ON THE ZEROS OF CERTAIN POLYNOMIALS RELATED TO JACOBI AND LAGUERRE POLYNOMIALS*

BY W. LAWTON[†]

1. Introduction. We consider the polynomials defined as follows:

(1)
$$J_n(x, \alpha, \beta) \equiv x^{1-\alpha}(1-x)^{1-\beta} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} [x^{n+\alpha-1}(1-x)^{n+\beta-1}],$$

(2)
$$L_n(x, \alpha) \equiv x^{1-\alpha} e^x \frac{d^n}{dx^n} [e^{-x} x^{n+\alpha-1}],$$

where α and β are arbitrary real numbers. If α , $\beta > 0$, they are known respectively as Jacobi and Laguerre polynomials, satisfying the following orthogonality relations:

$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\alpha-1} (1-x)^{\beta-1} J_{m}(x) J_{n}(x) dx = 0,$$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} x^{\alpha-1} L_{n}(x) L_{m}(x) dx = 0,$$

$$(\alpha, \beta > 0; m, n = 0, 1, \dots; m \neq n).$$

From these relations it can be shown that all the zeros of the functions $J_x(x, \alpha, \beta)$ and $L_n(x, \alpha)$ are real, distinct, and lie respectively inside $(0, 1), (0, \infty)$.

The following differential equations are also well known:

(3)
$$x(1-x)J_{n'}'(x, \alpha, \beta) + \{\alpha - (\alpha + \beta)x\}J_{n}'(x, \alpha, \beta) + n(n-1+\alpha+\beta)J_{n} = 0, \qquad (\alpha, \beta > 0),$$

(4)
$$xL_n''(x, \alpha) + (\alpha - x)L_n'(x, \alpha) + nL_n(x, \alpha) = 0.$$

Since (3) and (4) represent identical relations between the coefficients of $J_n(x, \alpha, \beta)$ and $L_n(x, \alpha)$ respectively which are polynomials in α , β or in α respectively, we conclude that the differential equations still hold, if $\alpha, \beta \leq 0$.

^{*} Presented to the Society, March 26, 1932.

[†] Harrison Fellow in Mathematics, University of Pennsylvania.

The object of this paper is to study the nature of the zeros of these polynomials when α , $\beta \leq 0$. In this case the orthogonality relations do not hold since the integrals involved do not exist. Consequently, the aforesaid conclusion about the zeros also fails. M. Fujiwara* has shown that if p and q are positive integers such that

$$0 < \alpha + p < 1, \qquad 0 < \beta + q < 1,$$

then $J_n(x, \alpha, \beta)$ has at least n-p-q zeros in (0, 1).

In what follows these results have been improved and given in a more precise form (Theorem 2) and similar results derived for $L_n(x, \alpha)$ (Theorem 1).

2. On the Zeros of $L_n(x, \alpha)$ for $\alpha \leq 0$.

THEOREM 1. (i) If p is a positive integer such that $0 < \alpha + p \leq 1$, $L_n(x, \alpha)$ for $n \geq p$, has exactly n - p zeros inside $(0, \infty)$; (ii) moreover, if $\alpha + p = 1$, $L_n(x, \alpha)$ has an additional zero at x = 0 of multiplicity p.

PROOF. CASE 1. $0 < \alpha + p < 1$. First, by applying Fujiwara's method, we show that $L_n(x, \alpha)$ has at least n-p zeros inside $(0, \infty)$. By (2) we write

$$x^{\alpha-1}e^{-x}L_n(x,\alpha) = \frac{d^n\psi}{dx^n}, \quad (\psi(x) = x^{n+\alpha-1}e^{-x}),$$
$$\int_0^\infty x^{\alpha+p-1}e^{-x}L_n(x,\alpha)x^m dx = \int_0^\infty x^{m+p}\frac{d^n\psi}{dx^n}dx.$$

(These two integrals exist for $\alpha + p - 1 > 0$.) Furthermore, if n > m + p, integration by parts shows at once that the right-hand member vanishes. Hence

(5)
$$\int_0^\infty x^{\alpha+p-1}e^{-x}L_n(x,\alpha)x^m dx = 0, (m = 0, 1, \cdots, n-p-1).$$

Suppose, first, that $L_n(x, \alpha)$ has $r(\langle n-p \rangle$ zeros in $(0, \infty)$:

$$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_r.$$

Then

1932.]

^{*} M. Fujiwara, On the zeros of Jacobi's polynomials, Japanese Journal of Mathematics, vol. 2 (1925), pp. 1–2.

