

University
LECTURE
Series

Volume 56

Quantum Functional
Analysis

Non-Coordinate Approach

A. Ya. Helemskii



American Mathematical Society

Quantum Functional Analysis

Non-Coordinate Approach

University
LECTURE
Series

Volume 56

Quantum Functional
Analysis

Non-Coordinate Approach

A. Ya. Helemskii



American Mathematical Society
Providence, Rhode Island

EDITORIAL COMMITTEE

Eric M. Friedlander (Chair) Benjamin Sudakov
William P. Minicozzi II Tatiana Toro

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46H25, 46L07, 47L25.

For additional information and updates on this book, visit
www.ams.org/bookpages/ulect-56

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Khelemskii, A. IA. (Aleksandr IAkovlevich)

Quantum functional analysis : non-coordinate approach / A. Ya. Helemskii.

p. cm. — (University lecture series ; v. 56)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-8218-5254-5 (alk. paper)

1. Functional analysis. 2. Operator spaces. I. Title.

QA321.K545 2010
515'.7—dc22

2010023811

Copying and reprinting. Individual readers of this publication, and nonprofit libraries acting for them, are permitted to make fair use of the material, such as to copy a chapter for use in teaching or research. Permission is granted to quote brief passages from this publication in reviews, provided the customary acknowledgment of the source is given.

Republication, systematic copying, or multiple reproduction of any material in this publication is permitted only under license from the American Mathematical Society. Requests for such permission should be addressed to the Acquisitions Department, American Mathematical Society, 201 Charles Street, Providence, Rhode Island 02904-2294 USA. Requests can also be made by e-mail to reprint-permission@ams.org.

© 2010 by the American Mathematical Society. All rights reserved.

The American Mathematical Society retains all rights
except those granted to the United States Government.
Printed in the United States of America.

⊗ The paper used in this book is acid-free and falls within the guidelines
established to ensure permanence and durability.
Visit the AMS home page at <http://www.ams.org/>

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 15 14 13 12 11 10

Contents

Introduction	vii
Chapter 0. Three basic definitions and three principal theorems	1
Part I. The beginning: Spaces and operators	7
Chapter 1. Preparing the stage	9
1.1. Operators on normed spaces	9
1.2. Operators on Hilbert spaces	11
1.3. The diamond multiplication	14
1.4. Bimodules	15
1.5. Amplifications of linear spaces	16
1.6. Amplifications of linear and bilinear operators	19
1.7. Spatial tensor products of operator spaces	21
1.8. Involutive algebras and C^* -algebras	24
1.9. A technical lemma	30
Chapter 2. Abstract operator (= quantum) spaces	33
2.1. Semi-normed bimodules	33
2.2. Protoquantum and abstract operator (= quantum) spaces. General properties	36
2.3. First examples. Concrete quantizations	38
Chapter 3. Completely bounded operators	47
3.1. Principal definitions and counterexamples	47
3.2. Conditions of automatic complete boundedness, and applications	51
3.3. The repeated quantization	57
3.4. The complete boundedness and spatial tensor products	59
Chapter 4. The completion of abstract operator spaces	63
Part II. Bilinear operators, tensor products and duality	67
Chapter 5. Strongly and weakly completely bounded bilinear operators	69
5.1. General definitions and properties	69
5.2. Examples and counterexamples	70
Chapter 6. New preparations: Classical tensor products	75
6.1. Tensor products of normed spaces	75
6.2. Tensor products of normed modules	78
Chapter 7. Quantum tensor products	81

7.0.	The general universal property	81
7.1.	The Haagerup tensor product	82
7.2.	The operator-projective tensor product	90
7.3.	The operator-injective tensor product	96
7.4.	Column and row Hilbertian spaces as tensor factors	101
7.5.	Functorial properties of quantum tensor products	106
7.6.	Algebraic properties of quantum tensor multiplications	111
Chapter 8.	Quantum duality	119
8.1.	Quantization of spaces in duality	119
8.2.	Quantum dual and quantum predual space	123
8.3.	Examples	126
8.4.	The self-dual Hilbertian space of Pisier	132
8.5.	Duality and quantum tensor products	136
8.6.	Quantization of spaces, set in vector duality	139
8.7.	Quantization of the space of completely bounded operators	141
8.8.	Quantum adjoint associativity	145
Part III.	Principal theorems, revisited in earnest	151
Chapter 9.	Extreme flatness and the Extension Theorem	153
9.0.	New preparations: More about module tensor products	153
9.1.	One-sided Ruan modules	156
9.2.	Extreme flatness and extreme injectivity	158
9.3.	Extreme flatness of certain modules	160
9.4.	The Arveson–Wittstock Theorem	163
Chapter 10.	Representation Theorem and its gifts	167
10.1.	The Ruan Theorem	167
10.2.	The fulfillment of earlier promises	171
Chapter 11.	Decomposition Theorem	177
11.1.	Complete positivity and the Stinespring Theorem	177
11.2.	Complete positivity and complete boundedness: An interplay	180
11.3.	Paulsen trick and the Decomposition Theorem	183
Chapter 12.	Returning to the Haagerup tensor product	189
12.1.	Alternative approach to the Haagerup tensor product	189
12.2.	Decomposition of multilinear operators	193
12.3.	Self-duality of the Haagerup tensor product	197
Chapter 13.	Miscellany: More examples, facts and applications	201
13.1.	CAR operator space	201
13.2.	Further examples	210
13.3.	Schur and Herz–Schur multipliers	219
Bibliography		231
Index		237

Introduction

It seems to me that the term “quantized functional analysis” ... has no deep physical meaning. It just refers to the fact that inspired by Heisenberg’s uncertainty principle, one deals with possibly non-commutative operations.

Albrecht Pietsch [**133**, §6.9.16]

The Oedipus complex is the inability of mathematical objects to recognize their (mother) parent. ... As in the Greek myth, it is also a case of the mother not recognizing the son.

Carl Faith [**63**, Notes to Ch. 4]

This text contains a systematic presentation of the ABC’s of quantum functional analysis. It is intended for the reader who belongs, as the author himself, to the so-called “pedestrians”, the recent fashionable name for the bulk of mathematicians.

The names of the founding fathers of the theory are properly, as we hope, mentioned in various places of the book, in the Introduction as well as in the main text. The present author wants to stress that he is not one of these creators, and he did not participate in obtaining most fundamental and sound results in the area. He was just impressed and enchanted by the might and beauty of the theory. However, since he and quite a few other “pedestrians” experienced certain difficulties and inconveniences in learning the subject as it was taught in available sources, he attempted to present its ABC’s from a slightly different angle of view. Namely, we use, in the capacity of “quantizing coefficients”, not matrices, as is usually the custom, but finite rank operators.

The words “quantized functional analysis” (we use the shorter term “quantum” instead of “quantized”) are in the title of the memorable lecture of Effros [**47**] that has contributed so much in the propagation of the theory. These words testify to a further step in the progress of a certain general trend in modern mathematics, the so-called “non-commutative” or “quantum” ideology and methodology. This view on the whole of mathematics had arisen in the mathematical apparatus of quantum mechanics, had penetrated deeply into modern algebra and topology, and then, trespassing the boundaries of functional analysis, rolled into the theory of operator algebras. Now we witness its next conquest. The very foundations of functional analysis, including the notion of norm itself, underwent quantization.

Very roughly speaking, quantization of a mathematical science could be described as follows. You take crucial notions of the theory in question and, in the very core of their definitions, you replace the participating “commutative” objects, mostly functions or even just scalars, by things “non-commutative”, such as matrices and especially operators. This sounds vague, and indeed, in practice there is no general recipe for performing such a procedure. Nevertheless one thing is certain: if you manage to do this in the “right” place and in the “right” way (as it is seen, of course, a posteriori), your reward will be great.

As to our area, the initial objects of the quantization are normed spaces and bounded operators. Take a linear space E , rewrite it as $\mathbb{C} \otimes E$ and then replace this field of scalars by some “good” operator algebra. It is this enlarged object, the so-called *amplification* of E , and not E itself that must be properly normed. In other words, in this context we replace “commutative” scalars by “non-commutative” operators in the capacity of coefficients of our vectors. Then we demand from operators, connecting our initial spaces, that they respect, in a certain proper sense, this passing to amplifications, and thus a start is made.

This is why we say “quantum functional analysis”. However, more often than not, authors of books and papers (including one of the founding fathers who was mentioned before) prefer to call the area “operator space theory”. It is because all of its objects can be realized as spaces (algebras, modules, . . .), consisting of operators. It is difficult to judge which name is preferable. We choose the former, and not only because it pays a tribute to the general philosophy of the subject briefly discussed above. In our presentation we try to prove as much as possible using the axiomatic approach and postponing the fundamental realization theorem of Ruan to comparatively late stage. In this connection, in order to avoid confusion, we use the protean words “operator space”, when there is no adjective “abstract”, only in its classical meaning, that is, as a space consisting of genuine operators. As to axiomatically defined “abstract operator spaces”, we use this term on equal footing with the more convenient for our aims, but much less widespread term *quantum spaces*; cf. [141, p. 1427] or [110] (and we shall try our best to avoid a misunderstanding). Recall that in classical functional analysis people say “normed space”, not “abstract function space”, and this is despite the well-known realization of the former as a subspace of $l_\infty(\cdot)$ or $C(\cdot)$. But again, it is our subjective preference, nothing more.

* * *

Needless to say, however, it was not just the general trend to quantize “whatever is moving” that called into being the concepts and theorems presented in this text. To a much greater extent, they appeared as a result of the inner development of modern functional analysis. Of course, the most fundamental notion of the whole of functional analysis was and is a norm, given on a linear space. Nevertheless, in the last 20–25 years people working in various areas of this vast and ramified part of mathematics have come across a certain phenomenon. Studying this or that circle of questions, they noticed that behind a norm given on a space, algebra or module, an essentially richer structure of these objects is hidden. This is what many people call “operator space structure”, for which we shall use the term *quantum norm*.

It was very important to realize that here and there, trying to understand the core of a problem under consideration, one must take into account not just a norm,

but a quantum norm of relevant objects. Still, to a larger degree, this concerns the maps between objects: they must duly respect this additional structure. (As is usual in modern mathematics, “morphisms are more important than objects”.) These maps are now the celebrated completely bounded operators. Historically they appeared (as special maps in the context of some classes of “genuine” operator spaces) much earlier than the abstract operator spaces were introduced.

The appeal to quantum norms and completely bounded operators bore fruit. Being duly reformulated, the problems became considerably clarified, and they often acquired a “right” way to be put. As a result, new approaches appeared, leading to big advances. Sometimes such a rethinking gave a key to a complete and spectacular solution of a known and long-standing open problem.

