

Table of Contents

Preface	ix
Part 1: Background	
Doctoral Production in Mathematics Education in the United States: 1960-2005	3
<i>Robert Reys, University of Missouri</i> <i>Robert Glasgow, Southwest Baptist University</i> <i>Dawn Teuscher, Arizona State University Polytechnic Campus</i> <i>Nevels Nevels, University of Missouri</i>	
Doctoral Programs in Mathematics Education in the United States: 2007 Status Report	19
<i>Robert Reys, University of Missouri</i> <i>Robert Glasgow, Southwest Baptist University</i> <i>Dawn Teuscher, Arizona State University Polytechnic Campus</i> <i>Nevels Nevels, University of Missouri</i>	
Report of a 2007 Survey of U. S. Doctoral Students in Mathematics Education	39
<i>Dawn Teuscher, Arizona State University Polytechnic Campus</i> <i>Nevels Nevels, University of Missouri</i> <i>Catherine Ulrich, University of Georgia</i>	
Part 2: Developing Stewards of the Discipline: Core Elements	
Creating a Broader Vision of Doctoral Education: Lessons from the Carnegie Initiative on the Doctorate	53
<i>Chris M. Golde, Stanford University</i>	
What Core Knowledge do Doctoral Students in Mathematics Education Need to Know?	63
<i>Joan Ferrini-Mundy</i> <i>National Science Foundation</i>	
Breakout Sessions:	
The Mathematical Education of Doctorates in Mathematics Education	75
<i>Daniel Chazan, University of Maryland</i> <i>W. James Lewis, University of Nebraska-Lincoln</i>	
Curriculum as Core Knowledge	87
<i>Rose Mary Zbiek, The Pennsylvania State University</i> <i>Christian R. Hirsch, Western Michigan University</i>	

Making Policy Issues Visible in the Doctoral Preparation of Mathematics Educators	97
<i>Edward Silver, University of Michigan</i>	
<i>Erica Walker, Teachers College, Columbia University</i>	
Preparing Teachers in Mathematics Education Doctoral Programs: Tensions and Strategies	103
<i>Patricia S. Wilson, University of Georgia</i>	
<i>Megan Franke, University of California at Los Angeles</i>	
Doctoral Programs in Mathematics Education: Diversity and Equity	111
<i>Edd V. Taylor, Northwestern University</i>	
<i>Richard Kitchen, University of New Mexico</i>	
Using Technology in Teaching and Learning Mathematics: What Should Doctoral Students in Mathematics Education Know?	117
<i>M. Kathleen Heid, The Pennsylvania State University</i>	
<i>Hollylynn S. Lee, North Carolina State University</i>	
Part 3: Developing Stewards of the Discipline: Delivery Systems	
Program Delivery Issues, Opportunities, and Challenges	129
<i>Denise S. Mewborn, University of Georgia</i>	
Breakout Sessions:	
Doctoral Preparation of Researchers	139
<i>James A. Middleton, Arizona State University</i>	
<i>Barbara Dougherty, University of Mississippi</i>	
Key Components of Mathematics Education Doctoral Programs in the United States: Current Practices and Suggestions for Improvement	147
<i>William S. Bush, University of Louisville</i>	
<i>Enrique Galindo, Indiana University</i>	
On-line Delivery of Graduate Courses in Mathematics Education	155
<i>Maurice Burke, Montana State University</i>	
<i>Vena M. Long, University of Tennessee</i>	
Mathematics Education Doctoral Programs: Approaches to Part-Time Students	163
<i>Gladis Kersaint, University of South Florida</i>	
<i>Gerald A. Goldin, Rutgers University</i>	
Induction of Doctoral Graduates in Mathematics Education into the Profession	169
<i>Barbara J. Reys, University of Missouri</i>	
<i>Gwendolyn M. Lloyd, Virginia Tech</i>	
<i>Karen Marrongelle, Portland State University</i>	
<i>Matthew S. Winsor, University of Texas, El Paso</i>	

Part 4: Doctoral Programs in Mathematics Education: Some International Perspectives	
Doctoral Programs in Mathematics Education: An International Perspective	177
<i>Jeremy Kilpatrick, University of Georgia</i>	
Doctoral Studies in Mathematics Education: Unique Features of Brazilian Programs	181
<i>Beatriz S. D'Ambrosio, Miami University</i>	
Nordic Doctoral Programs in Didactics of Mathematics	189
<i>Barbro Grevholm, University of Agder, Norway</i>	
Japanese Doctoral Programs in Mathematics Education: Academic or Professional	195
<i>Masataka Koyama, Hiroshima University, Japan</i>	
Post-Graduate Study Program in Mathematics Education at the University of Granada (Spain)	203
<i>Luis Rico, University of Granada</i>	
<i>Antonio Fernández-Cano, University of Granada</i>	
<i>Encarnación Castro, University of Granada</i>	
<i>Manuel Torralbo, University of Granada</i>	
Part 5: Accreditation	
Accreditation of Doctoral Programs: A Lack of Consensus*	215
*Panel consisted of Jere Confrey, North Carolina State University, Jenny Bay-Williams, University of Louisville, Skip Fennell, McDaniel College and Mark Klespis, Sam Houston State University and moderated by Glenda Lappan, Michigan State University. This report was prepared by:	
<i>Glenda Lappan, Michigan State University</i>	
<i>Jill Newton, Purdue University</i>	
<i>Dawn Teuscher, Arizona State University Polytechnic Campus</i>	
Part 6: Reflections from Within	
Preparing the Next Generation of Mathematics Educators: An Assistant Professor's Experience	223
<i>Andrew Tyminski, Purdue University</i>	
Mathematics Content for Elementary Mathematics Education Graduate Students: Overcoming the Prerequisites Hurdle	229
<i>David Kirshner, Louisiana State University</i>	
<i>Thomas Ricks, Louisiana State University</i>	
Intellectual Communities: Promoting Collaboration Within and Across Doctoral Programs in Mathematics Education	233
<i>Dawn Teuscher, Arizona State University Polytechnic Campus</i>	
<i>Anne Marie Marshall, University of Maryland</i>	
<i>Jill Newton, Purdue University</i>	
<i>Catherine Ulrich, University of Georgia</i>	

Part 7: Closing Commentary	
Reflecting on the Conference and Looking Toward the Future	241
<i>James Hiebert, University of Delaware</i>	
<i>Diana Lambdin, Indiana University</i>	
<i>Steve Williams, Brigham Young University</i>	
Appendices:	
A. List of Conference Participants	255
B. Conference Agenda	259
References	261