W. LAWTON

[June,

 $L_n(x, \alpha) = (x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2) \cdots (x - \alpha_r)P_{n-r}(x) \equiv R(x)P_{n-r}(x),$

and (see (5)),

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\alpha+p-1} e^{-x} R^{2}(x) P_{n-r}(x) dx = 0,$$

which is impossible, since $P_{n-r}(x)$ does not change sign in $(0, \infty)$. Consequently

(6) $r \ge n - p$.

Secondly, we show that

$$r \leq n - p$$
.

Write

$$L_n(x, \alpha) = \sum_{i=0}^n \beta_i x^i.$$

Substituting in (4), we have

(7) $(i+1)(\alpha+i)\beta_{i+1} = (i-n)\beta_i, \quad (i=0, 1, \dots, n-1).$ Since $0 < \alpha + p < 1$,

 $\begin{array}{ll} \alpha+i<0 & \text{for} & 0 \leq i \leq p-1; \\ \alpha+i>0 & \text{for} & p \leq i \leq n. \end{array}$

Thus, β_0 , β_1 , \cdots , β_p have like signs, β_p , β_{p+1} , \cdots , β_n have alternate signs, and the sequence $\{\beta_i\}$, $(i=0, 1, \cdots, n)$, present exactly n-p variations in sign. Hence, by Descartes' rule, $L_n(x, \alpha)$ has at most n-p zeros in $(0, \infty)$, which, combined with (6), yields the desired conclusion, r=n-p.

CASE 2. $\alpha + p = 1$. From (7) we have

$$\beta_0 = \beta_1 = \cdots = \beta_{p-1} = 0; \qquad \beta_p \neq 0.$$

Thus, $L_n(x, \alpha)$ has a zero of multiplicity p at x=0.

To show that the remaining zeros lie inside $(0, \infty)$, we write (see (5))

$$L_{n}(x, \alpha) \equiv R_{n-p}(x, \alpha) x^{p}; \quad \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\alpha+2p-1} e^{-x} R_{n-p}(x, \alpha) x^{m} dx = 0,$$
$$(m = 0, 1, \cdots, n-p-1).$$

Employing a similar argument to that used in Case 1, we conclude that $R_{n-p}(x, \alpha)$ has at least n-p zeros inside $(0, \infty)$ and therefore exactly n-p such zeros, since it is a polynomial of degree n-p.

444

3. On the Zeros of $J_n(x, \alpha, \beta)$ for $\alpha, \beta \leq 0$.

THEOREM 2. (i) If p and q are positive integers such that $0 < \alpha + p \le 1, \ 0 < \beta + q \le 1$, then $J_n(x, \alpha, \beta)$ for $n \le p + q + 1$ has exactly n - p - q zeros inside (0, 1). (ii) If $\alpha + p = 1, \ J_n(x, \alpha, \beta)$ has an additional zero of multiplicity p at x = 0; if $\beta + q = 1, \ J_n(x, \alpha, \beta)$ has a zero of multiplicity q at x = 1.

PROOF. CASE 1. $0 < \alpha + p < 1$; $0 < \beta + q < 1$. In view of M. Fujiwara's results, it is sufficient to show that the number of zeros of $J_n(x, \alpha, \beta)$ inside (0, 1) can not exceed n - p - q. This will be done in several steps.

First, we shall show that $J_n(x, \alpha+1, \beta)$ has at least one more zero inside (0, 1) than $J_n(x, \alpha, \beta)$. We get, making use of (1) and of the identity

$$\frac{d^n}{dx^n}[\psi x] \equiv x \frac{d^n \psi}{dx^n} + n \frac{d^{n-1} \psi}{dx^{n-1}},$$

$$(8) J_n(x, \alpha + 1, \beta) = J_n(x, \alpha, \beta) + nx^{-\alpha}(1-x)^{-\beta+1} \frac{d^{n-1}}{dx^{n-1}} \phi(x),$$

$$(\phi(x) = x^{n+\alpha-1}(1-x)^{n+\beta-1}).$$

Employing the abbreviated notation

$$J_n(x, \alpha + 1, \beta) - J_n(x, \alpha, \beta) \equiv T_n(\alpha)$$

and differentiating (8), we get, making again use of (1),

(9)
$$n(1-x)J_n(x,\alpha,\beta) = \left[\alpha - (\alpha+\beta-1)x\right]T_n(\alpha) + x(1-x)T'_n(\alpha).$$

Differentiating (9) and using (3) written for $J_n(x, \alpha, \beta)$ and for $J_n(x, \alpha+1, \beta)$, we find

(10)
$$(n-1+\alpha+\beta) \left[J_n(x,\alpha+1,\beta) - J_n(x,\alpha,\beta)\right]$$
$$= (x-1)J'_n(x,\alpha,\beta).$$

We note that, if $n \ge p+q+1$, then $n-1+\alpha+\beta > 0$.

