

Mathematical
Surveys
and
Monographs
Volume 145

Simple Groups of Finite Morley Rank

Tuna Altınel
Alexandre V. Borovik
Gregory Cherlin




American Mathematical Society

**Mathematical
Surveys
and
Monographs**
Volume 145

Simple Groups of Finite Morley Rank

Tuna Altınel
Alexandre V. Borovik
Gregory Cherlin



American Mathematical Society
Providence, Rhode Island

EDITORIAL COMMITTEE

Jerry L. Bona Michael G. Eastwood
Ralph L. Cohen Michael P. Loss
J. T. Stafford, Chair

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 03C60, 03C98, 03C45, 20A15, 20D05, 20E42, 20G05, 20G10, 20G20, 20G40, 20B07, 20B15, 20B20, 20B22, 20B25, 20B27, 20P05, 51E12, 51E24; Secondary 17B20, 17B25, 17B45, 19C20.

The graphics used to create Table 1 of Chapter II were taken from the website:

<http://www.math.ubc.ca/~cass/frivs/frivolities.html>

©by Bill Casselman.

For additional information and updates on this book, visit
www.ams.org/bookpages/series-surv-145

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Altinel, Tuna, 1966–

Simple groups of finite Morley rank / Tuna Altinel, Alexandre V. Borovik, Gregory Cherlin.
p. cm. — (Mathematical surveys and monographs, ISSN 0076-5376 ; v. 145)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-8218-4305-5 (alk. paper)

1. Model theory. 2. Finite groups. I. Borovik, Alexandre. II. Cherlin, Gregory L., 1948–
III. Title.

QA9.7.A48 2007

511.3'4–dc22

2007060551

Copying and reprinting. Individual readers of this publication, and nonprofit libraries acting for them, are permitted to make fair use of the material, such as to copy a chapter for use in teaching or research. Permission is granted to quote brief passages from this publication in reviews, provided the customary acknowledgment of the source is given.

Republication, systematic copying, or multiple reproduction of any material in this publication is permitted only under license from the American Mathematical Society. Requests for such permission should be addressed to the Acquisitions Department, American Mathematical Society, 201 Charles Street, Providence, Rhode Island 02904-2294, USA. Requests can also be made by e-mail to reprint-permission@ams.org.

© 2008 by the American Mathematical Society. All rights reserved.

The American Mathematical Society retains all rights
except those granted to the United States Government.

Printed in the United States of America.

∞ The paper used in this book is acid-free and falls within the guidelines
established to ensure permanence and durability.

Visit the AMS home page at <http://www.ams.org/>

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 13 12 11 10 09 08

Sami, Zühal, Kuban ve Evren Altınel'e
— Tuna Altınel

To Anna
— Alexandre Borovik

Contents

Introduction	ix
Part A. Methods	1
Chapter I. Tools	3
Overview	6
1. General group theory	12
2. Rank	23
3. Connected groups	32
4. Fields	44
5. Nilpotent groups	57
6. Sylow theory	65
7. Generalized Fitting subgroup	72
8. Solvable groups	73
9. Schur-Zassenhaus	82
10. Automorphisms	90
11. Modules	96
12. Thompson $A \times B$	99
13. Complex reflection groups	100
14. Notes	104
Chapter II. K -groups and L -groups	111
Overview	113
1. Algebraic groups	117
2. Chevalley groups	124
3. Central extensions	136
4. Structure of K -groups	141
5. K -Groups of even type	148
6. L -Groups and L^* -groups	159
7. Notes	162
Chapter III. Specialized Topics	167
Overview	168
1. Pseudoreflection groups	173
2. Zassenhaus groups	177
3. Suzuki groups	182
4. Landrock-Solomon	191

5. A theorem of Baumann	199
6. Generalized n -gons	211
7. Buildings and (B, N) -pairs	223
8. A theorem of Niles	228
9. Signalizer functors	231
10. Generic identification	233
11. Notes	240
Chapter IV. Generic Covering and Conjugacy Theorems	243
Overview	244
1. Borel subgroups	248
2. Generic cosets	257
3. Generic covering	258
4. Degenerate type groups	261
5. Pseudoreflexion L -groups	271
6. Notes	277
Part B. Mixed Type Groups	281
Chapter V. Mixed Type	283
1. Weak embedding	287
2. Subgroups of type U_2 or T_2	289
3. The SL_2 configuration	291
4. A weakly embedded subgroup	295
5. Offending involutions	296
6. Notes	299
Part C. Even Type Groups	301
Chapter VI. Strong Embedding and Weak Embedding	303
1. Weak solvability: Strong embedding	306
2. Weak solvability: Weak embedding	311
3. Recognition: Strong embedding, I	314
4. Recognition: Strong embedding, II	325
5. Recognition: Weak embedding, I	331
6. Recognition: Weak embedding, II	338
7. $\neg(*)$, I: Toral blocks	345
8. $\neg(*)$, II: Rank	357
9. $\neg(*)$, III: Structure	364
10. $\neg(*)$, IV: Contradiction	369
11. Notes	374
Chapter VII. Standard components of type SL_2	377
Overview	378
1. Sylow structure	381
2. Fusion analysis	390

3. Final analysis	398
4. Notes	401
Chapter VIII. The $C(G, T)$ Theorem and a Plan of Attack	403
1. Abelian Sylow $^{\circ}$ 2-subgroups	404
2. Baumann's Pushing Up Theorem	412
3. The $C(G, T)$ Theorem	415
4. 2-local subgroups	418
5. Parabolic subgroups	419
6. The classification: Plan of attack	425
7. Notes	428
Chapter IX. Quasithin groups	431
1. The amalgam method	432
2. Preparation	436
3. Z_{δ}	442
4. Even s	448
5. Odd s , $\mathcal{S}_{\gamma, K}^*$	452
6. Odd s , $O_2^{\circ}(G_T)$	460
7. Odd s : Initial analysis	468
8. Odd s : Detailed analysis	474
9. A generalized polygon	487
10. Identification	494
11. Notes	497
Chapter X. Conclusion	499
1. Odd type	503
2. Permutation groups	506
3. Lessons learned	511
4. New directions	518
5. Other open problems	525
6. Notes	537
Bibliography	539
Index of Notation	547
Index of Terminology	551
Index of Names	555

Introduction

*Quand est-ce que vous allez
démontrer un vrai théorème?*
— Bruno Poizat, printemps 2000

We will be concerned here with the following conjecture.

ALGEBRAICITY CONJECTURE. *An infinite simple group of finite Morley rank is algebraic, over an algebraically closed field.*

This conjecture arises in Model Theory, where *Morley rank* is an abstract notion of dimension which generalizes the notion of the dimension of an algebraic variety in some of its usual formulations. The conjecture asserts that any infinite simple group which can be equipped with such a dimension function must be isomorphic, as an abstract group, to a Chevalley group: the group of F -rational points of a simple algebraic group, over some algebraically closed field F . It remains open.

The main result to be proved here can be stated as follows.

MAIN THEOREM. *Let G be a simple group of finite Morley rank. Then G satisfies one of the following two conditions.*

- (1) *G is algebraic over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2.*
- (2) *G has finite 2-rank.*

The 2-rank of G , denoted $m_2(G)$, is the dimension of the largest elementary abelian 2-subgroup of G .

The condition that the 2-rank is finite can be reformulated in more useful but somewhat more technical ways, notably as follows: the Sylow 2-subgroups contain divisible abelian subgroups of finite index and finite 2-rank. Such groups are said to be of “odd type”, when the divisible abelian subgroup is nontrivial, and of “degenerate type” when it is trivial. We therefore prefer the following formulation.

MAIN THEOREM. *Let G be a simple group of finite Morley rank, and nonalgebraic. Then G is of odd or degenerate type.*

This is somewhat more than we had set out to do here. We had expected to confine our results to the analysis of *minimal counterexamples* to

the Algebraicity Conjecture. The turning point came in [2], when it became clear that methods for achieving “absolute” results like the foregoing could be envisioned using many of the techniques already developed for the treatment of minimal cases. This is startling, as it is the analog in our subject of a classification of finite simple groups of characteristic 2 type *without the Feit-Thompson (Odd Order) theorem*. Indeed, groups without involutions fall in the degenerate class—and conversely, simple groups of degenerate type contain no involutions, as the Algebraicity Conjecture predicts (Theorem IV 4.1). About such groups we say nothing, and of course they may occur, in principle, as subgroups or sections of the groups we do study. We work around them.

Much of our approach will be modeled closely on the methods of finite group theory. The Algebraicity Conjecture is analogous to the classification of the finite simple groups as Chevalley groups, possibly twisted, together with the alternating groups and 26 “sporadic” finite simple groups. The methods we use are largely those which were involved in the two proofs of that classification discussed above, combined with certain additional ingredients, namely: (1) the *amalgam method*, which is part of a proposed *third generation* approach to the classification of the finite simple groups, and is very effective in our context; (2) more elementary ideas modeled on the theory of algebraic groups and lacking a finite analog; (3) specific properties of algebraic groups. To this list, a fourth category must be appended, relating to the body of techniques which enables us to work around the presence of degenerate sections. This is a very geometrical theory, based ultimately on dimension computations, and which is developed in Chapter IV. Everything we do there could be done in the category of algebraic groups, but is not—primarily, it seems, because stronger results based on properties of complete varieties are available. In our category, there is no coherent notion of complete variety, and we see no obvious parallel with the methods of Chapter IV, but we observe that the results go in the same general direction. Both model theorists and algebraists may find this chapter of particular interest (though it really has to be seen in action, as in Chapter VI, to be appreciated)—model theorists because the material is model theoretic in character, and group theorists because the line of argument varies considerably from the accustomed lines of group theory, both finite and algebraic, while at the same time having a clear meaning within the algebraic category. The closest model for this kind of analysis is found in the so-called black box group theory (randomized finite group theory), where properties of “most” elements play an important role.

