## ON TRANSFORMABLE SYSTEMS AND COVARIANTS OF ALGEBRAIC FORMS*

BY C. C. MACDUFFEE

1. Introduction.-The purpose of this article is to give a rigorous demonstration of an important theorem in the theory of covariants of algebraic forms in $p$ variables; namely, that if $\left(G_{1}, \ldots, G_{h}\right)=(0, \ldots, 0)$ is an invariantive property, the $G_{i}$ being polynomials in the coefficients of the forms, there exists a set $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{\nu}$ of relative covariants in $p-1$ sets of cogredient variables, such that $\left(V_{1}, \ldots ; V_{\nu}\right)=(0, \ldots, 0)$ when and only when $\left(G_{1}, \cdots, G_{h}\right)=(0, \cdots, 0)$. The corresponding theorem for invariants, i.e., for $h=1$, is given by Bôcher, Introduction to Higher Algebra (p. 232). Bôcher there states that "a projective relation expressed by the identical vanishing of a covariant or contravariant is typical of what we shall usually have when a single equation is not sufficient to express the condition." This paper shows that such a projective relation can in general be characterized by the simultaneous vanishing of a number of covariants.

The special case of this theorem for binary forms is mentioned without proof by Clebsch, Binäre Formen (p. 91). J. P. Gram in the Mathematische Annalen (vol. 7), and J. Deruyts in a book entitled Essai d'une Théorie Générale des Formes Algébriques (Brussels, 1891) consider the characterization by covariants of particular forms defined by the holding of identical relations among their coefficients. Both proofs are incomplete, however, and Gram's method actually leads to a false result in case the given conditions are non-homogeneous.
2. Transformable Systems. Consider a system of $l$ algebraic forms in $p$ variables
(1) $\quad f_{i}\left(a_{i 1}, a_{i 2}, \cdots a_{i q_{i}} ; x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{p}\right) \equiv f_{i}, \quad(i=1, \cdots, l)$

[^0]of degree $r_{i}$ in the variables. The linear homogeneous transformation
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{j=p} \alpha_{i j} x_{j}^{\prime}, \quad(i=1, \cdots, p) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

of determinant $|\alpha| \neq 0$ induces upon the coefficients of the forms (1) a system of transformations

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i j}^{\prime}=\sum_{k=1}^{k=q_{i}} \beta_{i j k} a_{i k}, \quad\left(j=1, \cdots, q_{i}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$ of determinants $\left|\beta_{i}\right|=|\alpha|^{k_{i}}$ where ${ }^{*} k_{i}$ is an integer.

According to the usage of J. Deruyts, $\dagger$ a transformable system is defined as a system of linearly independent polynomials $F_{1}, \cdots, F_{s}$ in the coefficients $a_{i j}$ which are transformed by (3) according to the law

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i}^{\prime}=\sum_{j=1}^{j=s} \gamma_{i j} F_{j}, \quad(i=1, \cdots, s) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $F_{i}^{\prime}$ are the same functions of the primed coefficients $a_{i j}{ }^{\prime}$ as the $F_{i}$ are of the $a_{i j}$, and where the $\gamma_{i j}$ are polynomials in the $\beta_{i j k}$ and hence in the $\alpha_{i j}$. In particular, (3) is a transformable system for every $i$.

It follows that the determinant $|\gamma|$ of (4) is a power of $|\alpha| . \ddagger$ For if possible choose a set of numbers $\alpha_{i j}$ such that $|\alpha| \neq 0$ and $|\gamma|=0$. It would then follow that the $F_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ were linearly dependent, contrary to definition. Hence $|\gamma|$ is different from zero for all values of the $\alpha_{i j}$ for which $|\alpha| \neq 0$, and hence $|\gamma|=c|\alpha|^{n}$ where $n$ is a positive integer or zero. Now choose $\alpha_{i j}=\delta_{i j}$ and (2) becomes the identity transformation. Then (3) and (4) likewise become identity transformations, so $c=1$. The following theorem may now be proved.

Theorem. Let $G_{1}, \cdots, G_{h}$ be a system of polynomials in the $a_{i j}$, and $G_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \cdots, G_{h}{ }^{\prime}$ the same functions of the $a_{i j}{ }^{\prime}$ having the properties that
(a) It is possible to effect a transformation (2) making $\left(G_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \cdots, G_{h}{ }^{\prime}\right)=(0, \cdots, 0)$ when and only when $\left(G_{1}, \cdots, G_{h}\right)$ $=(0, \cdots, 0)$,

[^1](b) If $\left(G_{1}, \cdots, G_{h}\right)=(0, \cdots, 0)$, then $\left(G_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \cdots, G_{h}{ }^{\prime}\right)=(0, \cdots, 0)$ is true for all transformations (2).

