

CONCERNING THE BOUNDARIES OF DOMAINS
OF A CONTINUOUS CURVE*

BY W. L. AYRES

We shall consider a space M consisting of all the points of a plane continuous curve M^\dagger , and all point sets mentioned are assumed to be subsets of M . A connected set of points D of M is said to be an M -domain if $M - D$ is closed. The set of all limit points of D which do not belong to D is called the M -boundary of D . If D is an M -domain, D' denotes the M -boundary of D , and \bar{D} denotes the set $D + D'$. The M -boundary of an M -domain D is closed but not necessarily connected, even if D is simply connected, as we may easily show by examples. If N is a continuum, a maximal connected subset of $M - N$ is called a *complementary M -domain* of N .

THEOREM I.† *Every closed and connected subset of the M -boundary of a complementary M -domain of a continuous curve N is a continuous curve.*

PROOF. Let K denote a closed and connected subset of the M -boundary of a complementary M -domain D of N . Suppose K is not connected im kleinen. Then there exist§ two concentric circles C_1 and C_2 (let r_i denote the radius of C_i and let $r = r_1 - r_2 > 0$) and an infinite sequence of subcon-

* Presented to the Society, February 26, 1927.

† A point set which is closed, connected and connected im kleinen is called a *continuous curve*. In general it may be either bounded or unbounded.

‡ For the case where M is the entire plane and N is bounded, see R. L. Wilder, *Concerning continuous curves*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, vol. 7 (1925), p. 361.

§ See R. L. Moore, *Report on continuous curves from the viewpoint of analysis situs*, this Bulletin, vol. 29 (1923), p. 296. This theorem is stated by Moore for a bounded continuum, but the theorem remains true without the condition of boundedness.

tinua of K , K_∞ , K_1 , K_2 , K_3 , \dots , such that (1) each of these continua K_α ($\alpha = \infty, 1, 2, \dots$) contains a point a_α on C_1 and a point b_α on C_2 , but no point exterior to C_1 or interior to C_2 , (2) no two of these continua have a point in common and no one of them, except possibly K_∞ , is a proper subset of any connected subset of K which contains no point without C_1 or within C_2 , (3) the set K_∞ is the sequential limiting set of the sequence of continua K_1, K_2, K_3, \dots . For any i , let $K_i^* = K_\infty + K_{i+1} + K_{i+2} + \dots$. Let d_1 be the smaller of the numbers $\frac{1}{4}r$ and $\frac{1}{2}d(K_1, K_1^*)$ †.

Let R_1 denote the set of all points $[P]$ of N such that the distance from P to some point of K_1 is less than d_1 . The set R_1 is an open subset of N and hence R_1 contains an arc from a_1 to b_1 .‡ This arc contains a subarc x_1y_1 such that x_1 is on C_2 and y_1 is on C_1 and every other point of x_1y_1 is between C_1 and C_2 .

For each $n > 1$, let d_n be the smallest of the numbers d_{n-1} , $r2^{-n-1}$, and $\frac{1}{2}d(K_n, K_n^* + x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + \dots + x_{n-1}y_{n-1})$. Let R_n denote the set of all points $[P]$ of N such that there is some point of K_n whose distance from P is less than d_n . The set R_n contains an arc from a_n to b_n and this arc contains a subarc x_ny_n such that x_n is on C_2 , y_n is on C_1 , and every other point of x_ny_n is between C_1 and C_2 .

There exists an increasing sequence of positive integers n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots , such that (1) C_2 contains three points X_1, X_2, X_3 such that every point x_{n_i} lies on the arc $X_1X_2X_3$ of C_2 and in the order $X_1X_2x_{n_1}x_{n_2} \dots X_3$, (2) C_1 contains three points Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 such that every point y_{n_i} lies on the arc $Y_1Y_2Y_3$ of C_1 and in the order $Y_1Y_2y_{n_1}y_{n_2} \dots Y_3$, (3) X_3 is the sequential limit point of $[x_{n_i}]$ and Y_3 is the sequential limit point of $[y_{n_i}]$. Clearly K_∞ contains X_3 and Y_3 .

† If A and B are sets of points, the symbol $d(A, B)$ denotes the lower bound of all numbers $d(x, y)$, where x is a point of A , y is a point of B , and $d(x, y)$ is the distance from x to y .

‡ R. L. Moore, *Concerning continuous curves in the plane*, *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, vol. 15 (1922), p. 255.

The set K_∞ contains points X and Y on the circles which are concentric with C_1 and with radii $r_2+r/10$ and $r_1-r/10$ respectively. Let η be the smaller of the two numbers $r/10$ and r_2 . Since N is connected im kleinen, there exists a positive number δ_η such that any point of N within a distance δ_η of X or Y may be joined to X or Y , as the case may be, by an arc of N every point of which is within a distance η of X or Y . Let n_s be the smallest integer such that $x_{n_s}y_{n_s}$ contains two points P and Q such that $d(P, X) < \delta_\eta$ and $d(Q, Y) < \delta_\eta$. Then N contains arcs PX and QY , every point of which is within a distance η of X and Y respectively. Let order be defined on these arcs as *from P to X* and *from Q to Y* . The arcs PX and QY have points in common with every arc $x_{n_i}y_{n_i}$ for $i \geq s$.

