## ADDITION FORMULAS FOR HYPERELLIPTIC FUNCTIONS

BY W. V. PARKER

1. Introduction.* In a recent paper the writer $\dagger$ discussed the Kummer surface associated with the hyperelliptic curve of the form

$$
s^{2}=r^{5}+a r^{4}+b r^{3}+c r^{2}+r
$$

This form for the curve was used by A. L. Dixon $\ddagger$ in a paper in which he obtained addition formulas for hyperelliptic functions with distinct arguments. Dixon emphasizes the unusual symmetry of this particular form for the hyperelliptic curve. In the present paper duplication formulas are found as well as the addition formulas for distinct arguments. The odd functions used here differ from those of Dixon and are slightly different from the ones ordinarily used. The particular form used here seems desirable because of the symmetry.
2. Distinct Arguments. Consider the fixed hyperelliptic curve, $H$, of genus two, given by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{2}=r^{5}+a r^{4}+b r^{3}+c r^{2}+r \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $L$ be a variable curve of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{0} s=n_{0} r^{3}+n_{1} r^{2}+n_{2} r+n_{3}, \quad m_{0} \neq 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $n_{0} \neq 0, H$ and $L$ intersect in six finite points any four of which are sufficient to determine $L$ and hence to determine the remaining two points.

Denote the six points of intersection of $H$ and $L$ by

$$
\left(\alpha_{1}, \rho_{1}\right),\left(\alpha_{2}, \rho_{2}\right),\left(\beta_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right),\left(\beta_{2}, \sigma_{2}\right),\left(\gamma_{1}, \tau_{1}\right),\left(\gamma_{2}, \tau_{2}\right)
$$

and let

[^0]$$
u_{1}=\int_{\infty}^{\alpha_{1}} \frac{d r}{s}+\int_{\infty}^{\alpha_{2}} \frac{d r}{s}, \quad u_{2}=\int_{\infty}^{\alpha_{1}} \frac{r d r}{s}+\int_{\infty}^{\alpha_{2}} \frac{r d r}{s}
$$
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{1}=\int_{\infty}^{\beta_{1}} \frac{d r}{s}+\int_{\infty}^{\beta_{2}} \frac{d r}{s}, \quad v_{2}=\int_{\infty}^{\beta_{1}} \frac{r d r}{s}+\int_{\infty}^{\beta_{2}} \frac{r d r}{s},  \tag{3}\\
& w_{1}=\int_{\infty}^{\gamma_{1}} \frac{d r}{s}+\int_{\infty}^{\gamma_{2}} \frac{d r}{s}, \quad w_{2}=\int_{\infty}^{\gamma_{1}} \frac{r d r}{s}+\int_{\infty}^{\gamma_{2}} \frac{r d r}{s} .
\end{align*}
$$
\]

We then have by Abel's Theorem*

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}+v_{1}+w_{1} \equiv 0(\bmod \text { period })  \tag{4}\\
& u_{2}+v_{2}+w_{2} \equiv 0(\bmod \text { period })
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{x_{\alpha}}{t_{\alpha}}=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}, & \frac{y_{\alpha}}{t_{\alpha}}=\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \\
\eta_{\alpha}=\frac{\alpha_{2} \rho_{1}-\alpha_{1} \rho_{2}}{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)}, & \zeta_{\alpha}=\frac{\alpha_{1}^{2} \rho_{2}-\alpha_{2}^{2} \rho_{1}}{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)}
\end{array}
$$

and denote similarly the corresponding expressions in $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$, $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2} ; \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$. These are all periodic functions of the integrals $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$. We know that $x_{\alpha} / t_{\alpha}$, and $y_{\alpha} / t_{\alpha}$ are even functions $\dagger$ and $\eta_{\alpha}$ and $\zeta_{\alpha}$ are odd functions. The functions $x_{\gamma} / t_{\gamma}, y_{\gamma} / t_{\gamma}, \eta_{\gamma}, \zeta_{\gamma}$ can be expressed rationally in terms of $x_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha}, t_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}, \zeta_{\alpha}, x_{\beta}, y_{\beta}$, $t_{\beta}, \eta_{\beta}, \zeta_{\beta}$. But $x_{\gamma} / t_{\gamma}, y_{\gamma} / t_{\gamma}, \eta_{\gamma}, \zeta_{\gamma}$ are the same functions of ( $w_{1}, w_{2}$ ) as $x_{\alpha} / t_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha} / t_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}, \zeta_{\alpha}$ are of $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ and $x_{\beta} / t_{\beta}, y_{\beta} / t_{\beta}, \eta_{\beta}, \zeta_{\beta}$ are of ( $v_{1}, v_{2}$ ), and since, from (4),

