

Proceedings of Symposia in  
**APPLIED MATHEMATICS**

---

Volume 76

**An Excursion Through  
Discrete Differential  
Geometry**

AMS Short Course  
Discrete Differential Geometry  
January 8–9, 2018  
San Diego, California

Keenan Crane  
Editor

# An Excursion Through Discrete Differential Geometry



Proceedings of Symposia in  
**APPLIED MATHEMATICS**

---

Volume 76

**An Excursion Through  
Discrete Differential  
Geometry**

AMS Short Course  
Discrete Differential Geometry  
January 8–9, 2018  
San Diego, California

Keenan Crane  
Editor



Providence, Rhode Island

## Editorial Board

Suncica Canic    Tasso J. Kaper (Chair)    Svitlana Mayboroda

LECTURE NOTES PREPARED FOR THE AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL  
SOCIETY SHORT COURSE ON DISCRETE DIFFERENTIAL GEOMETRY  
HELD IN SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA, JANUARY 8–9, 2018

The AMS Short Course Series is sponsored by the Society’s Program Committee  
for National Meetings. The series is under the direction of the Short Course  
Subcommittee of the Program Committee for National Meetings.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 53-xx, 52Cxx, 65-xx.

---

### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: American Mathematical Society. Short Course, Discrete Differential Geometry (2018: San Diego, Calif.), author. | Crane, Keenan, 1984– editor.

Title: An excursion through discrete differential geometry: AMS Short Course, Discrete Differential Geometry, January 8–9, 2018, San Diego, California / Keenan Crane, editor.

Description: Providence, Rhode Island: American Mathematical Society, [2020] | Series: Proceedings of symposia in applied mathematics, 0160-7634; volume 76 | “Lecture notes prepared for the American Mathematical Society course Discrete Differential Geometry held in San Diego, California, January 8-9, 2018.” | Includes bibliographical references.

Identifiers: LCCN 2020014948 | ISBN 9781470446628 (softcover) | ISBN 9781470460037 (ebook)

Subjects: LCSH: Geometry, Differential–Congresses. | Discrete geometry–Congresses. | Numerical analysis–Congresses. | AMS: Differential geometry. | Convex and discrete geometry – Discrete geometry. | Numerical analysis.

Classification: LCC QA649 .A482 2020 | DDC 516.3/6–dc23

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2020014948>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1090/psapm/076>

---

**Copying and reprinting.** Individual readers of this publication, and nonprofit libraries acting for them, are permitted to make fair use of the material, such as to copy select pages for use in teaching or research. Permission is granted to quote brief passages from this publication in reviews, provided the customary acknowledgment of the source is given.

Republication, systematic copying, or multiple reproduction of any material in this publication is permitted only under license from the American Mathematical Society. Requests for permission to reuse portions of AMS publication content are handled by the Copyright Clearance Center. For more information, please visit [www.ams.org/publications/pubpermissions](http://www.ams.org/publications/pubpermissions).

Send requests for translation rights and licensed reprints to [reprint-permission@ams.org](mailto:reprint-permission@ams.org).

© 2020 by the American Mathematical Society. All rights reserved.

The American Mathematical Society retains all rights  
except those granted to the United States Government.

Printed in the United States of America.

∞ The paper used in this book is acid-free and falls within the guidelines  
established to ensure permanence and durability.

Visit the AMS home page at <https://www.ams.org/>

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1    25 24 23 22 21 20

## Contents

Preface	vii
Discrete Laplace operators MAX WARDETZKY	1
Discrete parametric surfaces JOHANNES WALLNER	19
Discrete mappings YARON LIPMAN	41
Conformal geometry of simplicial surfaces KEENAN CRANE	59
Optimal transport on discrete domains JUSTIN SOLOMON	103



## Preface




FIGURE 1. Discrete differential geometry re-imagines classical ideas from differential geometry without reference to differential calculus. For instance, surfaces parameterized by principal curvature lines are replaced by meshes made of circular quadrilaterals (top left), the maximum principle obeyed by harmonic functions is expressed via conditions on the geometry of a triangulation (top right), and complex-analytic functions can be replaced by so-called *circle packings* that preserve tangency relationships (bottom). These discrete surrogates provide a bridge between geometry and computation, while at the same time preserving important structural properties and theorems.



