299.
4. E. V. Voronovskaja, *On the transformation of a functional series by differences of its terms*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (A) 1930, 693-700. (Russian)
5. ———, *A minimal problem in the theory of absolutely monotonic sequences*, Trudy Leningrad. Indust. Inst. Razdel Fiz.-Mat. Nauk 4 (1937), no. 2, 22-33. (Russian)
6. ———, *The norming of finite functionals. I*, Trudy Leningrad. Indust. Inst. Razdel Fiz.-Mat. Nauk 5 (1938), no. 1, 14-20. (Russian)
7. ———, *Extremal polynomials of finite functionals*, Thesis Abstract, Leningrad. Gos. Univ., Leningrad, 1955. (Russian)
8. ———, *The norming of finite functionals. II*, Trudy Leningrad. Politehn. Inst. 3 (1941), 23-33. (Russian)
9. L. V. Kantorovič and G. P. Akilov, *Functional analysis in normed spaces*, Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1959; English transl., Internat. Series of Monographs in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 46, Macmillan, New York, 1964. MR 22 # 9837; MR 35 # 4699.
10. P. L. Čebyšev, *Complete collected works. Vols. II, III*, Izdat. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow, 1947, 1948. (Russian) MR 11, 150.
11. E. I. Zolotarev, *Application of elliptic functions to problems on functions deviating least or most from zero*, Zapiski S.-Peterburg. Akad. Nauk 30 (1877), no. 5; reprinted in *Collected works. Vol. II*, Izdat. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Leningrad, 1932, pp. 1-59. (Russian)
12. V. A. Markov, *On functions deviating least from zero in a given interval*, Izdat. Akad. Nauk, St. Petersburg, 1892. (Russian)
13. A. P. Pšeborskii, *On certain polynomials deviating least from zero in a given interval*, Soobšč. Har'kov. Mat. Obšč. (2) 14 (1913), 65-80. (Russian)
14. E. V. Voronovskaja, *On the closest uniform approximation of polynomials*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 114 (1957), 927-929. (Russian) MR 20 # 1151.
15. V. L. Gončarov, *Theory of interpolation and approximation of functions*, 2nd ed., GITTL, Moscow, 1954. (Russian) MR 16, 803.
16. E. V. Voronovskaja, *On Čebyšev's approximation of analytic functions by algebraic polynomials*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 121 (1958), 206-209. (Russian) MR 20 # 6622.
17. A. A. Markov, *On a problem of D. I. Mendeleev*, Zap. Akad. Nauk St. Petersburg 62 (1889), 1-24. (Russian)
18. S. N. Bernštejn, *Collected works. Vol. I*, Izdat. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow, 1952. (Russian) MR 14, 2.
19. V. A. Gusev, *Derivative functionals of algebraic polynomials and V. A. Markov's theorem*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 25 (1961); English transl., Appendix: Transl. Math. Monographs, vol. 28, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1970. MR 14 # A797.
20. E. V. Voronovskaja, *The structure of trigonometric functionals and their properties*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 12 (1957), no. 5 (77), 254-257. (Russian)
21. E. V. Voronovskaja and M. Ja. Zinger, *An estimate for polynomials in the complex plane*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 143 (1962), 1022-1025 = Soviet Math. Dokl. 3 (1962), 516-519. MR 25 # 3149.

22. E. V. Voronovskaja, *The functional of the first derivative and improvement of a theorem of A. A. Markov*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **23** (1959), 951-962. MR **22** # 1640.
 23. R. G. Selfridge, *Approximations with least maximum error*, Pacific J. Math. **3** (1953), 247-255. MR **14**, 1067.
 24. S. N. Bernštein, *Extremal properties of polynomials and best approximation of continuous functions of a real variable*, Glaz. Redak. Obšč. Lit., Leningrad, 1937. (Russian)
 25. E. V. Voronovskaja, *Extremal trigonometric polynomials and their applications*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **129** (1959), 12-15. (Russian) MR **22** # 1782.

The references from here on are to relevant papers appearing after the publication of the book and selected by the translator.

26. E. V. Voronovskaja and M. Ja. Zinger, *An estimate for polynomials in the complex plane*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **143** (1962), 1022-1025 = Soviet Math. Dokl. **3** (1962), 516-519. MR **25** # 3149.
 27. E. V. Voronovskaja, *Odd polynomials of least deviation*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **159** (1964), 715-718 = Soviet Math. Dokl. **5** (1964), 1562-1565. MR **31** # 1507.
 28. ———, *Some criteria for the stability of functionals*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **161** (1965), 270-273 = Soviet Math. Dokl. **6** (1965), 392-395. MR **31** # 2544.
 29. M. Ja. Zinger, *Functionals of derivatives in the complex plane*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **166** (1966), 775-778 = Soviet Math. Dokl. **7** (1966), 158-161. MR **33** # 5855.
 30. ———, *Generalization of a problem of Schaeffer-Duffin to finite functionals*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **172** (1967), 14-17 = Soviet Math. Dokl. **8** (1967), 7-10. MR **35** # 635.
 31. E. V. Voronovskaja, *On Zolotarev stability of functionals*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **173** (1967), 15-17 = Soviet Math. Dokl. **8** (1967), 304-306. MR **35** # 4646.
 32. ———, *Amorphous functionals, their structure and applications*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **166** (1966), 1270-1273 = Soviet Math. Dokl. **7** (1966), 258-262. MR **35** # 5841.
 33. M. Ja. Zinger, *An estimate of the derivatives of an algebraic polynomial in the complex plane*, Sibirsk. Mat. Ž. **8** (1967), 952-957. (Russian) MR **36** # 332.
 34. V. A. Gusev, *An integral functional and its norm*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **168** (1966), 13-16 = Soviet Math. Dokl. **7** (1966), 575-578. MR **36** # 6839.
 35. E. V. Voronovskaja, *The construction of extremal trigonometric polynomials of mixed type*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **179** (1968), 768-771 = Soviet Math. Dokl. **9** (1968), 438-441. MR **37** # 3267.
 36. ———, *Structural properties of certain determining functionals*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **180** (1968), 1271-1274 = Soviet Math. Dokl. **9** (1968), 737-741. MR **38** # 284.
 37. M. Ja. Zinger, *Estimates of the derivatives of an algebraic polynomial*, Sibirsk. Mat. Ž. **10** (1969), 318-328 = Siberian Math. J. **10** (1969), 225-232. MR **40** # 605.
 38. I. Ju. Ryžakov, *The trigonometrical analogue of a problem of E. I. Zolotarev*, Izv. Vysš. Učebn. Zaved. Matematika 1969, no. 8 (87), 75-88. (Russian)

APPENDIX

**DERIVATIVE FUNCTIONALS OF AN ALGEBRAIC POLYNOMIAL
AND V. A. MARKOV'S THEOREM¹⁾**

V. A. GUSEV

The functional method is applied to investigate the exact upper bounds of the derivatives of order k of an algebraic polynomial at each point of the interval $[0, 1]$. A new proof of V. A. Markov's theorem is given and some new results are obtained.

V. A. Markov's theorem [1]²⁾ gives a bound for the derivative of order $k \leq n$ of an algebraic polynomial $P_n(x)$: if

$$(1) \quad \max_{[-1,1]} |P_n(x)| = M,$$

then

$$(2) \quad \max_{[-1,1]} |P_n^{(k)}(x)| \leq \frac{n^2(n^2 - 1^2) \dots (n^2 - \overline{k - 1^2})}{1 \cdot 3 \dots (2k - 1)} \cdot M \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

New and simpler proofs of this theorem were given by S. N. Bernštein [2] and Duffin and Schaeffer [3].

The functional method developed by E. V. Voronovskaja [4]—[6] makes it possible to study the problem considerably more completely than in [2] and [3], and in a considerably shorter way than in [1].

The case $k = 1$ was studied by E. V. Voronovskaja [7]. In the present paper the results obtained in [7] for the first derivative are extended to derivatives of higher order; the terminology of [7] is retained here.

We consider the interval $[0, 1]$ (this is the most convenient for the functional method). Then (2) takes the form

$$(2') \quad \max_{[0,1]} |P_n^{(k)}(x)| \leq \frac{2^k n^2 (n^2 - 1^2) \dots (n^2 - \overline{k - 1^2})}{1 \cdot 3 \dots (2k - 1)} \cdot M_{[0,1]} = M_{[0,1]} T_n^{(k)}(1),$$

where

$$M_{[0,1]} = \max_{[0,1]} |P_n(x)|, \quad T_n(x) = \cos n \arccos(2x - 1).$$

¹⁾ Translation of *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 25 (1961), 367-384.

²⁾ Numbers in brackets refer to the Bibliography at the end of this Appendix.