$$
w_{1} \equiv-\left(u_{1}+v_{1}\right),
$$

and

$$
w_{2} \equiv-\left(u_{2}+v_{2}\right),
$$

these relations give us expressions for the functions of ( $u_{1}+v_{1}$, $\left.u_{2}+v_{2}\right)$ in terms of the functions of ( $u_{1}, u_{2}$ ) and ( $v_{1}, v_{2}$ ), the sign being positive for even functions and negative for odd functions. Furthermore $\zeta_{\alpha}$ can be expressed rationally in terms of $x_{\alpha}$, $y_{\alpha}, t_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}$, and similarly $\eta_{\alpha}$ can be expressed rationally in terms of $x_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha}, t_{\alpha}, \zeta_{\alpha}$. For the functions are connected by the relations

[^1]\[

$$
\begin{align*}
y t \eta^{2} & =a y t+x y+z t, \\
2 y t \eta \zeta & =b y t-y^{2}-t^{2}-x z  \tag{5}\\
y t \zeta^{2} & =c y t+y z+x t,
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where

$$
\frac{z}{t}=\frac{2 F\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)-2 \rho_{1} \rho_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 F\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)=\alpha_{1}^{2} \alpha_{2}^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\right. & \left.\alpha_{2}\right) \\
& +2 a \alpha_{1}^{2} \alpha_{2}^{2} \\
& +b \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)+2 c \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}+\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We see from these relations that interchanging $a$ with $c$ and $y$ with $t$ also interchanges $\eta$ with $\zeta$.

If we eliminate $s$ between (1) and (2) we get the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
n_{0}^{2} r^{6} & +\left(2 n_{0} n_{1}-m_{0}^{2}\right) r^{5}+\left(n_{1}^{2}+2 n_{0} n_{2}-a m_{0}{ }^{2}\right) r^{4} \\
& +\left(2 n_{1} n_{2}+2 n_{0} n_{3}-b m_{0}^{2}\right) r^{3}  \tag{6}\\
& +\left(n_{2}^{2}+2 n_{1} n_{3}-c m_{0}^{2}\right) r^{2}+\left(2 n_{2} n_{3}-m_{0}^{2}\right) r+n_{3}^{2}=0
\end{align*}
$$

whose roots are $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{x_{\alpha}}{t_{\alpha}}+\frac{x_{\beta}}{t_{\beta}}+\frac{x_{\gamma}}{t_{\gamma}} & =\frac{m_{0}^{2}-2 n_{0} n_{1}}{n_{0}^{2}} \\
\frac{y_{\alpha}}{t_{\alpha}} \frac{y_{\beta}}{t_{\beta}} \frac{y_{\gamma}}{t_{\gamma}} & =\frac{n_{3}^{2}}{n_{0}^{2}} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left(\alpha_{1}, \rho_{1}\right),\left(\alpha_{2}, \rho_{2}\right),\left(\beta_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right),\left(\beta_{2}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ are on $L$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{0} \rho_{1}=n_{0} \alpha_{1}^{3}+n_{1} \alpha_{1}^{2}+n_{2} \alpha_{1}+n_{3} \\
& m_{0} \rho_{2}=n_{0} \alpha_{2}^{3}+n_{1} \alpha_{2}^{2}+n_{2} \alpha_{2}+n_{3}  \tag{8}\\
& m_{0} \sigma_{1}=n_{0} \beta_{1}^{3}+n_{1} \beta_{1}^{2}+n_{2} \beta_{1}+n_{3} \\
& m_{0} \sigma_{2}=n_{0} \beta_{2}^{3}+n_{1} \beta_{2}^{2}+n_{2} \beta_{2}+n_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