We now present some illustrations.

1. Let E_k and F_k , $k = 1, 2$, be operator spaces with their operator norms, and let $\varphi: E_1 \rightarrow F_1$, $\psi: E_2 \rightarrow F_2$ be bounded operators between these spaces. Naturally, interest arises in the tensor product $\varphi \otimes \psi$. This is the operator, acting between $E_1 \otimes E_2$ and $F_1 \otimes F_2$, the spatial tensor products of our operator spaces (cf. Section 1.7). But what a nuisance: despite that the operators φ and ψ are bounded, their tensor product is by no means “bound to be bounded” (see Section 3.1 for counterexamples). Now we know where the roots of this phenomenon are. We work within a large area in operator theory where the proper structure on an operator space is not the norm but the quantum norm. Accordingly, we must work not with just bounded operators between operator spaces but with completely bounded operators (cf. above) equipped with a special norm “ $\|\cdot\|_{cb}$ ”.

After we realize and accept this, things begin to behave perfectly. Namely, if our φ and ψ are *completely* bounded, then the same is true for $\varphi \otimes \psi$ and, moreover, we have $\|\varphi \otimes \psi\|_{cb} = \|\varphi\|_{cb} \|\psi\|_{cb}$. This is the de Cannière/Haagerup Theorem [42]; also see, e.g., Pisier [140, Prop. 2.1.1] and Theorem 3.4.2 of the present text.

2. We turn to a very natural and old question in the theory of Banach and operator algebras. Let A be a Banach algebra. What kind of necessary and sufficient condition must we impose on A in order to know that our algebra is actually an operator algebra in disguise? We mean by this that our A is topologically isomorphic to a (not necessarily self-adjoint) subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ equipped with the operator norm.

In fact, such a kind of criterion was given by Varopoulos [164]. However, the formulation of his undoubtedly strong and non-trivial result seems to be not sufficiently transparent and not easy to verify (cf. also the criterion of Dixon [45]). Afterwards several people tried to find a transparent and “manageable” criterion. Most naturally, they desired to formulate it in terms of the bilinear operator of multiplication $\mathcal{M}: A \times A \rightarrow A$ or, equivalently, of the linearization $M: A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ of \mathcal{M} . Gradually the experience has shown that conditions, presented in “classical” terms of functional analysis, fail to give an answer. For example, one could ask whether there exists a reasonable tensor product norm on $A \otimes A$ such that the boundedness of M with respect to this norm means exactly that A can be realized as an operator algebra. However, one by one all the norms hitherto known on various types of classical functional-analytic tensor products were discarded (cf. Carne [26]).

Again, to get a sound theorem that would answer such a question, one should make A into a quantum algebra—that is, endow it with a quantum norm—and compare it with quantum operator algebras. (All operator spaces, in particular operator algebras, have the standard so-called concrete quantum norms; cf. Section 2.3.) Then the *Blecher Theorem* [11] came to assert that A is *completely* topologically isomorphic to an operator algebra if and only if the bilinear operator \mathcal{M} is, in our terms, strongly completely bounded (see Definition 5.1.1). Equivalently, this means that the linear operator M is completely bounded with respect to the so-called Haagerup quantum norm on $A \otimes A$, probably the best known among the quantum norms on tensor products of quantum spaces. Even more, if A is unital, then we can speak about its completely isometric (and not just topological) isomorphism onto an operator algebra; this happens exactly when \mathcal{M} satisfies the condition of the so-called strong complete contractiveness (cf. *idem*). Such a theorem was proved earlier by Blecher/Ruan/Sinclair [16].

Note that the Blecher Theorem has quite surprising consequences even within the realm of “classical” functional analysis. Take the algebra $\mathcal{N}(H)$ of nuclear (= trace class) operators on H with its proper, nuclear norm. Since the latter is essentially stronger than the operator norm, this algebra outwardly has nothing to do with what we call operator algebras. But Blecher/Le Merdy [13] observed that $\mathcal{N}(H)$ can be made a quantum algebra, satisfying the hypothesis of the Blecher Theorem. (Actually, the so-called maximal quantum norm fits; cf. Section 2.3.) Therefore $\mathcal{N}(H)$ is, up to a complete and hence up to a “classical” topological isomorphism, an operator algebra, and its norm, accordingly, is equivalent to the operator norm. It is true that this new guise of $\mathcal{N}(H)$ is no more self-adjoint and acts on another Hilbert space, but the aim is achieved.

3. Let A and B be two von Neumann algebras, and $A \overline{\otimes} B$ their von Neumann tensor product. By virtue of the famous Sakai Theorem (cf. [152]), the latter, being itself a von Neumann algebra, has a unique predual Banach space. One can feel that this predual, $(A \overline{\otimes} B)_*$, must be somehow expressed in terms of the preduals, A_* and B_* , of our tensor factors, but how? As a natural suggestion, can we obtain $(A \overline{\otimes} B)_*$ in the form $A_* \otimes_\alpha B_*$, where \otimes_α would be a reasonable kind of tensor product of Banach spaces?

And again, neither projective, nor injective, nor any other known “classical” tensor product fits. But if we turn to quantum tensor products, we find one, and indeed, a very good one. It is the so-called (completed) operator-projective tensor product, discovered independently and simultaneously by Effros/Ruan [54] and Blecher/Paulsen [15]. In our text this important tensor product is denoted by \otimes^{op} . Namely, and this is the *Effros/Ruan Theorem* [53], we have the identification $(A \overline{\otimes} B)_* = A_* \otimes^{op} B_*$ by means of an isometric isomorphism which is actually a completely isometric isomorphism with respect to proper quantum norms in both spaces.

4. What we present now is historically connected with the long-standing—several decades long—quest for a generalization of the Pontrjagin duality theory that would embrace all, and not only Abelian, locally compact groups. To speak more formally, we recall that, from the categorical point of view, the Pontrjagin Duality Theorem shows that the category **LCA** of locally compact Abelian groups is self-dual, and this self-duality is provided by a specific anti-equivalence functor $(\hat{\cdot}): \mathbf{LCA} \rightarrow \mathbf{LCA}$. The problem was to extend this functor to an anti-equivalence

functor, acting on a larger category that would contain (as an only part, if necessary) the category of *all* locally compact groups.

Finally, a sample of such a large self-dual category was found. This is the category of the so-called Kac algebras, discovered independently by G. I. Kac/Vainerman and Enock/Schwartz (see [61] for a detailed exposition). Despite the existing complaints that the axioms of a Kac algebra, involving some advanced parts of the theory of von Neumann algebras, are too complicated, there is no doubt that it was a great achievement.¹ Our concern now is the action of the anti-equivalence functor, extending Pontrjagin's $(\widehat{\cdot})$ and acting on the category of Kac algebras. What does it do with the object identified with a locally compact group G or, equivalently, with its traditional group algebra $L_1(G)$? It was shown that this functor takes it to an object that can be identified with another kind of Banach algebra, connected with G , the so-called Fourier algebra $A(G)$. Such a class of group algebras, this time always commutative, was introduced much earlier by Eymard [62].

Thus, roughly speaking, one can consider Fourier algebras as a sort of dual objects to L_1 -algebras. Not surprisingly, the interest in Fourier algebras sharply increased, and they became very fashionable objects of study. It was shown that many existing results about the behaviour of the traditional group algebras $L_1(G)$ have interesting and substantial counterparts for Fourier algebras.

However, one thing somehow disturbed harmony. We recall that one of the main concepts in homological theory of “algebras in analysis” is that of an amenable Banach algebra. According to the well-known *Johnson Theorem*, a group algebra $L_1(G)$ is amenable if and only if G is amenable in the classical group-theoretic sense; that is, it has an invariant mean. (See the original proof in [89] and another proof in [77].) One could suggest that a similar criterion holds for Fourier algebras. However, it is not the case: G can be a fairly amenable locally compact group, and at the same time $A(G)$ can fail to be an amenable Banach algebra (Johnson [90]; see also [149]).

Now it is Ruan who shows the way to restore harmony. For this aim, one should turn from the “classical” notion of amenability to its natural “quantum” version. Indeed, we notice that Fourier algebras, being predual spaces of operator spaces, have a kind of standard quantum norm (see [60] or Example 2.3.9 and Definition 8.2.1 below for the details). If we take into account that hitherto hidden structure and consider $A(G)$ as a quantum algebra, we get the desired counterpart of the Johnson Theorem, the *Ruan Theorem* [147]. Namely, the Fourier algebra of a locally compact group is amenable as a quantum algebra (“operator amenable” in terms of the cited paper) if and only if G is amenable in the group-theoretic sense.

For the development of the “quantum Banach homology” in the context of biprojective Fourier algebras, see Aristov [4], Wood [176], and in the context of more general Kac algebras, see Ruan/Xu [148].

¹Later some larger categories, providing a generalization of the Pontrjagin duality theory, were suggested. This was done by Masuda/Nakagami [108] and afterwards by Kustermans/Vaes [96, 97]. The choice of the latter category, consisting of the so-called *locally compact quantum groups*, is apparently the most successful. Being defined in relatively simple terms, it contains, after relevant identifications, all Kac algebras and also some other important objects such as the “quantum $SU(2)$ group” of Woronowicz [177]. An elegant alternative approach to the notion of locally compact quantum group is developed by A. Van Daele; see [arXiv:math/0602212v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0602212v1) [math.OA].

5. We now present one more example from topological homology, this time from homology of operator algebras.

What are the best operator algebras, acting on a Hilbert space H ? A possible answer is those that could be viewed as a “right” infinite-dimensional (or, equivalently, functional-analytic) analogue of the algebras described in the classical Wedderburn Theorem in 1905. In this connection we recall that the interest in possible infinite-dimensional versions of Wedderburn type theorems served as one of the main stimuli for von Neumann’s introduction of the algebras now carrying his name (cf., e.g., [154, 91]).

These “best” algebras can be defined in several ways. We choose here the simple language of matrices. Let us say that an algebra $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a Wedderburn algebra if, for some orthonormal basis in H , A consists of all operators that are given by diagonal block-matrices such that every block is a scalar block-matrix. In other words, an operator in A is represented by a block-matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & a & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & a & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & b & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & b & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & c & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the picture is the same as in the case of finite-dimensional self-adjoint operator algebras, with the only difference being that now the number of big blocks, their sizes as block-matrices, as well as the sizes of the matrices a, b, c, \dots , can be of arbitrary cardinality.

It is obvious that a Wedderburn algebra must be a von Neumann algebra, and its center must be discrete (= isomorphic to $l_\infty(\cdot)$). But this condition is not sufficient: one must add that our algebra belongs to type I; see, e.g., [162], [93]. We recall that the very existence of relevant counterexamples, even in the case of a one-dimensional center, represents one of the major discoveries of 20-th century mathematics. We mean, of course, the celebrated continuous factors, the first constructed by Murray/von Neumann in [113].