We shall treat the k th derivative of $P_n(x)$ at a point ξ as the linear functional $F_\xi^{(k)}$, defined by the finite sequence (or segment)

$$(3) \quad (\mu_i^{(k)})_{i=0}^n = 0_0, 0_1, \dots, 0_{k-1}, k!, (k+1)!, \dots, \frac{n!}{(n-k)!} \xi^{n-k} \\ (k = 1, 2, \dots, n; -\infty < \xi < \infty),$$

on the set of polynomials $\{P_n(x)\}$ of degree at most n , so that

$$F_\xi^{(k)}(x^i) = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1, \\ F_\xi^{(k)}(x^i) = \frac{i!}{(i-k)!} \xi^{i-k} \quad \text{for } i = k, k+1, \dots, n$$

and

$$F_\xi^{(k)}[P_n(x)] = P_n^{(k)}(\xi).$$

Since the space $\{P_n(x)\}$ is finite-dimensional, for each ξ there is a polynomial $Q_n(x, \xi)$, called extremal or serving, such that when

$$\max_{[0,1]} |Q_n(x, \xi)| = 1$$

(reduced polynomial) we have the equation

$$N_k(\xi) = [Q_n^{(k)}(x, \xi)]_{x=\xi},$$

where $N_k(\xi)$ is the norm of the functional (3). We have

$$\max_{[0,1]} |P_n^{(k)}(\xi)| \leq M_{[0,1]} \cdot N_k(\xi) = M_{[0,1]} \cdot [Q_n^{(k)}(x, \xi)]_{x=\xi} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

This is a sharpening of V. A. Markov's inequality (2'). Thus the problem is to determine the extremal polynomial and the norm of the functional (3).

REMARK 1. Putting $\mu_i^{(k)} = \mu_{i,0}^{(k)}$, we form the table of differences $(\mu_{i,p}^{(k)})$ of the segment (3), where

$$\mu_{i,p}^{(k)} = \mu_{i,p-1}^{(k)} - \mu_{i+1,p-1}^{(k)};$$

then we obtain

$$(\mu_{0j}^{(k)}) = 0_0, 0_1, \dots, 0_{k-1}, (-1)^k k!, (-1)^k (k+1)! (1-\xi), \dots \\ \dots, \frac{(-1)^k n!}{(n-k)!} (1-\xi)^{n-k}.$$

This functional has the same norm (cf. [4]) as (3). Consequently

$$(4) \quad N_k(\xi) = N_k(1-\xi) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n; -\infty < \xi < \infty).$$

REMARK 2. For $\xi < 0$ the segment (3) has alternating signs, and consequently

$$Q_n(x, \xi) = + T_n(x),$$

if $k \equiv n \pmod{2}$, and

$$Q_n(x, \xi) = - T_n(x),$$

if $k + 1 \equiv n \pmod{2}$. In view of (4), for each ξ outside $[0, 1]$ we have

$$Q_n(x, \xi) = \pm T_n(x), \quad N_k(\xi) = |T_n^{(k)}(\xi)| \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

We recall a necessary and sufficient condition that a given reduced polynomial $L_n(x)$ ($\max_{[0,1]} |L_n(x)| = 1$) with distribution $(\bar{\sigma}_i)_1^s$ ($0 \leq \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < \dots < \sigma_s \leq 1$; $L_n(\bar{\sigma}_i) = +1, L_n(\bar{\sigma}_i) = -1$) is extremal for a given segment $(\mu_i)_0^n$. The test for extremal character is as follows (cf. [7]): the system of $n + 1$ equations in the s unknowns δ_i

$$(V) \quad \sum_{i=1}^s \delta_i \sigma_i^l = \mu_l \quad (l = 0, 1, \dots, n)$$

has the properties 1) it is consistent, and 2) it has a solution such that either $\text{sgn } \delta_i = L_n(\sigma_i)$ or $\delta_i = 0$ (but not all are zero).

THEOREM 1. *On the interval $[0, 1]$ there are $n - k + 1$ Čebyšev intervals $[\alpha_i^{(k)}, \beta_i^{(k)}]$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$; $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - k + 1$) at whose points the functional (3) is served by one of the polynomials $\pm T_n(x)$. The endpoints of the intervals are the roots $\alpha_2^{(k)}, \alpha_3^{(k)}, \dots, \alpha_{n-k+1}^{(k)}$ of the equation*

$$\frac{d^k}{dx^k} \left(\frac{R_{n+1}(x)}{x} \right) = 0,$$

and $\alpha_1^{(k)} = 0$, and the roots $\beta_1^{(k)}, \beta_2^{(k)}, \dots, \beta_{n-k}^{(k)}$ of the equation

$$\frac{d^k}{dx^k} \left(\frac{R_{n+1}(x)}{x - 1} \right) = 0,$$

and $\beta_{n-k+1}^{(k)} = 1$; here $R_{n+1}(x) = \prod_{i=0}^n (x - \tau_i)$ is the resolvent of $T_n(x)$, and $(\tau_i)_0^n$ are its nodes, i.e. its points of maximum deviation from zero.

PROOF. Let us apply the test for extremal character to $L_n(x) = \pm T_n(x)$. In this case $s = n + 1$ and condition 1) drops out, while condition 2) is that $\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$ have alternating signs. The system (V) for the segment (3) takes the form

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \delta_i \tau_i^l = 0 \quad (l = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1),$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \delta_i \tau_i^l = \frac{l!}{(l - k)!} \xi^{l-k} \quad (l = k, k + 1, \dots, n),$$

and its solution is given by

$$(5) \quad \delta_j = \frac{(-1)^{n-j}}{\prod_{i \neq j} |\tau_j - \tau_i|} \cdot \left[\frac{d^k}{dx^k} \left(\frac{R_{n+1}(x)}{x - \tau_j} \right) \right]_{x=\xi} \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, n).$$

We shall need the following three lemmas of V. A. Markov [1].

LEMMA 1. *If the equation $G_s(x) = x^s + a_1 x^{s-1} + \dots + a_{s-1} x + a_s = 0$ has no complex roots, then*

$$[G_s^{(k)}(x)]^2 - G_s^{(k-1)}(x) \cdot G_s^{(k+1)}(x) > 0$$

for all $k \leq s$ and all real x except for roots of $G_s(x) = 0$ of multiplicity greater than k .

LEMMA 2. *Let $G(x) = \prod_{i=1}^s (x - x_i)$ ($x_k \neq x_j$), let z be a root of $G^{(k)}(x) = 0$ and let*

$$G_l(x) = \frac{G(x)}{x - x_l} \quad (l = 1, 2, \dots, s);$$

then the numbers $G_1^{(k)}(z), G_2^{(k)}(z), \dots, G_s^{(k)}(z), G^{(k+1)}(z)$ all have the same sign.

LEMMA 3. *Let*

$$G(x) = A \cdot \prod_{i=1}^s (x - a_i), \quad H(x) = B \cdot \prod_{i=1}^s (x - b_i) \quad (A > 0, B > 0)$$

and $b_1 < a_1 < b_2 < a_2 < \dots < b_s < a_s$. If $G^{(k)}(z) = 0$ then

$$\frac{H^{(k)}(z)}{G^{(k+1)}(z)} > 0.$$

COROLLARY. *It follows immediately from Lemma 3 that the roots of $G^{(k)}(x) = 0$ and of $H^{(k)}(x) = 0$ are interlaced. This is also true when the degrees of $G(x)$ and $H(x)$ differ by unity (the roots of $G(x)$ and $H(x)$ separate each other).*

Let $\Phi_j(x)$ be the polynomial

$$\frac{R_{n+1}(x)}{x - \tau_j} \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, n)$$

and let $\xi_{j,k}^{(1)}, \xi_{j,k}^{(2)}, \dots, \xi_{j,k}^{(n-k)}$ be the roots of $\Phi_j^{(k)}(x)$; then $\xi_{0,k}^{(i)} = \alpha_{i+1}^{(k)}$ and $\xi_{n,k}^{(i)} = \beta_i^{(k)}$; let $\theta_1^{(k)}, \theta_2^{(k)}, \dots, \theta_{n-k+1}^{(k)}$ be the roots of $R_{n+1}^{(k)}(x)$. It follows from the results of Voronovskaja for $k = 1$ (cf. [7]) that the following inequalities hold for the roots of $\Phi_0'(x), \Phi_1'(x), \dots, \Phi_n'(x)$ and $R_{n+1}'(x)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 < \theta_1^{(1)} < \beta_1^{(1)} < \xi_{n-1,1}^{(1)} < \xi_{n-2,1}^{(1)} < \dots < \xi_{1,1}^{(1)} < \alpha_2^{(1)} < \theta_2^{(1)} < \beta_2^{(1)} < \xi_{n-1,1}^{(2)} < \dots \\
 \dots < \xi_{1,1}^{(2)} < \alpha_3^{(1)} < \theta_3^{(1)} < \beta_3^{(1)} < \dots < \alpha_{n-1}^{(1)} < \theta_{n-1}^{(1)} < \beta_{n-1}^{(1)} < \xi_{n-1,1}^{(n-1)} < \dots \\
 \dots < \xi_{1,1}^{(n-1)} < \alpha_n^{(1)} < \theta_n^{(1)} < 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying the corollary of Lemma 3 to each pair of the polynomials $\Phi_0'(x), \Phi_1'(x), \dots, \Phi_n'(x), R_{n+1}'(x)$, we see that there are similar inequalities for the roots of the k th derivatives $\Phi_0^{(k)}(x), \Phi_1^{(k)}(x), \dots, \Phi_n^{(k)}(x), R_{n+1}^{(k)}(x)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 < \theta_1^{(k)} < \beta_1^{(k)} < \xi_{n-1,k}^{(1)} < \xi_{n-2,k}^{(1)} < \dots < \xi_{1,k}^{(1)} < \alpha_2^{(k)} < \theta_2^{(k)} < \beta_2^{(k)} < \dots \\
 \dots < \alpha_i^{(k)} < \theta_i^{(k)} < \beta_i^{(k)} < \xi_{n-1,k}^{(i)} < \xi_{n-2,k}^{(i)} < \dots \\
 \dots < \xi_{1,k}^{(i)} < \alpha_{i+1}^{(k)} < \theta_{i+1}^{(k)} < \beta_{i+1}^{(k)} < \dots \\
 \dots < \alpha_{n-k}^{(k)} < \theta_{n-k}^{(k)} < \beta_{n-k}^{(k)} < \xi_{n-1,k}^{(n-k)} < \xi_{n-2,k}^{(n-k)} < \dots \\
 \dots < \xi_{1,k}^{(n-k)} < \alpha_{n-k+1}^{(k)} < \theta_{n-k+1}^{(k)} < 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore inside each interval of the form