The Main Theorem and some additional results which will be detailed in the final chapter, relating to groups of odd type, impose sharp limitations on the structure of a possible counterexample to the Algebraicity Conjecture, and suggest that such a group is unlikely to contain any involutions at all. When we first began this project, it seemed entirely possible that exceptions to the conjecture do occur in nature, or not far removed from nature; in the

finite case one has both the “twisted” Chevalley groups and the sporadic ones to deal with, and possible analogs of both could be envisioned in our case. This possibility now appears to be rapidly receding. On the other hand, one can imagine various model theoretic constructions which would most naturally produce torsion free examples, and our results say nothing about that possibility, except to suggest that the groups so constructed would look more like free groups than like conventional matrix groups.

The final chapter is where we expose a detailed summary of concrete applications of the main theorem of this book. The analysis of permutation groups of finite Morley rank outlined in that chapter illustrates, in a way reminiscent of the applications of the classification of the finite simple groups, how the main result of this book can be put into action to obtain results not directly related to classification issues. It is worth noting that the proofs of some results (e.g. generic equations) in Chapter IV, in their first incarnations, used the classification of simple groups of even type. It later turned out that the full classification was not necessary for these results.

When we set out on this project, we looked forward to the possibility of extracting from it, as a byproduct, a “skeletal” version of the classification of the finite simple groups, showing roughly what the core of that proof would look like in the absence of such complications as sporadic groups, very small base fields, and wreath products. In other words, we aimed to give a *reading* of the very long classification proof of the finite simple groups that imparts some particular structure to it, while providing a rigorous proof in a different context. What we do here, supplemented by the other material to be described below, could be taken as such a reading, but that is not how we see it after the fact. Rather, what emerges from this analysis is that the methods used to prove the classification of the finite simple groups are *more than adequate* to the task, and there is an embarrassment of riches. At various points, and indeed at the level of global strategy, one is confronted with several approaches, all apparently adequate, though differing in their efficiency. The theory in the finite case, and the fragment given here, sufficient for our purposes, can be read as involving a number of large and not very intimately connected theories, which have been developed simultaneously, and in some cases, it seems, only as far as a particular approach to the classification requires. We have made a selection from among these theories, which works particularly efficiently in the case of groups of finite Morley rank, but which might not represent a particularly efficient, or even viable, way of handling the finite case. Most strikingly, the theme of “standard components”, which plays a large role in the finite case, almost disappears from view in our work, simply because at a key point more efficient methods appear on the scene. We welcomed this—we had no desire to pursue standard components, and a lingering suspicion that a lifetime (or three) might not be sufficient, though it is in fact likely the theory would collapse to reasonable proportions, adapted to the finite Morley rank context. Had we taken the conventional route, what we do here would look very much like

the two proofs known in the finite case—whether it would be more difficult than the one we give remains unclear, but it would certainly be longer! We will suggest at the end that we may be following a different line of proof which makes sense in the finite case, not necessarily as a classification of all finite simple groups, but as an independent approach to a narrower subclass, including the Chevalley groups in characteristic 2. There is an analog with work of Timmesfeld in the finite case; while what we do here is not strictly parallel to that, the relationship seems real.

The difference between our problem and the finite problem seems to have less to do with sporadic groups than with small fields. Indeed, we make considerable use of tori, which over the field \mathbb{F}_2 reduce to the identity. We do have some trouble laying our hands on nontrivial tori sometimes, but in the end they can be produced when needed.

One point which does work out largely as we anticipated is the following: the theories that we do develop are applied here in much the same way that they are used in finite group theory, but with considerably less “background noise”, and as a result the connection between methods adapted from the finite case, and the situation in algebraic groups, becomes more transparent. However, even here there is a nuance. The starting point for our main analysis (in Part C) is the classical theory of groups with strongly embedded subgroups, and its neo-classical revival, groups with weakly embedded subgroups. If one consults the original papers [1, 124] which deal with the K^* -case, one finds lines of argument which are certainly different from those used at the corresponding point in the theory of finite simple groups, but which nonetheless have very much the flavor of finite group theory, and in particular rely heavily on the theory of solvable groups, which runs in important respects closely parallel to the theory of finite solvable groups. All of the latter goes away when one drops the inductive hypothesis (K^*) and it is here that our Chapter IV comes into its own. As a result, this particular piece of the theory blows up considerably, and the chapter is a long one.

At the opposite extreme, our Chapter IX is a direct adaptation of work of Stellmacher to the finite Morley rank context. The subject would be rather dull if this chapter were typical. But the bulk of the developments have a different character: the main results achieved are closely parallel to results in the theory of finite simple groups, and the methods used owe much to the theory of finite group theory—but not to the proofs of the corresponding results! The dominant theme in these more typical parts of the theory is the adaptation to the context of connected groups of the fundamental notions of finite group theory, which in many cases brings them much closer to the notions of algebraic group theory which inspired them.

In any case, the pursuit of this classification problem has led those involved to develop a set of theories for groups of finite Morley rank which provide useful extensions of the theories developed in the finite case, and the specific requirements and challenges of the classification project have suggested some lines of development which were not immediately obvious; we

mention particularly work by Corredor, Frécon, Poizat, and Wagner in this connection. About half of the present volume is devoted to the treatment of general topics of this kind, continuing in the vein of [52], and the other half to its applications to classification theorems in the simple case.

Our Main Theorem contains roughly half, or perhaps somewhat more, of what is currently known about the Algebraicity Conjecture (at least, as far as 2-local structure is concerned). To explain the present state of affairs more fully requires a little more background.

There is a Sylow theory for groups of finite Morley rank, for the prime 2. In addition to the conjugacy of the Sylow 2-subgroups, there is a very particular structure theory, considerably more reminiscent of the situation in algebraic groups than the situation in finite groups, which is summarized by the following cryptic formula.

$$(*) \quad S^\circ = U * T$$

Using the language of algebraic groups, this formula may be read as follows: “*The connected component of a Sylow 2-subgroup is a central product of a unipotent 2-group and the 2-torsion from a split torus.*” For a precise interpretation of the statement in our more general context, see §I 6. The point to bear in mind is that if we actually were dealing with an algebraic group, this result would hold in a considerably sharper form, depending on the characteristic of the base field:

$S^\circ = U$ in characteristic 2; $S^\circ = T$ in all other characteristics.

In particular the Algebraicity Conjecture predicts that this strong form should hold for simple groups of finite Morley rank, and the Main Theorem can be reformulated more lucidly as stating that this is, in large measure, the case. According to formula (*), there are four possible structures for S° , depending on which of the factors U and T are present, and they correspond in some sense to hypotheses on the characteristic of the, as yet, unidentified base field: If $U \neq 1$ and $T = 1$, we say the group has *even type*; if $U = 1$ and $T \neq 1$, we speak of *odd type*, thereby inadvertently taking 0 to be odd; when U and T are both nontrivial we speak of *mixed type*, and finally when both are absent—which means the full Sylow 2-subgroup is finite, and possibly trivial—we speak of *degenerate type*. It will be seen that this terminology is consistent with the abbreviated account with which we began.

The Algebraicity Conjecture therefore breaks up naturally into four cases; in mixed and degenerate types we seek a contradiction, and in odd and even types we seek an identification of the group as an algebraic group (or, to put the matter both more concretely and more accurately, as a Chevalley group) over a field of appropriate characteristic. The Main Theorem can then be put in a third and very natural form as follows.

MAIN THEOREM, VERSION II.

- (1) *There are no simple groups of finite Morley rank of mixed type.*

- (2) *A simple group of finite Morley rank of even type is isomorphic to a Chevalley group over a field of characteristic 2.*

In view of the formula (*), this is equivalent to the previous versions, and it is in this form that we will prove it. One can see now the sense in which we deal with “half” of the problem; but actually the deepest problem lies in the degenerate case. Since we know that there are no involutions in this case, 2-local analysis ends there, but the problem remains. In odd type there is now a substantial theory, which we omit.

The state of knowledge in odd type was covered until recently by the thesis of Jeff Burdges [60]. In odd type one has the following, which is limited to the inductive framework of K^* -groups, where a K^* -group is a group of finite Morley rank all of whose proper definable infinite simple sections are Chevalley groups, or in practical terms, as we suggested earlier, a group which is a putative minimal counterexample to the Algebraicity Conjecture.

ODD TYPE. *A simple K^* -group G of finite Morley rank and odd type satisfies one of the following conditions, where S° is the connected component of a Sylow 2-subgroup.*

- (1) *G is algebraic.*
- (2) *$m_2(S^\circ) \leq 2$.*

Can this approach actually prove the Algebraicity Conjecture in full? This seems very unlikely, for reasons well known to model theorists. The critical case is that in which there are no involutions, the most degenerate case in our taxonomy. Here the methods of the present text are not helpful, though the methods used in odd type have a certain force even in the absence of involutions, and we hope that further exploration of the degenerate case will lead to the further development of such methods. The focus of attention in the degenerate case is on Borel subgroups (maximal connected solvable subgroups) and the pattern of their intersections; they may, however, intersect trivially, at which point group theoretic analysis appears to come to a final halt. In any case, we are not yet this far.

The conjecture antipodal to the Algebraicity Conjecture runs as follows.

ANTI-ALGEBRAICITY CONJECTURE. *There is a simple torsion free group of finite Morley rank.*

The conventional wisdom at present is Manichaeian: one of the two extremes ought to be correct. Beyond that, there seem to be few strong opinions as to how the matter should stand, though model theory has certainly clarified the issues involved over time. In particular, our work here relies crucially on some clarification of the model theoretic issues by Frank Wagner, as will be seen in Chapter IV at a preparatory level, and in Chapter VI in the context of a concrete application.

One striking difference between our subject and the theory of finite groups is our ability to prove a general result on groups of even type without

first disposing of the case of degenerate groups. This would be analogous to disposing of characteristic 2 type finite simple groups without first proving the Feit-Thompson theorem. Evidently, the two situations differ substantially.

The proof of the Main Theorem evolved gradually, as we have mentioned. At first, we dealt with K^* -groups, that is, with minimal potential counterexamples, under the additional assumption (called *tameness*) of the noninvolvement of “bad fields” (cf. §I 4), though with the intention of reexamining the latter hypothesis at a later stage. After Jaligot’s thesis [125] (cf. also [123, 124]), it became clear that the time had already come to proceed in the mixed and even type cases without reliance on this simplifying hypothesis (and to a large extent Burdges’ thesis [60] has performed a comparable service for odd type). At this stage the K^* -hypothesis remained an integral part of the project. The program aiming at the full classification by adjusting the inductive framework was initiated in [2]. In this connection, methods derived from Wagner’s work on the model theory of fields of finite rank have been essential.