So, what kind of “outer” additional conditions, imposed on a von Neumann algebra, distinguish Wedderburn algebras? A venerable algebraic tradition suggests looking for such conditions in the realm of homology, especially among the suitable versions of the fundamental concept of projectivity. (Recall, for example, one of the best-known pure algebraic theorems in this area: a complex associative algebra has a Wedderburn structure if and only if all modules and bimodules over this algebra are projective; cf., e.g., [132].)

The first condition of that kind was tried in [79]; see also [81]. An operator algebra A (so far arbitrary), acting on H , was called *spatially projective*, SP for brevity, if its spatial module, that is, the very H with outer multiplication $a \cdot x := a(x)$; $a \in A$, $x \in H$, is a projective left Banach module over A (we still mean the “classical” context; see, e.g., [77]). Indeed, it was proved in [79] that an SP

von Neumann algebra is bound to be Wedderburn. However, it turned out that in this way we get only part of the whole class of Wedderburn algebras. Namely, a Wedderburn algebra A is SP (looking ahead, we should now say “classically SP”) if and only if it satisfies the additional and at first sight rather exotic condition of so-called essential finiteness. In the language of matrices, which we have already used, this means the following. Look at the matrix depicted above as well as at its “big” blocks with the same “small” matrix (in our picture, a, b , etc.) on the diagonal. Then, in each of these big blocks, at least one of two things (or both) must be fulfilled: either the matrix on its diagonal (say, a) is of a finite size or the number of these matrices on the diagonal (= the size of the respective scalar block-matrix) is finite; which condition is satisfied depends on the big block taken. Thus we see, for example, that $\mathcal{B}(H)$ itself is SP (this is, of course, trivial), whereas the same algebra presented in the standard form (that is, $\mathcal{B}(H) \otimes \mathbf{1}_H \subset \mathcal{B}(H \otimes H)$) is not SP.

The reader has already guessed that, to achieve our aim completely, we must turn to the quantum version of projectivity. The notion of an operator projective (we say “quantum projective”) module was introduced by Paulsen in [124]; see also [125]. Accordingly, one can say that a given operator algebra is *quantum SP* if its spatial module is quantum projective. After this we can close the question: *a von Neumann algebra is Wedderburn if and only if it is quantum SP* [81].

6. Last but not least is Pisier’s negative solution of the Halmos Similarity Problem.

Let T be a contractive operator on H , and p a polynomial. Then we have the famous von Neumann inequality: $\|p(T)\| \leq \max\{|p(z)|: |z| \leq 1\}$. As an easy corollary, every operator T which is similar (= topologically equivalent) to a contractive operator, is polynomially bounded. This means that there is a constant C such that, for all polynomials p , we have $\|p(T)\| \leq C \max\{|p(z)|: |z| \leq 1\}$. Long ago Halmos asked, whether the converse is true. In other words, is every polynomially bounded operator similar to a contraction?

The history (and prehistory) of this problem is very interesting in itself, being full of rather dramatic turns. The reader can enjoy it in [139]; see also [40]. What is essential for us is that the Halmos Problem is typical for a wide variety of problems that, as we know from the solver himself,

*can be formulated as asking whether “boundedness” implies
“complete boundedness” for linear maps satisfying certain ad-
ditional algebraic conditions.*² [139, Foreword]

Such a reduction of the Halmos Problem to a question of the above-mentioned type, which happened to be an important step to its solution, was done by Paulsen [121]. Namely, one should consider the algebra $\mathbb{C}[t]$ of polynomials of one variable and the algebra $\mathcal{B}(H)$, both equipped with some standard quantum norms. Then, according to Paulsen, the Halmos Problem is equivalent to the following question: Is every bounded homomorphism from $\mathbb{C}[t]$ into $\mathcal{B}(H)$ automatically completely bounded?

²Actually, Pisier writes about three outwardly disconnected “similarity problems”: that of Halmos and two others, the first concerning representations of groups and the second dealing with representations of C^* -algebras. But we do not discuss these two others here: our list of examples is already rather long.

There was a moment (after the appearance of the preprint of [1]) when it seemed that such a question was near to being solved in the positive. But eventually Pisier [138] managed to find an operator T such that the homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[t] \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H): p \mapsto p(T)$ turned out to be “bad”. Shortly afterwards his proof was considerably modified in [41]. Again, see the cited papers for the details.

* * *

No doubt, by this series of examples we convinced our reader that mankind cannot survive without quantum norms and completely bounded operators.

But what is this quantum norm?

The great majority of mathematicians, writing on this subject, take a given linear space E and consider simultaneously the matrix spaces $M_n(E)$ of all sizes with entries from E . Then, again simultaneously, they supply each of these matrix spaces with its own norm, say $\|\cdot\|_n$. If this is, in a sense, well done, the resulting sequence of norms satisfies some properly chosen conditions, the so-called Ruan axioms; notably, it behaves well under the operation of taking direct sums of matrices. In this case this sequence $\|\cdot\|_n; n = 1, 2, \dots$ of norms on these matrix spaces of different sizes is called a quantum norm or, more often, an operator space structure. One can learn the subject in the framework of the matrix approach using carefully written monographs [60, 126, 14] of the founding fathers of the theory.

However, there exists another way to “quantize” a norm. Instead of the sequence of matrix spaces, one may consider a single space, consisting, roughly speaking, of vectors from the initial linear space E but equipped with coefficients taken from some good operator algebra. We mean what was called above the amplification of E . Now it is a (single) norm on the amplification that must satisfy reasonable conditions, that is, a version of the just-mentioned Ruan axioms for matrix spaces.

The very fact that both approaches, matricial (= coordinate) and “operator” (= non-coordinate), being formally different, give essentially equivalent results, is known. To begin with, this is clearly indicated in the seminal book by Pisier [140]. It seems to us that in his book, as a whole, the matricial approach prevails, but the author demonstrates the virtues of the non-matricial approach in a number of basic questions (see, e.g., *idem*, p. 40). Note that Pisier’s “good operator algebra” is $\mathcal{K}(l_2)$, which slightly differs from what we shall use. Besides, the very existence and some advantages of the second approach were well realized by Barry Johnson, as one may judge from his unpublished notes. The same fact is reflected in the form of some theorems on the equivalence of various categories [168, 114]. Finally, no doubt, it was on the minds of the authors of fairly advanced results in representation theory of bimodules over operator algebras and tensor products of these bimodules; see, for example, [106, 142, 2].

Nevertheless, it seems that there was no systematic exposition of quantum functional analysis, presenting the main notions and results of the theory exclusively in the framework of the non-coordinate approach, without an appeal to matrix spaces.

Does one need such a presentation? Of course, the choice between the two indicated approaches is a matter of taste: one person prefers to work with tensor products of linear operators, another with Kronecker products of matrices, and “both are right”. However, the present author does believe that, as a whole, the

non-coordinate approach gives a better picture of the subject, not only more elegant but also more transparent and instructive. The only thing required from the reader is the acquaintance with some basic facts concerning normed modules and, on several occasions, their projective tensor products (cf. Sections 6.2 and 9.0). Anyhow, for modern functional analysts, this is a part of their bag of tricks.

Take, for example, one of the cornerstones of the theory, the Arveson–Wittstock Extension Theorem. From the non-coordinate point of view, it is essentially an extension theorem for morphisms of bimodules over a standard operator algebra, say $\mathcal{B}(\cdot)$. Even more: it is a rather straightforward corollary of an apparently simpler observation, concerning certain one-sided Hilbert modules over $\mathcal{B}(\cdot)$. We mean their homological property called extreme flatness, namely, the preservation of isometric morphisms under module tensor products (see Theorem 9.3.6 below).

But especially the virtues of the non-matrical approach reveal themselves in questions that are apparently “non-coordinate” in their essence. First of all, we mean quantum tensor products, one of the most important topics in the whole area. It seems that it is the non-coordinate language that provides a more complete understanding of the essence of both quantum versions of the classical (Grothendieck) projective tensor product of normed spaces. These are usually called the Haagerup and operator-projective tensor products. Their explicit constructions sound simpler and more natural since we clearly see that both tensor products mentioned are actually quotient spaces of certain “genuine” (= classical) projective tensor products. Moreover, the Haagerup tensor product is itself a “genuine” projective tensor product, however not of just normed spaces but of some normed modules (see Theorem 7.1.11 below). This seems to be a valuable addition to its better understanding.

Among other occasions where the non-matrical approach gives a more transparent picture of what is happening, we would mention the proof of the injective property of the Haagerup tensor product, some aspects of the duality theory (including the very definition of the quantum dual space), the commendable behaviour, under some basic constructions, of the column and the row Hilbertian spaces.

But again, all this is no more than our subjective opinion. We just believe that a systematic non-matrical presentation of the subject, at least as a complement to the already existing “matrical” presentations, could be useful.

Finally, let us make a remark of a more technical character. To present the area in the non-coordinate way, one must choose, as a first preparatory step, some space in the capacity of the “main” or “canonical” Hilbert space in the whole exposition. This can be l_2 (cf. [140]) or, as we prefer, just an arbitrarily chosen but fixed “forever”, infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space L . Then, as a more important step, one must distinguish, among several natural candidates with their own advantages and disadvantages, two operator algebras acting on this L . The role of the first algebra is that it “amplifies” a given linear space, providing operator coefficients to its vectors, instead of scalar coefficients. The other algebra (a priori this can be the same or a larger one) serves as a basic algebra with respect to bimodules, naturally arising after such an amplification. Gradually, we come across a pair of algebras that seems to provide a comparatively smooth and transparent presentation. It consists of $\mathcal{F}(L)$, the algebra of bounded finite rank operators on L , in the capacity of the amplifying algebra, and $\mathcal{B}(L)$, the algebra of all bounded operators on L , as a basic algebra of bimodules. The main virtue of $\mathcal{F}(L)$ is that it has the guise $L \otimes L^{cc}$, the algebraic tensor product of L with its complex conjugate

space; this is why it is so convenient to work with it. (Yes, it is not complete, but, as experience shows, this circumstance does not create any harm.) And by taking $\mathcal{B}(L)$ as a basic algebra, we get the opportunity to have in store, as outer multiplication factors, many operators that turn out to be very useful. Their supply includes, in particular, isometries and coisometries (= quotients) acting on our L .