$$[\alpha_i^{(k)}, \beta_i^{(k)}] \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1; i = 1, 2, \dots, n - k + 1)$$

there is a single root of $R_{n+1}^{(k)}(x)$, and each of the derivatives $\Phi_0^{(k)}(x), \Phi_1^{(k)}(x), \dots, \Phi_n^{(k)}(x)$ preserves its sign. Applying Lemma 2 to the polynomials $R_{n+1}^{(k)}(x), \Phi_0^{(k)}(x), \dots, \Phi_n^{(k)}(x)$, we reach the conclusion that all the numbers $\Phi_0^{(k)}(\theta_i^{(k)}), \Phi_1^{(k)}(\theta_i^{(k)}), \dots, \Phi_n^{(k)}(\theta_i^{(k)})$ have the same sign (plus for $i = n - k + 1, n - k - 1, n - k - 3, \dots$, and minus for $i = n - k, n - k - 2, n - k - 4, \dots$). It follows that if $\xi \in [\alpha_i^{(k)}, \beta_i^{(k)}]$, all the numbers $\Phi_0^{(k)}(\xi), \Phi_1^{(k)}(\xi), \dots, \Phi_n^{(k)}(\xi)$ have the same sign, and in addition only $\Phi_0^{(k)}(\xi) = 0$ at $\xi = \alpha_i^{(k)}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n - k + 1$), while only $\Phi_n^{(k)}(\xi) = 0$ at $\xi = \beta_i^{(k)}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n - k$). Turning to formula (5), we see that $\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$ have alternating signs, and condition 2) of the test is satisfied if $\xi \in [\alpha_i^{(k)}, \beta_i^{(k)}]$. At the left-hand endpoints $(\alpha_i^{(k)})_{i=2}^{n-k+1}$ loses the weight δ_0 at the node $\tau_0 = 0$, i.e. $\delta_0 = 0$, and at the right-hand endpoints $(\beta_i^{(k)})_{i=1}^{n-k}$ loses the weight δ_n at the node $\tau_n = 1$, i.e. $\delta_n = 0$. Consequently service of the functional $F_\xi^{(k)}$ by the polynomials $\pm T_n(x)$ ends (or begins) at these points (cf. [4], [5]).

Thus in the intervals $[\alpha_{n-k+1}^{(k)}, 1], [\alpha_{n-k-1}^{(k)}, \beta_{n-k-1}^{(k)}], \dots$ the functional $F_\xi^{(k)}$ is served by $+T_n(x)$; and in the intervals

$$[\alpha_{n-k}^{(k)}, \beta_{n-k}^{(k)}], [\alpha_{n-k-2}^{(k)}, \beta_{n-k-2}^{(k)}], \dots,$$

by $-T_n(x)$. When $k = n$ formula (5) takes the form

$$\delta_j = \frac{(-1)^{n-j}n!}{\prod_{i \neq j} |\tau_j - \tau_i|} \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, n),$$

and $F_\xi^{(n)}$ is served by $+T_n(x)$ for $\xi \in [0, 1]$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. *Between the Čebyšev intervals there are open Zolotarev intervals $(\beta_i^{(k)}, \alpha_{i+1}^{(k)})$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$; $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - k$) at whose points the functional (3) is served by all the polynomials of passport $[n, n, 0]$ ³⁾ (denoted by $Q_n(x, \vartheta)$) and only by these, and indeed by each one at that point of each interval where*

$$\left[\frac{\partial^k R_n(x, \vartheta)}{\partial x^k} \right]_{\substack{x=\xi \\ \vartheta=\vartheta_\xi}} = 0.$$

Here $R_n(x, \vartheta) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \sigma_i)$ is the resolvent of $Q_n(x, \vartheta)$, $(\bar{\sigma}_i)_1^n$ is its distribution, and ϑ is the variable leading coefficient of $Q_n(x, \vartheta)$ ($-2^{2n-1} < \vartheta < 2^{2n-1}$).

PROOF. Let $L_n(x)$ be any polynomial of passport $[n, n, 0]$, let $(\bar{\sigma}_i)_1^n$ be its distribution, let

$$R_n(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \sigma_i) = \sum_{l=0}^n r_l x^l$$

be its resolvent, and let ξ_0 be a root of $R_n^{(k)}(x) = 0$. We shall show that $L_n(x)$ serves the functional $F_{\xi_0}^{(k)}$. The first n equations of the system (V) take the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i \sigma_i^l = 0 \quad (l = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1),$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i \sigma_i^l = \frac{l!}{(l - k)!} \xi_0^{l-k} \quad (l = k, k + 1, \dots, n - 1),$$

and the solution is given by

$$\delta_j = \frac{(-1)^{n-j-1}}{\prod_{i \neq j} |\sigma_j - \sigma_i|} \left[\frac{d^k}{dx^k} \left(\frac{R_n(x)}{x - \sigma_j} \right) \right]_{x=\xi_0} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Condition 2) of the test for extremal character is satisfied since, by Lemma 2, the numbers

³⁾ See [6].

$$\left[\frac{d^k}{dx^k} \left(\frac{R_n(x)}{x - \sigma_1} \right) \right]_{x=\xi_0}, \left[\frac{d^k}{dx^k} \left(\frac{R_n(x)}{x - \sigma_2} \right) \right]_{x=\xi_0}, \dots, \left[\frac{d^k}{dx^k} \left(\frac{R_n(x)}{x - \sigma_n} \right) \right]_{x=\xi_0}$$

have the same sign. Condition 1), that

$$\frac{n!}{(n-k)!} \xi_0^{n-k} = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i \sigma_i^n,$$

i.e. that the entire system (V) is consistent, yields the equation

$$F_\xi^{(k)}[R_n(x)] = R_n^{(k)}(\xi) = \sum_{l=0}^n r_l \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i \sigma_i^l = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i R_n(\sigma_i) = 0,$$

i.e. $R_n^{(k)}(\xi) = 0$, which is satisfied at ξ_0 and nowhere else.

Thus each polynomial $L_n(x)$ serves $F_\xi^{(k)}$ at $n - k$ points, the roots of $R_n^{(k)}(x) = 0$, lying one in each of the intervals $(\beta_i^{(k)}, \alpha_{i+1}^{(k)})$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n - k$).

If we delete from the set $\{Q_n(x, \vartheta)\}$ the Čebyšev transformations $\pm T_n(\nu x)$ and $\pm T_n(\nu \cdot 1 - x)$ that the set contains, the remaining polynomials are, up to constant factors, exactly the polynomials of E. I. Zolotarev [8], which he expressed in terms of elliptic functions; we denote them by $Z_n(x, \vartheta)$.

At the endpoints $(\beta_i^{(k)})_{i=1}^{n-k}$ and $(\alpha_i^{(k)})_{i=2}^{n-k+1}$ the functional $F_\xi^{(k)}$ loses its weight at, respectively, the nodes $\tau_n = 1$ ($\delta_n = 0$) or $\tau_0 = 0$ ($\delta_0 = 0$); hence in $(\beta_i^{(k)}, \alpha_{i+1}^{(k)})$, as ξ moves from $\beta_i^{(k)}$ to $\alpha_{i+1}^{(k)}$ (assuming, for definiteness, that $F_\xi^{(k)}$ is served in $[\alpha_i^{(k)}, \beta_i^{(k)}]$ by $+T_n(x)$), service changes in the following order:

$$+ T_n(\nu x) \left(\cos^2 \frac{\pi}{2n} \leq \nu < 1 \right), \quad + Z_n(x, \vartheta) \left(0 < \vartheta < 2^{2n-1} \cos^{2n} \frac{\pi}{2n} \right), \\ - T_{n-1}(x), \quad (-1)^{n-1} Z_n(1 - x, \vartheta), \quad (-1)^{n-1} T_n(\nu \cdot \overline{1 - x})$$

without a break, according to the theorem on continuous deformation (cf. [4], [5]), and without repetition until the extremal polynomial becomes $-T_n(x)$ at $\alpha_{i+1}^{(k)}$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Therefore if E_T is the set of points of Čebyšev intervals and E_z is its complement with respect to $[0, 1]$, we have the following inequalities for reduced polynomials:

$$|P_n^{(k)}(\xi)| \leq \begin{cases} |T_n^{(k)}(\xi)| = N_k(\xi) & \text{for } \xi \in E_T, \\ |Q_n^{(k)}(\xi, \vartheta_\xi)| = N_k(\xi) & \text{for } \xi \in E_z, \end{cases}$$

where ϑ_ξ and ξ are connected, by Theorem 2, by the equation

$$(6) \quad \frac{\partial^k R_n(\xi, \vartheta)}{\partial \xi^k} = 0.$$

The norm $N_k(\xi)$ is a continuous function of ξ .