From (8) we get at once

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta_{\alpha} m_{0}=\frac{x_{\alpha}}{t_{\alpha}} n_{0}+n_{1}-\frac{t_{\alpha}}{y_{\alpha}} n_{3}, \quad \zeta_{\alpha} m_{0}=-\frac{y_{\alpha}}{t_{\alpha}} n_{0}+n_{2}+\frac{x_{\alpha}}{y_{\alpha}} n_{3},  \tag{9}\\
& n_{\beta} m_{0}=\frac{x_{\beta}}{t_{\beta}} n_{0}+n_{1}-\frac{t_{\beta}}{y_{\beta}} n_{3}, \quad \zeta_{\beta} m_{0}=-\frac{y_{\beta}}{t_{\beta}} n_{0}+n_{2}+\frac{x_{\beta}}{y_{\beta}} n_{3} .
\end{align*}
$$

If now we let $n_{0}=t_{\alpha} t_{\beta} p_{0}$ and $n_{3}=y_{\alpha} y_{\beta} p_{3}$ and write

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ll}
x_{\alpha} & x_{\beta} \\
y_{\alpha} & y_{\beta}
\end{array}\right|=(x, y),\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\eta_{\alpha} & 1 \\
\eta_{\beta} & 1
\end{array}\right|=(\eta, 1), \text { etc. }
$$

we get
(10) $(\eta, 1) m_{0}=(x, t) p_{0}+(y, t) p_{3},(\zeta, 1) m_{0}=(t, y) p_{0}+(x, y) p_{3}$.

Writing

$$
m_{0}=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
(x, t) & (y, t) \\
(t, y) & (x, y)
\end{array}\right|,
$$

we have

$$
p_{0}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
(\eta, 1) & (y, t) \\
(\zeta, 1) & (x, y)
\end{array}\right|, \quad p_{3}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
(x, t) & (\eta, 1) \\
(t, y) & (\zeta, 1)
\end{array}\right| .
$$

From (9) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 n_{1}=m_{0}\left(\eta_{\alpha}+\eta_{\beta}\right)+p_{3}\left(y_{\alpha} t_{\beta}+y_{\beta} t_{\alpha}\right)-p_{0}\left(x_{\alpha} t_{\beta}+x_{\beta} t_{\alpha}\right) \\
& 2 n_{2}=m_{0}\left(\zeta_{\alpha}+\zeta_{\beta}\right)+p_{0}\left(y_{\alpha} t_{\beta}+y_{\beta} t_{\alpha}\right)-p_{3}\left(x_{\alpha} y_{\beta}+x_{\beta} y_{\alpha}\right) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

It is interesting to note here that interchanging $y$ with $t$, and $\eta$ with $\zeta$, interchanges $p_{0}$ with $p_{3}, n_{0}$ with $n_{3}, n_{1}$ with $n_{2}$, and leaves $m_{0}$ unaltered.

If we substitute the value for $2 n_{1}$ from (11) in (7) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{x_{\gamma}}{t_{\gamma}}=\frac{m_{0}^{2}-t_{\alpha} t_{\beta} p_{0}\left[m_{0}\left(\eta_{\alpha}+\eta_{\beta}\right)+p_{3}\left(y_{\alpha} t_{\beta}+y_{\beta} t_{\alpha}\right)\right]}{t_{\alpha}^{2} t_{\beta}^{2} p_{0}^{2}}  \tag{12}\\
& \frac{y_{\gamma}}{t_{\gamma}}=\frac{p_{3}{ }^{2} y_{\alpha} y_{\beta}}{p_{0}{ }^{2} t_{\alpha} t_{\beta}}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the points $\left(\gamma_{1}, \tau_{1}\right),\left(\gamma_{2}, \tau_{2}\right)$ are also on $L$ we have

$$
\eta_{\gamma} m_{0}=\frac{x_{\gamma}}{t_{\gamma}} n_{0}+n_{1}-\frac{t_{\gamma}}{y_{\gamma}} n_{3}, \quad \zeta_{\gamma} m_{0}=-\frac{y_{\gamma}}{t_{\gamma}} n_{0}+n_{2}+\frac{x_{\gamma}}{y_{\gamma}} n_{3} .
$$