In conclusion, we would like to stress that the subject of our book is what could be called *linear* quantum functional analysis. We mean the initial and apparently most developed part of quantum functional analysis, dealing with quantum spaces still without any additional algebraic structure. But, similar to what we see in “classical” functional analysis, there is a younger chapter, dedicated to algebras and modules. It has its own distinct face, best represented by its own principal theorems (cf. Chapter 0 below), like the theorems of Blecher and Blecher/Ruan/Sinclair we have already mentioned or, say, the representation theorem for “quantized” modules; the latter was essentially proved by Christensen/Effros/Sinclair [28] as early as in 1988. A rich material, concerning these representation theorems as well as some advanced topics, is contained in the monograph [14]; see also the references therein.

Besides, together with the investigation of algebras, endowed with a quantum norm, interest in homological properties of such algebras inevitably arose. The first sign was the paper [28] just cited. Now we have a long and constantly increasing list of publications, entirely or partially dedicated to various aspects of this “quantum homology”. Apart from the already cited papers [147, 4, 176, 148, 124, 125, 81], it includes [156, 82, 31, 128, 150, 3, 167] and many other items.

But we leave these further parts of quantum functional analysis, dealing with algebras, modules and homology, outside the scope of our presentation.

* * *

We assume that our reader is familiar with standard university courses of functional analysis and algebra, for example, with the contents of what is presented by ordinary print in [83], together with the required background. Apart from this, the reader is supposed to know what a module and a bimodule over an algebra are, and what a (bi)module morphism is (see, e.g., the first chapter in [38]). As to basic facts on C^* -algebras, the way they are given, say, in the first three chapters of [112], is very desirable for deeper understanding of the subject. But, as a matter of fact, we come across general C^* -algebras in very few places of the book, and when this happens, the reader can assume without much loss that he is dealing with the algebra of all operators on a Hilbert space. Other preparatory things that we need will be explained in the text.

The symbol \iff means “if and only if”. The combination $:=$ means “equality by definition”.

Acknowledgments. I am very grateful to my colleague and former student O. Yu. Aristov who made several rather essential suggestions for improving the text of the manuscript.

I heartily thank the American Mathematical Society reviewers for their evaluation of the manuscript, for pointing out some of its imperfections, and most of all for their ideas how to improve it. Thanks to them a number of errors and misprints were corrected. They made important suggestions for amending some terminology

and notation that were gratefully accepted. Finally, their recommendations led to the enrichment of the manuscript with a number of interesting examples and profound results. This valuable material had remained outside the framework of the manuscript before.

A considerable part of this book was written in the spring of 2006, when I was a guest of the University of Fukuoka. I would like to express my profound gratitude to the staff of the Mathematics Department, and especially to Professor Atsushi Inoue, for their overwhelming hospitality.

And of course I am indebted to the mathematicians who created the field of the so-called “Quantum Functional Analysis” for the great pleasure I experienced from their beautiful theorems. No need for their names to be mentioned here, since the reader will often come across them in the text.

Bibliography

- [1] A. B. Alexandrov, V. Peller. Hankel operators and similarity to a contraction. *Inernat. Math. Res. Notices* (1996), 263–275.
- [2] C. Anantharaman-Delaroche, C. Pop. Relative tensor products and infinite C^* -algebras. *J. Operator Theory* 47 (2002), 389–412.
- [3] O. Yu. Aristov. On the definition of a flat operator module. In: “*Topological Homology: Helemskii’s Moscow Seminar*”, A. Ya. Helemskii, ed. Nova Science Publishers, Huntington, N.Y. 2000, pp. 29–38.
- [4] O. Yu. Aristov. Biprojective algebras and operator spaces. *Journal of Math. Sciences* 111, No. 2 (2002), 3339–3386 .
- [5] W. Arveson. Subalgebras of C^* -algebras. *Acta Math.* 123 (1969), 141–224.
- [6] D. Avitsour. Free products of C^* -algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 271 (1982), 423–436.
- [7] G. Bennett. Schur multipliers. *Duke Math. J.* 4 (1977), 603–639.
- [8] B. Blackadar. *Operator algebras: Theory of C^* -algebras and von Neumann algebras.* Springer-Verlag. Berlin.
- [9] D. Blecher. The standard dual of an operator space. *Pacific J. Math.* 153 (1992), 15–30.
- [10] D. Blecher. Tensor products of operator algebras II. *Canad. J. Math.* 44 (1992), 75–90.
- [11] D. Blecher. A completely bounded characterization of operator algebras, *Math. Ann.* 303 (1995), 227–240.
- [12] D. P. Blecher. Some general theory of operator algebras and their modules. In: “*Operator Algebras and Applications*”. A. Katavolos, ed. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1997, pp. 113–144.
- [13] D. P. Blecher, C. le Merdy. On quotients of function algebras, and operator algebra structures on l^p . *J. Operator Theory.* 34 (1995), pp. 315–346.
- [14] D. P. Blecher, C. Le Merdy. *Operator algebras and their modules.* Clarendon Press. Oxford, 2004.
- [15] D. P. Blecher, V. I. Paulsen. Tensor products of operator spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 99, No. 2 (1991), 262–292 .
- [16] D. Blecher, Z.-J. Ruan, A. Sinclair, A characterization of operator algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.* 89 (1990), 188–201.
- [17] F. F. Bonsall, J. Duncan. *Complete normed algebras.* Springer-Verlag. Berlin, 1972.
- [18] M. Bożejko. Remark on Herz-Schur multipliers on free groups. *Math. Ann.* 258 (1981), 11–15.
- [19] M. Bożejko, J. Fendler. Herz-Schur multipliers and completely bounded multipliers of the Fourier algebra of a locally compact group. *Bull. Unione Mat. Ital.* (6) 3-A (1984), 297–302.
- [20] M. Bożejko, M. A. Picardello. Weakly amenable groups and amalgamated products. *Proc. amer. Math. Soc.* 117, 4 (1993), 1039–1046.
- [21] M. Bożejko, R. Speicher. An example of a generalized Brownian motion. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 137 (1991), 519–531.
- [22] M. Bożejko, R. Speicher. Completely positive maps on Coxeter groups, deformed commutation relations, and operator spaces. *Math. Ann.* 300 (1994), 97–120.
- [23] O. Bratteli, D. W. Robinson. *Operator algebras and quantum statistical mechanics. II.* Springer-Verlag. Berlin, 1981.
- [24] N. P. Brown, N. Ozawa. *C^* -algebras and finite-dimensional approximations.* AMS. Providence, R.I., 2008.
- [25] N. Bourbaki. *Algèbre, Chapitre III.* Hermann.
- [26] T. K. Carne. Not all H' -algebras are operator algebras. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 86 (1979), 243–249.
- [27] M.-D. Choi, E. G. Effros. Injectivity and operator spaces. *J. Func. Anal.* 24 (1977), 156–209.

- [28] E. Christensen, E. G. Effros, A. M. Sinclair. Completely bounded multilinear maps and C^* -algebraic cohomology. *Invent. Math.* 90 (1987), 279–296 .
- [29] E. Christensen, A. M. Sinclair. Representations of completely bounded multilinear operators. *J. Func. Anal.* 72 (1987), 151–181.
- [30] E. Christensen, A. M. Sinclair. A survey of completely bounded operators, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 21 (1989), 417–448.
- [31] E. Christensen, A. M. Sinclair. On the Hochschild cohomology for von Neumann algebras. Preprint.
- [32] J. Cigler, V. Losert, P. Michor. *Banach modules and functors on categories of Banach spaces*. Marcel Dekker. New York, 1979.
- [33] A. Connes. *Noncommutative geometry*. Academic Press. London, 1990.
- [34] J. B. Conway. *A course in functional analysis*. Springer-Verlag. Berlin, 1985.
- [35] M. Cowling. Harmonic analysis on some nilpotent Lie groups (with application to the representation theory of some semi-simple Lie groups). In: “*Topics in modern harmonic analysis, Vol. I, II (Turin, Milan, 1982)*”. Ist. Naz. Alta Mat. Francesco Severi. Rome, 1983, pp. 81–123.
- [36] M. Cowling, U. Haagerup. Completely bounded multipliers of the Fourier algebra of a simple Lie group of real rank one. *Invent Math.* 96 (1989), 507–549.
- [37] B. Dorofaeff. Weak amenability and seirect products in simple Lie groups. *Math. Ann.* 306 (1996), 737–742.
- [38] H. G. Dales. *Banach Algebras and Automatic continuity*. Clarendon Press. Oxford, 2000.
- [39] K. R. Davidson. *C^* -algebras by example*. AMS. Providence, R.I., 1996.
- [40] K. R. Davidson. Polynomially bounded operators, a survey. In: “*Operator algebras and applications*”, A. Katavolos, ed. Kluwer. Dordrecht, 1997, pp. 145–162.
- [41] K. R. Davidson, V. I. Paulsen. On polynomially bounded operators. *J. für die reine und angewandte Math.* 487 (1997), 153–170.
- [42] J. de Cannière, U. Haagerup. Multipliers of Fourier algebras of some simple Lie groups and their discrete subgroups. *Amer. J. Math.* 107 (1985), 455–500.
- [43] P. de la Harpe, V. Jones. *An introduction to C^* -algebras*. Univ. de Genève, Section de Mathématiques, 1995.
- [44] A. Defant, K. Floret. *Tensor Norms and Operator Ideals*. North-Holland. Amsterdam, 1993.
- [45] P. G. Dixon. A characterization of closed subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}(H)$. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* 20 (1976–77), 215–217.
- [46] R. S. Doran, V. A. Belfi. *Characterizations of C^* -algebras. The Gelfand-Naimark Theorems*. Marcel Dekker. New York, 1986.
- [47] E. G. Effros. Advances in quantized functional analysis. *Proc. ICM Berkeley*, 1986.
- [48] E. G. Effros. Why the circle is not connected: an introduction to quantized topology. *Math. Intellegencer* 11 (1989), 27–34.
- [49] E. G. Effros, M. Junge, Z.-J. Ruan. Integral mappings and the principle of local reflexivity for non-commutative L^1 -spaces. *Ann Math.* 151 (2000), 59–92.
- [50] E. G. Effros, A. Kishimoto. Module maps and Hochschild-Johnson cohomology. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 36 (1987), 257–276
- [51] E. G. Effros, N. Ozawa, Z.-J. Ruan. On injectivity and nuclearity for operator spaces. *Duke Math. J.* 110 (2001), 489–521.
- [52] E. G. Effros, Z.-J. Ruan. Representations of operator bimodules and their applications. *J. Operator Theory* 19 (1988), 137–157.
- [53] E. G. Effros, Z.-J. Ruan. On approximation properties for operator spaces. *International J. Math.* 1 (1990), 163–187.
- [54] E. G. Effros, Z.-J. Ruan. A new approach to operator spaces. *Canad. Math. Bull.* 34 (1991), 329–337.
- [55] E. G. Effros, Z.-J. Ruan. Self duality for the Haagerup tensor product and Hilbert space factorization. *J. Fuct. Anal.* 100 (1991), 257–284.
- [56] E. G. Effros, Z.-J. Ruan. On the abstract characterization of operator spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 119 (1993), 579–584.
- [57] E. G. Effros, Z.-J. Ruan. Mapping spaces and liftings for operator spaces. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 69 (1994), 171–197.
- [58] E. G. Effros, Z.-J. Ruan. The Grotendieck-Pietsch and Dvoretzky-Rogers theorems for operator spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.* 122 (1994), 428–450.