THEOREM 3. *In each interior Čebyšev interval the norm $N_k(\xi)$ takes its maximum value*

$$\max_{[\alpha_i^{(k)}, \beta_i^{(k)}]} N_k(\xi) = N_k(\gamma_i^{(k)})$$

just once, where $(\gamma_i^{(k)})_{i=2}^{n-k}$ are the roots of $T_n^{(k+1)}(x) = 0$; in the two boundary Čebyšev intervals the norm $N_k(\xi)$ decreases monotonically from the endpoints of $[0, 1]$ inward.

PROOF. Theorem 3 of Voronovskaja [7] shows that the roots $(\gamma_i^{(1)})_{i=2}^{n-1}$ of $T_n''(x)$ lie one each in the interior intervals $[\alpha_i^{(1)}, \beta_i^{(1)}]$ ($i = 2, 3, \dots, n - 1$). Applying the corollary of V. A. Markov's Lemma 3 to each pair of the polynomials $\Phi_0'(x)$, $T_n''(x)$, $\Phi_n'(x)$, we see that for each $k \leq n - 2$ the roots $(\gamma_i^{(k)})_{i=2}^{n-k}$ of $T_n^{(k+1)}(x)$ lie one each in the interior intervals $[\alpha_i^{(k)}, \beta_i^{(k)}]$ ($i = 2, 3, \dots, n - k$). It follows that the norm $N_k(\xi)$ attains its maximum once in each interior Čebyšev interval, and decreases monotonically, from the endpoints of $[0, 1]$ inward, in the boundary Čebyšev intervals, q.e.d.

REMARK. If $k \equiv n \pmod{2}$, there is a Čebyšev interval

$$[\alpha_{(n-k+2)/2}^{(k)}, 1 - \alpha_{(n-k+2)/2}^{(k)}],$$

containing the point $\xi = \gamma_{(n-k+2)/2}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{2}$, and

$$N_k\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \left| T_n^{(k)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right| = \begin{cases} 2^k n(n^2 - 1^2) \dots (n^2 - \overline{k - 2^2}) & \text{for } n \text{ odd,} \\ 2^k n^2(n^2 - 2^2) \dots (n^2 - \overline{k - 2^2}) & \text{for } n \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

We turn to the norm $N_k(\xi)$ on the Zolotarev intervals. In each interval $(\beta_i^{(k)}, \alpha_{i+1}^{(k)})$ there is a unique point $\xi_{i,k}^*$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n - k$) at which the functional $F_{\xi}^{(k)}$ is served by one of the polynomials $\pm T_{n-1}(x)$. The points $(\xi_{i,k}^*)_{i=1}^{n-k}$ can be found as the roots of $R_n^{(k)}(x) = 0$, where $R_n(x)$ is the resolvent of $T_{n-1}(x)$. We call $(\beta_i^{(k)}, \xi_{i,k}^*)$ and $(\xi_{i,k}^*, \alpha_{i+1}^{(k)})$ the left-hand and right-hand parts of the interval $(\beta_i^{(k)}, \alpha_{i+1}^{(k)})$.

THEOREM 4. *In the interval $(\beta_i^{(k)}, \alpha_{i+1}^{(k)})$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n - k$) the norm $N_k(\xi)$ varies monotonically at each point ξ at which the $(k + 1)$ th derivative of the extremal polynomial is not zero.*

PROOF. Suppose for definiteness that the extremal polynomial at $\xi = \beta_i^{(k)}$ is $+T_n(x)$. Then in some interval $(\beta_i^{(k)}, A_i^{(k)})$, $\beta_i^{(k)} < A_i^{(k)} < \xi_{i,k}^*$,

the Čebyšev transformations $T_n(\nu x)$ will be extremal (cf. Theorem 2). We have

$$N_k(\xi) = T_n^{(k)}(\nu\xi) \cdot \nu^k$$

and

$$N'_k(\xi) = -kT_n^{(k)}(\nu\xi) \cdot \frac{[\beta_i^{(k)}]^k}{\xi^{k+1}};$$

in fact, the quantity $T_n^{(k)}(\nu\xi) \cdot \nu^k$ must be maximized over ν , i.e.

$$T_n^{(k+1)}(\nu\xi) \cdot \xi\nu^k + T_n^{(k)}(\nu\xi)k\nu^{k-1} = 0,$$

or

$$T_n^{(k+1)}(\nu\xi) \cdot \nu\xi + kT_n^{(k)}(\nu\xi) = 0,$$

whence it follows that $\nu\xi = \text{const}$. Therefore $\nu = \beta_i^{(k)}/\xi$, $A_i^{(k)} = \beta_i^{(k)}/\cos^2(\pi/2n)$ ($\xi = \beta_i^{(k)}$ for $\nu = 1$; $\xi = A_i^{(k)}$ for $\nu = \cos^2(\pi/2n)$); $T_n^{(k)}(\nu\xi) > 0$, $N'_k(\xi) < 0$, and the norm $N_k(\xi)$ decreases in $(\beta_i^{(k)}, A_i^{(k)})$. The monotone character of the norm is proved similarly for the other Čebyšev transformations.

Thus it remains to prove the theorem for the part of $(\beta_i^{(k)}, \alpha_{i+1}^{(k)})$ in which the polynomials $Z_n(x, \vartheta)$ are extremal. Let $A_i^{(k)} < \xi_1 < \xi_{i,k}^*$; then $Z_n(x, \vartheta_{\xi_1})$ serves $F_{\xi_1}^{(k)}$, and

$$N_k(\xi_1) = [Z_n^{(k)}(x, \vartheta_{\xi_1})]_{x=\xi_1},$$

$$[R_n^{(k)}(x, \vartheta_{\xi_1})]_{x=\xi_1} = 0,$$

where $R_n(x, \vartheta_{\xi_1})$ is the resolvent of $Z_n(x, \vartheta_{\xi_1})$ (cf. Theorem 2). We have

$$N'_k(\xi) = \frac{\partial^{k+1}Z_n(\xi, \vartheta)}{\partial \xi^{k+1}} + \frac{\partial^{k+1}Z_n(\xi, \vartheta)}{\partial \xi^k \partial \vartheta} \frac{d\vartheta}{d\xi}.$$

Using the fundamental relation connecting a Zolotarev polynomial and its resolvent,

$$\frac{\partial Z_n(\xi, \vartheta)}{\partial \vartheta} = R_n(\xi, \vartheta)$$

(cf. [4], [6]), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^{k+1}Z_n(\xi, \vartheta)}{\partial \xi^k \partial \vartheta} = \frac{\partial^k R_n(\xi, \vartheta)}{\partial \xi^k}.$$

consequently

$$N'_k(\xi_1) = \left(\frac{\partial^{k+1}Z_n(\xi, \vartheta)}{\partial \xi^{k+1}} \right)_{\substack{\xi=\xi_1 \\ \vartheta=\vartheta_{\xi_1}=\vartheta_1}} + \left(\frac{\partial^k R_n(\xi, \vartheta)}{\partial \xi^k} \cdot \frac{d\vartheta}{d\xi} \right)_{\substack{\xi=\xi_1 \\ \vartheta=\vartheta_{\xi_1}=\vartheta_1}} = Z_n^{(k+1)}(\xi_1, \vartheta_1).$$

REMARK. The norm $N_k(\xi)$ has a continuous derivative $N'_k(\xi)$. In fact,

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \beta_i^{(k)} - 0} N'_k(\xi) = N'_k(\beta_i^{(k)} - 0) = T_n^{(k+1)}(\beta_i^{(k)})$$

and

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \beta_i^{(k)} + 0} N'_k(\xi) = N'_k(\beta_i^{(k)} + 0) = -\frac{k}{\beta_i^{(k)}} T_n^{(k)}(\beta_i^{(k)}).$$

Since

$$A_i^{(k)} = \frac{\beta_i^{(k)}}{\cos^2(\pi/2n)}$$

and

$$Z_n \left(x, 2^{2n-1} \cos^{2n} \frac{\pi}{2n} \right) = T_n \left(x \cos^2 \frac{\pi}{2n} \right),$$

then

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow A_i^{(k)} + 0} N'_k(\xi) = N'_k(A_i^{(k)} + 0) = \cos^{2(k+1)} \frac{\pi}{2n} \cdot T_n^{(k+1)}(\beta_i^{(k)})$$

and

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow A_i^{(k)} - 0} N'_k(\xi) = N'_k(A_i^{(k)} - 0) = -k T_n^{(k)}(\beta_i^{(k)}) \frac{\cos^{2(k+1)}(\pi/2n)}{\beta_i^{(k)}}.$$

Using the relation

$$T_n^{(k+1)}(\beta_i^{(k)})\beta_i^{(k)} + k T_n^{(k)}(\beta_i^{(k)}) = 0$$

(cf. Theorem 4), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} N'_k(\beta_i^{(k)} - 0) &= N'_k(\beta_i^{(k)} + 0) \\ N'_k(A_i^{(k)} - 0) &= N'_k(A_i^{(k)} + 0). \end{aligned}$$

By the symmetry of the norm (cf. formula (4)) we infer the continuity of $N'_k(\xi)$ at the points $(\alpha_i^{(k)})_{i=2}^{n-k+1}$ and $(1 - A_i^{(k)})_{i=1}^{n-k}$. At the remaining points $N'_k(\xi)$ is obviously continuous.