Then there exists a transformable system ( $F_{1}, \cdots, F_{s}$ ) such that $\left(F_{1}, \cdots, F_{s}\right)=(0, \cdots, 0)$ when and only when $\left(G_{1}, \cdots, G_{h}\right)$ $=(0, \cdots, 0)$.

The case where $\left(G_{1}, \cdots, G_{h}\right)=(0, \cdots, 0)$ for no set of values of the $a_{i j}$ may be disposed of first. In this case the transformable system may consist of the integer 1 , which is never zero and is undisturbed by the transformation (2).

It is then permissible to assume that in the remaining case there actually exists at least one set of coefficients $a_{i j}$ for which $\left(G_{1}, \cdots, G_{h}\right)=(0, \cdots, 0)$. If there are linear relations between the polynomials $G_{1}, \cdots, G_{h}$, we shall henceforth consider a subset $G_{1}, \cdots, G_{g}$ which are linearly independent and have the property that all the $G_{i}$ which have been discarded are linear combinations with constant coefficients of them. Evidently $\left(G_{1}, \cdots, G_{g}\right)=(0, \cdots, 0)$ when and only when $\left(G_{1}, \cdots, G_{h}\right)$ $=(0, \cdots, 0)$.

From relations (3) we can express $G_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \cdots, G_{g}{ }^{\prime}$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{i}^{\prime}=\sum_{j=1}^{j=t} \zeta_{i j} H_{j}, \quad(i=1, \cdots, g) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\zeta_{i j}$ are polynomials in the $\beta_{i j k}$ and therefore in the $\alpha_{i j}$. If $m$ represent the maximum degree of the $G_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ in the $a_{i j}{ }^{\prime}$, then the degree of every $H_{j}$ in the $a_{i j}$ will be $\leqq m$.

Choose the $\alpha_{i j}$ so that (2) becomes the identity transformation. Then (3) likewise become identity transformations and (5) becomes

$$
G_{i}^{\prime}=G_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{j=t} c_{i j} H_{j}, \quad(i=1, \cdots, g)
$$

where the $c_{i j}$ are constants. Since the $G_{i}$ are by hypothesis linearly independent, the rank of the matrix $\left(c_{i j}\right)=c$ is $g$. We assume that our notation is so chosen that the first $g$ columns of the matrix form a determinant which is different from zero, and solve for $H_{1}, \cdots, H_{g}$ in terms of $G_{1}, \cdots, G_{g}$, $H_{g+1}, \cdots, H_{t}$. The relations (5) may, by the substitution of these values, be made to assume the form

$$
G_{i}^{\prime}=\sum_{j=1}^{j=g} \xi_{i j} G_{j}+\sum_{j=g+1}^{j=t} \eta_{i j} H_{j}, \quad(i=1, \cdots, g)
$$

Moreover we may assume that there exists no linear relation
with constant coefficients between the polynomials $G_{j}$ and $H_{j}$, or between the columns of the matrix $\eta$; for in either case a condensation would be possible reducing the number of functions $H_{j}$.

It will now be shown that every $H_{j}$ vanishes for such values of the $a_{i j}$ as make $\left(G_{1}, \cdots, G_{g}\right)=(0, \cdots, 0)$. For if $c_{i j}$ is any particular set of values of the $a_{i j}$ which reduce ( $G_{1}, \cdots, G_{g}$ ) to $(0, \cdots, 0)$ and the $H_{j}$ to constants $\bar{H}_{j}$, we have by hypothesis $\left(G_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \cdots, G_{g}{ }^{\prime}\right)=(0, \cdots, 0)$, and therefore

$$
\sum_{j=g+1}^{j=t} \eta_{i j} \bar{H}_{j}=0, \quad(i=1, \cdots, g)
$$

Since the columns of this matrix are linearly independent, we have $\bar{H}_{j}=0$ for $j=g+1, \cdots, t$.