- [59] E. G. Effros, Z.-J. Ruan. On the analogues of integral mapping and local reflexivity for operator spaces. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 46 (1997), 1289–1310.
- [60] E. G. Effros, Z.-J. Ruan. *Operator spaces*. Clarendon Press. Oxford, 2000.
- [61] M. Enock, J.-M. Schwartz. *Kac Algebras and Duality of Locally Compact Groups*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [62] P. Eymard. L'algèbre de Fourier d'un group localement compact. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 92 (1964), 181–236.
- [63] C. Faith. *Algebra: Rings, modules, and categories I*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981.
- [64] P. A. Fillmore. *A user's guide to operator algebras*. John Wiley & Sons. New York, 1996.
- [65] I. M. Gelfand, M. A. Naimark. On the embedding of normed rings into the ring of operators in Hilbert space. *Mat. Sb.* 12 (1943), 197–213.
- [66] J. Gilbert. Convolution operators and Banach space tensor products. I,II,III. Unpublished preprints.
- [67] F. Greenleaf. *Invariant means on topological groups*. Van Nostrand, 1969.
- [68] M. Gromov. Hyperbolic groups. In: “*Essays in group theory*”. Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. 8. Springer. New York, 1987, pp. 75–263.
- [69] A. Grothendieck. *Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1955).
- [70] A. Grothendieck. Résumé de la théorie métrique des produits tensoriels topologiques. *Boll. Soc. Mat. Sao-Paulo* 8 (1956), 1–79.
- [71] U. Haagerup. An example of a non-nuclear C^* -algebra which has the metric approximation property. *Invent. Math.* 50 (1979), 279–293.
- [72] U. Haagerup. Decomposition of completely bounded maps on operator algebras. Unpublished notes. 1980.
- [73] U. Haagerup. Injectivity and decomposition of completely bounded maps. In: “*Operator Algebras and Their Connections with Topology and Ergodic Theory*.” H. Araki, C. C. Moore, S. Stratila, D. Voiculescu, eds. Springer-Verlag, 1985, pp. 170–222.
- [74] U. Haagerup. Group C^* -algebras without the completely bounded approximation property. Preprint (1986).
- [75] U. Haagerup, G. Pisier. Linear operators between C^* -algebras. *Duke Math. J.* 71 (1993), 889–925.
- [76] P. R. Halmos. *A Hilbert space problem book*. Van Nostrand. Princeton, N.J., 1967.
- [77] A. Ya. Helemskii. *The Homology of Banach and Topological Algebras*. Kluwer. Dordrecht, 1989.
- [78] A. Ya. Helemskii. *Banach and locally convex algebras*. Clarendon Press. Oxford, 1993.
- [79] A. Ya. Helemskii. A description of spatially projective von Neumann algebras. *J. Operator Theory* 32 (1994), 381–398.
- [80] A. Ya. Helemskii. Homology for the algebras of analysis. In: “*Handbook of algebra, vol. 2*”. M. Hazewinkel, ed. Elsevier Science. Amsterdam, 2000, pp. 151–274.
- [81] A. Ya. Helemskii. Wedderburn-type theorems for operator algebras and modules: traditional and “quantized” homological approaches. In: “*Topological Homology: Helemskii's Moscow Seminar*”. A. Ya. Helemskii, ed. Nova Science Publishers. Huntington, N.Y., 2000, pp. 57–92.
- [82] A. Ya. Helemskii. Some aspects of topological homology since 1995: a survey. In: “*Banach Algebras and Their Applications*”. A. T.-M. Lau, V. Runde, eds. AMS. Providence, RI, 2004, pp. 145–179.
- [83] A. Ya. Helemskii. *Lectures and exercises on functional analysis*. AMS, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [84] A. Ya. Helemskii. The quantum versions of the vector duality and the exponential law in the framework of the non-matrical approach. *Mat. Sb.* 197, No. 12 (2006), 133–156. (Russian)
- [85] A. Ya. Helemskii. Tensor products in quantum functional analysis: non-coordinate approach. In: “*Topological Algebras and Applications*”. A. Mallios, M. Haralampidou, eds. AMS. Providence, RI, 2007, pp. 199–224.
- [86] A. Ya. Helemskii. Extreme flatness of normed modules and Arveson-Wittstock type theorems. *J. Operator Theory*, to appear.
- [87] C. S. Herz. Harmonic synthesis for subgroups. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 23 (1973), 91–123.
- [88] P. Jolissaint. A characterization of completely bounded multipliers of Fourier algebras. *Colloquium Math.* 63 (1992), 311–313.
- [89] B. E. Johnson. *Cohomology in banach algebras*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 127 (1972).

- [90] B. E. Johnson. Non-amenability of the Fourier algebra of a compact group. *J. London Math. Soc.* 2 50 (1994), 361–374.
- [91] R. V. Kadison. Operator algebras—an overview. In: “*The Legacy of John von Neumann*”. J. Glimm, J. Impagliazzo, I. Singer, eds. AMS. Providence, 1990, pp. 61–90, 361–374.
- [92] R. V. Kadison. Notes on the Gelfand-Naimark theorem. In: “*C*-algebras: 1943–1993 A fifty year celebration*”. R. Doran, ed. AMS. Providence, 1994, pp. 20–53.
- [93] R. V. Kadison, J. R. Ringrose. *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras. Vol. I: Elementary Theory*. Academic Press. London, 1983.
- [94] R. V. Kadison, J. R. Ringrose. *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras. Vol. II: Advanced Theory*. Academic Press. London, 1986.
- [95] S. Kaijser, A. M. Sinclair. Projective tensor products of C^* -algebras. *Math. Scand.* 55 (1984), 161–187.
- [96] J. Kustermans, S. Vaes. Locally compact quantum groups. *Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. 4è série*, 33 (2000), 837–934.
- [97] J. Kustermans, S. Vaes. The operator algebra approach to quantum groups. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* 97 (2) (2000), 547–552.
- [98] A. Lambert. Homogene hilbertsche Operatorräume und ihre vollständig beschränkten Abbildungen. Diplomarbeit. Universität des Saarlandes, 1997.
- [99] A. Lambert. Operatorfolgenräume. Eine Kategorie auf dem Weg von dem Banachräumen zu den Operatorräumen. Ph. D. Thesis. Universität des Saarlandes, 2002.
- [100] E. C. Lance. *Hilbert C^* -modules. A toolkit for operator algebraists*. Cam. Univ. Press. Cambridge, 1995.
- [101] M. Leinert. Faltungsoperatoren auf gewissen diskreten Gruppen. *Studia Math.* 52 (1974), 149–158.
- [102] C. Le Merdy. On the duality of operator spaces. *Canad. Math. Bull.* 38 (3) (1995), 334–346.
- [103] C. Le Merdy, E. Ricard, J. Roydor. Completely 1-complemented subspaces of Schatten spaces. arXiv:0803.4408v2 [math.OA].
- [104] J. Lindenstrauss, H. P. Rosenthal. The \mathcal{L}_p -spaces. *Israel Math. J.* 7 (1969), 325–349.
- [105] V. Losert. Properties of the Fourier algebra that are equivalent to amenability. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 92 (1984), 347–354.
- [106] B. Magajna. The minimal operator module of a Banach module. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* (2) 42 (1999), no. 1, 191–208.
- [107] V. M. Manuilov, E. V. Troitsky. *C^* -Hilbert modules*. AMS. Providence, RI, 2005.
- [108] T. Masuda, Y. Nakagami. A von Neumann algebra framework for the duality of the quantum groups. *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* 30 (1994), 799–850.
- [109] N. Monod, N. Ozawa. The Dixmier problem, lamplighters and Burnside groups. arXiv:0902.4585v1 [math.GR].
- [110] B. Maurey, G. Pisier. *Espaces de Banach classique et quantique*. Société Mathématique de France. Paris, 1994.
- [111] P. S. Muhly, Q. Na. Extension of completely bounded $A - B$ -bimodule maps. *Proc. Glasgow Math. J.* 36 (2) (1994), 145–155.
- [112] G. R. Murphy. *C^* -Algebras and Operator Theory*. Academic Press. London, 1990.
- [113] F. J. Murray, J. von Neumann. On rings of operators. *Ann. of Math.* 37 (1936), 116–229.
- [114] C.-K. Ng. From operator spaces to topological bimodules. Preprint.
- [115] A. Nica, R. Speicher. *Lectures on the combinatorics of free probability*. Cam. Univ. Press. Cambridge, 2003.
- [116] N. Ozawa. Weak amenability of hyperbolic groups. arXiv:0704.1635v3 [math.FA].
- [117] T. V. Palmer. *Banach Algebras and the General Theory of *-algebras I. Algebras and Banach Algebras*. Cam. Univ. Press. Cambridge, 1994.
- [118] T. V. Palmer. *Banach Algebras and the General Theory of *-algebras II. *-Algebras*. Cam. Univ. Press. Cambridge, 2001.
- [119] A. Paterson. *Amenability*. AMS. Providence, 1988.
- [120] V. I. Paulsen. Completely bounded maps on C^* -algebras and invariant operator ranges. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 86 (1982), 91–96.
- [121] V. I. Paulsen. Every completely polynomially bounded is similar to a contraction. *J. of Funct. Anal.* 55 (1984), 1–17.
- [122] V. I. Paulsen. *Completely bounded maps and dilations*. Pitman Research Notes in Math. 146 (Longmans, 1986).

