# NOTE ON REMOVABLE SINGULARITIES. 

BY MR. W. E. MILNE.

In his dissertation* Kistler proves the following theorem:
"Let $f\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$ be analytic throughout the neighborhood of a point ( $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$ ) with the exception at most of the points of a finite number of analytic manifolds, each of which is at most ( $2 n-4$ )-dimensional. Then it is analytic in the excepted points also, if properly defined there."

A similar theorem is given below. The two theorems differ in two respects. (1) Kistler's theorem requires the excepted points to lie on analytic manifolds, while the present one does not; (2) the present theorem requires that for every $\dagger$ pair of variables the excepted locus reduce to isolated points when the remaining $n-2$ variables are fixed, while Kistler's theorem requires this to hold simply for one pair. But it is to be noted that the hypotheses of the present theorem will in general $\ddagger$ be fulfilled if the singular manifolds are analytic.

The theorem is as follows:
Theorem. Let the function $\varphi\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$ of $n$ complex variables, $n>2$, be analytic in the region ( $S_{1}, \cdots, S_{n}$ ) except for the points of a (2n-4)-dimensional locus of such character that when any $n-2$ of the variables are given any fixed values in their respective regions, and $z_{i}$ and $z_{j}$ alone vary, then the singularities occur only at isolated points ( $a_{i}, a_{j}$ ) in ( $S_{i}, S_{j}$ ). Under these conditions $\varphi$ has a limit in the points of the singular locus, and if defined as equal to its limit, will be analytic without exception in ( $S_{1}, \cdots, S_{n}$ ).

Proof: Let $z_{3}, \cdots, z_{n}$ be held fast at ( $a_{3}, \cdots, a_{n}$ ) any point of ( $S_{3}, \cdots, S_{n}$ ), and consider $\varphi$ as a function of $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$. From the hypothesis we see that singularities can occur only at isolated points of ( $S_{1}, S_{2}$ ). But isolated singularities for a function of two complex variables are removable; so $\varphi$, if properly defined, will be analytic in $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ throughout $\left(S_{1}, S_{2}\right)$.

[^0]Give to $z_{2}$ any value $a_{2}$ in $S_{2}$, and $\varphi$ will be analytic in $z_{1}$ alone. This holds for every choice of the fixed values assigned to $z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}$. In a similar manner we find $\varphi$ analytic in each remaining variable alone.

Now apply the theorem of Hartogs* which states that if a function of $n$ complex variables is analytic in each one separately, it is analytic in all $n$ variables taken together. Hence $\varphi$ is analytic throughout $\left(S_{1}, \cdots, S_{n}\right)$.
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May, 1914.

## CONCERNING A CERTAIN TOTALLY DISCONTINUOUS FUNCTION.

BY PROFESSOR K. P. WILLIAMS.
(Read before the American Mathematical Society, October 31, 1914.)
One of the most important properties of a continuous function is that it actually assumes every value between any two of its values. It is well known that a function can, however, possess this property without being continuous. An actual example to illustrate this seems to have been first given by Darboux in 1875. A function thatis sometimes cited in this connection is due to Mansion. $\dagger$ The function that the latter gives actually takes all values between any two, but is discontinuous at the single point $x=0$. Functions of this sort can be easily constructed by arbitrarily assigning the values at certain points, according to the function concept of Dirichlet. More interest would therefore attach to such a function if it is given by one and the same expression throughout its region of definition. The function given by Mansion does not, however, possess this property; for it contains the function $E(x)$, defined, as in number theory, as the integer equal to, or next smaller than $x$.
The purpose of this note is to give a function that takes every value between 0 and 1 inclusive, when $x$ varies over the closed interval ( 0,1 ), but which is discontinuous at every point. This function will, furthermore, be represented by one and the same analytical expression throughout its whole region of definition.

[^1]
[^0]:    * "Ueber Funktionen von mehreren komplexen Veränderlichen," Basel, 1905. § 7.
    $\dagger$ Evidently all that is really necessary is that with each variable $z_{i}$ it be possible to associate another $z_{j}$ such that if the remaining $n-2$ are fixed, the singular points in $z_{i}, z_{i}$ are isolated.
    $\ddagger$ I hope shortly to be able to show that the excepted cases can always be avoided by a suitable linear transformation of the independent variables, and hence that the words "in general " can be replaced by "always."

[^1]:    * Math. Ann., vol. 62 (1905), p. 1.
    $\dagger$ "Continuité au sens analytique et continuité au sens vulgaire," in Mathesis, 1899.