Finally, one should not lose sight of two trivial but important points:

- The class of algebraic groups over algebraically closed fields of characteristic 2 is already a rich class, in the sense that the classification of Dynkin diagrams is an interesting, though relatively direct, classification, with its own “sporadic” (non-classical) members.
- At a deeper level, there are many nonalgebraic simple groups of finite Morley rank, because there are many fields of finite Morley rank with pathological structure, furnished by the Hrushovski construction—and all of this structure is visible in the associated groups. This is an important point, and more than once we have been confronted with the fact that we do not actually know the properties of “algebraic groups” when they are endowed with a finite Morley rank different from the usual dimension theory. Strictly speaking, algebraic groups (in this broad sense) are not even known to be K -groups!; though this does hold in positive characteristic, via work of Poizat.

Our main theorem says that in the presence of a fairly strong dimension concept—and nothing further—the underlying *group structure* is governed by the same finite combinatorics as in the algebraic case (Coxeter groups), at least in the case which corresponds to characteristic 2 in the algebraic setting; furthermore, this holds regardless of what pathology is allowed a priori in definable sections. We do not actually show that our groups are algebraic; we show that, like simple algebraic groups, they are Chevalley groups, which from our point of view means that they are amalgams of copies of SL_2 governed by the “recipe” encoded in a Dynkin diagram.

From this point of view, the reader should not be surprised to see considerable space devoted to the “tiny” group SL_2 : all of Chapters VI and

VII, and much of Chapters V and VIII. On the other hand, the finite group theorist may be surprised to see that so little space is taken up with the remaining groups. By the standards of finite group theory, our inductive analysis is instantaneous.

The proof of the classification of the finite simple groups has given rise to a polemic between some who feel that the complexity of the proof *must* be due more to a poor choice of methods than to the nature of the problem, and those who feel that this is not at all the case—including, of course, most of those who have worked on the proof. This is not a polemic into which we feel a need to enter. We find the methods used extremely attractive. We also feel largely fortunate that we are not obliged to follow them too closely, and at the same time a bit unfortunate that we have no access to character theory or transfer methods—either one would be enormously helpful. Possibly our present work can make a modest contribution to the discussion underlying the polemic, by giving a demonstration of the flavor of a substantial portion of the finitistic methods in a context which lies somewhere in between the conceptual theory of algebraic groups and the more combinatorial theory of finite simple groups, and whose complexity in the primitive measure theoretic sense of length (or volume) is in the vicinity of the geometric mean of the two.

Acknowledgements

Our personal debts are at this point too numerous to record in full. We owe a particular debt to our closest collaborators on this project, namely Ayşe Berkman, Luis-Jaime Corredor, Olivier Frécon, Eric Jaligot, and Ali Nesin, and also to Poizat and Wagner, who have provided some fundamental insights relating to the relevant model theory. In a similar vein, we have benefited enormously from the insights of various finite group theorists. Stellmacher and Stroth in particular pointed out the viability of the “third generation” approach in our context (a line of thought vigorously seconded by Aschbacher), and Lyons and Solomon have clarified a number of points over the years. At a considerably earlier stage, one of us benefited from the general insight of Chat Ho into the finite theory, as well as his recipe for noodles.

Among the programs which have supported this work and advanced it in significant ways, we would like to mention the conference on Finite and Locally Finite Groups held in Istanbul in 1994, where the first concrete classification results were presented, the MSRI model theory program in 1997-1998 and specifically the support offered in January 1998, the Euroconference on Groups of finite Morley rank at the University of Crete’s charming and tranquil Anogia Academic Village (June 1998), where finite group theorists and model theorists mixed with particular fluency, the conference Groupes, Géométrie et Logique at CIRM, Luminy (September, 2004), and the Newton Institute’s program in model theory and its applications, for the month devoted to groups of finite Morley rank (March, 2005).

This work has benefited from continuing support from the NSF (current grant DMS-0600940) as well as from the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (grant :JC05_47037:jaligot:eric:). The Institut Camille Jordan at the Université Claude Bernard Lyon-1 has repeatedly offered its hospitality to those of the authors not already employed there.

Substantial portions of the work on this book were carried out at the Villa Amélie, Fons-par-Aubenas, under the auspices of Christiane and Fernand Lemay, whose hospitality is warmly acknowledged.

And we thank Jeff Burdges for some ingenious and artful Latex coding (perhaps masked by some of our own less skillful coding).

The first author acknowledges the everlasting presence of his Rutgers friends which makes negligible the growing distance in time and space: Isabel, Rita ve Oluş; Kerem, Esra ve Alper; Şelale, Eda, Özlem ve Yüksel, hepinize teşekkürler.

Le premier auteur remercie Bruno Poizat et Christine Charretton pour leur amitié sincère et enrichissante.

Les charmants ardéchois, Christiane et Fernand Lemay, ont une place particulière dans le coeur du premier auteur. Chez eux, il se sent chez lui. Le premier auteur a une multitude de raisons pour remercier Chantal Cherlin. Heureusement, il existe un mot miraculeux qui les résume toutes: Limoncello.

Haso Arkadaşım, bir parça sohbet edebiliriz artık. Sait Faik'in Simitle Çay öyküsünü kendi elyazımla yazıp gönderdiğim mektubun üzerinden on beş yıl geçti. Sanırım aynı mektupta da yazmıştım, amacım başkalarından hırsızlamadığım bir öyküyü gönderebilmek oldu hep. Bu kitap, bu amacıma en yakın olduğum nokta. Yazılmasına katkı olmadı. İş bölümü(!) böyle gerektirdi. Ama, içinde anlatılan öyküye bir katkı oldu. Ne ilginçtir ki, katkımın matematiğe olan herhangi bir yetenekle ilgisi yok. Yalnızca inat... Bolca da şans... Pilavdan dönmedim, kaşığı kırdırmadım.

In a historical vein

The history of the subject is bound up not only with the history of the theory of finite simple groups, but much of the history of pure model theory, which underwent a revolution beginning in the late sixties, and even (or perhaps, particularly) for those who lived through much of the latter, is not easy to reconstruct in a balanced way. We offer just a few scattered remarks, first from the second author:

Vladimir Nikanorovich Remeslennikov in 1982 drew my attention to Gregory Cherlin's paper [68] on groups of finite Morley rank and conjectured that some ideas from my work [on periodic linear groups] could be used in this then new area of algebra. A year later Simon Thomas sent to me the manuscripts of his work on locally finite groups of finite Morley rank. Besides many interesting results and observations his manuscripts contained also

an exposition of Boris Zilber's fundamental results on \aleph_1 -categorical structures which were made known to many western model theorists in Wilfrid Hodges' translation of Zilber's paper [196] but which, because of the regrettably restricted form of publication of the Russian original, remained unknown to me.

The third author came to the subject by a rather different route, the common point of origin being the work of Zilber, which seems to have become more rapidly known in the West than in his country of origin, and in the original Russian. This was the subject of considerable interest (notably in Paris and Jerusalem) in the summer and fall of 1980, where as a result of their relationships with a notorious open problem in pure model theory, the broader conjectures of Zilber began to reach a wide audience. At the outset, work on the algebraic content of stability theory was stimulated in the West by Macintyre's work on \aleph_1 -categorical fields [137], and in the East by a suggestion of Taitlin. For the third author, coming into model theory via the Robinson school, the question of the algebraic content of stability theory was both natural and inevitable. The notions of \aleph_1 -categoricity and model completeness, characteristic of the two main schools of model theory at that time, had both arisen from considerations of the double-edged question: What is so special about the theory of algebraically closed fields, and is anything in fact special about this theory? The text [128] also arrived at a timely moment; in particular, this text made use of a notion of connectivity close to the one adopted in the present text, and for similar reasons.

The first author came to the subject from Mecidiyeköy, İstanbul.

The complex and provocative Poizat has played a complex and provocative role in the development of this theory. In particular, his early intervention brought the more "algebraic" formulation of the rank notion into its proper form, and generally he has been very attentive to foundational issues, some of great practical importance.

The complex and vigorous Nesin has played a complex and vigorous role in the development of this theory, entering at an early phase and, with his collaborators, treating a number of key configurations. In a historical vein, we remark that a period of forced confinement gave him the necessary leisure to familiarize himself with the contents of [183]; whether he wishes to convey his thanks for this we do not know, but it may be doubted. ("Si j'avais quelque chose à adresser aux grands de ce monde, je jure, ça ne serait pas des remerciements!" - Galois)

Model theorists will be aware that the subject has also grown in other directions—geometrical stability theory, applications to number theory in the hands of Hrushovski and several others, and that in these developments the structure of abelian groups turns out to be central, and not at all as trivial as might appear at first glance. We have also found close attention to

the structure of abelian subgroups and their definable subgroups valuable, notably in connection with the theory of “good tori”.