## 1. Overview

The emerging field of discrete differential geometry (DDG) studies discrete analogues of smooth geometric objects, providing an essential link between analytical descriptions and computation<sup>1</sup> (see Figure 1). In recent years it has unearthed a rich variety of new perspectives on applied problems in computational anatomy/biology, computational mechanics, industrial design, computational architecture, and digital geometry processing at large.

The basic philosophy of discrete differential geometry is that a discrete object like a polyhedron is not merely an approximation of a smooth one, but rather a differential geometric object in its own right. In contrast to traditional numerical analysis, which focuses on eliminating approximation error in the limit of refinement (e.g., by taking smaller and smaller finite differences), DDG places an emphasis on the so-called “mimetic” viewpoint, where key properties of a system are preserved exactly, independent of how large or small the elements of a mesh might be. Just as algorithms for simulating mechanical systems might seek to exactly preserve physical invariants such as total energy or momentum, structure-preserving models of discrete geometry seek to exactly preserve global geometric invariants such as total curvature. More broadly, DDG focuses on the discretization of objects that do not naturally fall under the umbrella of traditional numerical analysis.

**The Game.** The spirit of discrete differential geometry is well-illustrated by a “game” often used to develop discrete analogs of a given smooth object:

- (1) Write down several equivalent definitions in the smooth setting.
- (2) Apply each smooth definition to an object in the discrete setting.
- (3) See which properties of the original smooth object are preserved by each of the resulting discrete objects, which are invariably inequivalent.

Most often, no discrete object preserves all the properties of the original smooth one—a so-called *no free lunch* scenario. Nonetheless, the properties that are preserved often prove invaluable for particular applications and algorithms. Moreover, this activity yields some beautiful and unexpected consequences, such as a connection between conformal geometry and pure combinatorics, or a description of constant-curvature surfaces that requires no definition of curvature! These notes provide an incomplete overview of several contemporary topics in DDG; a broad overview can also be found in the recent *Notices* article, “A Glimpse into Discrete Differential Geometry” by Crane and Wardetzky.

**1.1. Geometry and finitism.** There is a long debate in mathematics about the meaning and implications of various notions of infinity dating back at least to Aristotle and brought to a head in the late 19th century by Cantor. *Finitists* take the view that (in one way or another) the only “real” objects are those that have finite descriptions (perhaps allowing for “potential infinities,” like the natural numbers). For some, limiting mathematics to discrete or finite objects is a deep philosophical conviction; for others, it is an entertaining game. In the context of computation, the search for finite descriptions has a more pragmatic motivation: Turing’s abstract model of computation is discrete; real physical computing devices

---

<sup>1</sup>This preface is adapted from Crane and Wardetzky, “A Glimpse into Discrete Differential Geometry,” *Notices of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 64, No. 10, pp. 1153–1159, 2017.

are necessarily finite. If one wishes to use machines to analyze geometry, one must therefore have good finite models<sup>2</sup>.

Since one knows a priori that geometry must have a finite description, it seems worthwhile to consider geometric models that directly represent the way geometry behaves in nature, rather those that converge to the true behavior only in an unattainable limit. In fact, this situation leads to a natural question: Is some notion of infinity strictly required to faithfully model nature? Or can the basic things we want to say about natural geometry be captured by purely discrete models? The differential calculus of Leibniz and Newton has proven to be an unreasonably effective tool for modeling the natural world. For this reason it is tempting to feel that models based on differential equations are the true, canonical Platonic forms, and anything discrete and finite is a mere approximation. Yet nobody denies that even beloved continuum models (say, the Navier-Stokes equations) break down at very small scales, where nature looks increasingly like quantized packets of matter and energy. From this point of view, one might also be justified in feeling like nature is inherently discrete and that continuum models are perceived as “correct” only because they closely approximate observed behavior at the macroscopic scale. Moreover, infinity can creep into geometry in ways that betray our experience of nature. For instance, the *Banach-Tarski paradox* allows (by sly use of infinity) a solid ball to be decomposed into finitely many pieces, then reassembled into two balls—each of the same volume as the original one!