We now find an expression for the second derivative $N''_k(\xi)$ in the interval $(A_i^{(k)}, \xi_{i,k}^*)$. Since

$$N'_k(\xi) = Z_n^{(k+1)}(\xi, \vartheta_\xi),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} N''_k(\xi) &= \frac{\partial Z_n^{(k+1)}(\xi, \vartheta_\xi)}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial Z_n^{(k+1)}(\xi, \vartheta_\xi)}{\partial \vartheta} \cdot \frac{d\vartheta}{d\xi} \\ &= Z_n^{(k+2)}(\xi, \vartheta_\xi) + R_n^{(k+1)}(\xi, \vartheta_\xi) \cdot \frac{d\vartheta}{d\xi}. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating (6) with respect to ξ , we obtain

$$R_n^{(k+1)}(\xi, \vartheta) + \frac{\partial R_n^{(k)}(\xi, \vartheta)}{\partial \vartheta} \cdot \frac{d\vartheta}{d\xi} = 0,$$

whence we find

$$\frac{d\vartheta}{d\xi} = - \frac{R_n^{(k+1)}(\xi, \vartheta)}{\partial R_n^{(k)}(\xi, \vartheta) / \partial \vartheta}.$$

We now compute $\partial R_n(x, \vartheta) / \partial \vartheta$. Since

$$R_n(x, \vartheta) = \prod_{i=1}^n [x - \sigma_i(\vartheta)],$$

we have

$$\frac{\partial R_n(x, \vartheta)}{\partial \vartheta} = - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d\sigma_i}{d\vartheta} \cdot R_i(x, \vartheta),$$

where

$$R_i(x, \vartheta) = \frac{R_n(x, \vartheta)}{x - \sigma_i}.$$

In addition, the following relation holds between $Z_n(x, \vartheta)$ and its resolvent (cf. [6]):

$$(7) \quad n\vartheta(x - \lambda) R_n(x, \vartheta) = x(x - 1) Z_n'(x, \vartheta),$$

where λ is the zero of $Z_n'(x, \vartheta)$ outside $[0, 1]$.

Differentiating (7) with respect to ϑ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} n(x - \lambda) R_n(x, \vartheta) - n\vartheta R_n(x, \vartheta) \frac{d\lambda}{d\vartheta} + n\vartheta(x - \lambda) \frac{\partial R_n(x, \vartheta)}{\partial \vartheta} \\ = x(x - 1) \frac{\partial Z_n'(x, \vartheta)}{\partial \vartheta} = x(x - 1) R_n'(x, \vartheta) = x(x - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n R_i(x, \vartheta). \end{aligned}$$

Putting $x = \sigma_i$ in the extreme parts of the preceding equation, we find

$$n\vartheta(\sigma_i - \lambda) \frac{\partial R_n(\sigma_i, \vartheta)}{\partial \vartheta} = \sigma_i(\sigma_i - 1) R_i(\sigma_i, \vartheta).$$

But

$$\frac{\partial R_n(\sigma_i, \vartheta)}{\partial \vartheta} = - \frac{d\sigma_i}{d\vartheta} \cdot R_i(\sigma_i, \vartheta),$$

hence

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

$$\frac{d\sigma_i}{d\vartheta} = \frac{\sigma_i(1 - \sigma_i)}{n\vartheta(\sigma_i - \lambda)}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial R_n(x, \vartheta)}{\partial \vartheta} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\sigma_i(\sigma_i - 1)}{n\vartheta(\sigma_i - \lambda)} R_i(x, \vartheta).$$

Thus

$$\frac{d\vartheta}{d\xi} = \frac{n\vartheta R_n^{(k+1)}(\xi, \vartheta)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\sigma_i(1 - \sigma_i)}{\sigma_i - \lambda} R_i^{(k)}(\xi, \vartheta)}.$$

We introduce the notation

$$\phi(x, \vartheta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\sigma_i(\sigma_i - 1)}{\sigma_i - \lambda} R_i(x, \vartheta)$$

and

$$\psi(x, \vartheta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{R_i(x, \vartheta)}{\sigma_i - \lambda};$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x, \vartheta) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\sigma_i - 1 + \lambda) R_i(x, \vartheta) + \lambda(\lambda - 1)\psi(x, \vartheta) \\ (8) \quad &= - \sum_{i=1}^n (x - \sigma_i) R_i(x, \vartheta) + (x - 1 + \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^n R_i(x, \vartheta) + \lambda(\lambda - 1)\psi(x, \vartheta) \\ &= -nR_n(x, \vartheta) + (x - 1 + \lambda)R_n'(x, \vartheta) + \lambda(\lambda - 1)\psi(x, \vartheta). \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$\chi(x, \vartheta) = (x - \lambda)\psi(x, \vartheta);$$

then

$$\chi(\sigma_i, \vartheta) = (\sigma_i - \lambda)\psi(\sigma_i, \vartheta) = R_i(\sigma_i, \vartheta), \quad \chi(\lambda, \vartheta) = 0,$$

whence it is clear that

$$(9) \quad \chi(x, \vartheta) = (x - \lambda)\psi(x, \vartheta) = R_n'(x, \vartheta) - \frac{R_n'(\lambda, \vartheta)}{R_n(\lambda, \vartheta)} R_n(x, \vartheta).$$

THEOREM 5. *In each Zolotarev interval the norm $N_k(\xi)$ has just one minimum, at the point $\xi = \xi_{0,i}^{(k)}$ at which $Z_n^{(k+1)}(\xi, \vartheta_\xi) = 0$, and*

$$\begin{aligned} N_k(\xi_{0,i}^{(k)}) &= \min_{(\vartheta_i^{(k)}, \sigma_{i+1}^{(k)})} N_k(\xi) \leq |T_{n-1}^{(k)}(\xi_{i,k}^*)| \\ &(i = 1, 2, \dots, n - k; k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1). \end{aligned}$$

If $\beta_i^{(k)} > \frac{1}{2}$ we have $\beta_i^{(k)} < \xi_{0,i}^{(k)} < \xi_{i,k}^*$; if $\alpha_{i+1}^{(k)} < \frac{1}{2}$ we have $\xi_{i,k}^* < \xi_{0,i}^{(k)} < \alpha_{i+1}^{(k)}$.

PROOF. Let $Z_n^{(k+1)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_{\xi_0}) = 0$, where $\beta_i^{(k)} < \xi_0 < \xi_{i,k}^*$. We differentiate (7) $k+1$ times with respect to x , and then put $x = \xi_0$ and $\vartheta = \vartheta_{\xi_0} = \vartheta_0$. Taking into account that $R_n^{(k)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0) = 0$ and $Z_n^{(k+1)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0) = 0$, we obtain

$$(10) \quad n\vartheta_0(\xi_0 - \lambda)R_n^{(k+1)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0) = \xi_0(\xi_0 - 1)Z_n^{(k)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0) + (k+1)kZ_n^{(k)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0).$$

Now differentiate (8) and (9) k times with respect to x , and then put $x = \xi_0$ and $\vartheta = \vartheta_0$; we obtain

$$\chi^{(k)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0) = (\xi_0 - \lambda)\psi^{(k)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0) + k\psi^{(k-1)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0) = R_n^{(k-1)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0),$$

whence

$$\psi^{(k)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0) = \frac{R_n^{(k+1)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0) - k\psi^{(k-1)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0)}{\xi_0 - \lambda}$$

and therefore

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi^{(k)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0) &= (\xi_0 - 1 + \lambda)R_n^{(k+1)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0) \\ &+ \lambda(\lambda - 1)\frac{R_n^{(k+1)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0) - k\psi^{(k-1)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0)}{\xi_0 - \lambda} \\ &= \frac{\xi_0(\xi_0 - 1)}{\xi_0 - \lambda}R_n^{(k+1)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0) - \frac{k\lambda(\lambda - 1)}{\xi_0 - \lambda}\psi^{(k-1)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} N_k''(\xi_0) &= Z_n^{(k+2)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0) + R_n^{(k+1)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0) \left(\frac{d\vartheta}{d\xi} \right)_{\xi=\xi_0} \\ &= Z_n^{(k+2)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0) - \frac{n\vartheta_0 R_n^{(k+1)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0)}{\frac{\phi^{(k)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0)}{R_n^{(k+1)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0)}}, \end{aligned}$$

we find, by using (10) and (11), that

$$(12) \quad N_k''(\xi_0) = \frac{kZ_n^{(k)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0)}{\lambda - \xi_0} \frac{k+1 + \lambda(\lambda - 1)}{\xi_0(\xi_0 - 1)} \frac{\psi^{(k-1)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0)}{R_n^{(k+1)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0)} \cdot \frac{Z_n^{(k+2)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0)}{Z_n^{(k)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0)} - \frac{k\lambda(\lambda - 1)}{\xi_0 - \lambda} \frac{\psi^{(k-1)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0)}{R_n^{(k+1)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0)}.$$