If now we let $x_{\alpha+\beta}$ etc. denote the same functions of ( $u_{1}+v_{1}$, $\left.u_{2}+v_{2}\right)$ as $x_{\alpha}$ etc. are of ( $u_{1}, u_{2}$ ) and $x_{\beta}$ etc. are of ( $v_{1}, v_{2}$ ), we have the following addition formulas (valid for distinct arguments):

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{\gamma}: y_{\gamma}: t_{\gamma}=x_{\alpha+\beta}: y_{\alpha+\beta}: t_{\alpha+\beta}=\left\{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
(x, t) & (y, t) \\
(t, y) & (x, y)
\end{array}\right|^{2}\right. \\
-t_{\alpha} t_{\beta}\left|\begin{array}{cc}
(\eta, 1) & (y, t) \\
(\zeta, 1) & (x, y)
\end{array}\right|\left[\left(\eta_{\alpha}+\eta_{\beta}\right)\left|\begin{array}{cc}
(x, t) & (y, t) \\
(t, y) & (x, y)
\end{array}\right|\right. \\
\left.\left.\left.+\left(y_{\alpha} t_{\beta}+y_{\beta} t_{\alpha}\right) \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc}
(x, t) & (\eta, 1) \\
(t, y) & (\zeta, 1)
\end{array}\right.\right]\right]\right\}: y_{\alpha} y_{\beta} t_{\alpha} t_{\beta}\left|\begin{array}{cc}
(x, t) & (\eta, 1) \\
(t, y) & (\zeta, 1)
\end{array}\right|^{2} \\
: t_{\alpha}{ }^{2} t_{\beta}{ }^{2}\left|\begin{array}{cc}
(\eta, 1) & (y, t) \\
(\zeta, 1) & (x, y)
\end{array}\right|^{2}, \\
\eta_{\gamma}=-\eta_{\alpha+\beta}=\frac{m_{0}}{t_{\alpha} t_{\beta} p_{0}}-\frac{p_{0}\left(x_{\alpha} t_{\beta}+x_{\beta} t_{\alpha}\right)}{2 m_{0}}-\frac{p_{0}{ }^{2} t_{\alpha} t_{\beta}}{m_{0} p_{3}} \\
-\frac{p_{3}\left(y_{\alpha} t_{\beta}+y_{\beta} t_{\alpha}\right)}{2 m_{0}}-\frac{\eta_{\alpha}+\eta_{\beta}}{2} \\
\zeta_{\gamma}=-\zeta_{\alpha+\beta}=\frac{m_{0}}{y_{\alpha} y_{\beta} p_{3}}-\frac{p_{3}\left(x_{\alpha} y_{\beta}+x_{\beta} y_{\alpha}\right)}{2 m_{0}}-\frac{p_{3}{ }^{2} y_{\alpha} y_{\beta}}{m_{0} p_{0}} \\
-\frac{p_{0}\left(y_{\alpha} t_{\beta}+y_{\beta} t_{\alpha}\right)}{2 m_{0}}-\frac{\zeta_{\alpha}+\zeta_{\beta}}{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

These last two equations may be written

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta_{\gamma}=-\eta_{\alpha+\beta}=\frac{m_{0}}{t_{\alpha} t_{\beta} p_{0}}+\frac{p_{0} t_{\alpha} t_{\beta}(\zeta, 1)}{p_{3}(y, t)}-\frac{\eta_{\alpha} y_{\alpha} t_{\beta}-\eta_{\beta} y_{\beta} t_{\alpha}}{(y, t)} \\
& \zeta_{\gamma}=-\zeta_{\alpha+\beta}=\frac{m_{0}}{y_{\alpha} y_{\beta} p_{3}}+\frac{p_{3} y_{\alpha} y_{\beta}(\eta, 1)}{p_{0}(t, y)}-\frac{\zeta_{\alpha} y_{\beta} t_{\alpha}-\zeta_{\beta} y_{\alpha} t_{\beta}}{(t, y)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (12) we get

$$
\frac{x_{\gamma}}{y_{\gamma}}=\frac{m_{0}{ }^{2}-t_{\alpha} t_{\beta} p_{0}\left[m_{0}\left(\eta_{\alpha}+\eta_{\beta}\right)+p_{3}\left(y_{\alpha} t_{\beta}+y_{\beta} t_{\alpha}\right)\right]}{y_{\alpha} y_{\beta} t_{\alpha} t_{\beta} p_{3}{ }^{2}}
$$