Bibliography

- [1] T. Altinel, Groups of finite Morley rank with strongly embedded subgroups. *J. Algebra* 180:778-807, 1996.
- [2] T. Altinel, Groupes de rang de Morley fini de type pair avec des sous-groupes faiblement inclus. Habilitation, *Institut Desargues, Université Claude Bernard (Lyon I)*. June 2001.
- [3] T. Altinel, A. Borovik, and G. Cherlin, Groups of mixed type. *J. Algebra* 192:524-571, 1997.
- [4] T. Altinel, A. Borovik, and G. Cherlin, On groups of finite Morley rank with weakly embedded subgroups. *J. Algebra* 211:409-456, 1999.
- [5] T. Altinel, A. Borovik, and G. Cherlin, Groups of finite Morley rank of even type with strongly closed abelian subgroups. *J. Algebra* 232:420-461, 2000.
- [6] T. Altinel, A. Borovik, and G. Cherlin, Pushing Up and $C(G, T)$ in groups of finite Morley rank of even type. *J. Algebra* 247:541-576, 2002.
- [7] T. Altinel, A. Borovik, and G. Cherlin, Classification of simple K^* -groups of finite Morley rank and even type: geometric aspects. 1-12, in *Groups, combinatorics & geometry (Durham, 2001)* World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2003.
- [8] T. Altinel, A. Borovik, G. Cherlin, and L.-J. Corredor, Parabolic subgroups in groups of finite Morley rank of even type. *J. Algebra* 269:250-262, 2003.
- [9] T. Altinel and J. Burdges, On analogies between algebraic groups and groups of finite Morley rank. To appear ca. 2008.
- [10] T. Altinel and G. Cherlin, On central extensions of algebraic groups. *J. Symbolic Logic* 64:68-74, 1999.
- [11] T. Altinel and G. Cherlin, On groups of finite Morley rank of even type. *J. Algebra* 264:155-185, 2003.
- [12] T. Altinel and G. Cherlin, Simple L^* -groups of even type with strongly embedded subgroups *J. Algebra* 272:95-127, 2004.
- [13] T. Altinel and G. Cherlin, Simple L^* -groups of even type with weakly embedded subgroups *J. Algebra* 286:76-106, 2005.
- [14] T. Altinel and G. Cherlin, Limoncello *J. Algebra* 291:371-413, 2005.
- [15] T. Altinel, G. Cherlin, L.-J. Corredor, and A. Nesin, A Hall theorem for ω -stable groups. *J. London Math. Society* 57:385-397, 1998
- [16] C. Altseimer, *Groups of finite Morley rank with small Prüfer 2-rank*, Ph.D. thesis, UMIST, 1998.
- [17] C. Altseimer and A. Borovik, Probabilistic recognition of orthogonal and symplectic groups, in *Groups and Computation. III*, pp. 1-20, W. Kantor and A. Seress, eds., de Gruyter, Berlin, 2001; Corrections (and GAP code): [math.GR/0110234](#)
- [18] M. Aschbacher, The status of the classification of the finite simple groups. *AMS Notices* 51:736-740 (2004).
- [19] M. Aschbacher, *Finite Group Theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 10. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986. x+274 pp. ISBN: 0-521-30341-9; Second edition, 2000: xii+304 pp. ISBN: 0-521-78145-0; 0-521-78675-4 20-01.
- [20] M. Aschbacher, On finite groups of component type. *Illinois J. Math.* 19:87-115, 1975.

- [21] M. Aschbacher, G. Seitz, Involutions in Chevalley groups over fields of even order. *Nagoya J. Mathematics* 63:1-91 (1976); errata, 72:135-136 (1978).
- [22] M. Aschbacher, G. Seitz, On groups with a standard component of known type. *Osaka J. Math.* 13:439-482, 1976.
- [23] J. Baldwin and J. Saxl, Logical stability in group theory. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* 21:267-276, 1976.
- [24] H. Bass and J. Tate, The Milnor ring of a global field. In *Algebraic K-Theory. II*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, no. 342, pages 349-446. Springer Verlag, 1973.
- [25] A. Baudisch, A new uncountably categorical group. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 348:3889-3940, 1996.
- [26] A. Baudisch, M. Hils, A. Martin-Pizarro, and F. O. Wagner, Die böse Farbe. To appear ca. 2008.
- [27] B. Baumann, Über endliche Gruppen mit einer zu $L_2(2^n)$ isomorphen Faktorruppe. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 74:215-22, 1979.
- [28] O. Belegardek, On groups of finite Morley rank. In *Abstracts of the Eight International Congress of Logic, Methodology and Philosophy of Science LMPS'87*, pages 100-102, Moscow, 1987.
- [29] H. Bender, Transitive Gruppen gerader Ordnung, in denen jede Involution genau einen Punkt festlägt. *J. Algebra* 17:527-554, 1971.
- [30] H. Bender and G. Glauberman, Local analysis for the odd order theorem. With the assistance of Walter Carlip. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 188. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. xii+174 pp. ISBN 0-521-45716-5.
- [31] C. D. Bennett and S. Shpectorov, A remark on a theorem of J. Tits, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 129:2571-2579, 2001.
- [32] C. D. Bennett, R. Gramlich, C. Hoffman and S. Shpectorov, Curtis-Phan-Tits theory. 13-29 in *Groups, combinatorics & geometry (Durham, 2001)*, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2003.
- [33] D. J. Benson, Conway's group Co3 and the Dickson invariants. *Manuscripta Math.* 85:177-193, 1994.
- [34] A. Berkman. The classical involution theorem for groups of finite Morley rank. *J. Algebra* 243(2):361-384, 2001.
- [35] A. Berkman and A. Borovik, An identification theorem for groups of finite Morley rank and even type. *J. Algebra* 266:375-381, 2003.
- [36] A. Berkman and A. Borovik, A generic identification theorem for groups of finite Morley rank. *J. London Math. Soc.* 69:14-26, 2004.
- [37] A. Berkman, A. Borovik, J. Burdges, and G. Cherlin, A generic identification theorem for L^* -groups of finite Morley rank. *J. Algebra* To appear.
- [38] G. Birkhoff, *Lattice Theory*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, third edition, 1967.
- [39] A. Borel, Groupes linéaires algébriques. *Ann. of Math.* 64:20-82, 1956.
- [40] A. Borel, Essays in the history of Lie groups and algebraic groups. History of Mathematics, 21. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; London Mathematical Society, Cambridge, 2001. xiv+184 pp. ISBN 0-8218-0288-7.
- [41] A. Borel and J. Tits, Eléments unipotents et sous-groupes paraboliques de groupes réductifs. *Inventiones Math.* 12:95-104, 1971.
- [42] A. V. Borovik, Simple locally finite groups of finite Morley rank and odd type. In *Finite and locally finite groups (Istanbul, 1994)* 247-284, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., 471, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1995.
- [43] A. V. Borovik, Tame groups of odd and even type. pp. 341-366, in *Algebraic Groups and their Representations*, R. W. Carter and J. Saxl, eds. (NATO ASI Series C Mathematical and Physical Sciences, vol. 517), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1998.

- [44] A. V. Borovik, Centralisers of involutions in black box groups. *Contemporary Mathematics*, 298:7–20, 2002.
- [45] A. V. Borovik, Variations on a theme of Timmesfeld: A finite group theoretic analogue of the classification of groups of finite Morley rank and even type. Preprint of the Manchester Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Manchester, UK, 2006, #2006-300. <http://eprints.ma.man.ac.uk/111/>.
- [46] A. Borovik and J. Burdges, A new trichotomy theorem for groups of finite Morley rank. *J. London Math. Soc.*, To appear ca. 2007.
- [47] A. Borovik, J. Burdges, and G. Cherlin, Involutions in groups of finite Morley rank of degenerate type. *Selecta Mathematica*, 13:1–22, 2007.
- [48] A. Borovik, J. Burdges, and A. Nesin, Uniqueness cases in odd type groups of finite Morley rank. *J. London Math. Society*, To appear.
- [49] A. Borovik and G. Cherlin, Permutation groups of finite Morley rank. In *Model Theory with Applications to Algebra and Analysis*, Z. Chatzidakis, D. Macpherson, A. Pillay, A. Wilkie, eds. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.
- [50] A. Borovik and A. Nesin, On the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem for groups of finite Morley rank. *J. Symbolic Logic*, 57:1469–1477, 1992.
- [51] A. Borovik and A. Nesin, Schur-Zassenhaus theorem revisited. *J. Symbolic Logic*, 59:283–291, 1994.
- [52] A. V. Borovik and A. Nesin, *Groups of Finite Morley Rank*. Oxford University Press, 1994.
- [53] A. V. Borovik and B. Poizat, Tores et p -groupes. *J. Symbolic Logic*, 55:565–583, 1990.
- [54] A. V. Borovik and B. Poizat, Simple groups of finite Morley rank without non-nilpotent connected subgroups (in Russian). VINITI, Moscow, N2062-B, 1990, mimeographed.
- [55] J. Bray, An improved method for generating the centralizer of an involution. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 74:241–245 (2000).
- [56] C. Broto, R. Levi, and B. Oliver, The homotopy theory of fusion systems. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16 779–856, 2003.
- [57] C. Broto, R. Levi, and R. Oliver, The theory of p -local groups: A survey. 51–84, in *Homotopy theory: Relations with algebraic geometry, group cohomology, and algebraic K-theory*. Contemp. Math., 346, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [58] R. H. Bruck and E. Kleinfeld, The structure of alternative division rings. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2:878–890, 1951.
- [59] R. Bryant and B. Hartley, Periodic locally soluble groups with the minimal condition on centralizers. *J. Algebra*, 61:328–334, 1979.
- [60] J. Burdges, Odd and degenerate type groups of finite Morley rank. Doctoral thesis, Rutgers, June 2004.
- [61] J. Burdges, A signalizer functor theorem for groups of finite Morley rank. *J. Algebra*, 274:216–229, 2004.
- [62] J. Burdges, The Bender method in groups of finite Morley rank, *J. Algebra*, 312:33–55, 2007.
- [63] Jeffrey Burdges, Signalizers and balance in groups of finite Morley rank. Submitted, 2008.
- [64] J. Burdges and G. Cherlin, Semisimple torsion in groups of finite Morley rank. Submitted, 2008.
- [65] J. Burdges and G. Cherlin, A generation theorem for groups of finite Morley rank Submitted, 2008.
- [66] J. Burdges, G. Cherlin, E. Jaligot, Minimal connected simple groups of finite Morley rank and odd type with strongly embedded subgroups. *J. Algebra*, 314:581–612, 2007.