Since the set of polynomials ( $G_{1}, \cdots, G_{g}, H_{g+1}, \cdots, H_{t}$ ) $=(0, \cdots, 0)$ when and only when $\left(G_{1}, \cdots, G_{g}\right)=(0, \cdots, 0)$, we denote the augmented set by $\left(G_{1}, \cdots, G_{t}\right)$ and obtain, just as before, the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{i}{ }^{\prime}=\sum_{j=1}^{j=t} \xi_{i j}{ }^{\prime} G_{j}+\sum_{j=t+1}^{j=u} \eta_{i j}{ }^{\prime} H_{j}, \quad(i=1, \cdots, t) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider this system of equations to be so reduced that no $H_{j}$ is expressible linearly with constant coefficients in terms of the $G_{j}$ and the remaining $H_{j}$, and that the columns of the matrix $\eta^{\prime}$ are linearly independent. Moreover, the degree of every $H_{j}$ is $\leqq m$, the maximum degree of the original polynomials $G_{1}, \cdots, G_{g}$. Proceeding in this way, after a finite number of steps we reach a relation of the form (6) in which every $H_{j}$ is identically zero; for there are but a finite number of linearly independent polynomials of degree $\leqq m$ in a finite number of variables $a_{i j}$. This final set of $G$ 's form our transformable system $F_{1}, \cdots, F_{s}$. For $\left(F_{1}, \cdots, F_{s}\right)=(0, \cdots, 0)$ when and only when $\left(G_{1}, \cdots, G_{g}\right)=(0, \cdots, 0)$, which is true when and only when $\left(G_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \cdots, G_{g}{ }^{\prime}\right)=(0, \cdots, 0)$, which in turn is true when and only when $\left(F_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \cdots, F_{s}{ }^{\prime}\right)=(0, \cdots, 0)$.
3. Absolute Covariants. The elements $\gamma_{i j}$ of the matrix $\gamma$ of the transformation (4) are polynomials in the elements $\alpha_{i j}$ of the transformation (2). Hence $\gamma$ may be considered as a function $T(\alpha)$ of the matrix $\alpha$. As was noted by Deruyts,*

[^2]the matrix $\gamma=T(\alpha)$ of a transformable system of linearly independent polynomials obeys the functional equation
$$
T(\alpha \beta)=T(\beta) \cdot T(\alpha) .
$$

Such matrices have been called invariant matrices, and the operation $T$ an invariant operation by Schur,* who derived many of their properties.

If we denote by $F$ the matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
F_{1} \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
F_{s}
\end{array}\right]
$$

then (4) may be written $F^{\prime}=\gamma F^{\prime}$. If $p=\left(p_{i j}\right)$ denote a non-singular constant matrix, and if we set $\bar{F}=p F$, then the functions $\bar{F}_{i}$ are linear combinations of the $F_{j}$ having the property that $\left(\bar{F}_{1}, \cdots, \bar{F}_{s}\right)=(0, \cdots, 0)$ when and only when $\left(F_{1}, \cdots, F_{s}\right)=(0, \cdots, 0)$. From composition of transformations we have $\overline{F^{\prime}}=p F^{\prime}=p \gamma F=p \gamma p^{-1} \bar{F}$. Hence the matrix $p \gamma p^{-1}$ is equivalent to the matrix $\gamma$ in the sense that there exists a set of functions $\bar{F}_{i}$ which are transformed by $p \gamma p^{-1}$ and which all vanish when and only when all the $F_{i}$ vanish. Schur calls a matrix $T(\alpha)$ reducible if there exists a matrix equival ent to it which reduces into distinct blocks, i.e., if

$$
p T(\alpha) p^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
M_{1} & \\
& M_{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The blocks $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are themselves invariant matrices. $\dagger$ An invariant matrix is reducible into irreducible invariant matrices in essentially but one way, $\ddagger$ and the elements of an irreducible matrix are linearly independent homogeneous polynomials in the coefficients $\alpha_{i j}$ of the original transformation

[^3](2).* An irreducible matrix cannot transform a set of linearly dependent functions except they all be zero. $\dagger$

We now consider the matrix $\gamma$ to be replaced by an equivalent matrix consisting of irreducible blocks. Suppose $\varphi$ $=T(\alpha)$ is one of these blocks and $K_{i}$ for $i=1, \cdots, t$, linear combinations of the $F_{i}$ which are transformed by this invariant matrix. By showing that the $K_{i}$ are coefficients of a covariant $V_{1}$, we show that the condition $\left(F_{1}, \cdots, F_{s}\right)=(0, \cdots, 0)$ can be replaced by the equivalent condition $\left(V_{1}, \cdots, V_{v}\right)=(0, \cdots, 0)$.

