THE SECOND MEAN VALUE THEOREM FOR SUMMABLE FUNCTIONS*

BY M. B. PORTER

We start with the following lemma: If f(x) is summable over the interval (a, b) and hence has an indefinite integral F(x)over (a, x), $a \leq x \leq b$, then

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} dx = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{F(b+h) - F(b)}{h} - \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{F(a+h) - F(a)}{h} = f(b) - f(a),$$

provided that f(x) is right (or left) continuous at the ends of the interval.

Now let $\phi(x)$ denote a monotone function right (or left) continuous at a and b, and consider the identity

$$\int_{a}^{b} \frac{F(x+h)\phi(x+h) - F(x)\phi(x)}{h} \, dx = (I) + (II),$$

where

(I)
$$= \int_{a}^{b} \frac{F(x+h) - F(x)}{h} \phi(x+h) dx$$

(II)
$$= \int_{a}^{b} F(x) \frac{\phi(x+h) - \phi(x)}{h} dx.$$

Applying a well known theorem of Lebesgue's to (I), and the first mean value theorem to (II), since we know that the expression $[\phi(x+h) - \phi(x)]/h$ is always of the same sign when $a \leq x \leq b$, we have

$$F(b)\phi(b) - F(a)\phi(a) + \epsilon_{h}' = \int_{a}^{b} f(x)\phi(x)dx + F(\xi_{h})\int_{a}^{b} \frac{\phi(x+h) - \phi(x)}{h} dx + \epsilon_{h}'''$$

^{*} Presented to the Society, September 18, 1923.

where ϵ_{h}' and ϵ_{h}'' vanish with h. Applying the lemma to the last integral, we have:

(1)
$$F(b)\phi(b) - F(a)\phi(a) = \int_a^b f(x)\phi(x)dx + F(\xi_h)(\phi(b) - \phi(a)) + \epsilon_{h,h}$$

where $\lim_{h\to 0} \epsilon_h = 0$.

This can be written in the form

$$\int_a^b f(x)\phi(x) = \phi(b) \int_a^{\xi_h} f(x) + \phi(a) \int_{\xi_h}^b f(x) + \epsilon_h.$$

When ϵ_h takes on the value zero, $F(\xi_h)$, which is continuous, will take on for some value ξ , lying between a and b, a value such that

$$\int_a^b f(x)\phi(x) = \phi(b) \int_a^{\xi} f(x) + \phi(a) \int_{\xi}^{b} f(x),$$

which is Weierstrass's form of the second mean value theorem for integrals.

If, for example, we suppose $\phi(x)$ increasing (monotonically) and replace $\phi(x)$ by A (fixed) over the interval (a, a + k)and by B (fixed) over the interval (b, b + k), leaving the values of $\phi(x)$ unchanged over (a + k, b), we can prove in the same way that

$$\int_a^b f(x)\phi(x) = A \int_a^{\mathbf{t}} f(x) + B \int_{\mathbf{t}}^{\mathbf{t}} f(x),$$

where

$$A \leq \phi(x) \leq B$$

over (a, b), by applying the same reasoning and letting the parameter k approach zero.

From this form the usual Bonnet forms of the theorem are at once deducible.

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS

400