- [67] R. Carter, *Simple groups of Lie type*. Pure and Applied Mathematics Vol. XXVIII, John Wiley and Sons, London, 1972,
- [68] G. Cherlin, Groups of small Morley rank. *Ann. Math. Logic*, 17:1–28, 1979.
- [69] G. Cherlin, Good tori in groups of finite Morley rank. *J. Group Theory*, 8:613–621, 2005.
- [70] G. Cherlin and E. Jaligot, Tame minimal simple groups of finite Morley rank. *J. Algebra*, 276:13–79, 2004.
- [71] S. N. Chernikov, Complete groups with ascending central series (in Russian). *Mat. Sbornik*, 18:307–422, 1946.
- [72] S. N. Chernikov, On the theory of complete groups. *Mat. Sbornik*, 22:319–348, 455–456, 1948.
- [73] C. Chevalley Sur certain groupes simples. *Tohoku Math. J.*, 7:14–66, 1955.
- [74] C. Chevalley, Théorie des groupes de Lie, Tome II: Groupes algébriques. *Actualités Sci. Indust.*, No. 1152, Hermann Paris, 1951.
- [75] C. Chevalley, Une démonstration d’un théorème sur les groupes algébriques, *J. Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées* 30:307–317, 1960.
- [76] A. Cohen, Finite complex reflection groups. *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.*, 9:379–436, 1976.
- [77] B. Conrad, A modern proof of Chevalley’s theorem on algebraic groups. *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, 17:1–18, 2002.
- [78] L.-J. Corredor, Bad groups of finite Morley rank. *J. Symbolic Logic*, 54:768–773, 1989.
- [79] L.-J. Corredor, Fusion of 2-elements in groups of finite Morley rank. *J. Symbolic Logic*, 66:722–730, 2001.
- [80] M. Davis and A. Nesin, On Suzuki 2-groups of finite Morley rank. *J. Group Theory*, 6:229–243, 2003.
- [81] M. DeBonis and A. Nesin, On CN -groups of finite Morley rank. *J. London Mathematical Society*, 50:532–546, 1994.
- [82] M. DeBonis and A. Nesin, On split Zassenhaus groups of mixed characteristic and of finite Morley rank. *J. London Math. Society*, 50:430–439, 1994.
- [83] F. Delahan and A. Nesin, On Zassenhaus groups of finite Morley rank, *Comm. Algebra*, 23:455–466, 1995.
- [84] A. Delgado and B. Stellmacher, Weak (B, N) -pairs of rank 2. Part II in *Groups and Graphs: New Results and Methods*, eds. A. Delgado, D. Goldschmidt, and B. Stellmacher, pp. 1–57. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1985.
- [85] A. Deloro, Groupes simples minimaux de 2-rang de Prüfer 1 *J. Algebra*, 317:877–923, 2007.
- [86] A. Deloro, Groupes simples connexes minimaux non-algébriques de type impair. *J. Algebra*, To appear.
- [87] W. Feit, A characterization of the simple groups $SL(2, 2^a)$. *Amer. J. Math.*, 82:281–300, 1960.
- [88] P. Flavell, A Hall-Higman-Shult type theorem for arbitrary finite groups. *Invent. Math.*, 164:361–397, 2006.
- [89] O. Frécon, Etude des groupes résolubles de rang de Morley fini. Thesis, Lyon, IGD, November 2000.
- [90] O. Frécon, Conjugacy of Carter subgroups in groups of finite Morley rank. Submitted, 2008.
- [91] O. Frécon, Pseudo-tori and subtame groups of finite Morley rank. To appear ca. 2008.
- [92] O. Frécon, Sous-groupes anormaux dans les groupes de rang de Morley fini résolubles *J. Algebra*, 229:118–152, 2000.
- [93] O. Frécon, Sous-groupes de Hall généralisés dans les groupes résolubles de rang de Morley fini. *J. Algebra*, 233:253–286, 2000.

- [94] O. Frécon and E. Jaligot, The existence of Carter subgroups in groups of finite Morley rank. *J. Group Theory*, 8:623–633, 2005.
- [95] O. Frécon and E. Jaligot, *Conjugacy in groups of finite Morley rank*. In *Model Theory with Applications to Algebra and Analysis*, Z. Chatzidakis, D. Macpherson, A. Pillay, A. Wilkie, eds. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.
- [96] L. Fuchs, *Infinite abelian groups. Vol. I. Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 36, Academic Press, New York-London 1970 xi+290 pp.
- [97] A. Gardiner, B. Hartley, and M. Tomkinson. Saturated formations and sylow structure in locally finite groups *J. Algebra*, 17:177–211, 1971.
- [98] D. M. Goldschmidt, 2-fusion in finite groups. *Ann. Math.*, 99:70–117, 1974.
- [99] D. Goldschmidt, Automorphisms of trivalent graphs. *Ann. Math.*, 111:377–404, 1980.
- [100] D. Goldschmidt, Graphs and Groups. Part I in *Groups and Graphs: New Results and Methods* eds. A. Delgado, D. Goldschmidt, and B. Stellmacher, pp. 1–57. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1985.
- [101] D. Gorenstein, *Finite Groups*. Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1980.
- [102] D. Gorenstein and R. Lyons, The local structure of finite groups of characteristic 2 type. *Memoirs American Math. Society* **276** 1983.
- [103] D. Gorenstein, R. Lyons, and R. Solomon, *The classification of the finite simple groups*. Vol. 40, Number 1, American Math. Society, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 1994.
- [104] D. Gorenstein, R. Lyons, and R. Solomon, *The classification of the finite simple groups*. Vol. 40, Number 2, American Math. Society, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 1996.
- [105] D. Gorenstein, R. Lyons, and R. Solomon, *The classification of the finite simple groups*. Vol. 40, Number 3, American Math. Society, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 1999.
- [106] R. Gramlich, Phan Theory. Habilitation, Darmstadt, October 2004.
- [107] Ursula Gopp, There is no sharp transitivity on q^6 when q is a type of Morley rank 2. *J. Symbolic Logic* 57:1198–1212, 1992.
- [108] P. Hall, A contribution to the theory of groups of prime-power order. *Proc. London Mathematical Society*, 36:29–62, 1933.
- [109] B. Hartley, Sylow subgroups of locally finite groups. *Proc. London Math. Society*, 23:159–192, 1971.
- [110] B. Hartley, Sylow theory in locally finite groups. *Compositio Math.*, 25:263–280, 1972.
- [111] G. Higman, Odd characterizations of finite simple groups. University of Michigan, 1968, cited in [84, 5.17].
- [112] G. Higman, Suzuki 2-groups. *Illinois J. Math.*, 7:79–96, 1963.
- [113] E. Hrushovski and B. Zilber, Zariski geometries. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 9:1–56, 1996.
- [114] D. R. Hughes and F. C. Piper, *Projective Planes*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
- [115] J. E. Humphreys, *Linear Algebraic Groups*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, second edition, 1981.
- [116] J. E. Humphreys, *Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 29. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. xii+204 pp. ISBN 0-521-37510-X.
- [117] J. E. Humphreys, *Linear Algebras and Representation Theory*. Graduate Texts Math. 9, Springer, New York, 1972.
- [118] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen. I*. Springer, Berlin, 1967.
- [119] B. Huppert and N. Blackburn, *Finite groups. II*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 242. AMD, 44. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1982. xiii+531 pp. ISBN 3-540-10632-4.
- [120] Kh. Jaber, Equations génériques dans un groupe stable nilpotent. *J. Symbolic Logic*, 64:761–768, 1999.

- [121] E. Jaligot, Full Frobenius groups of finite Morley rank and the Feit-Thompson theorem. *Bull. Symbolic Logic*, 7:315–328, 2001.
- [122] E. Jaligot, Generix never gives up. *J. Symbolic Logic*, 71:599–610, 2006.
- [123] E. Jaligot, Groupes de type mixte. *J. Algebra*, 212:753–768, 1999.
- [124] E. Jaligot, Groupes de type pair avec un sous-groupe faiblement inclus. *J. Algebra*, 240:413–444, 2001.
- [125] E. Jaligot, *Contributions à la classification des groupes simples de rang de Morley fini*. Ph.D. thesis, Université Claude Bernard-Lyon I, 1999.
- [126] E. Jaligot, A. Muranov, and A. Neman, Independence property and hyperbolic groups. *Bull. Symbolic Logic*, 14:88–98, 2008.
- [127] W. M. Kantor and A. Seress, Black box classical groups. *Memoirs American Math. Society*, 149 no. 708, AMS, Providence, RI, 2000.
- [128] O. Kegel and B. Wehrfritz, *Locally Finite Groups* North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
- [129] E. Kleinfeld, Alternative division rings of characteristic 2. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, 37:818–820, 1951.
- [130] L. Kramer, K. Tent, and H. van Maldeghem, Simple groups of finite Morley rank and Tits buildings. *Israel J. Mathematics*, 109:189–224, 1999.
- [131] T. Y. Lam, *A first course in noncommutative ring theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 131, Springer-Verlag, New York. 1st ed. 1991, 2nd ed. 2001.
- [132] P. Landrock and R. Solomon, A characterization of the Sylow 2-subgroups of $\text{PSU}(3, 2^n)$ and $\text{PSL}(3, 2^n)$. Technical Report 13, Aarhus Universitet, Matematisk Institut, January 1975.
- [133] I. J. Leary and R. Stancu, Realising fusion systems. arXiv:math.GR/0701892v1, 30 Jan 2007.
- [134] R. Levi and R. Oliver, Construction of 2-local finite groups of a type studied by Solomon and Benson. *Geometry and Topology*, 6:917–990, 2002.
- [135] D. H. McLain, On locally nilpotent groups. *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.*, 52:5–11, 1956.
- [136] J. McLaughlin, Some groups generated by transvections, *Arch. Math.*, 18:364–368, 1967.
- [137] A. Macintyre, On ω_1 -categorical theories of fields. *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, 71:1–25, 1971.
- [138] A. Macintyre, On ω_1 -categorical theories of abelian groups. *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, 70:253–270, 1971.
- [139] D. Macpherson and A. Pillay Primitive permutation groups of finite Morley rank. *Proc. London Math. Society*, 30:481–504, 1995.
- [140] H. van Maldeghem, *Generalized Polygons*. Monographs in Mathematics 93, Birkhäuser.
- [141] R. Moufang, Die Schnittpunktsätze des projektiven speziellen Funfecksnetzes in ihrer Abhängigkeit voneinander (Das A-Netz). *Math. Annalen*, 106:755–795, 1932.
- [142] Mumford, David, *Abelian varieties*. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics, No. 5., Published for the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay; Oxford University Press, London 1970; viii+242 pp.
- [143] A. Nesin, On bad groups, bad fields, and pseudoplanes. *J. Symbolic Logic*, 56:915–931, 1991.
- [144] A. Nesin, On sharply n -transitive superstable groups. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 69:73–88, 1990.
- [145] A. Nesin, On solvable groups of finite Morley rank. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 321:659–690, 1990.
- [146] A. Nesin, Generalized Fitting subgroup of a group of finite Morley rank. *J. Symbolic Logic*, 56:1391–1399, 1991.
- [147] A. Nesin, Poly-separated and ω -stable nilpotent groups. *J. Symbolic Logic*, 56:694–699, 1991.

