ON THE CONGRUENCE $x^{\phi(P)} \equiv 1$, MOD. $P^{n}$.
by PROFESSOR JACOB WESTLUND.
(Read before the American Mathematical Society, August 31, 1903.)

1. Let $k(\theta)$ be any algebraic number field and $P$ a prime ideal in $k(\theta)$. Then we know that every algebraic integer, which is prime to $P$, satisfies the congruence

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\phi(P)} \equiv 1, \bmod . P \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi(P)=n(P)-1, n(P)$ denoting the norm of $P$. The object of the present note is to determine the roots of the congruence
(2)

$$
x^{\phi(P)} \equiv 1, \bmod . P^{n}
$$

for $n>1$.*
2. To determine the roots of (2) we introduce the function $q_{n}(\alpha)$, defined in the following way. Suppose that $\alpha$ be a root of

$$
x^{\phi(P)} \equiv 1, \bmod . P^{n}
$$

and let $\mu_{n}$ be an algebraic integer, divisible by $P^{n}$ and by no higher power of $P$. Then we can find an algebraic integer, which we denote by $q_{n}(\alpha)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\phi(P)} \equiv 1+\mu_{n} q_{n}(\alpha), \bmod . P^{n+1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For if

$$
\alpha^{\phi(P)}=1+\pi,
$$

where $\pi$ is divisible by $P^{n}$, we should have

$$
\pi \equiv \mu_{n} q_{n}(\alpha), \bmod . P^{n+1}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\gamma \pi}{\mu_{n}} \equiv \gamma q_{n}(\alpha), \bmod . P \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\gamma$ is an algebraic integer, prime to $P$, such that $\gamma \pi / \mu_{n}$ is an

[^0]integer. Since $\gamma$ is prime to $P$, the congruence (4) determines $q_{n}(\alpha)$ uniquely mod. $P$. The function $q_{n}$, defined in this way, depends on $\mu_{n}$. But if $\mu_{n}$ and $\mu_{n}^{\prime}$ be two algebraic integers, divisible by $P^{n}$ and by no higher power of $P$, and $q_{n}$ and $q_{n}^{\prime}$ the corresponding functions $q$, then
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{n} q_{n}(\alpha) \equiv \mu_{n}^{\prime} q_{n}^{\prime}(\alpha), \bmod . P^{n+1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

3. For the function $q_{n}(\alpha)$ we can easily derive the following three properties :
I. $q_{n}(\alpha \beta) \equiv q_{n}(\alpha)+q_{n}(\beta), \bmod . P$.
II. $q_{n}(\alpha) \equiv q_{n}(\beta)$, mod. $P$, if $\alpha \equiv \beta$, mod. $P^{n+1}$.
III. $q_{n}(\alpha) \equiv q_{n}(\beta)-\beta^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \pi \delta / \mu_{n}, \bmod . P$, if $\alpha \equiv \beta, \bmod . P^{n}$. Here $\pi=\alpha-\beta$ and $\delta$ is an algebraic integer, prime to $P$, such that $\pi \delta / \mu_{n}$ is an integer. $\beta^{\prime}$ and $\delta^{\prime}$ are determined by $\beta \beta^{\prime} \equiv 1$, $\bmod . P$, and $\delta \delta^{\prime} \equiv 1, \bmod . P$.

The first two properties follow directly from the definition of $q_{n}(\alpha)$. To prove the third property let $\alpha=\beta+\pi$. Then, since $\phi(P)=p^{f}-1, p$ being the rational prime divisible by $P$ and $f$ the degree of $P$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\beta+\pi)^{\phi(P)} & \equiv \beta^{\phi(P)}-\beta^{\phi(P)-1} \pi, \bmod . P^{n+1} \\
& \equiv \beta^{\phi(P)}-\beta^{\prime} \beta^{\phi(P)} \pi, \bmod . P^{n+1} \\
& \equiv 1+\mu_{n} q_{n}(\beta)-\beta^{\prime} \pi, \bmod . P^{n+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\mu_{n} q_{n}(\alpha) \equiv \mu_{n} q_{n}(\beta)-\beta^{\prime} \pi, \bmod . P^{n+1}
$$

from which the third property follows directly.
4. Now let $\alpha$ be a root of

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\phi(P)} \equiv 1, \bmod . P^{n} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\beta$ be any algebraic integer and $P^{m}$ the highest power of $P$, which will divide $\beta-\alpha$. Then, if we set $\beta=\alpha+\pi$, in order that $\beta$ should be a root of

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\phi(P)} \equiv 1, \bmod . P^{n+1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we must have

$$
(\alpha+\pi)^{\phi(P)} \equiv 1, \bmod . P^{n+1}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{n} q_{n}(\alpha)+\phi(P) \alpha^{\prime} \pi+\frac{\phi(P)[\phi(P)-1]}{2!} \alpha^{2} \pi^{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
+\cdots+\alpha^{\prime \phi(P)} \pi^{\phi(P)} \equiv 0, \text { mod. } P^{n+1}
$$

where $\alpha \alpha^{\prime} \equiv 1, \bmod . P^{n}$.
If $m<n$, all the terms in (8) would be divisible by $P^{m}$, and hence $\phi(P)$ divisible by $P$, which is impossible. Hence we must have $m=n$. Then we get from (8)
and

$$
\pi \equiv \alpha \mu_{n} q_{n}(\alpha), \bmod . P^{n+1}
$$

(9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \equiv \alpha\left[1+\mu_{n} q_{n}(\alpha)\right], \bmod . P^{n+1} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is also easily seen that $\alpha\left[1+\mu_{n} q_{n}(\alpha)\right]$ is a root of (7), if $\alpha$ is a root of (6). Now let $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ be two roots of (6), incongruent mod. $P^{n}$. Then, if

$$
\alpha_{1}\left[1+\mu_{n} q_{n}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right] \equiv \alpha_{2}\left[1+\mu_{n} q_{n}\left(\alpha_{2}\right)\right], \text { mod. } P^{n+1},
$$

we should have

$$
\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2} \equiv \mu_{n}\left[\alpha_{2} q_{n}\left(\alpha_{2}\right)-\alpha_{1} \mu_{n} q_{n}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right], \bmod . P^{n+1}
$$

which is impossible, since $\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}$ is not divisible by $P^{n}$.
Now by giving to $n$ the values $1,2,3, \cdots$ we thus see that all the roots of

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\phi(P)} \equiv 1, \bmod . P^{n} \tag{are}
\end{equation*}
$$

(10) $\quad x \equiv \alpha\left[1+\mu_{1} q_{1}(\alpha)\right] \cdots\left[1+\mu_{n-1} q_{n-1}(\alpha)\right], \bmod . P^{n}$,
where $\alpha$ runs through the roots of $x^{\phi(P)} \equiv 1, \bmod . P$.
Purdue University,
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[^0]:    * For $k(1)$ or the number field consisting of the rational numbers, see Bachmann : Niedere Zahlentheorie, p. 159.