If now we interchange $y$ with $t, \eta$ with $\zeta$ and $p_{0}$ with $p_{3}$ we get

$$
\frac{x_{\gamma}}{t_{\gamma}}=\frac{m_{0}{ }^{2}-y_{\alpha} y_{\beta} p_{3}\left[m_{0}\left(\zeta_{\alpha}+\zeta_{\beta}\right)+p_{0}\left(y_{\alpha} t_{\beta}+y_{\beta} t_{\alpha}\right)\right]}{y_{\alpha} y_{\beta} t_{\alpha} t_{\beta} p_{0}{ }^{2}}
$$

This is exactly the form obtained for $x_{\gamma} / t_{\gamma}$ by using the coefficient of $r$ from (6) rather than the coefficient of $r^{5}$. A somewhat
more symmetric though much longer form for $x_{\gamma} / t_{\gamma}$ is obtained by taking one-half the sum of these two expressions.
3. The Coincidence Case, Duplication Formulas. If ( $\beta_{1}, \sigma_{1}$ ) coincides with ( $\alpha_{1}, \rho_{1}$ ) and also ( $\beta_{2}, \sigma_{2}$ ) coincides with ( $\alpha_{2}, \rho_{2}$ ), that is, if $u_{1}=v_{1}$ and $u_{2}=v_{2}$, the above formulas become indeterminate in the sense that they do not give the expressions for the functions of $\left(2 u_{1}, 2 u_{2}\right)$ in terms of the functions of $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ simply by setting $v_{1}=u_{1}$ and $v_{2}=u_{2}$. In order to obtain the formulas in this case as expeditiously as possible we determine the curve $L$ so that it is tangent to $H$ at each of the points ( $\alpha_{1}, \rho_{1}$ ) and ( $\alpha_{2}, \rho_{2}$ ). We then have by Abel's theorem

$$
2 u_{1}+w_{1} \equiv 0(\bmod \text { period }), 2 u_{2}+w_{2} \equiv 0(\bmod \text { period }) .
$$

Since the roots of (6) are now $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{2}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x_{\gamma}}{t_{\gamma}}=\frac{m_{0}{ }^{2}-2 n_{0} n_{1}}{n_{0}{ }^{2}}-\frac{2 x_{\alpha}}{t_{\alpha}}, \quad \frac{y_{\gamma}}{t_{\gamma}}=\frac{n_{3}{ }^{2} t_{\alpha}^{2}}{n_{0}{ }^{2} y_{\alpha}^{2}}, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the ratios $n_{0} / m_{0}, n_{1} / m_{0}, n_{2} / m_{0}, n_{3} / m_{0}$ are to be determined from the equations*
$y t \eta m_{0}=x y n_{0}+y t n_{1}-t^{2} n_{3}, y t \zeta m_{0}=-y^{2} n_{0}+y t n_{2}+x t n_{3}$, $\left(5 x y^{2}+4 a y^{2} t-2 c y t^{2}-x t^{2}\right) m_{0}$

$$
=6\left(y^{2} t \zeta+x y^{2} \eta\right) n_{0}+4 y^{2} t \eta n_{1}-2 y t^{2} \zeta n_{2}
$$

$\left(5 y^{3}-3 b y^{2} t-2 c x y t-x^{2} t+y t^{2}\right) m_{0}$
$=6 y^{3} \eta n_{0}-4 y^{2} t \zeta n_{1}-2\left(x y t \zeta+y^{2} t \eta\right) n_{2}$.
The computation here is greatly simplified by introducing the function $z / t$ as defined in (5) which is expressed rationally in terms of $x / t, y / t, \eta, \zeta$ through the relations given there. Making use of these relations and writing

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
p_{0}=\left(y^{2}-t^{2}\right) \eta+(x y-z t) \zeta, & p_{2}=t \eta^{2}+z \eta \zeta+y \zeta^{2}  \tag{16}\\
p_{1}=y \eta^{2}+x \eta \zeta+t \zeta^{2}, & p_{3}=(x t-y z) \eta+\left(t^{2}-y^{2}\right) \zeta,
\end{array}
$$

we get immediately

$$
\begin{align*}
m_{0} & =2 y t p_{1}, \quad n_{0}=t p_{0}, \quad n_{1}=t p_{3}-x p_{0}+2 y t p_{1} \eta  \tag{17}\\
n_{2} & =y p_{0}-x p_{3}+2 y t p_{1} \zeta, \quad n_{3}=y p_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

[^2]If now $x_{2 \alpha}$ etc. denote the same functions of $\left(2 u_{1}, 2 u_{2}\right)$ as $x_{\alpha}$ etc. are of ( $u_{1}, u_{2}$ ), and we substitute the expressions from (17) in (14), we get the following duplication formulas:

$$
x_{\gamma}: y_{\gamma}: t_{\gamma}=x_{2 \alpha}: y_{2 \alpha}: t_{2 \alpha}=\left(4 y t p_{1} p_{2}-2 p_{0} p_{3}\right): p_{3}{ }^{2}: p_{0}{ }^{2} .
$$

Since the points ( $\gamma_{1}, \tau_{1}$ ) and ( $\gamma_{2}, \tau_{2}$ ) are on $L$, we have

$$
\eta_{\gamma} m_{0}=\frac{x_{\gamma}}{t_{\gamma}} n_{0}+n_{1}-\frac{t_{\gamma}}{y_{\gamma}} n_{3}, \quad \zeta_{\gamma} m_{0}=-\frac{y_{\gamma}}{t_{\gamma}} n_{0}+n_{2}+\frac{x_{\gamma}}{y_{\gamma}} n_{3}
$$

Hence we have
$\eta_{\gamma}=-\eta_{2 \alpha}=\frac{\left[\left(4 y t p_{1} p_{2}-2 p_{0} p_{3}\right) t-x p_{0}{ }^{2}\right] p_{3}+p_{0}\left(t p_{3}{ }^{2}-y p_{0}{ }^{2}\right)}{2 y t p_{0} p_{1} p_{3}}+\eta$,
$\zeta_{\gamma}=-\zeta_{2 \alpha}=\frac{\left[\left(4 y t p_{1} p_{2}-2 p_{0} p_{3}\right) y-x p_{3}{ }^{2}\right] p_{0}+p_{3}\left(y p_{0}{ }^{2}-t p_{3}{ }^{2}\right)}{2 y t p_{0} p_{1} p_{3}}+\zeta$,
where $p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$ are as defined in (16).
For certain choices of ( $\alpha_{1}, \rho_{1}$ ) and ( $\alpha_{2}, \rho_{2}$ ) in the coincidence case, ( $\gamma_{1}, \tau_{1}$ ) will coincide with ( $\gamma_{2}, \tau_{2}$ ) and the curve $L$ will be tangent to $H$ at each of three points. In fact ( $\alpha_{1}, \rho_{1}$ ) can be chosen arbitrarily subject to the condition that $\rho_{1} \neq 0$ and then ( $\alpha_{2}, \rho_{2}$ ) can be determined in a finite number of ways so that the curve $L$ which is tangent to $H$ at $\left(\alpha_{1}, \rho_{1}\right)$ and ( $\alpha_{2}, \rho_{2}$ ) will also be tangent at a third point. If we take a fixed point on $H$ and determine a curve $L$ through this point and any three of the points where $H$ meets the $r$-axis, this curve $L$ will meet $H$ in another pair of points such that the $L$ which is tangent to $H$ at each of these is also tangent at the given fixed point. Furthermore all such tangent curves may be obtained in this way.*
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[^0]:    * This paper is a part of a dissertation written in Brown University, 1931. The writer is indebted to A. A. Bennett for many helpful suggestions.
    $\dagger$ This Bulletin, vol. 38 (1932), p. 403.
    $\ddagger$ On hyperelliptic functions of genus two, Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, vol. 36 (1904), p. 1.

[^1]:    * Appell et Goursat, Théorie des Fonctions Algébriques, Chap. 9.
    $\dagger$ Dixon, loc. cit.

[^2]:    * Since there is no possibility of confusion the subscript $\alpha$ will be omitted in what is to follow.

[^3]:    * For proof of this statement see a paper by the writer in this Bulletin, vol. 37 (1931), p. 557.