The purpose of mentioning such examples is not to ridicule the use of infinity, but rather to say: in order for a discrete model to be a “first class” description of an object, it need not duplicate all the strange behavior found in the continuous setting. One should instead ask, on both the smooth and discrete side: which phenomena are fundamental, and which are purely artifacts of the language in which the model is expressed? In fact, the dual perspectives offered by smooth and discrete differential geometry help to distill which phenomena are fundamental and which are superficial, since the most fundamental properties tend to arise naturally and easily on both sides (say, conservation laws in classical mechanics or global topological invariants in Riemannian geometry). In other words, geometry is neither inherently smooth nor discrete; rather, it is comprised of all the ideas that persist independent of the particular language used to write them down.

One can also tell this story from an analytical point of view: by considering only finite, discrete models, one obtains a degree of regularity that naturally excludes certain strange examples and behaviors. A nice example is the question of whether a 2-sphere embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  always divides space into two simply-connected regions. Intuitively, the answer is “yes,” since the 2-sphere is simply connected, and embeddings preclude any change in global topology. Yet one can construct a topological embedding (again by sly use of infinity) called *Alexander’s horned sphere*, where a loop around one of the “horns” can never be contracted. On the other hand, Alexander himself showed that if one restricts the question to discrete, or more precisely, *piecewise linear* embeddings, then no such example is possible. In other words, working in the simplicial category yields a result that is perhaps more in line with the kind of behavior that we would expect to encounter in nature. (Likewise, Banach-Tarski has no analogue in the finite, simplicial setting.)

---

<sup>2</sup>Note that even the symbolic expressions used to reason about geometry in a computer algebra system—or with pen and paper—are finite expressions in a formal grammar!

A more negative point of view—encapsulated by the *no free lunch* situation—is that discrete models are ultimately too rigid to describe all the geometric behavior observed in nature. The question of rigidity is a central and ongoing question in discrete differential geometry and a major theme of these notes. On the one hand, it is often true that no single discrete object in a certain class can faithfully reproduce a given list of properties from the smooth setting (see for instance our discussion of discrete Laplace operators). Are such results the end of the story, or does one simply need to consider an alternative approach to discretization? By adopting a different point of view, as outlined in *The Game*, situations that initially look hopeless sometimes give way to rich and flexible theories that capture much of the structure found in the smooth setting (such as conformal equivalence of triangle meshes). A major goal of discrete differential geometry is to see how far we can push the “finitist” point of view, not only to enable practical computation, but also to obtain clearer descriptions and a deeper understanding of the way shape behaves in nature.

