

ON THE GENERAL TERM IN THE REVERSION OF SERIES.

BY PROF. JAMES McMAHON.

IN reverting the series

$$y = a_0x + a_1x^2 + a_2x^3 + \dots \quad [a_0 \neq 0]$$

it is usual to assume a development for x in the form

$$x = A_0y + A_1y^2 + A_2y^3 + \dots,$$

and then to substitute, and equate coefficients of like powers, thus determining A_0, A_1, \dots in succession.This method does not give any observable law for the independent formation of the expression for the coefficient of a given power of y .

A different method, however, based on Lagrange's series, furnishes the desired general term.

The first equation may be written

$$a_0x = y - a_1x^2 - a_2x^3 - \dots,$$

or

$$x = z + b_1x^2 + b_2x^3 + \dots, \quad = z + \phi(x),$$

where

$$z = \frac{y}{a_0}, \quad b_1 = -\frac{a_1}{a_0}, \quad b_2 = -\frac{a_2}{a_0}, \quad \dots,$$

and

$$\phi(x) = b_1x^2 + b_2x^3 + \dots;$$

whence, by Lagrange's series,

$$x = z + \phi(z) + \frac{1}{2!} \frac{d}{dz} [\phi(z)]^2 + \frac{1}{3!} \frac{d^2}{dz^2} [\phi(z)]^3 + \dots$$

in which

$$\phi(z) = b_1 z^2 + b_2 z^3 + \dots,$$

$$\frac{d}{dz}[\phi(z)]^2 = 4b_1^2 z^3 + 5(2b_1 b_2) z^4 + 6(b_2^2 + 2b_1 b_3) z^5 + \dots,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dz^2}[\phi(z)]^3 &= 6 \cdot 5b_1^3 z^4 + 7 \cdot 6(3b_1^2 b_2) z^5 \\ &\quad + 8 \cdot 7(3b_1 b_2^2 + 3b_1^2 b_3) z^6 + \dots, \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore x &= z + b_1 z^2 + \left(b_2 + \frac{4}{2!} b_1^2\right) z^3 + \left(b_3 + \frac{5}{2!} \cdot 2b_1 b_2 + \frac{5 \cdot 6}{3!} b_1^3\right) z^4 \\ &\quad + \left[b_4 + \frac{6}{2!}(b_2^2 + 2b_1 b_3) + \frac{6 \cdot 7}{3!} \cdot 3b_1^2 b_2 + \frac{6 \cdot 7 \cdot 8}{4!} b_1^4\right] z^5 \\ &\quad + \dots \\ &+ \left[b_{n-2} + \frac{n}{2!}(2b_1 b_{n-3} + 2b_2 b_{n-4} + \dots) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{n^{2!}}{3!}(3b_1^2 b_{n-4} + 6b_1 b_2 b_{n-4} + \dots) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{n^{3!}}{4!}(4b_1^3 b_{n-5} + 12b_1^2 b_2 b_{n-5} + \dots) + \dots \right] z^{n-1} \\ &+ \dots \end{aligned}$$

wherein

$$n^{r!} = n(n + 1)(n + 2) \dots (n + r - 1),^*$$

and the parenthesis that $\frac{n^{r!}}{(r + 1)!}$ multiplies, is

$$\sum \frac{(p + q + \dots)!}{p! q! \dots} b_i^p b_j^q \dots,$$

in which

$$p + q + \dots = r + 1, \quad \text{and} \quad pi + qj + \dots = n - 2;$$

* In accordance with the notation

$$n^{r!d} = n(n + d)(n + 2d) \dots [n + (r - 1)d].$$

that is to say, the *order* of this parenthesis in the letters b_1, b_2, \dots is $r + 1$, its *weight* is $n - 2$, and the numerical coefficients are those of the polynomial theorem.

In terms of the original letters y, a_0, a_1, \dots the series may be written in the more homogeneous form

$$\begin{aligned}
 x &= \frac{y}{a_0} + \frac{y^2}{a_0^2}(-a_1) + \frac{y^3}{a_0^3}(-a_0a_2 + \frac{4}{2!}a_1^2) \\
 &\quad + \frac{y^4}{a_0^4}(-a_0^2a_3 + \frac{5}{2!} \cdot 2a_0a_1a_2 - \frac{5^{2|1}}{3!}a_1^3) \\
 &+ \frac{y^5}{a_0^5} \left[-a_0^3a_4 + \frac{6}{2!}a_0^2(a_2^2 + 2a_1a_3) - \frac{6^{2|1}}{3!}a_0(3a_1^2a_2) + \frac{6^{3|1}}{4!}a_1^4 \right] \\
 &\quad + \dots \\
 &+ \frac{y^{n-1}}{a_0^{2n-3}} \left[-a_0^{n-3}a_{n-2} + \frac{n}{2!}a_0^{n-4}(2a_1a_{n-3} + \dots) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{n^{2|1}}{3!}a_0^{n-5}(3a_1^2a_{n-4} + \dots) + \dots + \frac{n^{n-3|1}}{(n-2)!}(-a_1)^{n-2} \right] \\
 &\quad + \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

It will be noticed that the coefficient of $\frac{y^{n-1}}{a_0^{2n-3}}$ is now a homogeneous function of a_0, a_1, \dots , of order $n - 2$, weight $n - 2$; and that the "polynomial coefficients" involved in such terms as $\frac{n^{3|1}}{4!}a_0^{n-6}(12a_1^2a_2a_{n-6} + \dots)$ are to be chosen without reference to the exponent of a_0 ; while the latter exponent is related to the outside coefficient $\frac{n^{3|1}}{4!}$, by an obvious rule.

If $a_0 = 0$, let $a_{m-1}x^m$ be the first term in the given series, then the relation between x and y may be written in the form $x = f[z + \phi(x)]$, where $z = \frac{y}{a_{m-1}}$, and $f(z) = z^{\frac{1}{m}}$; hence Laplace's theorem gives a development for x that can be arranged in powers of $z^{\frac{1}{m}}$. As the general term now involves both n and m , the law is not so simple as in the case above, for which $m = 1$.