- [123] V. I. Paulsen. Representations of function algebras, abstract operator spaces, and Banach space geometry. *J. of Funct. Anal.* 109 (1) (1992), 113–129.
- [124] V. I. Paulsen. Relative Yoneda cohomology for operator spaces: an overview. In: “*Operator Algebras and Applications*”. A. Katavolos, ed. Kluwer. Dordrecht, 1997, pp. 389–402.
- [125] V. I. Paulsen. Relative Yoneda cohomology for operator spaces. *J. of Funct. Anal.* 157 (1998), 358–393.
- [126] V. I. Paulsen. *Completely bounded maps and operator algebras*. Cam. Univ. Press. Cambridge, 2002.
- [127] V. I. Paulsen, R. R. Smith. Multilinear maps and tensor norms on operator systems. *J. Funct. Anal.* 73 (1987), 258–276.
- [128] V. I. Paulsen, R. R. Smith. Diagonals in tensor products of operator algebras. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* 45 (2002), 647–652.
- [129] G. K. Pedersen. *C^* -algebras and their automorphism groups*. Academic Press. London, 1979.
- [130] U. Peters. Duale operatorräume und der standard-präduale von $CB^s(B(H))$. Diplomarbeit. Universität des Saarlandes, 1997.
- [131] D. Petz. *An invitation to the algebra of canonical commutation relations*. Leuven University Press. Leuven, 1989.
- [132] R. S. Pierce. *Associative algebras*. Springer-Verlag. Berlin, 1982.
- [133] A. Pietsch. *History of Banach spaces and linear operators*. Birkhäuser. Basel, 2007.
- [134] G. Pisier. *Factorizations of linear operators and geometry of Banach spaces*. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, No. 60. Conf. Board Math. Sci. Washington, DC, 1984.
- [135] G. Pisier. Completely bounded maps between sets of Banach space operators. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 39 (1990), 251–277.
- [136] G. Pisier. *The operator Hilbert space OH , Complex Interpolation and Tensor Norms*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 585, 1996.
- [137] G. Pisier. A simple proof of a theorem of Kirchberg and related results on C^* -algebras. *J. Operator Theory* 35 (1996), 317–335.
- [138] G. Pisier. A polynomially bounded operator on Hilbert space which is not similar to a contraction. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 10 (1997), 351–369.
- [139] G. Pisier. *Similarity problems and completely bounded maps. Second, expanded edition*. Springer-Verlag. Berlin, 2001.
- [140] G. Pisier. *Introduction to operator space theory*. Cam. Univ. Press. Cambridge, 2003.
- [141] G. Pisier. *Operator spaces*. In: “*Handbook of the Geometry of Banach Spaces II*”. W. B. Johnson, J. Lindenstrauss, eds. Elsevier. Amsterdam, 2003.
- [142] C. Pop. Bimodules normés représentables sur des espace hilbertiens. Operator theoretical methods (Timișoara, 1998). Theta Found. Bucharest, 2000, pp. 331–370.
- [143] E. Ricard. A Markov dilation for self-adjoint Schur multipliers. arXiv:0803.2979v1 [math.OA].
- [144] E. Ricard, Q. Xu. Khintchine type inequalities for free product and applications. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 599 (2006), 27–59.
- [145] E. Ricard, Q. Xu. Complex interpolation of weighted noncommutative L_p -spaces. arXiv:0906.5305v2 [math.OA].
- [146] Z.-J. Ruan. Subspaces of C^* -algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.* 76 (1988), 217–230.
- [147] Z.-J. Ruan. The operator amenability of $A(G)$. *Amer. J. Math.* 117 (1995), 1449–1474.
- [148] Z.-J. Ruan, G. Xu. Splitting properties of operator bimodules and operator amenability of Kac algebras. 16th OT Conference Proceedings, 1997, pp. 193–216.
- [149] V. Runde. *Lectures on Amenability*. Springer-Verlag. Berlin, 2002.
- [150] V. Runde, N. Spronk. Operator amenability of Fourier-Stieltjes algebras. II. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 39 (2) (2007), 194–202.
- [151] R. A. Ryan. *Introduction to Tensor Products of Banach Spaces*. Springer-Verlag. Berlin, 2002.
- [152] S. Sakai. *C^* -Algebras and W^* -Algebras*. Springer-Verlag. Berlin, 1971.
- [153] Z. Sebestyén. Every C^* -seminorm is automatically submultiplicative. *Period. Math. Hungar.* 10 (1979), 1–8.
- [154] I. E. Segal. C^* -algebras and quantization. In: “ *C^* -algebras: 1943–1993. A Fifty Year Celebration*”. R. S. Doran, ed. AMS. Providence, 1994, pp. 67–98.
- [155] Yu. V. Selivanov. Weak homological bidimension and its values in the class of biflat Banach algebras. *Extracta Mathematicae.* 11, No. 2 (1996), 348–365.

- [156] A. M. Sinclair, R. R. Smith. *Hochschild cohomology of von Neumann algebras*. Cam. Univ. Press. Cambridge, 1995.
- [157] R. R. Smith. Completely bounded maps between C^* -algebras. *J. London Math. Soc.* 2 (1983), 157–166.
- [158] R. R. Smith. Completely bounded module maps and the Haagerup tensor product. *J. Funct. Anal.* 102 (1991), 156–175.
- [159] P. Sniady. Factoriality of Bożejko-Speicher von Neumann algebras. Preprint arXiv:math./0307201v2 [math OA] 30 Sep 2003.
- [160] W. Stinespring. Positive functions on C^* -algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 6 (1955), 211–216.
- [161] C.-Y. Suen. Completely bounded maps on C^* -algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 93 (1985), 81–87.
- [162] M. Takesaki. *Theory of operator algebras I*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
- [163] J. Tomiyama. On the transpose map of matrix algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 88 (1983), 635–638.
- [164] N. Th. Varopoulos. A theorem on operator algebras. *Math. Scand.* 37 (1975), 173–182.
- [165] D. Voiculescu. Symmetries of some reduced free product C^* -algebras. In: “*Operator algebras and their connections with topology and ergodic theory*”. H. Araki, C. C. Moore, S. Stratila, D. Voiculescu, eds. Springer-Verlag, 1985, pp. 556–588.
- [166] D. Voiculescu, K. Dykema, A. Nica. *Free Random Variables*. CBM Monograph Series, Vol. I. Amer. Math. Soc., 1992.
- [167] N. V. Volosova. The global dimension theorem for quantized Banach algebras. To appear in *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.*
- [168] C. Webster. Matrix compact sets and operator approximation properties. Preprint arXiv:math.FA/9804093.
- [169] E. P. Wigner. Characteristic vectors of bordered matrices with infinite dimensions. *Annals of Math.* 62 (1955), 548–564.
- [170] G. Wittstock. Ein operatorvertiger Hahn-Banach Satz. *J. Funct. Anal.* 40 (1981), 127–150.
- [171] G. Wittstock. Extensions of completely bounded C^{**} -module homomorphisms. In: “*Operator algebras and group representations, V.II (Neptun 1980)*.” Pitman. Boston, 1984, pp. 238–250.
- [172] G. Wittstock. On matrix order and convexity. In: “*Functional analysis: Surveys and Recent Results*”. *Math. Studies*, v. 90. North Holland. Amsterdam, 1984, pp. 175–188.
- [173] G. Wittstock. Injectivity of the module tensor product of semi-Ruan modules. *J. Operator Theory*, to appear.
- [174] N. E. Wegge-Olsen. *K-theory and C^* -algebras*. Oxford Univ. Press. Oxford, 1993.
- [175] P. Wojtaszczyk. *Banach spaces for analysts*. Cam. Univ. Press. Cambridge, 1991.
- [176] P. J. Wood. The operator biprojectivity of the Fourier algebra. *Canad. J. Math.* 54 (2002), 1100–1120.
- [177] S. L. Woronowicz. Twisted $SU(2)$ group. An example of a non-commutative differential calculus. *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* 23 (1987), 117–181.

Index

- ($*$), 19
- ($*_E$), 19
- (RI), 33
- (RII'), 33
- (RII), 33
- (\circ), 19
- (\circ_E), 19
- (IRI), 156
- ($IRII$), 156
- (rRI), 156
- ($rRII$), 156
- $\mathbf{1}$, 9, 14
- $\mathbf{1}$, 206
- $\mathbf{1}_E$, 9
- $\tilde{\mathbf{1}}$, 215
- $A(G)$, 226
- \mathcal{B} , 14
- \mathcal{B} , 1
- B_E , 10
- $\mathcal{B}(E)$, 10
- $\mathcal{B}(E)$, 1
- B_E^* , 10
- $\mathcal{B}(E, F)$, 10
- $\mathcal{B}(E \times F, G)$, 10
- B_E^\ddagger , 47
- $B_E^{\ddagger\ddagger}$, 52
- Ban**, 10
- Ban** $_1$, 10
- $\mathcal{CB}(?, E)$, 145
- $\mathcal{CB}(E)$, 47
- $\mathcal{CB}(E, ?)$, 145
- $\mathcal{CB}(E, F)$, 47
- $\mathcal{CF}(E, F)$, 52
- $\mathbb{C}[G]$, 225
- $CH(G)$, 227
- $C^*(\{T_i; i \in \Lambda\})$, 207
- $C_{red}^*(G)$, 225
- $C_0(\Omega)$, 25
- $C_0(\Omega, \mathcal{K})$, 34
- \mathcal{D} , 220
- \mathbf{D} , 120
- $'\mathcal{D}^E$, 119
- $'\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^E$, 121
- $'\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^u$, 121
- $'\mathcal{D}^x$, 119
- $\mathbf{D}_{(E)}$, 120
- \mathcal{D}^F , 119
- $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^F$, 121
- $\mathcal{D}_1 \otimes \mathcal{D}_2$, 136
- $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^v$, 121
- \mathcal{D}_w , 119
- \mathcal{D}^y , 119
- \mathcal{E} , 141
- $E \otimes F$, 21
- $E \otimes F$, 21
- $E \otimes_{op} F$, 94
- $E \otimes_h F$, 85
- $E \otimes_{oi} F$, 97
- $E \otimes^h F$, 85
- $E \otimes^{oi} F$, 97
- $E \otimes^{op} F$, 94
- E^* , 123
- E^\sharp , 9
- E^{**} , 124
- E^{cc} , 9
- $E_1 \otimes_i \cdots \otimes_i E_n$, 77
- $E_1 \otimes_p \cdots \otimes_p E_n$, 76
- $E_1 \otimes^i \cdots \otimes^i E_n$, 77
- $E_1 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} E_n$, 76
- E_{cmax} , 40
- E_{full} , 213
- E_{max} , 39
- E_{min} , 39
- E_{red} , 210
- (\bar{E}, i) , 65
- \mathcal{F} , 1, 14
- \mathbb{F} , 228
- $(\mathcal{F}A)^+$, 24
- $\mathcal{F}E$, 1, 16
- $\mathcal{F}(E)$, 10
- $\mathcal{F}(E, F)$, 10
- $\mathbb{F}(H)$, 206
- $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}(H)$, 214
- \mathcal{F}_P , 16
- $\mathcal{F}_P A^+$, 24
- $\mathcal{F}_P E$, 16

