ON SETS OF THREE CONSECUTIVE INTEGERS WHICH ARE QUADRATIC RESIDUES OF PRIMES*

BY A. A. BENNETT

In this paper we shall prove the following theorems. THEOREM I. For each prime, p, for which there are as many as three incongruent squares, there is a set of three consecutive residues (admitting zero and negative numbers as residues) which are squares, modulo p.

THEOREM II. For p = 11, and for each prime p greater than 17, (and for no other primes), there is a set of three consecutive least positive (non-zero) residues which are squares, modulo p.

The problem[†] of finding three consecutive integers which are quadratic residues of a prime, p, is equivalent to the formally more general problem of finding two quantities, x, y, $(y \not\equiv 0)$, such that x, y, x+y, x-y, are proportional to squares in the domain, ‡ since we then have (x/y)-1, x/y, (x/y)+1 as consecutive squares in the domain. We may show that for residues with respect to a modulus the condition is equivalent to the existence of a square of the form§ u v (u+v) (u-v). By taking u=x, v=y, we see that the condition is necessary.

^{*} Presented to the Society, April 10, 1925.

[†] For references, compare article of similar title by H.S. Vandiver, this Bulletin, vol. 31 (1925), p. 33.

[‡] That, in the system of natural numbers, it is impossible to have distinct quantities, x, y, such that x, y, x+y, x-y are all proportional to squares was proved by Fermat by his celebrated method of "infinite descent". See Carmichael, *Theory of Numbers*, p. 86.

[§] It is of interest to note that in the case of natural numbers we may take u = x and v = y for this relation. Indeed, if x, y, x+y, x-y were proportional to squares, certainly their product would be a square. Conversely, suppose that their product were a square. Then either x, y, x+y, x-y would all be relatively prime, or if

That it is also sufficient may be shown as follows. Take $x = (u^2 + v^2)^2$ and $y = 4 u v (u^2 - v^2)$. Now x may also be written in the form $4 u^2 v^2 + (u^2 - v^2)^2$. Hence $x + y = [2 u v + (u^2 - v^2)]^2$, and $x - y = [2 u v - (u^2 - v^2)]^2$. Thus if $u v (u^2 - v^2)$ is a perfect square, so also are x, y, x + y, and x - y, when these are related in this manner.

The expression uv(u+v)(u-v) takes on the values $6^2 \cdot 5$, $2^2 \cdot 6$, $2^2 \cdot 30$, for the choices of (u,v) as (5,4), (3,1), (5,1), respectively. But at least one of the three numbers 5, 6, 30 is a quadratic residue of the prime p no matter how p is chosen. Hence there is always a choice of uv(u+v)(u-v) which is a non-zero quadratic residue for each prime p greater than 5. The corresponding solutions of the original problem are (1/4, 5/4, 9/4), (1/24, 25/24, 49/24), (49/120, 169/120, 289/120). These numbers in turn are all different from zero for p=11, or for p>17, but not otherwise. Now every three consecutive residues no one of which is congruent to zero are congruent to a set of three consecutive least positive (non-zero) residues. Thus we establish the theorems announced.

There is no difficulty in obtaining linear forms, the primes within which are such that for each of these choices of p, a preassigned number of consecutive residues shall be squares. Indeed, we have merely to select assigned numbers to be quadratic residues. Thus for p of the form 24k+1 or 24k+23, each of the numbers 1, 2, 3, 4 is a square. By choosing a form for which 2, 3, 5, 7, -1 are all quadratic residues, and dropping the condition of positiveness, we have always the following twenty-one consecutive residues as squares, -10, -9, -8, \cdots , 9, 10.

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS

two had a common factor, d, this would be a factor throughout. Write x = dx', y = dy'; then x'y' (x'+y') (x'-y') also would be a square. Its four relatively prime factors would then be individually squares and x, y, x+y, x-y would therefore be proportional to squares. This method of argument is inapplicable to the case of residues.