This is similar to V. A. Markov's formula (118) [1]. Now we have

$$\frac{\xi_0(\xi_0 - 1)}{\xi_0 - \lambda} - \frac{k\lambda(\lambda - 1)}{\xi_0 - \lambda} \frac{\psi^{(k-1)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0)}{R_n^{(k+1)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0)} = \frac{\phi^{(k)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0)}{R_n^{(k+1)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0)} = -\frac{n\vartheta_0}{(d\vartheta/d\xi)_{\xi=\xi_0}} > 0,$$

since as ξ increases from $A_i^{(k)}$ to $\xi_{i,k}^*$ the parameter ϑ decreases monotonically and $d\vartheta/d\xi < 0$; in addition,

$$\frac{Z_n^{(k+2)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0)}{Z_n^{(k)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0)} < 0,$$

by Markov's Lemma 1, and

$$\frac{\psi^{(k-1)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0)}{R_n^{(k+1)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0)} < 0,$$

by Markov's Lemma 3. Thus $N_k''(\xi_0)$ and $Z_n^{(k)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0) = N_k(\xi_0)$ have the same sign. Consequently if we have

$$Z_n^{(k+1)}(\xi_0, \vartheta_0) = 0,$$

then

$$N_k(\xi_0) = \min_{(\beta_i^{(k)}, \alpha_{i+1}^{(k)})} N_k(\xi),$$

and there can be no more than one such point ξ_0 in each Zolotarev interval. We now show that there exists one such point in each $(\beta_i^{(k)}, \alpha_{i+1}^{(k)})$. We have

$$(n - 1)2^{2n-3}R_n(x) = x(x - 1)T'_{n-1}(x),$$

where $R_n(x)$ is the resultant of $T_{n-1}(x)$. Differentiating the preceding equation k times, we obtain

$$(n - 1)2^{2n-3}R_n^{(k)}(x) = x(x - 1)T_{n-1}^{(k+1)}(x) + k(2x - 1)T_{n-1}^{(k)}(x) + k(k + 1)T_{n-1}^{(k-1)}(x).$$

In addition,

$$x(1 - x)T_{n-1}^{(k+1)}(x) - (2k - 1)(x - \frac{1}{2})T_{n-1}^{(k)}(x) + \overline{(n - 1^2 - k - 1^2)}T_{n-1}^{(k-1)}(x) = 0.$$

In the last two equations put $x = \xi_{i,k}^*$, remember that $R_n^{(k)}(\xi_{i,k}^*) = 0$, and then eliminate $T_{n-1}^{(k-1)}(\xi_{i,k}^*)$ to obtain

$$\xi_{i,k}^*(1 - \xi_{i,k}^*) \overline{(n - 1^2 - k - 1^2 + k(k + 1))}T_{n-1}^{(k+1)}(\xi_{i,k}^*) = k(2\xi_{i,k}^* - 1) \overline{(n - 1^2 - k - 1^2 + (k - \frac{1}{2})(k + 1))}T_{n-1}^{(k)}(\xi_{i,k}^*).$$

If $\xi_{i,k}^* > \frac{1}{2}$ in this equation, i.e. $\beta_i^{(k)} > \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\text{sgn } T_{n-1}^{(k+1)}(\xi_{i,k}^*) = \text{sgn } T_{n-1}^{(k)}(\xi_{i,k}^*)$$

and $N_k'(\xi_{i,k}^*) > 0$. On the other hand,

$$N_k'(A_i^{(k)}) = -k|T_n^{(k)}(\beta_i^{(k)})| \frac{\cos^{2(k+1)}(\pi/2n)}{\beta_i^{(k)}} < 0.$$

Consequently there is a unique point $\xi_{0,i}^{(k)}$ in the interval $(A_i^{(k)}, \xi_{i,k}^*)$ such that

$$N'_k(\xi_{0,i}^{(k)}) = 0.$$

By (4), this point $\xi_{0,i}^{(k)}$ lies in the interval $(\xi_{i,k}^*, \alpha_{i+1}^{(k)})$ if $\alpha_{i+1}^{(k)} < \frac{1}{2}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

REMARK 1. If $k + 1 \equiv n \pmod{2}$ there is a Zolotarev interval

$$(\beta_{(n-k+1)/2}^{(k)}, 1 - \beta_{(n-k+1)/2}^{(k)})$$

containing the point

$$\xi = \xi_{0,(n-k+1)/2}^{(k)} = \xi_{(n-k+1)/2,k}^* = \frac{1}{2},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N_k(\tfrac{1}{2}) &= |T_{n-1}^{(k)}(\tfrac{1}{2})| \\ &= \begin{cases} 2^k(n-1)(n-1^2-1^2) \cdots (n-1^2-k-2^2) & \text{for } n \text{ even,} \\ 2^k(n-1)^2(n-1^2-2^2) \cdots (n-1^2-k-2^2) & \text{for } n \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 2. Since $T_n(x) = \cos n\theta$, where $\theta = \arccos(2x - 1)$, we have

$$T'_n(x) = -n \sin n\theta \frac{d\theta}{dx} = \frac{2n \sin n\theta}{\sin \theta} = 4n[\cos(n-1)\theta + \cos(n-3)\theta + \cdots]$$

and

$$T_n^{(k)}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} a_{k,i} \cos i\theta,$$

with $a_{k,i} \geq 0$ (cf. [2]). It follows that

$$\max_{[0,1]} N_k(\xi) = T_n^{(k)}(1),$$

and we obtain V. A. Markov's inequality (2').

THEOREM 6. *The discontinuities of the second derivative $N''_k(\xi)$ of the norm are*

$$(\alpha_i^{(k)})_{i=2}^{n-k+1}, \quad (\beta_i^{(k)})_{i=1}^{n-k}, \quad (A_i^{(k)})_{i=1}^{n-k} \quad \text{and} \quad (1 - A_i^{(k)})_{i=1}^{n-k}$$

PROOF. We have

$$\begin{aligned} N''_k(\beta_i^{(k)} - 0) &= T_n^{(k+2)}(\beta_i^{(k)}), \\ N''_k(\beta_i^{(k)} + 0) &= \frac{k(k+1)}{[\beta_i^{(k)}]^2} T_n^{(k)}(\beta_i^{(k)}) = -\frac{k+1}{\beta_i^{(k)}} T_n^{(k+1)}(\beta_i^{(k)}) \end{aligned}$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_k''(\beta_i^{(k)} - 0) - N_k''(\beta_i^{(k)} + 0) &= T_n^{(k+2)}(\beta_i^{(k)}) + \frac{k+1}{\beta_i^{(k)}} T_n^{(k+1)}(\beta_i^{(k)}) \\
 &= \frac{n2^{2n-1}}{\beta_i^{(k)}} \cdot \Phi_n^{(k+1)}(\beta_i^{(k)}) \neq 0, \\
 &\quad (n2^{2n-1}\Phi_n(x) = xT_n'(x)).
 \end{aligned}$$

We have $\Phi_n^{(k+1)}(\beta_i^{(k)}) > 0$ for $i = n - k, n - k - 2, n - k - 4, \dots$, and $\Phi_n^{(k+1)}(\beta_i^{(k)}) < 0$ for $i = n - k - 1, n - k - 3, \dots$. Hence if we use the fact that the extremal polynomial is $+T_n(x)$ in the intervals $[\alpha_{n-k+1}^{(k)}, 1]$, $[\alpha_{n-k-1}^{(k)}, \beta_{n-k-1}^{(k)}], \dots$, and $-T_n(x)$ in $[\alpha_{n-k}^{(k)}, \beta_{n-k}^{(k)}], [\alpha_{n-k-2}^{(k)}, \beta_{n-k-2}^{(k)}], \dots$ (cf. Theorem 1), we have

$$N_k''(\beta_i^{(k)} + 0) > N_k''(\beta_i^{(k)} - 0).$$

Using formula (4), we find that at the points $(\alpha_i^{(k)})_{i=2}^{n-k+1}$

$$N_k''(\alpha_i^{(k)} + 0) < N_k''(\alpha_i^{(k)} - 0).$$

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_k''(A_i^{(k)} - 0) &= \frac{k(k+1)}{[\beta_i^{(k)}]^2} T_n^{(k)}(\beta_i^{(k)}) \cos^{2(k+2)} \frac{\pi}{2n} \\
 &= -\frac{k+1}{\beta_i^{(k)}} T_n^{(k+1)}(\beta_i^{(k)}) \cos^{2(k+2)} \frac{\pi}{2n}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_k''(A_i^{(k)} + 0) &= \left[Z_n^{(k+2)}(\xi, \vartheta) + R_n^{(k+1)}(\xi, \vartheta) \cdot \frac{d\vartheta}{d\xi} \right]_{\substack{\xi=A_i^{(k)} \\ \vartheta=2^{2n-1}\cos 2n(\pi/2n)}} \\
 &= \cos^{2(k+2)} \frac{\pi}{2n} \cdot T_n^{(k+2)}(\beta_i^{(k)}) + \frac{\Phi_n^{(k+1)}(\beta_i^{(k)})}{\cos^{2(n-k-1)}(\pi/2n)} \cdot \left(\frac{d\vartheta}{d\xi} \right)_{\xi=A_i^{(k)}},
 \end{aligned}$$