It is evident from (2) that if $x_{i}^{(j)}$ are $p$ systems of variables cogredient with the $x_{i}$, then

Denoting these matrices by $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ respectively, we have $X=\alpha X^{\prime}$. Now since $T$ is an invariant operation, where $T(\alpha)$ $=\varphi$, we have $T(X)=T\left(X^{\prime}\right) \cdot \varphi$, or, denoting the elements of $T(X), T\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ by $X_{i j}, X_{i j}^{\prime}$, respectively, we have $\ddagger$

$$
X_{i j}=\sum_{k=1}^{k=t} \varphi_{k j} X_{i k}^{\prime}, \quad(i, j=1, \cdots, t)
$$

Since $\varphi$ is irreducible, the functions $X_{i j}$ are all linearly independent, for $X_{i j}$ is the result of substituting $x_{i}{ }^{(j)}$ for $\alpha_{i j}$ in the element of $\varphi$ lying in the $i$ th row and $j$ th column. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{j=t} K_{j} X_{i j} & =\sum_{\substack{j=t \\
j=1}}^{\substack{j=t}} K_{j} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\
k=1}}^{k=t} X_{i k}^{\prime} \sum_{k j}^{j=t}{ }_{j=1}^{j=1} \varphi_{i k}^{\prime} \varphi_{k j} K_{j}=\sum_{k=1}^{k=t} K_{k}^{\prime} X_{i k}^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\sum_{j=1}^{j=t} K_{j} X_{i j}$ is an absolute covariant of the system of forms (1) for every value of $i=1, \cdots, t$.
4. Relative Covariants. We have shown that $\sum_{j=1}^{j=t} K_{j} X_{i j}$ $\equiv V_{i}$ for $i=1, \cdots, t$ is transformed into itself by every transformation of type (2). Moreover the $X_{i j}$ are linearly independent functions of $p$ sets of variables $x_{i}{ }^{(j)}$ cogredient with $x_{i}$. We shall now show that there exists a relative covariant with the same coefficients as $V_{i}$ in $p-1$ sets of cogredient variables.

[^4]Let $|\alpha|^{\mu}$ be the highest power of $|\alpha|$ that is contained in every element of the matrix $\varphi$. We denote the quotient $\phi_{i j} /|\alpha|^{\mu}$ by $\psi_{i j}$, and the result of substituting $x_{i}^{(j)}$ for $\alpha_{i j}$ in $|\alpha|$ by $A$, and the result of substituting $x_{i}^{(j)}$ for $\alpha_{i j}$ in $\psi_{i j}$ by $Y_{i j}$. Then $X=A^{\mu} Y$, and $X^{\prime}=A^{\prime \mu} Y^{\prime}$, where $A^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$ are the same functions of the $x_{i}{ }^{(j) \prime}$ that $A$ and $Y$ are of the $x_{i}{ }^{(j)}$. From (7) we have $A=|\alpha| A^{\prime}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{k=t} K_{k}^{\prime} Y_{i k}{ }^{\prime} & =A^{\prime-\mu} \sum_{\substack{k=t \\
k=1}}^{k=t} K_{k}^{\prime} X_{i k}^{\prime}=A^{\prime-\mu} \sum_{\substack{j=t \\
j=1}} K_{j} X_{i j} \\
& =A^{\mu} A^{\prime-\mu} \sum_{\substack{j=t \\
j=1}} K_{j} Y_{i j}=|\alpha|^{\mu} \sum_{\substack{j=t}} K_{j} Y_{i j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence in this case $\sum_{j=1}^{j=t} K_{j} Y_{i j}$ is a relative covariant of weight $\mu$ for $i=1, \cdots, t$. It will now be shown that for some $i$, $\sum_{k=1}^{k=t} K_{k} Y_{i k}$ can be expressed in $p-1$ sets of cogredient variables. Since at least one function

$$
Y_{i k}\left(x_{1}^{(1)}, \cdots, x_{1}{ }^{(p)}, x_{2}{ }^{(1)}, \cdots, x_{2}{ }^{(p)}, \cdots, x_{p}^{(1)}, \cdots, x_{p}^{(p)}\right)
$$

does not contain $A$ as a factor, and since $A$ is irreducible, there is at least one set of constants $c_{i j}$ such that $C=\left|c_{i j}\right|=0$, and

$$
Y_{i k}\left(c_{11}, \cdots, c_{1 p}, c_{21}, \cdots, c_{2 p}, \cdots, c_{p 1}, \cdots, c_{p p}\right) \neq 0
$$