- [148] L. Newelski, On type definable subgroups of a stable group, *Notre Dame J. of Formal Logic*, 32:173–187, 1991.
- [149] R. Niles, BN -pairs and finite groups with parabolic-type subgroups. *J. Algebra*, 75:484–494, 1982.
- [150] G. Pickert, *Projektive Ebenen* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1955.
- [151] A. Pillay, *An Introduction to Stability Theory*. Number 8 in Oxford Logic Guides. Oxford University Press, 1983.
- [152] A. Pillay, Forking in the free group. Preprint, 2007
- [153] A. Pillay, The geometry of forking and groups of finite Morley rank. *J. Symbolic Logic*, 60:1251–1259, 1995.
- [154] Y. Peterzil, A. Pillay, and S. Starchenko, Definably simple groups in o-minimal structures. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 352:4397–4419, 2000.
- [155] A. Pillay and W. Y. Pong, On Lascar rank and Morley rank of definable groups in differentially closed fields. *J. Symbolic Logic*, 67:1189–1196, 2002.
- [156] B. Poizat, *Groupes Stables*. Nur Al-Mantiq Wal-Ma'rifah, Villeurbanne, France, 1987; English translation in [157].
- [157] B. Poizat, *Stable Groups*. Translated from the 1987 French original by Moses Gabriel Klein. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 87, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001. xiv+129 pp., ISBN 0-8218-2685-9.
- [158] B. Poizat, L'égalité au cube *J. Symbolic Logic*, 66:1647–1676, 2001.
- [159] B. Poizat, Quelques modestes remarques à propos d'une conséquence inattendue d'un résultat de Monsieur Frank Olaf Wagner. *J. Symbolic Logic*, 66:1637–1646, 2001.
- [160] B. Poizat and F. Wagner, Lift the Sylows! *J. Symbolic Logic*, 53:703–704, 2000.
- [161] V. Popov, Generically multiply transitive algebraic group actions. arXiv:math/0409024v2, 5 Mar 2005.
- [162] D. Robinson, Vanishing theorems for cohomology of locally nilpotent groups. 120–129, in *Group theory (Bressanone, 1986)* Lecture Notes in Math., 1281.
- [163] B. Rose, Model theory of alternative rings. *Notre Dame J. Formal Logic*, 19:215–243, 1978.
- [164] J. Rosenberg, *Algebraic K-Theory and its Application*. Number 147 in Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [165] M. Rosenlicht, Some basic theorems on algebraic groups. *American J. Mathematics*, 88:492–517, 1968.
- [166] G. Seitz, The root subgroups for maximal tori in finite groups of Lie type. *Pacific J. Math.*, 106:153–244, 1983.
- [167] G. Seitz, Algebraic groups. In *Finite and locally finite groups (Istanbul, 1994)* vol. 471 of *NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci.*, 45–70. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1995.
- [168] G. C. Shephard and J. A. Todd, Finite unitary reflection groups. *Canadian J. Math.*, 6:274–304, 1954.
- [169] R. Solomon, Finite groups with Sylow 2-subgroups of type 3. *J. Algebra*, 28:182–198, 1974.
- [170] R. Solomon, A brief history of the classification of the finite simple groups. *Bulletin American Math. Society*, 38:315–352, 2001.
- [171] T. A. Springer, *Linear algebraic groups*, Second edition. Progress in Mathematics, 9. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1998. xiv+334 pp. ISBN 0-8176-4021-5.
- [172] T. A. Springer and R. Steinberg, Conjugacy classes. in *Seminar on Algebraic Groups and related finite groups* (A. Borel et al., eds.), Lecture Notes Math. 131, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970, 167–266.
- [173] R. Steinberg, *Lectures on Chevalley Groups*. Yale University, 1967.
- [174] B. Stellmacher, On graphs with edge-transitive automorphism groups. *Illinois J. Mathematics*, 28:211–266, 1984.

- [175] B. Stellmacher, Pushing up. *Arch. Math.*, 46:8-17, 1986.
- [176] B. Stellmacher, A pushing up result. *J. Algebra*, 83:484-489, 1983.
- [177] M. Suzuki, *Group Theory II*. Number 248 in *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [178] S. Thomas, An identification theorem for the locally finite nontwisted Chevalley groups, *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 40:21-31, 1983.
- [179] F. G. Timmesfeld, Groups with weakly closed TI-subgroups, *Math. Z.*, 143:243-278, 1975.
- [180] F. G. Timmesfeld, Groups generated by k -transvections. *Invent. Math.*, 100:173-200, 1990.
- [181] F. G. Timmesfeld, *Abstract Root Subgroups and Simple Groups of Lie-Type* Monographs in Mathematics 95, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001, xiii+389 pp.
- [182] F. G. Timmesfeld, The Curtis-Tits-Presentation, *Advances in Mathematics*, 189:38-67, 2004.
- [183] J. Tits, *Buildings of Spherical Type and finite BN-pairs*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 386, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
- [184] J. Tits, Ensembles ordonnés, immeubles et sommes amalgamées. *Bulletin Societé Math. Belg.*, A38:367-387, 1986.
- [185] J. Tits and R. Weiss, *Moufang Polygons* Springer, Berlin, Monographs in Mathematics, 2002.
- [186] F. Wagner, *Stable groups*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **240**, Cambridge University Press, 1997, ix+309 pp.
- [187] F. Wagner, Subgroups of stable groups. *J. Symbolic Logic*, 55:151-156, 1990.
- [188] F. Wagner, Fields of finite Morley rank. *J. Symbolic Logic*, 66:703-706, 2001.
- [189] F. Wagner, Bad fields in positive characteristic. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 35:499-502, 2003.
- [190] J. H. MacLagan-Wedderburn, A theorem on finite algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 6:349-352, 1905.
- [191] R. Weiss, Über lokal s -reguläre Graphen. *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. B*, 20:124-127, 1976.
- [192] R. Weiss, The nonexistence of certain Moufang polygons. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 51:261-266, 1979.
- [193] R. Weiss, *The structure of spherical buildings*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2003. xiv+135 pp. ISBN: 0-691-11733-0.
- [194] B. I. Zilber, pp. 63-68, in *Abstracts of papers presented at the Fourth All-Union Symposium on Group Theory (Novosibirsk, 1973)* (Russian), *Mat. Inst. Sibirsk. Otdel. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, Novosibirsk, 1973.
- [195] B. I. Zilber. Groups and rings whose theory is categorical. *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, 55:173-188, 1977.
- [196] B. I. Zilber The structure of models of categorical theories and the problem of finite axiomatizability. Kemerov. Univ., Kemerovo, 1977; manuscript deposited at VINITI on July 12, 1977, Deposition No. 2800-77.
- [197] B. I. Zilber. Some model theory of simple algebraic groups over algebraically closed fields. *Colloq. Math.*, 48:173-180, 1984.

Index of Notation

NOTATION	MEANING	PAGE
\leftrightarrow	bijection	25
$*$	central product	32
$\sqcup, \dot{\cup}$	disjoint union	178
\prec	elementary substructure	50
$\lfloor \rfloor$	greatest integer	449
	homomorphic image	12
\triangleleft	normal	14
\upharpoonright	restriction	18
\rtimes	semidirect product	18
$(\)^\circ$	connected component	32
\aleph_0, \aleph_1	cardinalities	xviii, 52
acl	model theoretic algebraic closure	37
ad	commutator action	195
alg	$F_{\text{alg}} = F \cap \text{acl}(\emptyset)$	48
$\mathcal{A}(P)$	large abelian subgroups	442
A_n	Dynkin diagram	100
Alt_n	alternating group	511
Ann	annihilator	44
Aut	automorphism group	48
B_n, C_n	Dynkin diagrams	101
$\text{co-rk}(X)$	co-rank	413
C_G	centralizer	23
Δ	(1) simple roots	127
	(2) chamber system	223
$\Delta(v)$	neighbors of v	434
$d(x), d(X)$	definable hull	30
$\text{degree}(A)$	Morley degree	26
\det	determinant	101
diag	diagonal matrix	103

NOTATION	MEANING	PAGE
\dim	linear dimension	74
D_n	Dynkin diagram	101
$(\)^{eq}$	imaginary hull	105
$E(G)$	see $F * (G)$	14
E_6, E_7, E_8	Dynkin diagrams	104
End	endomorphism ring	18
\mathbb{F}_q	finite field	50
$F(G)$	Fitting subgroup	60
$F^*(G)$	generalized Fitting subgroup $F \cdot E$	72
F_4	Dynkin diagram	104
Fix	fixed field	54
Frob	Frobenius	50
γ	path in Γ	434
Γ	universal cover of Γ_0	434
Γ'	graph associated to Γ_0	452
Γ_0	coset graph	434
$\tilde{\Gamma}$	quotient of Γ	454
G_2	Dynkin diagram	104
$G(F)$	rational points of G	122
G_δ	point stabilizer	434
GL	general linear group	94
$I(G)$	involutions of G	13
I_1	involutions conjugate to A	347
I_1^*	involutions inverting a torus in \mathcal{T}_M	358
I_T	involutions inverting T	358
I_M^\pm	a partition of $I(G) \setminus M$	314
$\text{Inn}(G)$	inner automorphism group	62
$J(P)$	Thompson subgroup	442
$K_2(F)$	K -theory of F	139
L_δ	$U_2(G_\delta)$	432
m_p	p -rank	ix
M	weakly or strongly embedded subgroup	303
\mathcal{M}	minimal parabolic type	425
N_G	normalizer	18
$\Omega_i(S)$	$\langle h : o(h) p^i \rangle$	13
O	solvable connected core	39
$\hat{O}(G)$	full connected core	39