since $A_i^{(k)} = \beta_i^{(k)} / \cos^2(\pi/2n)$ and

$$R_n \left(x, 2^{2n-1} \cos^2 \frac{\pi}{2n} \right) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left(x - \frac{\tau_{i-1}}{\cos^2(\pi/2n)} \right) = \frac{\Phi_n(x \cos^2(\pi/2n))}{\cos^{2n}(\pi/2n)}$$

is the resolvent of the polynomial

$$Z_n \left(x, 2^{2n-1} \cos^2 \frac{\pi}{2n} \right) = T_n \left(x \cos^2 \frac{\pi}{2n} \right).$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
 &N_k''(A_i^{(k)} + 0) - N_k''(A_i^{(k)} - 0) \\
 (13) \quad &= \cos^{2(k+2)} \frac{\pi}{2n} \cdot \Phi_n^{(k+1)}(\beta_i^{(k)}) \left[\frac{n2^{2n-1}}{\beta_i^{(k)}} + \frac{(d\vartheta/d\xi)_{\xi=A_i^{(k)}}}{\cos^{2(n+1)}(\pi/2n)} \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d\vartheta}{d\xi}\right)_{\xi=A_i^{(k)}} &= -n \left[\frac{\vartheta R_n^{(k+1)}(\xi, \vartheta)}{\phi^{(k)}(\xi, \vartheta)} \right]_{\xi=A_i^{(k)}} \\ &\quad \vartheta=2^{2n-1}\cos^{2n}(\pi/2n) \\ &= -\frac{n2^{2n-1}\cos^{2(k+1)}(\pi/2n) \cdot \Phi_n^{(k+1)}(\beta_i^{(k)})}{\phi^{(k)}(A_i^{(k)}, 2^{2n-1}\cos^{2n}(\pi/2n))}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us calculate $\phi^{(k)}(A_i^{(k)}, 2^{2n-1}\cos^{2n}(\pi/2n))$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi\left(x, 2^{2n-1}\cos^{2n}\frac{\pi}{2n}\right) \\ &= -\frac{n\Phi_n\left(x\cos^2\frac{\pi}{2n}\right)}{\cos^{2n}\frac{\pi}{2n}} + \frac{x\Phi_n'\left(x\cos^2\frac{\pi}{2n}\right)}{\cos^{2(n-1)}\frac{\pi}{2n}} - \frac{R_n\left(x, 2^{2n-1}\cos^{2n}\frac{\pi}{2n}\right)}{x-1} \end{aligned}$$

(cf. formula (8)), if we differentiate k times and put $x = A_i^{(k)}$ we obtain

$$(14) \quad \phi^{(k)}\left(A_i^{(k)}, 2^{2n-1}\cos^{2n}\frac{\pi}{2n}\right) = \frac{\beta_i^{(k)}\Phi_n^{(k+1)}(\beta_i^{(k)})}{\cos^{2(n-k-1)}(\pi/2n)} - \Delta_n^{(k)}(A_i^{(k)}),$$

where

$$\Delta_n^{(k)}(A_i^{(k)}) = \left\{ \frac{d}{dx^k} \left[\frac{R_n(x, 2^{2n-1}\cos^{2n}(\pi/2n))}{x-1} \right] \right\}_{x=A_i^{(k)}}.$$

We now substitute the formula for $(d\vartheta/d\xi)_{\xi=A_i^{(k)}}$ into (13); then, using (14), we find after some calculation

$$\begin{aligned} &N_k''(A_i^{(k)} + 0) - N_k''(A_i^{(k)} - 0) \\ &= \left[\frac{\sin^2\frac{\pi}{2n}}{\cos^{2(n-k-1)}\frac{\pi}{2n}} \cdot \Phi_n^{(k+1)}(\beta_i^{(k)}) + \frac{\cos^2\frac{\pi}{2n}}{\beta_i^{(k)}} \cdot \Delta_n^{(k)}(A_i^{(k)}) \right] \left(\frac{d\vartheta}{d\xi}\right)_{\xi=A_i^{(k)}} \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $\Phi_n^{(k+1)}(\beta_i^{(k)})$ and $\Delta_n^{(k)}(A_i^{(k)})$ have the same sign by Markov's Lemma 2.

Just as for the points $(\beta_i^{(k)})_{i=1}^{n-k}$ and $(\alpha_i^{(k)})_{i=2}^{n-k+1}$, we see that

$$N_k''(A_i^{(k)} + 0) > N_k''(A_i^{(k)} - 0)$$

and

$$N_k''(1 - A_i^{(k)} + 0) < N_k''(1 - A_i^{(k)} - 0).$$

At the remaining points $N_k''(\xi)$ is clearly continuous.

THEOREM 7. *The sum of the lengths of the Čebyšev intervals, or the measure of the set E_T , is k/n .*

PROOF. We have

$$\text{mes } E_T = \sum_{i=1}^{n-k+1} (\beta_i^{(k)} - \alpha_i^{(k)}) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} \beta_i^{(k)} - \sum_{i=2}^{n-k+1} \alpha_i^{(k)}.$$

In addition,

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_0^{(k)}(x) &= A_k \left(x^{n-k} - \sum_{i=2}^{n-k+1} \alpha_i^{(k)} \cdot x^{n-k-1} + \dots \right), \\ \Phi_n^{(k)}(x) &= B_k \left(x^{n-k} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} \beta_i^{(k)} \cdot x^{n-k-1} + \dots \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since

$$\Phi_j(x) = x^n - \left(\frac{n+1}{2} - \tau_j \right) x^{n-1} + \dots,$$

we have

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_0^{(k)}(x) &= \frac{n!}{(n-k)!} x^{n-k} - \frac{n+1}{2} \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-k-1)!} x^{n-k-1} + \dots, \\ \Phi_n^{(k)}(x) &= \frac{n!}{(n-k)!} x^{n-k} - \frac{n-1}{2} \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-k-1)!} x^{n-k-1} + \dots. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the expansions (15) and (16), we find that

$$\sum_{i=2}^{n-k+1} \alpha_i^{(k)} = \frac{n+1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n} \right), \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} \beta_i^{(k)} = \frac{n-1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n} \right),$$

whence we obtain

$$\text{mes } E_T = k/n.$$

It follows from Theorem 7 that as $n \rightarrow \infty$ with k fixed, the Čebyšev intervals contract to points, i.e. the Zolotarev polynomials displace the polynomials $T_n(x)$ on $[0, 1]$. Conversely, if $n - k$ is fixed and $n \rightarrow \infty$, the polynomials $T_n(x)$ displace the Zolotarev polynomials.

In conclusion we compare the exact majorant $N_k(\xi)$ with Bernštein's majorant [9]:

$$(17) \quad |P_n^{(k)}(\xi)| < \left[\frac{k}{\xi(1-\xi)} \right]^{k/2} \cdot n(n-1) \dots (n-k+1) = B_k(\xi)$$

and Duffin and Schaeffer's majorant [3]:

$$(18) \quad |P_n^{(k)}(\xi)| \leq |T_n^{(k)}(\xi) + iS_n^{(k)}(\xi)| \quad (S_n(x) = \sin n \arccos(2x-1))$$

(we have written both inequalities for reduced polynomials on $[0, 1]$; for $k = 1$ they are the same).

For $k = 2, 3, \dots, n$ the majorant (17) never equals the exact majorant $N_k(\xi)$ (cf. [9], p. 27).

For large n , (17) was sharpened by Bernštein [10], who obtained

$$N_k(\xi) \sim \frac{n^k}{[\xi(1-\xi)]^{k/2}} \text{ for } \xi \in [0, 1];$$

it follows from this that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_k(\xi)}{N_k(\xi)} = k^{k/2} \text{ for } \xi \in [0, 1].$$

The best approximation to $N_k(\xi)$ is given by (18), which is tangent to $N_k(\xi)$ in each Čebyšev interval at $\xi = \vartheta_i^{(k)}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$; $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - k + 1$), where $(\vartheta_i^{(k)})_{i=1}^{n-k+1}$ are the roots of $S_n^{(k)}(x) = 0$.