There is a linear relation with constant coefficients between the columns of $C$, say

$$
\kappa_{1} c_{i 1}+\kappa_{2} c_{i 2}+\cdots+\kappa_{p} c_{i p}=0, \quad(i=1, \cdots, p)
$$

where at least one $\kappa$, say $\kappa_{1}$, is different from zero. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{i 1}=\lambda_{2} c_{i 2}+\lambda_{3} c_{i 3}+\cdots+\lambda_{p} c_{i p}, \quad(i=1, \cdots, p) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
Z_{i k} \equiv Z_{i k}\left(c_{12}, \cdots, c_{1 p}, c_{22}, \cdots, c_{2 p}, \cdots, c_{p 2}, \cdots, c_{p p}\right) \neq 0
$$

where $Z_{i k}$ is obtained from $Y_{i k}\left(c_{k l}\right)$ by substitution (8).
Hence if we make the substitution

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}{ }^{(1)}=\lambda_{2} x_{i}{ }^{(2)}+\lambda_{3} x_{i}{ }^{(3)}+\cdots+\lambda_{p} x_{i}{ }^{(p)}, \quad(i=1, \cdots, p) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

upon $Y_{i k}$, we obtain

$$
Z_{i k}\left(x_{1}{ }^{(2)}, \cdots, x_{1}{ }^{(\dot{p})}, x_{2}{ }^{(2)}, \cdots, x_{2}{ }^{(p)}, \cdots, x_{p}{ }^{(2)}, \cdots, x_{p}{ }^{(p)}\right) \not \equiv 0
$$

for in particular it is not zero when $x_{i}{ }^{(j)}=c_{i j},(i, j=1, \cdots, p)$. Transformation (9) does not destroy the cogrediency of the variables. Since $Z_{i k} \equiv$ 丰 , it follows that $Z_{i k}$ for $k=1, \cdots, t$ are linearly independent. For we have

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{k=t} K_{k} Z_{i k} A^{\mu}=\sum_{j=1}^{j=t} K_{j}^{\prime} Z_{i j}^{\prime} A^{\prime \mu} .
$$

The polynomials $Z_{i k} A^{\mu}$ are transformed by the adjoint of $\varphi$, and according to the theorem of Schur mentioned above, a matrix which transforms a system of linearly dependent polynomials which are not all zero is reducible. Hence if the $Z_{i k} A^{\mu}$ were linearly dependent, the matrix $\varphi$ would be reducible, contrary to our assumption.
5. Conclusion. We have proved the following theorem:

Theorem. If $G_{1}, \cdots, G_{h}$ are a system of polynomials in the $a_{i j}$, and $G_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \cdots, G_{h}{ }^{\prime}$ the same functions of the $a_{i j}{ }^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\left(G_{1}, \cdots, G_{h}\right)=(0, \cdots, 0)
$$

is an invariantive property, then there exists a set of rational integral relative covariants $V_{1}, \cdots, V_{v}$ in $p-1$ sets of cogredient variables such that $\left(V_{1}, \cdots, V_{v}\right)=(0, \cdots, 0)$ when and only when $\left(G_{1}, \cdots, G_{h}\right)=(0, \cdots, 0)$.

Princeton University .

## A CORRECTION

BY B. A. BERNSTEIN
In my paper in the November number of this Bulletin (vol. 28, No. 8), the word integers should be replaced by the word rationals in line 16 of page 398 and in the table on page 399.


[^0]:    * Presented to the Society, December 28, 1922.

[^1]:    * Hurwitz, Zur Invarianttheorie, Mathematische Annalen, vol. 45, pp. 381-404.
    $\dagger$ Bulletins de l'Academie des Sciences de Belgique, (3), vol. 32 (1896), p. 82. Deruyts requires that the $F_{i}$ be homogeneous, and does not require that they be linearly independent.
    $\ddagger$ Proved more at length by Deruyts, loc. cit., p. 434

[^2]:    * Loc. cit., p. 437.

[^3]:    * Ueber eine Klasse von Matrizen die sich einer gegebenen Matrix zuordnen lassen, Berlin thesis, 1901, p. 5. In this paper the functional equation is taken as $T(x y)=T(x) \cdot T(y)$. The transposes of these matrices satisfy the equation given above.
    $\dagger$ Loc. cit., p. 6.
    $\ddagger$ Loc. cit., p. 39.

[^4]:    * Loc. cit., p. 56.
    $\dagger$ Loc. cit., p. 70.
    $\ddagger$ Compare Deruyts, loc. cit., p. 438.