- \mathbb{F}_Λ , 210
 $\tilde{F}_q(H)$, 218
 $\text{HSM}(G)$, 225
 $H^{\wedge n}$, 206
 $H_q^{\otimes n}$, 218
 H_c , 42
 H_r , 42
 $H_{c \cap r}$, 44
 $\text{INF}(\Psi)$, 221
 I_{sp} , 21
 \mathcal{K} , 14
 $\mathcal{K}(E)$, 10
 $\mathcal{K}(E, F)$, 10
 L , 1, 14
 $\mathcal{L}(E)$, 9
 $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$, 9
 $L \otimes H$, 2
 $\mathcal{N}(H, K)$, 12
 Nor , 10
 Nor_1 , 10
 OH , 135
 $\mathcal{P}r$, 16
 QNor , 48
 QNor_1 , 48
 QNor , 1
 QNor_1 , 1
 \mathcal{R}^F , 9
 $'\mathcal{R}^F$, 9
 \mathcal{R}^{op} , 20
 \mathcal{R}_s , 20, 111
 \mathcal{R}_w , 20, 111
 SCM , 220
 $S(H, K)$, 12
 \mathbf{S}_n , 202
 \mathcal{T} , 129
 $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$, 130
 \mathcal{W} , 215
 $\mathcal{WB}(E \times F, G)$, 145
 $X \otimes_{A-A} Y$, 80
 $X \otimes_A Y$, 80
 \cdot , 15
 $\square E$, 36
 $\Phi(\{T_i; i \in \Lambda\})$, 207
 \mathfrak{R} , 81
 Υ , 201
 $\|\cdot\|_{\max}$, 39
 $\|\cdot\|_{\min}$, 39
 $\|a\|_{\mathcal{S}}$, 12
 $\|a\|_{\mathcal{N}}$, 12
 $\|u\|_i$, 77
 $\|\Psi\|_{SC}$, 222
 $\|\mathcal{R}\|_{scb}$, 69
 $\|\mathcal{R}\|_{wcb}$, 69
 $\|\cdot\|_{\bullet}$, 189
 $\|\cdot\|_h$, 83
 $\|\cdot\|_p$, 75
 $\|\cdot\|_{\text{cmax}}$, 39
 $\|\cdot\|_{c \cap r}$, 44
 $\|\cdot\|_{oi}$, 96
 $\|\cdot\|_{op}$, 91
 $\|\cdot\|_q$, 134
 $\|\varphi\|_{cb}$, 47
 $\|\psi\|_{HS}$, 225
 $\alpha_{E,G}$, 145
 ∇ , 15
 \diamond , 14
 \diamond , 91
 $\not\propto_E$, 18
 $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, 11
 $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$, 11
 $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_q$, 218
 $\langle \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \rangle$, 128
 \otimes , 11
 \tilde{U}_E , 59
 \otimes , 106
 $\tilde{\otimes}$, 106
 \oplus , 12
 $\oplus\{H_\nu : \nu \in \Lambda\}$, 13
 $\oplus\{T_\nu : \nu \in \Lambda\}$, 13
 $\odot_{\mathcal{B}}$, 86
 ω_n , 44
 \otimes , 9
 φ^* , 11
 $\varphi \bullet \psi$, 189
 $\varphi \otimes \psi$, 21
 $\varphi \tilde{\otimes} \psi$, 106
 $\varphi \tilde{\otimes} \psi$, 106
 $\varphi_1 \bullet \cdots \bullet \varphi_n$, 195
 $\varphi_1 \tilde{\otimes} \cdots \tilde{\otimes} \varphi_n$, 77
 φ_∞ , 2
 φ_∞ , 19
 $\|\varphi\|_{cb}$, 2
 ϖ_n , 44
 \mathfrak{U} , 15
 $\xi \circ \eta$, 13
 $\xi \diamond \eta$, 15
 $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$, 132
 $\{\{\cdot, \cdot\}\}$, 133
 \mathbf{a} , 206
 $a \diamond u$, 17
 $a \geq b$, 24
 ax , 1, 16
 $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}$, 215
 $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_q$, 219
 $b \leq a$, 24
 \mathbf{c} , 206
 $\tilde{\mathbf{c}}$, 215
 $\tilde{\mathbf{c}}_q$, 218
 $f \circ g$, 96
 $A\mathbf{h}(X, Y)$, 79
 $\mathbf{h}_A(X, Y)$, 79
 $A\mathbf{h}_A(X, Y)$, 79
 $\mathbf{l}(g)$, 228
 $m^{S,T}$, 4, 22
 $q\text{-CCR}$, 217

- q -Canonical Commutation Relations, 217
- q -deformed Fock space, 218
- $\text{tr}(\cdot)$, 12
- $u \diamond v$, 90
- $u \odot v$, 82
- u^\bullet , 19
- u^* , 19
- \bar{x} , 9
- $x \otimes_{A-A} y$, 80
- $x \otimes_A y$, 80
- $x \wedge u$, 204
- $x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n$, 202
- $\bigwedge^n E$, 202
- $\ast_E: \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F} \otimes E \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes E$, 22
- abstract operator space, 2, 37
- adjoint operator in the context of operator systems, 182
- amplification of a space, 1, 16
- amplification of an operator, 2, 19
- amplifying operator, 18
- annihilation operator, 206
- Arveson–Wittstock Extension Theorem, 163
- Arveson/Wittstock Extension Theorem, 4
- associativity of quantum tensor products, 115
- attainable operator space, 3
- attainable quantum space, 55
- balanced bioperator, 79
- Banach adjoint operator, 11
- Banach quantum space, 63
- biextension of a map, 9
- bifunctional, 9
- bimodule, 15
- bimodule morphism, 15
- bimodule tensor product, 79
- bioperator, 9
- Blecher Theorem, x
- Blecher/Ruan/Sinclair Theorem, x
- C^* -algebra, 25
- Canonical Anticommutation Relations, 201
- canonical duality, 120
- canonical Hilbert space, 14
- canonical isometric embedding, 123
- CAR, 201
- CAR algebra, 207
- CAR operator space, 207
- castling operator, 59
- C^* -identity, 25
- C^* -norm, 25
- coextension of a map, 9
- coisometric operator, 10
- column Hilbertian space, 42
- Commutative Gelfand/Naimark Theorem, 26
- complete isometric isomorphism, 47
- completed Haagerup tensor product, 82
- completed injective tensor product of spaces, 77
- completed operator-injective tensor product, 97
- completed operator-injective tensor products of operators, 107
- completed operator-projective tensor product, 90
- completed projective tensor product of spaces, 75
- completely bounded norm, 2, 47
- completely bounded operator, 2, 47
- completely coisometric operator, 47
- completely contractive operator, 2, 47
- completely isometric isomorphism, 2
- completely isometric operator, 47
- completely positive operator between involutive algebras, 177
- completely topological isomorphism, 2
- complex conjugate abstract operator space, 45
- complex conjugate module, 155
- complex conjugate quantum norm, 45
- complex conjugate space, 9
- complicated associativity, 153
- concrete operator space, 3, 41
- concrete quantization, 41
- concrete quantum norm, 3, 41
- congruent, 16
- continuous biextension, 65
- continuous extension, 65
- contractive A -bimodule, 79
- corestriction of a map, 9
- Corollary of the GNS-Theorem, 27
- Cowling–Haagerup constant, 227
- C^* -probability space, 213
- C^* -random variable, 213
- creation operator, 206
- cross-norm, 27
- crypto-maximal quantization, 40
- cyclic representation, 26
- cyclic vector, 26
- de Cannièr/Haagerup Theorem, ix, 60
- Decomposition Theorem, 4, 187
- Decomposition Theorem for multilinear operators, 196
- diagonal algebra, 220
- diamond multiplication, 15
- distribution of a C^* -random variable, 214
- double multiplication operator, 4, 22
- dual A -(bi)module, 79
- duality, 119
- Effros symbol, 82
- Effros/Ruan Theorem, x
- elementary n -vector, 202
- EOSR-flat module, 158

- EOSR-injective module, 159
- ER-flat bimodule, 158
- ER-injective bimodule, 160
- evaluation bioperator, 141
- existence theorem for n -fold tensor product, 112
- existence theorem for Haagerup tensor product, 85
- existence theorem for operator-projective tensor product, 95
- exponential law (classical), 76
- exponential law (quantum), 145
- exterior Hilbert tensor power, 206
- exterior power of a linear space, 202
- extremely flat bimodule, 158
- extremely flat module, 158
- extremely injective bimodule, 160
- extremely injective module, 159

- Fermi–Fock space, 206
- final projection, 13
- first axiom of Ruan, 33
- flip operator, 15
- Fourier algebra, 226
- full Fock space, 214
- functor of completely bounded operators, 145

- Gelfand/Naimark Theorem, 26
- General (or non-commutative) Gelfand/Naimark Theorem, 26
- GNS-construction, 26
- GNS-Theorem, 26

- Haagerup norm, 84
- Halmos Similarity Problem, xiii
- Herz–Schur multiplier, 225
- Hilbert adjoint operator, 11
- Hilbert space, generated by a pre-inner product, 14
- Hilbert sum of a family of operators, 13
- Hilbertian space, 42

- implementing operators, 11
- induced concrete quantization, 41
- induced concrete quantum space, 41
- induced quantization, 37
- initial projection, 13
- injective property (classical), 78
- injective property (quantum), 108
- isometric duality, 120
- isometric embedding, 10
- isometric pairing, 120
- isometrically equivalent operators, 10

- law of adjoint associativity, 76
- law of adjoint associativity for modules, 154
- left completed operator-projective tensor product functor, 107
- left contractive A -module, 78
- left isometric bifunctional, 120
- left non-completed Haagerup tensor product functor, 107
- left non-degenerate bifunctional, 119
- left regular representation of a group, 210
- left Ruan module, 156
- left separately bounded bifunctional, 120
- left support of an element, 15
- length of a reduced word, 228
- linear isometric involution, 18
- linearization of a multilinear operator, 75

- maximal quantization, 39
- minimal quantum norm, 39
- minimal quantum space, 39
- modification, 181
- module tensor product, 79

- non-completed Haagerup tensor product, 82
- non-completed Haagerup tensor products of operators, 107
- non-completed injective tensor product of spaces, 77
- non-completed operator-injective tensor product, 97
- non-completed operator-projective tensor product, 90
- non-completed operator-projective tensor products of operators, 107
- non-completed projective tensor product of operators, 77
- non-completed projective tensor product of spaces, 75
- non-completed spatial tensor product, 21
- normed Ruan bimodule, 34
- nuclear norm, 12
- n -vector, 202