Keenan Crane



SELECTED PUBLISHED TITLES IN THIS SERIES

- 77 **Pablo A. Parrilo and Rekha R. Thomas, Editors**, Sum of Squares: Theory and Applications, 2020
- 76 **Keenan Crane, Editor**, An Excursion Through Discrete Differential Geometry, 2020
- 75 **Michael Damron, Firas Rassoul-Agha, and Timo Seppäläinen, Editors**, Random Growth Models, 2018
- 74 **Jan Bouwe van den Berg and Jean-Philippe Lessard, Editors**, Rigorous Numerics in Dynamics, 2018
- 73 **Kasso A. Okoudjou, Editor**, Finite Frame Theory, 2016
- 72 **Van H. Vu, Editor**, Modern Aspects of Random Matrix Theory, 2014
- 71 **Samson Abramsky and Michael Mislove, Editors**, Mathematical Foundations of Information Flow, 2012
- 70 **Afra Zomorodian, Editor**, Advances in Applied and Computational Topology, 2012
- 69 **Karl Sigmund, Editor**, Evolutionary Game Dynamics, 2011
- 68 **Samuel J. Lomonaco, Jr., Editor**, Quantum Information Science and Its Contributions to Mathematics, 2010
- 67 **Eitan Tadmor, Jian-Guo Liu, and Athanasios E. Tzavaras, Editors**, Hyperbolic Problems: Theory, Numerics and Applications, 2009
- 66 **Dorothy Buck and Erica Flapan, Editors**, Applications of Knot Theory, 2009
- 65 **L. L. Bonilla, A. Carpio, J. M. Vega, and S. Venakides, Editors**, Recent Advances in Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Applications, 2007
- 64 **Reinhard C. Laubenbacher, Editor**, Modeling and Simulation of Biological Networks, 2007
- 63 **Gestur Ólafsson and Eric Todd Quinto, Editors**, The Radon Transform, Inverse Problems, and Tomography, 2006
- 62 **Paul Garrett and Daniel Lieman, Editors**, Public-Key Cryptography, 2005
- 61 **Serkan Hoşten, Jon Lee, and Rekha R. Thomas, Editors**, Trends in Optimization, 2004
- 60 **Susan G. Williams, Editor**, Symbolic Dynamics and its Applications, 2004
- 59 **James Sneyd, Editor**, An Introduction to Mathematical Modeling in Physiology, Cell Biology, and Immunology, 2002
- 58 **Samuel J. Lomonaco, Jr., Editor**, Quantum Computation, 2002
- 57 **David C. Heath and Glen Swindle, Editors**, Introduction to Mathematical Finance, 1999
- 56 **Jane Cronin and Robert E. O'Malley, Jr., Editors**, Analyzing Multiscale Phenomena Using Singular Perturbation Methods, 1999
- 55 **Frederick Hoffman, Editor**, Mathematical Aspects of Artificial Intelligence, 1998
- 54 **Renato Spigler and Stephanos Venakides, Editors**, Recent Advances in Partial Differential Equations, Venice 1996, 1998
- 53 **David A. Cox and Bernd Sturmfels, Editors**, Applications of Computational Algebraic Geometry, 1998
- 52 **V. Mandrekar and P. R. Masani, Editors**, Proceedings of the Norbert Wiener Centenary Congress, 1994, 1997
- 51 **Louis H. Kauffman, Editor**, The Interface of Knots and Physics, 1996
- 50 **Robert Calderbank, Editor**, Different Aspects of Coding Theory, 1995
- 49 **Robert L. Devaney, Editor**, Complex Dynamical Systems: The Mathematics Behind the Mandelbrot and Julia Sets, 1994

For a complete list of titles in this series, visit the  
AMS Bookstore at [www.ams.org/bookstore/psapmseries/](http://www.ams.org/bookstore/psapmseries/).

Discrete Differential Geometry (DDG) is an emerging discipline at the boundary between mathematics and computer science. It aims to translate concepts from classical differential geometry into a language that is purely finite and discrete, and can hence be used by algorithms to reason about geometric data. In contrast to standard numerical approximation, the central philosophy of DDG is to faithfully and exactly preserve key invariants of geometric objects at the discrete level. This process of translation from smooth to discrete helps to both illuminate the fundamental meaning behind geometric ideas and provide useful algorithmic guarantees.

This volume is based on lectures delivered at the 2018 AMS Short Course “Discrete Differential Geometry,” held January 8–9, 2018, in San Diego, California.

The papers in this volume illustrate the principles of DDG via several recent topics: discrete nets, discrete differential operators, discrete mappings, discrete conformal geometry, and discrete optimal transport.

ISBN 978-1-4704-4662-8



9 781470 446628

PSAPM/76



www.ams.org