Let x_i and $x_{i+1}(>x_i)$ be two consecutive zeros of $J_n(x, \alpha, \beta)$ inside (0, 1). Then, comparing the signs of $J_n(x, \alpha, \beta)$ and of $J_n(x, \alpha+1, \beta)$ in (10) for $x = x_i$, and x_{i+1} , we conclude that there exists at least one zero of $J_n(x, \alpha+1, \beta)$ between x_i and x_{i+1} .

Next, if x_k be the right-most zero of $J_n(x, \alpha, \beta)$ inside (0, 1), we can show that there exists a zero of $J_n(x, \alpha+1, \beta)$ inside

1932.]

W. LAWTON

 $(x_k, 1)$. In fact, $J_n(1, \alpha, \beta) \neq 0$ (as we shall show later), say >0; hence, since

 $J_n'(x_k, \alpha, \beta) > 0, \qquad J_n(1, \alpha+1, \beta) > 0,$

it follows that

 $J_n(x_k, \alpha+1, \beta) < 0$

by (10).

In a similar fashion, if x_1 is the left-most zero of $J_n(x, \alpha, \beta)$ inside (0, 1), there exists a zero of $J_n(x, \alpha+1, \beta)$ inside (0, x_1).

Combining the above results, we conclude that $J_n(x, \alpha+1, \beta)$ has at least one more zero; hence $J_n(x, \alpha+p, \beta)$ has at least p more zeros inside (0, 1) than $J_n(x, \alpha, \beta)$.

Consider now $J_n(x, \beta, \alpha + p)$. The obvious relation

(11)
$$J_n(x, \beta, \alpha + p) = (-1)^n J_n(1 - x, \alpha + p, \beta)$$

shows that $J_n(x, \beta, \alpha + p)$ has the same number of zeros inside (0, 1) as $J_n(x, \alpha + p, \beta)$. We come now to the final step in our proof.

Suppose $J_n(x, \alpha, \beta)$ has n-p-q+k zeros inside (0, 1), where k > 0. By the preceding argument $J_n(x, \alpha+p, \beta)$ and therefore $J_n(x, \beta, \alpha+p)$ have each at least n-q+k zeros inside (0, 1). Repeating the argument, we see that $J_n(x, \beta+q, \alpha+p)$ has at least n+k>n zeros inside (0, 1), which is impossible if k>0. Consequently, k=0, and our theorem is thus proved for Case 1.

We can easily prove what was tacitly assumed in the above argument, that $J_n(x, \alpha, \beta)$ has no multiple zeros inside (0, 1). Suppose $J_n(x, \alpha, \beta)$ has a multiple zero at x_i , so that

$$J_n(x_i, \alpha, \beta) = J'_n(x_i, \alpha, \beta) = 0;$$

from (3)

$$J_n^{\prime\prime}(x_i, \alpha, \beta) = J_n^{\prime\prime\prime}(x_i, \alpha, \beta) = \cdots = 0.$$

Another tacit assumption that $J_n(x, \alpha, \beta) \neq 0$ for x = 0, 1 will be revealed in the discussion below.

REMARK. The same results hold, if $0 < \alpha + p < 1$ and $\beta > 0$ (here q = 0) or if $0 < \beta + q < 1$ and $\alpha > 0$ (here p = 0).

CASE 2. $\alpha + p = 1$, 0 . Writing

$$J_n(x, \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{i=0}^n \gamma_i x^i$$

and substituting in (3), we obtain

446

ZEROS OF POLYNOMIALS

$$\{n(n-1+\alpha+\beta) - i(i-1+\alpha+\beta)\}\gamma_i + (i+1)(\alpha+i)\gamma_{i+1} = 0, (i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1).$$

Hence

 $\gamma_0 = \gamma_1 = \cdots = \gamma_{p-1} = 0; \qquad \gamma_p \neq 0$

(since $\alpha + p - 1 = 0$), which shows that x = 0 is a zero of multiplicity p of $J_n(x, \alpha, \beta)$, so that

$$J_n(x, \alpha, \beta) \equiv x^p R_{n-p}(x, \alpha, \beta).$$

In the same manner, as we showed for $L_n(x, \alpha)$, we can show that $R_{n-p}(x, \alpha, \beta)$ has at least n-p-q zeros inside (0, 1). To find an upper limit for the number of these zeros, we substitute in (10)

$$J_n(x, \alpha, \beta) \equiv R_{n-p}(x, \alpha, \beta) x^p,$$

$$J_n(x, \alpha + 1, \beta) \equiv R_{n-p+1}(x, \alpha + 1, \beta) x^{p-1},$$

and obtain

(12)
$$(n-1+\alpha+\beta)R_{n-p+1}(x,\alpha+1,\beta) = x(x-1)R'_{n-p}(x,\alpha,\beta) + [(n+p-1+\alpha+\beta)x-p]R_{n-p}(x,\alpha,\beta).$$