NOTATION	MEANING	PAGE
$O_p(G)$	maximal normal p -subgroup	68
$\phi(G)$	ordinary Frattini	61
$\Phi(G)$	connected-Frattini	61
π^*	gen. π -divisible	83
π^\perp	π -torsion free	13
PGL	$GL/Z(GL)$	180
$(P)PSL$	SL or PSL	147
PSL	$SL/Z(SL)$	132
PSp	$Sp/Z(Sp)$	135
Q_δ	$O_2(G_\delta)$	435
\mathbb{Q}_p	p -adic field	94
rk	Morley rank	23
r_L	Weyl group generator	238
R_u	unipotent radical	523
σ	solvable radical	81
Σ	(1) root system	136
	(2) root SL_2 -subgroups	236
SL	special linear group	103
Soc	socle	82
Sp	symplectic group	122
Stab	setwise stabilizer	290
SU	special unitary group	521
Sym	symmetric group	101
Sz	Suzuki group	521
$\theta(g)$	signalizer functor	231
$T_2(G)$	$\langle d(2\text{-tori}) \rangle$	289
$\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_M$	toral components	289
$T(w)$	$\{a \in M : a^w = a^{-1}\}$	314
$(\)_{\text{tor}}$	torsion subgroup	16
$U_2(G)$	$\langle 2\text{-unipotent subgrps} \rangle$	57
$\mathcal{U}(G)$	graph of 2-unipotent subgroups	287
$\mathcal{U}^*(G)$	graph of Sylow $^\circ$ 2-subgroups	373
\mathfrak{U}	a class of locally finite groups	22
U_γ	root subgroup	213
X_3	related to toral elements of order 3	369

NOTATION	MEANING	PAGE
X'_3	$X_3 \setminus A$	370
$Z(H \bmod K)$	center mod K	12
Z_i	subgroup of Q_i	442
\mathbb{Z}_p	p -adic integers	95

Index of Terminology

- action
 - connected on finite, 33
 - definable, 5, 34, 60, 98, 178, 214, 247, 250
 - even on degenerate type, 5, 92
 - faithful, 47, 74, 98, 158, 201, 204
 - free, 182, 184, 190, 192, 349
 - minimal, 46
 - p -group, 18
 - regular, 182
 - relatively prime, 91
 - solvable, 98
 - of torus, 130, 131, 149
 - see also* module, Thompson $A \times B$
 - Lemma, transitivity
- amalgam, x, 135, 136, 156, 201, 211, 235, 401, 432, 496, 512
- amalgamation
 - free, 431
 - Hrushovski, 526
- antiautomorphism, 54
- apartment, 136, 213, 224, 489
 - see also* building, n -gon
- associativity, 53, 54
- automorphism
 - algebraic, 134
 - centralizer, 90, 91, 313, 321
 - Chevalley group, 134, 143, 160, 162, 289, 297, 299, 319, 333, 334, 337, 348, 350, 358, 361, 393, 420, 424, 426, 433
 - coprime order, 91, 232, 233, 276, 391, 424
 - even on degenerate type, 147, 160, 269, 310, 312, 418, 419
 - field, 45, 134, 218, 340, 342, 389, 439
 - graph, 134, 135, 220, 235, 384
 - involutory, 90, 135, 264, 310, 317, 319, 322, 324, 336, 341, 342, 357, 505, 532
- automorphism (cont.)
 - of p -group, 310, 314
 - p -torus, 94, 338
 - shift, 435, 453, 490
- axioms
 - rank, 23, 36
- balance, 231, 233, 418
 - L -balance, 124, 155
- Bender method, 505
- block
 - SL_2 , 348
 - toral, 348, 350, 357
 - type I, 351, 353, 365
 - type II, 353, 365
 - type III, 353, 365
- BN
 - BN -pair, 223, 226, 228, 428, 524
- Borel-Tits
 - algebraic, 123
 - K -group, 154
 - L -group, 162, 199
- building, 211, 223, 427, 494, 511
 - irreducible, 224, 225
 - Moufang, 211, 224, 225, 428
 - spherical, 224, 225, 428
 - thick, 224, 225
 - thin, 224
 - see also* n -gon
- centralizer
 - mod subgroup, 12
- closure
 - algebraic, 37, 143
 - strong, 152, 391
 - Zariski, 30, 56, 118, 132, 142, 149, 237
- coboundary, 192
- cocycle, 192
- cohomology, 192

- component
 - connected, xiii, 35, 66, 96, 253, 521
 - connected (graph), 237, 287, 290, 295
 - quasisimple, 153, 156, 237, 313, 384, 393, 407, 419, 420, 426
 - SL_2 , 358, 361
 - standard, xi, 381, 387, 408, 416, 513
 - toral, 349, 352
- condition
 - definable dcc, 27, 28, 32, 42, 52
 - exchange, 221, 489, 491, 494
 - min-c, 19, 22
 - uniform chain, 28, 44
 - uniqueness, 221, 489
- Conjecture
 - Algebraicity, ix, xiii, 142, 267, 503
 - Anti-Algebraicity, xiv
 - Atomic Group, 521
- coordinates, 185, 196, 332, 371
- co-rank, 413
- core
 - 2-generated, 504
 - $C(G, T)$, 403, 415, 416
 - elimination, 418
 - $O(G)$, 39
 - \hat{O} , 39, 418
- covariant, 263
- cover
 - universal, 214, 434, 487
- covering
 - generic, 243, 249, 258, 351
- Curtis-Tits, 117, 136, 233, 512
- definability
 - of functions, 394
 - of sets, 24
 - uniform, 24, 36, 44, 52, 62, 144, 249, 251, 252, 256, 259
- definable, 262
- degree
 - Morley, 26, 33, 49, 260, 265
- diagram
 - Dynkin, xv, 100, 126, 176, 223, 234, 238, 423
- division ring, 33, 46, 52, 54, 212, 225
 - alternative, 53, 212
- elation, 213
- embedding
 - strong, 92, 94, 178, 270, 284, 297, 314, 325, 367
- embedding (cont.)
 - weak, 145, 156, 161, 269, 284, 295, 296, 303, 311, 331, 339, 346, 405, 416, 419, 522
- extension
 - central, 20, 125, 133, 145, 160, 306, 310, 387
 - elementary, 142
- field
 - bad, xv, 47, 136, 514, 526
 - definable, 47
 - of finite Morley rank, 34, 44, 143, 144, 188, 272, 538
- flag, 136, 224
- form
 - pseudoquadratic, 218, 225
 - anisotropic, 218
- functor
 - signalizer, 167, 231, 428, 503, 511
- fusion
 - control of, 71, 285, 297, 298
 - of involutions, 92, 292, 294
- generation
 - by root SL_2 , 236
 - minimal parabolic, 132
 - third, x
- generically disjoint, 248
- genericity, 26, 48
- generosity, 249
- graph
 - 2-Sylow $^\circ$, 373
 - 2-unipotent, 284, 287, 289, 294, 295, 297, 373
 - coset, 200, 434, 436
- group
 - abelian, 16, 29, 151, 161, 182, 404
 - adjoint, 125
 - affine, 117, 180
 - atomic 2-, 521
 - bad, 278, 505, 517, 525
 - black box, 243, 264, 278, 515
 - Chevalley, xiii, 124, 136, 141, 151, 160, 219, 227, 228, 236, 425, 495, 503
 - connected, 32
 - Coxeter, xv, 5, 100, 125, 211, 223, 226, 228, 238
 - crystallographic, 100, 126, 239, 514
 - definably primitive, 506
 - divisible, 13
 - finite Morley rank, 24, 221

- free Suzuki 2-, 182, 192, 310, 349, 399
- group (cont.)
 - generic type, 427
 - homocyclic, 182, 191, 314, 331, 339, 349, 386, 399
 - hyperbolic, 526
 - K or K^* , 148
 - K^- , 141
 - little projective, 215
 - locally finite, 19, 22, 62, 66, 75, 142, 279
 - locally of finite Morley rank, 220, 436, 448
 - uniformly, 436
 - locally solvable, 22, 40
 - L or L^* , 159
 - nilpotent, 17, 30, 36, 57, 98
 - permutation, 177, 500, 506
 - pseudofinite, 528
 - pseudoreflexion, 167, 240, 271, 398, 406, 408, 523
 - quasisimple, 14, 42, 72
 - quasithin, 211, 241, 425, 427, 432, 495, 499
 - radicable, 13, 17, 19, 31, 58, 67, 74, 85
 - reductive, 119–121, 123, 150, 162, 233, 249, 428
 - rigid abelian, 253, 259, 350, 351, 354, 356
 - semisimple, 43, 73, 82, 121, 132, 310
 - simply connected, 22, 121, 133, 234
 - solvable, 18, 30, 40, 47, 73, 82, 98
 - supersimple, 535
 - superstable, 535
 - Suzuki 2-, 182, 192
 - thin, 425, 499
 - unipotent, 57, 61, 74, 82, 129, 291, 296
 - Weyl, 6, 128, 176, 224, 230, 235, 428, 435, 514
 - Zassenhaus, 177, 304, 326, 499, 512
- hexagon, 213, 215
- hull
 - definable, 30, 36, 90, 251, 262
- hypersurface
 - quadric, 214
- identity
 - Moufang, 53
- interpretability, 56, 122, 138, 214, 436
- involutory set, 54, 216, 225
- irreducible set, 26, 33
- isotropic, 174, 214
- L -balance, *see* balance
- lifting
 - centralizers, 87
 - Hall, 75
 - Sylow, 71
 - torsion, 31
- linearization, 46, 74, 175, 272, 316, 337, 393
- module
 - completely reducible, 98, 101, 175
 - definably irreducible, 97, 189
 - G -minimal, 46, 83, 86, 88, 98, 190, 276, 316, 338
 - irreducible, 101
 - natural, 156, 203, 204, 208, 209, 413, 442, 445, 448, 451, 456, 489
 - Tate, 94
- n -gon
 - Moufang, 224
- octagon, 215
- polygon, 211, 224
 - Moufang, 211, 213, 428, 474, 495
 - finite Morley rank, 213
- pseudoform, 216
- pushing up, 415
- quadrangle, 54, 213, 487
 - indifferent, 217
 - reduced, 217
 - wide, 217
- radical
 - solvable, 81
 - unipotent, 82, 119, 130, 155, 297, 523
- rank
 - 2-, ix
 - Lie, 135, 425
 - Morley, 24
 - Prüfer, 89, 94, 235, 428
 - Tits, 225, 228, 233, 428
- real
 - strongly, 23, 308, 317, 328
- rebalancing, 514
- recognition, 177, 314, 338, 373
- reflection
 - complex, 100, 127, 236