For example, if $k + 1 \equiv n \pmod{2}$, we have for fixed k and large n

$$|T_n^{(k)}(\frac{1}{2}) + iS_n^{(k)}(\frac{1}{2})| - N_k(\frac{1}{2}) \sim k2^k n^{k-1}$$

and

$$\frac{|T_n^{(k)}(\frac{1}{2}) + iS_n^{(k)}(\frac{1}{2})|}{N_k(\frac{1}{2})} \sim 1.$$

I take this occasion to thank E. V. Voronovskaja for suggesting this problem and for her advice.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. V. A. Markov, *On functions deviating least from zero in a given interval*, Izdat. Akad. Nauk, St. Petersburg, 1892. (Russian)
2. S. N. Bernštein, *On V. A. Markov's theorem*, Trudy Leningrad. Indust. Inst. Razdel Fiz.-Mat. Nauk 5 (1938), no. 1, 8-13; reprinted in Collected works, Vol. 2, Izdat. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow, 1954, pp. 281-286. (Russian) MR 16, 433.
3. A. C. Schaeffer and R. J. Duffin, *On some inequalities of S. Bernštein and W. Markoff for derivatives of polynomials*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 44 (1938), 289-297.
4. E. V. Voronovskaja, *Extremal polynomials of finite functionals*, Thesis Abstract, Leningrad. Gos. Univ., Leningrad, 1955. (Russian)
5. ———, *Application of functional analysis to polynomials of least deviation*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 99 (1954), 5-8. (Russian) MR 17, 842.
6. ———, *Extremal polynomials of some of the simplest functionals*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 99 (1954), 193-196. (Russian) MR 17, 842.
7. ———, *The functional of the first derivative and improvement of a theorem of A. A. Markov*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 23 (1959), 951-962. (Russian) MR 22 # 1640.
8. E. I. Zolotarev, *Application of elliptic functions to problems on functions deviating least or most from zero*, Zapiski S.-Peterburg. Akad. Nauk 30 (1877), no. 5; reprinted in Collected works, Vol. 2, Izdat. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Leningrad, 1932, pp. 1-59. (Russian)
9. S. N. Bernštein, *On the best approximation of continuous functions by polynomials of given degree*, Soobšč. Har'kov. Mat. Obšč. (2) 13 (1912), 49-191; reprinted in Collected works, Vol. I, Izdat. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow, 1952, pp. 11-104. (Russian) MR 14, 2.
10. ———, *Remarques sur l'inégalité de Wladimir Markoff*, Soobšč. Har'kov. Mat. Obsc. 14 (1913), 81-87; Russian transl., Collected works, Vol. I, Izdat. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow, 1952, pp. 151-156. MR 14, 2.

This page intentionally left blank

INDEX OF NUMBERED EXAMPLES

Example	Page	Example	Page	Example	Page
1, 2, 3, 4	1	20	47	38, 39	107
5, 6, 7	8	21, 22, 23	48	40	108
8, 9, 10	13	24, 25, 26	57	41, 42	109
11, 12, 13	24	27	59	43, 44	111
14	29	28	60	45	116
15	30	29, 30, 31, 32	64	46	140
16	40	33, 34, 35	70	47, 48	141
17	42	36	92	49	142
18, 19	46	37	97	50	144

INDEX OF NUMBERED THEOREMS

Theorem	Page	Theorem	Page	Theorem	Page
1	14	25	60	49	110
2	16	26	63	50	112
3, 4	19	27	64	51	114
5	21	28	66	52	126
6, 7	22	29	67	53	127
8	23	30	71	54	128
9	25	31, 32	72	55	133
10, 11	28	33	74	56	138
12	33	34	76	57	143
13	34	35	78	58	148
14	36	37	81	59	149
15	38	38	82	60	150
16	39	39	85	61	152
17	42	40	93	62	153
18	44	41	95	63	158
19	49	42, 43	99	64	161
20	50	44	100	65	162
21	51	45	101	66	163
22	54	46	103	67, 68	165
23	58	47	104	69, 70	169
24	59	48	105	71, 72	172

This page intentionally left blank

SUBJECT INDEX

- Absolutely monotonic
 - moment sequence, 3
 - segment, 21, 28, 33
- Ahiezer, 132, 143, 144
- Alternation
 - Čebyšev, 146
 - interval of, 69
- Amorphous, 23
- Analytic functions, 149 ff., 176
- Approximation, 146 ff.

- Basis, 73
 - segment, 82
 - with invariant decomposition, 78
- Basis type, 77
- Bernštejn, 156, 179, 196
- Bernštejn polynomials, 12
- Best possible extension, 21, 22
- Binodal distribution, 58
- Boundary node, 59
- Bounded functional, 9
- Bounded variation, 1

- C (space of continuous functions), 9
- Čebyšev
 - alternation, 146
 - approximation, 146 ff., 153
 - arcs, 170
 - intervals, 158
 - nodes, 41-42
 - polynomials, 39
 - problems, 132
 - system, 146
 - theorem, 146
 - transformation, 82
- Characteristics, 69
- Class
 - intermediate, 66
 - of polynomial, 39, 103
 - of segment, 37
 - strict, 66
- Completed in the best way, 49
- Components, 5
- Continuity of norm, 21

- Continuous deformation, 64
- Criteria
 - for absolutely monotonic segment, 28
 - for extremal polynomial, 36, 135
 - for segments of class II, 64
- Critical interval, 39, 43 ff.

- Decomposition
 - faithful, 34
 - invariance of, 77
- Deformation, 64
 - interior, exterior, mixed, 118
- Degree
 - of distribution, 58
 - of segment, 36
- Derivative functionals, 156 ff., 179 ff.
- Determined segment, 77
- Determining segment, 78
- Deviation, 33, 133
- Differences, 3
- Distribution, 34, 58, 60
 - binodal, 58
 - degree of, 58
 - double nodal, 99
 - f_h -, 149
 - faithful, 34, 60
 - fictitious, 53, 60
 - of incomplete segment, 63
 - polynomial, 34
- Double nodal distribution, 99
- Duality, 67
- Duffin, 179, 196

- Endpoint extension, 23
- Equivalent segments, 91
- Extendibility, 43
- Extension
 - according to the resolvent, 56
 - endpoint, 23
 - of functional, 12
 - of segment, 21
- Exterior deformation, 118
- Extremal function, polynomial, 12, 14, 36, 180

- f_h -distribution, 149
- Faithful
 - decomposition, 34
 - distribution, 34, 60
 - nodes, 35
- Families of extremal polynomials, 69 ff.
- Fictitious
 - distribution, 53, 60
 - nodal type, 77
 - resolvent, 53
- Focus, 49
- Functional, 9
 - derivative, 156, 179
 - F_{\cos} , F_{\sin} , 168
 - finite, 14
 - integral, 176
 - representation of, 10
 - segment, 21
 - trigonometric, 168
- Generalized polynomial, 146
- Grebenjuk, 132
- Gusev, 167, 179
- Hausdorff
 - first theorem, 3
 - second theorem, 5
- Helly's theorems, 6
- Imprimitive, 81
- Incomplete segment, 63
- Independent elements, 74
- Integrator function, 2
- Interior deformation, 118
- Interior nodes, 26
- Intermediate class, 66
- Interval
 - of alternation, 69
 - of best extension, 22
 - of permanence, 69
- Invariance of decomposition, 77, 78
- Invariant
 - decomposition, 78
 - passport, 74
- Irreducible segment, 36
- Linear functional, 9, 11
- Markov, A., 156 ff.
- Markov, V., 132, 133, 167, 179
- Metric properties, 99
- Minimal components, 5
- Mirak'jan, 132
- Mixed deformation, 118
- Moment sequence, 2, 8
 - as linear functional, 11
- Nodal
 - structure, 18
 - type, 77
- Node, 18
 - boundary, 59
 - faithful, 35
 - interior, 26
- Nonextendibility, 43
- Norm, 10, 12
- Normalized function, 3
- Non-absolutely-monotonic, 21, 33
- Nonuniqueness of principal polynomial, 67
- Parametrization, 72 ff.
- Parts of critical interval, 50
- Passport, 69, 70
 - invariant, 74
- Paszkowski, 131
- Permanence, 69
- Points of deviation, 33
- Polynomial
 - approximation of, 146
 - Čebyšev, 39
 - extremal, 14, 180
 - generalized, 146
 - imprimitive, 81
 - of a distribution, 58
 - of least deviation, 133
 - primitive, 81
 - principal, 23, 58
 - semiprimitive, 82
 - serving, 79, 180
 - trigonometric, 168
 - with interior (or exterior)
 - deformation, 118
 - Zolotarev, 98
- Polynomial distribution, 34
- Primitive, 80, 81
- Principal polynomial, 23, 36, 58
 - non-uniqueness, 67
- Problems, 176
- Pšeborskiĭ, 132, 137, 138
- Rabkin, 130
- Reduced function, polynomial, 10, 14
- Reducibility strip, 18

- Resolvent, 16, 36
 - fictitious, 53
 - squared, 16, 37
- Riesz's theorem, 10

- Schaeffer, 179, 196
- Segment, 21
 - absolutely monotonic, 21, 28, 33
 - basis, 82
 - class of, 37
 - degree of, 36
 - determining, 78
 - equivalent, 91
 - incomplete, 63
 - irreducible, 36
 - non-absolutely-monotonic, 21, 33
 - of basis type, 77
 - of fictitious nodal type, 77
 - strictly of class I, 66
 - with given distribution, 69
- Segment-functional, 21
 - determined, 77
 - variable, 64 ff.
- Semiprimitive, 80, 82
- Serve, serving, 79, 180
- Singular point, 66
- Squared resolvent, 16, 37
- Stable functional, 162, 168 ff.

- Stieltjes integral, 2
- Strip of reducibility, 18
- Subdistribution, 149
- Suitable distribution, 36

- Tests for segments of Class II, 64
- Transform, 82
- Transformation, 81
- Trigonometric
 - functionals, polynomials, 168 ff.
- T -system, 146

- Vandermonde
 - determinant, 42
 - type, 61
- Variable segment, 73 ff.
- Variation, 1
- Voronovskaja, 179

- Weights, 19

- Zolotarev, 132, 137, 140, 141
 - arcs, 171
 - intervals, 162
 - points, 170
 - polynomials, 98, 160
 - regions, 171

ISBN 978-0-8218-1578-6



9 780821 815786

MMONO/28

TM28