Let V_{10} and V_{20} be the last points the arcs PX and QY have in common with $x_{n_s}y_{n_s}$. Let U_{11} and U_{21} be the first points and V_{11} and V_{21} be the last points the subarcs $V_{10}X$ and $V_{20}Y$ have in common with $x_{n_{s+1}}y_{n_{s+1}}$. Let U_{12} and U_{22} be the first points the subarcs $V_{11}X$ and $V_{21}Y$ have in common with $x_{n_{s+2}}y_{n_{s+2}}$. The set J , composed of the arcs $V_{10}V_{20}$ of $x_{n_s}y_{n_s}$, $U_{11}V_{11}$ and $U_{21}V_{21}$ of $x_{n_{s+1}}y_{n_{s+1}}$, $U_{12}U_{22}$ of $x_{n_{s+2}}y_{n_{s+2}}$, $V_{10}U_{11}$ and $V_{11}U_{12}$ of PX , $V_{20}U_{21}$ and $V_{21}U_{22}$ of QY , is a simple closed curve. The subarc of $x_{n_{s+1}}y_{n_{s+1}}$ lying within J and the arc $x_{n_{s+3}}y_{n_{s+3}}$ have points p_1 and p_3 , respectively, in common with the circle concentric with C_1 and with radius $r_2 + \frac{1}{2}r$. The point p_1 is interior to J and within a distance $d_{n_{s+1}}$ of some point of K and this point is a limit point of D . Thus D contains a point in the interior of J . Similarly D contains a point within a distance $d_{n_{s+3}}$ of p_3 and thus in the exterior of J . Since D is connected D must contain a point of J . But as J belongs to N and D to $M-N$, D cannot contain a point of J . Thus the assumption that K is not connected im kleinen has led to a contradiction.

THEOREM II. *If a maximal connected subset K of the boundary of an M -domain is a continuous curve, every closed and connected subset of K is a continuous curve.*

DEFINITION. If D is an M -domain and P is a point of $M - \overline{D}$, the M -boundary of the maximal connected subset of $M - \overline{D}$ containing P will be called the M -boundary of D with respect to P . This is a generalization of the notion of outer boundary as defined by R. L. Moore.* If M is the entire plane, D is bounded, and P is a point of the maximal connected subset of $M - \overline{D}$ which is unbounded, then the M -boundary of D with respect to P is exactly the outer boundary of D as defined by Moore.*

THEOREM III.† If D is an M -domain, P is a point of $M - \overline{D}$, and B is the M -boundary of D with respect to P , then B is the entire M -boundary of some M -domain which contains D .

PROOF. The entire set D lies in the same maximal connected subset of $M - B$ and let R denote this maximal connected subset. Evidently R is an M -domain containing D . Suppose Q is a point of the M -boundary of R . If Q does not belong to B , Q belongs to a maximal connected subset of $M - B$ which is different from R . Then Q is not a limit point of R .‡ Therefore every point of the M -boundary of R is a point of B . Conversely every point of B is an M -boundary point of D and thus of R . Hence B is identical with the M -boundary of R , an M -domain containing D .

THEOREM IV. If (1) D is an M -domain and P is a point of $M - \overline{D}$, (2) every maximal connected subset of D' is a continuous curve, (3) the M -boundary of D with respect to P , which we denote by B , is bounded, then every maximal connected subset of B is either a point, a simple continuous arc or a simple closed curve.

PROOF. Let R be the maximal connected subset of $M - \overline{D}$ containing P , and let B_1 be a maximal connected subset of B .

* Concerning continuous curves in the plane, loc. cit., p. 256.

† Compare R. L. Moore, Concerning continuous curves in the plane, loc. cit., Theorem 3, p. 258.

‡ See R. L. Moore, A characterization of a continuous curve, Fundamenta Mathematicae, vol. 7 (1925), Lemma 1, p. 302.

By Theorem II, B_1 is a continuous curve and B_1 is bounded by hypothesis. If B_1 consists of a single point, our theorem is proved. If B_1 consists of more than a single point then by a theorem due to Mazurkiewicz,* B_1 contains two points x and y which do not cut B_1 . The continuous curve B_1 contains an arc xzy from x to y . If $B_1 \equiv xzy$, our theorem is proved. If not, let p be a point of B_1 which does not lie on xzy . By Theorem III, B is the entire M -boundary of some M -domain H which contains D . Clearly R and H are mutually exclusive and B is the entire M -boundary of each. By a theorem due to Wilder,† if p_1 and p_2 are points of R and H respectively there exist arcs p_1x and p_1y which lie except for x and y wholly in R and arcs p_2x and p_2y which lie except for x and y wholly in H . The sets $p_1x + p_1y$ and $p_2x + p_2y$ contain arcs xuy and xvy which lie wholly in R and H respectively except for the points x and y .