- representation
 - reflection, 127
- revisionism, 515
- rigidity, 52, 62, 127, 249, 251, 253, 259, 323, 350
- root system, 126
- saturation, 258
- Schur-Zassenhaus, 18, 75, 434
- self-normalizing
 - almost, 52, 248, 252, 257, 261, 264, 275, 323, 327, 337, 349, 357, 364
- series
 - normal, 75, 91, 451
- Shephard-Todd number, 100
- socle, 82, 277
- solvability
 - weak, 303
- splitting, 192
- stabilizer
 - chain, 91
 - edge, 201
 - graph component, 287
 - vertex, 201, 220, 494
- stable, 535
- strong embedding
 - criterion, 92
- subgroup
 - abnormal, 77
 - Borel, 118, 129, 148
 - standard, 428
 - Carter, 78, 254
 - commutator, 15
 - continuously characteristic, 95, 350, 385
 - definable, 27
 - definably characteristic, 32, 88, 91, 99, 146
 - Fitting, 59
 - Frattni, connected, 61
 - Frattni, ordinary, 61
 - Hall, 74
 - invariant 2-Sylow^o, 312
 - parabolic, 123, 132, 147, 176, 199, 226, 412, 427
 - root, 120, 122, 124, 139, 153, 213, 224, 234, 237, 423, 489, 495, 512
 - subnormal, 14, 42, 72
 - subgroup (cont.)
 - Sylow, 66
 - Sylow^o, 66, 67
 - Thompson $A \times B$ Lemma, 99, 155, 419
 - Thompson Rank Formula, 378
 - Thompson Rank formula, 394
 - Three Subgroups Lemma, 14, 100, 145, 154, 464
 - torsion
 - of a torus, 29
 - torus, 50
 - algebraic, 119
 - conjugacy, 252
 - decent, 251
 - generic type, 359
 - good, 50, 95, 98, 149, 249, 268, 315, 325, 335, 336, 349, 357, 364, 388, 399, 421
 - maximal good, 252, 259, 351, 355
 - p -, 62
 - root, 176
 - track, 468, 474, 488
 - transfer, 516
 - transitivity
 - t -, generic, 506
 - double, 177, 179, 330, 338, 365
 - edge, 201, 220
 - vertex, 201, 220
 - trichotomy
 - Z_δ , 442
 - type
 - degenerate, 39, 67, 92, 161, 247, 250, 262, 272, 297
 - even, 67, 148
 - generic, 425
 - minimal parabolic, 199, 412
 - mixed, 67, 156, 291, 295, 296
 - odd, 67, 503
 - ultraproduct, 101
 - Zilber Field Theorem, 47
 - Zilber Generation, 38, 46, 50, 106, 142, 231, 289

Index of Names

- Alperin, Jonathan Lazare (b. 1937), 501, 513
- Altseimer, Christine, 530
- Artin, Emil (1898–1962), 9, 45, 54
- Aschbacher, Michael (b. 1944), xvi, 529, 538
- Baldwin, John (b. 1944), 7, 28, 106
- Baudisch, Andreas, 528
- Baumann, Bernd, 167, 414, 417, 429, 441, 444
- Bender, Helmut, 4, 299
- Bennett, Curtis D., 135
- Berkman, Ayşe, 537
- Borel, Armand, 154, 162, 163, 199
- Bruck, Richard Huber, 53, 54
- Bruhat, François, 131, 231, 329
- Burdges, Jeffrey, xiv, xv, 242, 520, 537
- Burnside, William (1852–1927), 23, 526
- Cartan, Elie Joseph (1869–1951), 124, 135, 220, 494
- Carter, Roger (b. 1934), 4, 78, 254
- Cayley, Arthur (1821–1895), 213, 214, 528
- Chevalley, Claude (1909–1984), 3, 20, 124
- Corredor, Luis-Jaime, xiii
- Coxeter, Harold Scott Macdonald (1907–2003), 5, 124
- Curtis, Charles W., 117, 136, 233, 235
- Davis, Mark Kelly, 240
- DeBonis, Mark J., 177, 240, 326, 329
- Delgado, Alberto L., 220, 497, 499, 524
- Desargues, Girard (1591–1661), 172, 211, 212
- Dirichlet, Johann Peter Gustave Lejeune (1805–1859), 102, 321
- Dynkin, Evgenii (b. 1924), xv, 100, 124, 125, 176, 223, 234, 423
- Eisenstein, Gotthold (1823–1852), 95
- Feit, Walter (1930–2004), x, xv, 4, 5, 108, 142, 279, 303, 524, 532, 533, 537
- Fitting, Hans (1906–1938), 59
- Frattini, Giovanni (1852–1925), 61
- Frécon, Olivier, xiii, 104, 106, 108, 520, 527, 532, 533
- Frobenius, Ferdinand Georg (1849–1917), 50, 107, 143, 215
- Fubini, Guido (1879–1943), 34, 48, 251
- Galois, Evariste (1811–1832), 45, 289
- Gaschütz, Wolfgang, 534
- Goldschmidt, David, 228, 242, 429, 497, 501, 513, 523
- Gorenstein, Daniel (1923–1992), 511, 514
- Hall, Philip (1904–1982), 4, 74, 109
- Hartley, Brian (1939–1994), 19, 105
- Higman, Graham (b. 1917), 240
- Hodges, Wilfrid, 528
- Hrushovski, Ehud, xv, 48, 56, 112, 517, 518, 525–528
- Jaber, Khaled, 64, 270, 527
- Jacobi, Carl Gustav Jacob (1804–1851), 13
- Jaligot, Eric, xv, 254, 286, 299, 305, 429
- Jordan, Camille (1838–1922), 124, 215
- Khelif, Anatole, 528
- Kleinfeld, Erwin, 53
- Kulikov, L. Ya. (b. 1915), 16
- Kummer, Eduard (1810–1893), 45
- Landrock, Peter (b. 1948), 37, 167, 191, 304, 311, 331, 339

- Laurel, Arthur Stanley (1890–1965), 187
 Levi-Civita, Tullio (1873–1941), 176
 Lyons, Richard (b. 1945), xvi, 163, 242, 511, 538

 Macintyre, Angus John (b. 1941), 3, 108
 Mani (ca. 216–277), xiv
 Maschke, Heinrich (1853–1908), 18
 Mathieu, Emile (1835–1890), 522
 Matsumoto, Hideya, 137
 McLaughlin, Jack (1923–2001), 173
 Meierfrankenfeld, Ulrich, 511
 Mersenne, Marin (1588–1648), 526
 Moore, Calvin C., 138
 Morley, Michael, 24
 Moufang, Ruth (1905–1977), 53, 54, 167, 211, 213

 Nesin, Ali, 177, 240
 Newelski, Ludomir, 3, 48, 163
 Niles, Richard (b. 1951), 167, 227, 242, 426, 430, 499, 524

 Pappos of Alexandria (fl. ca. 320), 214
 Phan, Kok-wee, 235
 Pillay, Anand, 37, 259, 526
 Poizat, Bruno, xii, xv, 5, 23, 37, 105, 112, 244, 267, 270, 279, 514

 Reineke, Joachim, 106
 Robinson, Derek (b. 1935), 534

 Sabbagh, Gabriel, 528
 Saxl, Jan, 7, 28, 106
 Schreier, Otto (1821–1929), 45
 Schur, Issai (1875–1941), 18, 46, 73
 Seitz, Gary, 529
 Shephard, Geoffrey C., 100
 Shpectorov, Sergey V., 135
 Solomon, Ronald (b. 1948), xvi, 167, 191, 304, 311, 331, 339, 511, 518

 Steinberg, Robert, 138
 Stellmacher, Bernd, 241, 430, 497, 499, 511, 524
 Stroth, Gernot, 430, 511
 Suzuki, Michio (1926–1998), 18, 167, 182, 192, 215, 240, 310, 349, 385, 399
 Sylow, Peter Ludwig Mejdell (1832–1918), 66

 Tarski, Alfred (1902–1983), 49
 Tate, John, 94
 Thompson, John (b. 1932), x, xv, 5, 11, 99, 142, 286, 299, 378, 383, 390, 524, 532, 533, 537
 Timmesfeld, Franz Georg, 156, 163, 501, 513, 516, 520, 521, 534, 535, 538
 Tits, Jacques (b. 1930), 117, 123, 154, 161, 162, 199, 223, 241
 Todd, John Arthur (1908–1994), 100

 van Maldeghem, Hendrik J., 213
 Vaught, Robert, 49

 Wagner, Frank Olaf (b. 1964), xiii–xiv, 3, 5, 32, 48, 51, 105, 107, 108, 112, 142, 163, 513, 514, 524, 526, 535
 Walter, John, 514
 Weil, André (1906–1998), 56
 Weiss, Richard, 241, 448, 497
 Weyl, Hermann Klaus Hugo (1885–1955), 6, 62, 121, 128, 230
 Witt, Ernst (1911–1991), 214

 Zariski, Oscar (1899–1986), 30, 56
 Zassenhaus, Hans (1912–1991), 177
 Zilber, Boris, 38, 46, 142, 231
 Zorn, Max (1906–1993), 16

The book gives a detailed presentation of the classification of the simple groups of finite Morley rank which contain a nontrivial unipotent 2-subgroup. They are linear algebraic groups over algebraically closed fields of characteristic two. Although the story told in the book is inspired by the classification of the finite simple groups, it goes well beyond this source of inspiration. Not only do the techniques adapted from finite group theory cover, in an unusual combination, various portions of the three generations of approaches to finite simple groups, but model theoretic methods also play an unexpected role. The book contains a complete account of all this material, part of which has not been published. In addition, almost every general result about groups of finite Morley rank is exposed in detail and the book ends with a chapter where the authors provide a list of open problems in the relevant fields of mathematics. As a result, the book provides food for thought to finite group theorists, model theorists, and algebraic geometers who are interested in group theoretic problems.



Photo by Evren Altınel




For additional information
and updates on this book, visit

www.ams.org/bookpages/surv-145

AMS on the Web
www.ams.org

ISBN 978-0-8218-4305-5



9 780821 843055

SURV/145