- operator space, 11
- operator system, 181
- operator, associated with a multilinear operator, 75
- operator-projective norm, 92
- opposite bioperator, 20
- Ozawa Theorem, 230

- pairing, 119
- partially isometric operator, 12
- Paulsen trick, 184
- Pisier quantum norm, 135
- Pisier quantum space, 135
- positive element, 24
- positive functional, 26
- positive operator between involutive algebras, 177
- pre-inner product, 14
- projective property (classical), 78

- projective property (quantum), 108
- protoquantum norm, 36
- protoquantum normed space, 36
- protoquantum semi-norm, 36
- protoquantum semi-normed space, 36
- quantization, 37
- quantization, generated by a vector duality, 140
- quantum Cauchy/Bunyakovsky inequality, 132
- quantum cross-norm, 95
- quantum dual space, 123
- quantum law of adjoint associativity, 147
- quantum norm, 1, 37
- quantum predual space, 123
- quantum quotient space, 52
- quantum space, 2, 37
- quantum space, generated by a duality, 121
- quantum subcross-norm, 95
- real C^* -random variable, 214
- reduced C^* -algebra of a group, 225
- reduced operator space of a free group, 210
- repeated amplification, 18
- repeated quantization, 57
- representation, 25
- representation/factorization/extension theorem, 5
- restriction of a map, 9
- right contractive A -module, 79
- right isometric bifunctional, 120
- right non-degenerate bifunctional, 119
- right Ruan module, 156
- right separately bounded bifunctional, 119
- right support of an element, 15
- row Hilbertian space, 42
- Ruan Representation Theorem, 3, 170
- Ruan Theorem on amenability, xi
- Ruan's axioms, 1
- scalar duality, 119
- Schmidt norm, 12
- Schur multiplier, 220
- second axiom of Ruan, 33
- self-adjoint operator in the context of operator systems, 182
- self-dual quantum norm, 135
- self-duality of the Haagerup tensor product, 138
- semi-normed Ruan bimodule, 34
- skew-symmetric Fock space, 206
- skew-symmetric multilinear operator, 202
- standard quantization of $(\mathcal{CB}(E, G))$, 141
- standard quantization of a C^* -algebra, 41
- standard quantization of a function space, 40
- standard quantum norm on $\mathcal{N}(K, H)$, 129
- standard semi-circular real C^* -random variable, 214
- state, 26
- Stinespring Theorem, 178
- strictly coisometric operator, 10
- strong amplification of a bioperator, 20
- strong completely bounded norm, 69
- strongly completely bounded bioperator, 69
- strongly completely contractive bioperator, 69
- subalgebra, suitable for amplifications, 222
- sufficient family of functionals, 9
- support of an element, 15
- trace norm, 12
- underlying (semi-)normed space, 36
- underlying bounded operator, 49
- Uniqueness Theorem for the CAR algebra, 208
- Uniqueness Theorem for the CAR operator space, 209
- universal property of (bi)module tensor product, 79
- universal property of completeness, 64
- universal property of projective tensor product, 75
- universal property with respect to \mathfrak{R} , 81
- vacuum vector, 206
- vector duality, 10
- vector functional, 11
- vector pairing, 10
- weak amplification of a bioperator, 20
- weak completely bounded norm, 69
- Weak Principle of Local Reflexivity, 127
- weakly amenable group, 227
- weakly completely bounded bioperator, 69
- weakly completely contractive bioperator, 69
- weakly isometrically equivalent operators, 11

Titles in This Series

- 56 **A. Ya. Helemskii**, Quantum functional analysis: Non-coordinate approach, 2010
- 55 **Oded Goldreich**, A primer on pseudorandom generators, 2010
- 54 **John M. Mackay and Jeremy T. Tyson**, Conformal dimension: Theory and application, 2010
- 53 **John W. Morgan and Frederick Tsz-Ho Fong**, Ricci flow and geometrization of 3-manifolds, 2010
- 52 **Jan Nagel and Marian Aprodu**, Koszul cohomology and algebraic geometry, 2010
- 51 **J. Ben Hough, Manjunath Krishnapur, Yuval Peres, and Bálint Virág**, Zeros of Gaussian analytic functions and determinantal point processes, 2009
- 50 **John T. Baldwin**, Categoricity, 2009
- 49 **József Beck**, Inevitable randomness in discrete mathematics, 2009
- 48 **Achill Schürmann**, Computational geometry of positive definite quadratic forms, 2008
- 47 **Ernst Kunz (with the assistance of and contributions by David A. Cox and Alicia Dickenstein)**, Residues and duality for projective algebraic varieties, 2008
- 46 **Lorenzo Sadun**, Topology of tiling spaces, 2008
- 45 **Matthew Baker, Brian Conrad, Samit Dasgupta, Kiran S. Kedlaya, and Jeremy Teitelbaum (David Savitt and Dinesh S. Thakur, Editors)**, p -adic geometry: Lectures from the 2007 Arizona Winter School, 2008
- 44 **Vladimir Kanovei**, Borel equivalence relations: structure and classification, 2008
- 43 **Giuseppe Zampieri**, Complex analysis and CR geometry, 2008
- 42 **Holger Brenner, Jürgen Herzog, and Orlando Villamayor (Juan Elias, Teresa Cortadellas Benítez, Gemma Colomé-Nin, and Santiago Zarzuela, Editors)**, Three Lectures on Commutative Algebra, 2008
- 41 **James Haglund**, The q, t -Catalan numbers and the space of diagonal harmonics (with an appendix on the combinatorics of Macdonald polynomials), 2008
- 40 **Vladimir Pestov**, Dynamics of infinite-dimensional groups. The Ramsey–Dvoretzky–Milman phenomenon, 2006
- 39 **Oscar Zariski**, The moduli problem for plane branches (with an appendix by Bernard Teissier), 2006
- 38 **Lars V. Ahlfors**, Lectures on Quasiconformal Mappings, Second Edition, 2006
- 37 **Alexander Polishchuk and Leonid Positselski**, Quadratic algebras, 2005
- 36 **Matilde Marcolli**, Arithmetic noncommutative geometry, 2005
- 35 **Luca Capogna, Carlos E. Kenig, and Loredana Lanzani**, Harmonic measure: Geometric and analytic points of view, 2005
- 34 **E. B. Dynkin**, Superdiffusions and positive solutions of nonlinear partial differential equations, 2004
- 33 **Kristian Seip**, Interpolation and sampling in spaces of analytic functions, 2004
- 32 **Paul B. Larson**, The stationary tower: Notes on a course by W. Hugh Woodin, 2004
- 31 **John Roe**, Lectures on coarse geometry, 2003
- 30 **Anatole Katok**, Combinatorial constructions in ergodic theory and dynamics, 2003
- 29 **Thomas H. Wolff (Izabella Łaba and Carol Shubin, editors)**, Lectures on harmonic analysis, 2003
- 28 **Skip Garibaldi, Alexander Merkurjev, and Jean-Pierre Serre**, Cohomological invariants in Galois cohomology, 2003
- 27 **Sun-Yung A. Chang, Paul C. Yang, Karsten Grove, and Jon G. Wolfson**, Conformal, Riemannian and Lagrangian geometry, The 2000 Barrett Lectures, 2002
- 26 **Susumu Ariki**, Representations of quantum algebras and combinatorics of Young tableaux, 2002
- 25 **William T. Ross and Harold S. Shapiro**, Generalized analytic continuation, 2002
- 24 **Victor M. Buchstaber and Taras E. Panov**, Torus actions and their applications in topology and combinatorics, 2002

TITLES IN THIS SERIES

- 23 **Luis Barreira and Yakov B. Pesin**, Lyapunov exponents and smooth ergodic theory, 2002
- 22 **Yves Meyer**, Oscillating patterns in image processing and nonlinear evolution equations, 2001
- 21 **Bojko Bakalov and Alexander Kirillov, Jr.**, Lectures on tensor categories and modular functors, 2001
- 20 **Alison M. Etheridge**, An introduction to superprocesses, 2000
- 19 **R. A. Minlos**, Introduction to mathematical statistical physics, 2000
- 18 **Hiraku Nakajima**, Lectures on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces, 1999
- 17 **Marcel Berger**, Riemannian geometry during the second half of the twentieth century, 2000
- 16 **Harish-Chandra**, Admissible invariant distributions on reductive p -adic groups (with notes by Stephen DeBacker and Paul J. Sally, Jr.), 1999
- 15 **Andrew Mathas**, Iwahori-Hecke algebras and Schur algebras of the symmetric group, 1999
- 14 **Lars Kadison**, New examples of Frobenius extensions, 1999
- 13 **Yakov M. Eliashberg and William P. Thurston**, Confoliations, 1998
- 12 **I. G. Macdonald**, Symmetric functions and orthogonal polynomials, 1998
- 11 **Lars Gårding**, Some points of analysis and their history, 1997
- 10 **Victor Kac**, Vertex algebras for beginners, Second Edition, 1998
- 9 **Stephen Gelbart**, Lectures on the Arthur-Selberg trace formula, 1996
- 8 **Bernd Sturmfels**, Gröbner bases and convex polytopes, 1996
- 7 **Andy R. Magid**, Lectures on differential Galois theory, 1994
- 6 **Dusa McDuff and Dietmar Salamon**, J -holomorphic curves and quantum cohomology, 1994
- 5 **V. I. Arnold**, Topological invariants of plane curves and caustics, 1994
- 4 **David M. Goldschmidt**, Group characters, symmetric functions, and the Hecke algebra, 1993
- 3 **A. N. Varchenko and P. I. Etingof**, Why the boundary of a round drop becomes a curve of order four, 1992
- 2 **Fritz John**, Nonlinear wave equations, formation of singularities, 1990
- 1 **Michael H. Freedman and Feng Luo**, Selected applications of geometry to low-dimensional topology, 1989

This book contains a systematic presentation of quantum functional analysis, a mathematical subject also known as operator space theory. Created in the 1980s, it nowadays is one of the most prominent areas of functional analysis, both as a field of active research and as a source of numerous important applications.



The approach taken in this book differs significantly from the standard approach used in studying operator space theory. Instead of viewing “quantized coefficients” as matrices in a fixed basis, in this book they are interpreted as finite rank operators in a fixed Hilbert space. This allows the author to replace matrix computations with algebraic techniques of module theory and tensor products, thus achieving a more invariant approach to the subject.

The book can be used by graduate students and research mathematicians interested in functional analysis and related areas of mathematics and mathematical physics. Prerequisites include standard courses in abstract algebra and functional analysis.



For additional information
and updates on this book, visit

www.ams.org/bookpages/ulect-56

ISBN 978-0-8218-5254-5



9 780821 852545

ULECT/56

AMS on the Web
www.ams.org