By an argument similar to that given before, (12) shows that $R_{n-p+1}(x, \alpha+1, \beta)$ has at least one more zero inside (0, 1) than $R_{n-p}(x, \alpha, \beta)$. Suppose now $R_{n-p}(x, \alpha, \beta)$ has n-p-q+k zeros inside (0, 1), where k > 0. Then $R_n(x, \alpha+p, \beta)$ has at least n-q+k zeros inside (0, 1). But

$$R_n(x, \alpha + p, \beta) \equiv J_n(x, \alpha + p, \beta)$$

has exactly n-q zeros inside (0, 1), as was shown in Case 1. Thus, k = 0, and Theorem 2 is established for Case 2.

CASE 3. $0 < \alpha + p < 1, \beta + q = 1$. From the above argument (see (11)) we can state immediately that $J_n(x, \alpha, \beta)$ has a zero at x = 1 of multiplicity q, and exactly n - p - q zeros inside (0, 1).

CASE 4. $\alpha + p = \beta + q = 1$. It follows from Cases 2 and 3 that $J_n(x, \alpha, \beta)$ has zeros at x = 0, 1 of multiplicity p, q respectively. Writing

$$J_n(x, \alpha, \beta) \equiv x^p (1-x) {}^q R_{n-p-q}(x, \alpha, \beta)$$

and applying M. Fujiwara's method to $R_{n-p-q}(x, \alpha, \beta)$, we readily show that $R_{n-p-q}(x, \alpha, \beta)$ has at least n-p-q zeros inside (0, 1); hence, being of degree n-p-q, it has exactly n-p-q such zeros.

1932.]

W. LAWTON

4. Remarks. (i) The fact that $L_n(x, \alpha)$ has exactly n-p zeros inside $(0, \infty)$ also follows by considering it as a limiting case of $J_n(x, \alpha, \beta)$. Suppose $\beta > 0$, $0 < \alpha + p \le 1$, and consider the transformation $x_1 = \beta x$. We know that the polynomial

 $\overline{J}_n(x_1, \alpha, \beta) = J_n(x_1/\beta, \alpha, \beta)$

has exactly h - p zeros inside $(0, \beta)$. On the other hand, by (1),

$$\bar{J}_n(x_1, \alpha, \beta) = x_1^{-\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{x_1}{\beta}\right)^{-\beta} \frac{d^n}{dx_1^n} \left[x_1^{n+\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{x_1}{\beta}\right)^{n+\beta}\right],$$

and since

$$\frac{d^{i}}{dx^{i}}\left[x^{h+\alpha}\left(1-\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^{k+\beta}\right]_{\beta\to\infty}\frac{d^{i}}{dx^{i}}[x^{h+\alpha}e^{-x}], (i, h, k=0, 1, \cdots),$$

it follows from (2) that

$$\lim_{\beta\to\infty}\bar{J}_n(x_1,\,\alpha,\,\beta)\,=\,L_n(x,\,\alpha)\,.$$

(ii) From the argument employed in Section 2, we conclude that inside (0, 1) the zeros of $J_n(x, \alpha + 1, \beta)$ separate those of $J_n(x, \alpha, \beta)$ and conversely. The same is true of $J_n(x, \alpha, \beta)$ and $J_n(x, \alpha, \beta+1)$ and of $L_n(x, \alpha)$ and $L_n(x, \alpha+1)$, inside (0, 1), $(0, \infty)$, respectively.

(iii) The results of Section 2 evidently hold for any finite interval (a, b), the polynomials $J_n(x, \alpha, \beta)$ being defined as follows:

$$J_n(x, \alpha, \beta) = (x - a)^{1 - \alpha} (b - x)^{1 - \beta} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} [(x - a)^{n + \alpha - 1} (b - x)^{n + \beta - 1}].$$

(iv) The aforesaid property of the zeros of the orthogonal Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials (α , $\beta > 0$), that they lie inside (0, ∞), (0, 1) respectively, follows at once from Theorems 1 and 2, if we make there p=0, q=0.

THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA