ON A THEOREM OF HIGHER RECIPROCITY*

BY LEONARD CARLITZ

1. Introduction. Let \mathfrak{D} denote the totality of polynomials in an indeterminate, x, with coefficients in a fixed Galois field of order p^n . Let P be a primary irreducible element of \mathfrak{D} ; then, if Ais any polynomial in \mathfrak{D} not divisible by P,

$$A^{p^{n\nu}-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{P},$$

where ν is the degree of *P*. Evidently then

 $A^{(p^{n\nu}-1)/(p^n-1)}$

is congruent (mod P) to a quantity in the $GF(p^n)$, that is, to a polynomial of degree zero. We define (A/P), the residue character of index p^n-1 , as that element of $GF(p^n)$ for which

$$\left(\frac{A}{P}\right) \equiv A^{(p^{n\nu}-1)/(p^n-1)} \pmod{P}.$$

We have then the following theorem of reciprocity, proved in a recent paper.[†]

If P and Q are primary irreducible polynomials in \mathfrak{D} of degree ν and ρ respectively, then

(1)
$$\left(\frac{P}{Q}\right) = (-1)^{\rho\nu} \left(\frac{Q}{P}\right).$$

The purpose of this note is to give a simple new proof of this theorem along the lines of Zeller's well known proof of the ordinary quadratic reciprocity theorem.[‡]

2. Analog of Gauss' Lemma. If A is in \mathfrak{D} , then sgn A denotes the coefficient of the highest power of x which occurs in A; if sgn A = 1, A is primary. Let $\mathfrak{R}(A/B)$ denote the remainder in the division of A by B. Then the analog in question is furnished by the following theorem.

^{*} Presented to the Society, December 27, 1932.

[†] American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 54 (1932), pp. 39-50.

[‡] Monatsbericht der Berliner Akademie, December, 1872.

[February,

LEMMA. Let A and P be in \mathfrak{D} , P primary irreducible, and not a divisor of A; then

(2)
$$\left(\frac{A}{P}\right) = \prod_{H} \operatorname{sgn} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{HA}{P}\right),$$

the product extending over all primary H of degree less than the degree of P.

A detailed proof of this lemma is scarcely necessary, but we remark for a later purpose that the proof depends on the fact that the set of polynomials

$$\left\{ \left. \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{HA}{P}\right) \right/ \operatorname{sgn} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{HA}{P}\right) \right\}$$

is identical (except for order) with the set $\{H\}$, where H runs through the primary polynomials of degree less than the degree of P.

3. Proof of the Theorem. Let $\{bM\}$ denote the set of those polynomials in the set

$$\left\{ \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{HQ}{P}\right) \right\}, \qquad (\deg H < \nu, \operatorname{sgn} H = 1),$$

with signum equal to b, a fixed quantity in $GF(p^n)$. We write $S_b = \{M\}$; evidently the polynomials M are primary. Similarly we put $S_b' = \{N\}$, where $\{bN\}$ denotes the set of those polynomials in the set

$$\left\{ \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{KP}{Q}\right) \right\}, \qquad (\deg K < \rho, \operatorname{sgn} K = 1),$$

with signum equal to b.

We assume, as we may without any loss in generality, that $\rho \ge \nu$. We put

$$(3) S_b' = U_b + V_b,$$

where

$$U_b = \{ M \text{ in } S_b'; \deg M < \nu \},\$$

$$V_b = \{ M \text{ in } S_b'; \deg M \ge \nu \}.$$

1933.]

Then we begin by proving

$$(4) U_b = S_{-b}.$$

Indeed, let M be any polynomial in S_b , that is, let

$$HQ \equiv bM \pmod{P},$$

where deg $H < \nu$, sgn H = 1. Evidently there exists a primary K of degree $< \rho$ such that

$$HQ = bM + KP.$$

But this equality may be written in the form

$$KP \equiv -bM \pmod{Q},$$

and since deg $M < \nu$, it follows at once that M is in U_{-b} .

Conversely assume an M in U_b . Then there exists a primary K of degree $<\rho$, such that

$$KP \equiv bM \pmod{Q};$$

since deg $M < \nu$, we infer the existence of a primary (in particular, non-zero) H of degree $< \nu$, such that

$$KP = bM + HQ.$$

Then it follows as above that M is in S_{-b} . We have therefore set up a (1, 1) correspondence between the elements of U_b and S_{-b} , thus proving equation (4).