Let J_1, J_2, J_3 be the simple closed curves formed of $xuy + xzy, xvy + xzy, xuy + xvy$ respectively and let I_i and E_i denote the interior and exterior of $J_i (i=1, 2, 3)$. We have three cases to consider:

Case (1). Suppose $I_3 = I_1 + I_2 + \langle xzy \rangle$.‡ Any point q of $B - xzy$ lies either in I_1, I_2 or E_3 . If q lies in I_1, I_1 contains a point of H since q is a limit point of H . The exterior E_1 contains $\langle xvy \rangle$ of H . But H is connected and contains no point of J_1 . Hence I_1 contains no point of $B - xzy$. Similarly I_2 contains no point of $B - xzy$. Then every point of $B - xzy$ lies in E_3 . Since x and y are not cut-points of B_1 , the continuous curve B_1 contains an arc px which does not contain y and an arc py which does not contain x . The set $px + py$ contains an arc xwy from x to y . Since p is in E_3 and no point of J_3 except x and y is a point of B_1 , the set $\langle xwy \rangle$ lies

* *Un théorème sur les lignes de Jordan*, Fundamenta Mathematicae vol. 2 (1921), pp. 119-130.

† Loc. cit., Theorem 1, p. 342.

‡ If xzy denotes a simple continuous arc with end-points x and y $\langle xzy \rangle$ denotes $xzy - (x+y)$.

entirely in E_3 , and thus the arcs xwy and xzy have only x and y in common. Let J_4 be the simple closed curve $xzy + xwy$. We will show that $B - J_4$ is vacuous and thus prove $B \equiv B_1 \equiv J_4$. Suppose $B - J_4$ contains a point q_1 . If q_1 lies in the interior of J_4 both R and H have points in the interior of J_4 since q_1 is a limit point of both domains. One of the two sets $\langle xuy \rangle$ or $\langle xvy \rangle$, say $\langle xuy \rangle$, lies entirely in the exterior of J_4 . Then R contains points interior and exterior to J_4 but contains no point of J_4 , which is impossible. If q_1 lies in the exterior of J_4 , both R and H contain points in the exterior of J_4 , and one of them contains points in the interior, which is impossible. Therefore $B - J_4$ is vacuous, which proves the theorem for this case.

Case (2). Suppose $I_2 = I_1 + I_3 + \langle xuy \rangle$.

Case (3). Suppose $I_1 = I_2 + I_3 + \langle xvy \rangle$.

In Cases (2) and (3), it may be proved by methods similar to those of Case (1) that $B \equiv B_1$ and B_1 is a simple closed curve. Therefore B_1 is either a point, a simple continuous arc, or a simple closed curve.

In proving Theorem IV we have obtained this result:

THEOREM V. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem IV, if any maximal connected subset J of the M -boundary of D with respect to P is a simple closed curve, then J is the entire M -boundary of D with respect to P .*

THEOREM VI. *If D is an M -domain, P is a point of $M - \bar{D}$, R is the maximal connected subset of $M - \bar{D}$ containing P , and Q is a point of the maximal connected subset of $M - \bar{R}$ which contains D , then R' is the M -boundary of R with respect to Q .*

PROOF. Let H denote the maximal connected subset of $M - \bar{R}$ which contains D . By definition H' is the M -boundary of R with respect to Q . The set H' is a subset of R' since the M -boundary of a domain with respect to a point is always a subset of the M -boundary of the domain. By definition, R' is the M -boundary of D with respect to P . Then every point of R' is a limit point of D and thus of H . As H is a subset of

$M - \bar{R}$, no point of R' is a point of H . Therefore every point of R' is an M -boundary point of H , that is, R' is a subset of H' . Hence $R' \equiv H'$.

THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA

A THEOREM ON CONNECTED POINT SETS

BY C. KURATOWSKI AND C. ZARANKIEWICZ

1. *Introduction.* The purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM. *If S is a connected point set and Z is the set of all points such that $S - p$ is neither connected nor the sum of two connected sets, then Z is finite or countable.*

2. **LEMMA.** *If $S, P,$ and Q are three non-vacuous connected sets (or points), and if*

$$\begin{array}{ll} (1) & P + Q \subset S, & (2) & P \cdot Q = 0, \\ (3) & A \subset S - P, & (4) & B \subset S - Q, \\ (5) & A \cdot Q = 0, & (6) & B \cdot P = 0, \end{array}$$

(7) *A and $S - P - A$ are mutually separated,*

(8) *B and $S - Q - B$ are mutually separated,*

then $A \cdot B = 0$.

PROOF. By (1) and (3), $A + P \subset S$. Hence, by (2) and (5), $A + P = (A + P) \cdot (S - Q)$. By (4), $S - Q = B + (S - Q - B)$. Therefore

$$(9) \quad A + P = (A + P) \cdot B + (A + P) \cdot (S - Q - B).$$

It follows from (2) and (6) that $P = P - Q - B \subset (A + P) \cdot (S - Q - B)$. Since $P \neq 0$, we have

$$(10) \quad (A + P) \cdot (S - Q - B) \neq 0.$$

Now, by (8), the sets $(A + P) \cdot B$ and $(A + P) \cdot (S - Q - B)$ are mutually separated. On the other hand, by virtue of a