Let us write $\mu(W)$ for the number of elements in a (finite) set W. Then, by Gauss' Lemma and equation (3),

(5)
$$\left(\frac{P}{Q}\right) = \prod_{b\neq 0} b^{\mu(U_b)+\mu(V_b)},$$

the product in the right member extending over all b in $GF(p^n)$ different from zero. By equation (4), the right side of (5) may be written in the form

$$\prod_{\boldsymbol{b}} b^{\mu(S_{-b})+\mu(V_b)}.$$

Now

(6)
$$\prod_{b} b^{\mu(S_{ab})} = \prod_{b} (-b)^{\mu(S_{b})} = \prod_{b} (-1)^{\mu(S_{b})} \cdot \prod_{b} b^{\mu(S_{b})};$$

[February,

but (by the remark in §2)

(7)
$$\sum_{b} \mu(S_{b}) = \frac{p^{n\nu} - 1}{p^{n} - 1} \equiv \nu \pmod{2},$$

and by Gauss' Lemma

(8)
$$\prod_{b} b^{\mu(S_{b})} = \left(\frac{Q}{P}\right);$$

we have therefore, by equations $(5), \dots, (8)$,

(9)
$$\left(\frac{P}{Q}\right) = (-1)^{\nu} \left(\frac{Q}{P}\right) \prod_{b} b^{\mu(V_{b})}.$$

It remains to calculate $\mu(V_b)$; evidently we may ignore the case b=1.

Let *M* be in
$$V_b$$
, so that for some primary *K* of degree $<\rho$,
(10) $KP \equiv bM \pmod{Q}$.

Since the degree of M is not less than the degree of P, we may put

$$M = AP + cB, \quad \deg B < \nu,$$

where A and B are primary, and c is in $GF(p^n)$. Then (10) becomes

(11)
$$(K - bA)P \equiv cB \pmod{Q}.$$

But

$$\deg A = \deg M - \deg P < \rho - \nu,$$

and since we are assuming $b \neq 1$, we have necessarily

 $\deg K \ge \rho - \nu.$

Therefore K - bA is primary and

$$\rho - \nu \leq \deg (K - bA) < \rho,$$

and finally B is in U_c .

Conversely, let us begin with a B in U_c :

(12)
$$KP \equiv cB \pmod{Q}, \deg B < \nu.$$

Then, if m is an integer such that

(13)
$$\nu \leq m < \rho_{1}$$

and A is a primary polynomial of degree $m - \nu$, we have

$$(K + bA)P \equiv bAP + cB \pmod{Q};$$

and if we put

1933.]

$$bAP + cB = bM,$$

it is evident that M is in V_b . Indeed

$$\begin{split} \deg \left(K + bA\right) &= \deg K,\\ \mathrm{sgn} \left(K + bA\right) &= \mathrm{sgn} \ K = 1;\\ \deg M &= \deg AP = m,\\ \mathrm{sgn} \ M &= b^{-1} \mathrm{sgn} \ (bAP + cB) = 1. \end{split}$$

To sum up, we have proved that, for fixed $b \neq 1$,

(i) to each element of V_b corresponds a single element of some U_c ;

(ii) to each element of U_c , c fixed, corresponds $p^{n(m-\nu)}$ elements of V_b of degree m, where m is a fixed integer satisfying the inequalities (13).

Evidently (ii) implies that the total number of elements of V_b corresponding to a fixed element of U_c is precisely

$$\sum_{m=\nu}^{\rho-1} p^{n(m-\nu)} = \frac{p^{n(\rho-\nu)} - 1}{p^n - 1} \cdot$$

We have therefore that the number of elements in V_b is

(14)
$$\mu(V_b) = \frac{p^{n(p-\nu)} - 1}{p^n - 1} \frac{p^{n\nu} - 1}{p^n - 1},$$

as follows at once from equations (4) and (7).

Returning to equation (9), we have, since the right member of (14) is independent of b,

(15)
$$\prod_{b} b^{\mu(V_{b})} = \left(\prod_{b} b\right)^{\epsilon},$$

 ϵ denoting the right side of (14).

Now, by the generalization of Wilson's Theorem for a Galois field,

$$\prod_{b}b=-1;$$

159

on the other hand

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \equiv (\rho - \nu)\nu \equiv \rho\nu + \nu \pmod{2};$$

therefore, by (9) and (15),

$$\left(\frac{P}{Q}\right) = (-1)^{\rho\nu} \left(\frac{Q}{P}\right).$$

This completes the proof of our theorem of higher reciprocity.

4. Remarks. It should be clear that the case p=2 is by no means ruled out in the proof just given. Since in the $GF(2^n)$, +1 and -1 are the same, the theorem in this case assumes the simpler form

$$\left(\frac{P}{Q}\right) = \left(\frac{Q}{P}\right), \qquad (p=2),$$

(P/Q) being the residue character of index $2^n - 1$.

Secondly, if in the notation of §3, we put

$$W_b = \left\{ M \text{ in } S_b'; \deg M \leq \nu \right\}$$

and

$$X_b = \left\{ M \text{ in } S_b'; \deg M > \nu \right\},\$$

then it is easy to show that

$$\prod_b b^{\mu(X_b)} = (-1)^{\rho\nu},$$

or, what amounts to the same thing,

$$\prod_{b} b^{\mu(W_{b})} = \left(\frac{Q}{P}\right).$$

DUKE UNIVERSITY

160