## CONVERGENCE FACTORS FOR DOUBLE SERIES*

BY W. H. DURFEE

1. Introduction. By a theorem due originally to Frobenius $\dagger$ if the power series $y(z)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i} z^{i}$ has the unit circle as circle of convergence, and if $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i}$ is summable by Cesàro's first mean with the value $s$, then $\lim y(z)=s$ as $z \rightarrow+1$ along any path lying between two fixed chords intersecting at $z=+1$. This theorem has been considerably extended, in the field of double series notably by Bromwich and Hardy, $\ddagger$ and by C. N. Moore. § The former proved that if $f(x, y)=\sum_{i, j=0}^{\infty} a_{i j} x^{i} y^{j}$, and if $\left|S_{i j}^{(k)}\right|$, the $k$ th Hölder mean of $\sum a_{i j}$, is bounded for all values of $i$ and $j$, and $\lim _{i, j \rightarrow \infty} S_{i j}^{(k)}=s$, then also $\lim _{x, y \rightarrow 1} f(x, y)=s$. More particular reference will presently be made to Moore's paper, his theorems being the starting point for the present article. Robison, $\|$ also, has given necessary and sufficient conditions for the regularity of a transformation applied to a double sequence.

The writer, in a paper on series of the form $y(z)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i} z^{f(i)}$, gave sufficient conditions on $f(i)$ so that $\lim _{z \rightarrow 1} y(z)=s$. $\|$ The present paper deals with double series of the type

$$
J(z, w)=\sum_{\imath=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{i j} z^{f(i)} w^{g(j)},
$$

where $z, w$ are complex variables, and $f(i), g(j)$ are logarithmicoexponential functions, ${ }^{* *}$ called for brevity $L$-functions. Sufficient conditions on $f(i), g(j)$ will be given so that if $\sum a_{i j}$ is summable ( $C, r-1$ ) with the value $s$, then $J(z, w)$ will be convergent for $|z|<1,|w|<1$, and $\lim _{(z, w) \rightarrow(1,1)} J(z, w)=s$.

[^0]2. Notation. We shall employ Moore's notation. Thus
(1) $s_{m_{1} m_{2}}=\sum_{i=1}^{m_{1}} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{2}} a_{i j}$,
(2) $S_{m_{1} m_{2}}^{(k)}=\sum_{i=1}^{m_{1}} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(k+m_{1}-i\right)}{\Gamma(k) \cdot \Gamma\left(m_{1}-i+1\right)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(k+m_{2}-j\right)}{\Gamma(k) \cdot \Gamma\left(m_{2}-j+1\right)} s_{i j}$,
(3) $A_{m_{1} m_{2}}^{(k)}=\frac{\Gamma\left(m_{1}+k\right)}{\Gamma(k+1) \cdot \Gamma\left(m_{1}\right)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(m_{2}+k\right)}{\Gamma(k+1) \cdot \Gamma\left(m_{2}\right)}$.

If the quotient $S_{m_{1} m_{2}}^{(k)} / A_{m_{1} m_{2}}^{(k)}$ approaches a limit $s$ as $m_{1}, m_{2}$ become infinite, we say that the series $\sum a_{i j}$ is summable ( $C, k$ ) with the value $s$. We shall also have occasion to employ the following notation:

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{i j}(z, w) & =z^{f(i)} w^{g(j)},  \tag{4}\\
\phi_{i j}^{(p, q)}(z, w) & =\frac{\partial^{p+q} \phi_{i j}(z, w)}{\partial i^{p} \partial j^{q}},  \tag{5}\\
\Delta_{r r} \phi_{i j}(z, w) & =\sum_{s_{1}=0}^{r} \sum_{s_{2}=0}^{r}(-1)^{s_{1}}(-1)^{s_{2}}\binom{r}{s_{1}}\binom{r}{s_{2}} \phi_{i+s_{1}, j+s_{2}}(z, w), \\
\Delta_{r o} \phi_{i j}(z, w) & =\sum_{s_{1}=0}^{r}(-1)^{s_{1}}\binom{r}{s_{1}} \phi_{i+s_{1}, j}(z, w) .
\end{align*}
$$

The region within which $|z|<1,|w|<1$, will be denoted by $E(z, w)$, and the open region in the neighborhood of $(1,1)$ lying between two chords of the unit circle intersecting at +1 , by $E^{\prime}(z, w)$.

Theorem. If $\sum a_{i j}$ is summable $(C, r-1)$ with the value $s$, when $r \geqq 1$ is an integer, and if
(a) $\left|S_{i j}^{(r-1)} / A_{i j}^{(r-1)}\right|<C, \quad(i, j=1,2, \cdots ; C$ a constant $)$;
(b) $f(t), g(t)$ are L-functions which, together with their first $(r-1)$ derivatives, exist and are continuous, are of constant sign, and are monotonic for $t \geqq 1$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log t=\sigma[f(t)], \log t=\sigma[g(t)] \tag{c}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=\sigma\left(t^{\alpha}\right), g(t)=\sigma\left(t^{\alpha}\right) \text { for some } \alpha>0 ; \tag{d}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the double series $J(z, w)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{i j} z^{f(i)} w^{g(j)}$ will converge in $E(z, w)$, and $\lim _{(z, w) \rightarrow(1,1)} J(z, w)=s$, the paths of approach lying in $E^{\prime}(z, w)$.
3. Statement of Lemmas. For the sake of brevity the following lemmas are stated here without proof.*

Lemma 1. $A s(z, w) \rightarrow(1,1)$ in $E^{\prime}(z, w),|\log z|=O[\log \rho]$, $|\log w|=O(\log \tau)$, where $\rho=|z|, \tau=|w|$.

Lemma 2. If $h(t)$ satisfies conditions (c) and (d) of the Theorem, then for $k \geqq 1, h^{(k)}(t) / h(t)=O\left(1 / t^{k}\right)$, and $h^{(k)}(t) / h^{\prime}(t)=O\left(1 / t^{k-1}\right)$.
4. General Relations. Each term $T\left[\phi_{i j}^{(p, q)}(\rho, \tau)\right]$ of $\phi_{i j}^{(p, q)}(\rho, \tau)$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{1} \rho^{f(i)} \tau^{\sigma(j)} \prod_{\lambda=1}^{p}\left[f^{(\lambda)}(i)\right]^{\alpha} \prod_{\sigma=1}^{q}\left[g^{(\sigma)}(j)\right]^{\beta \sigma}(\log \rho)^{\alpha}(\log \tau)^{\beta} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{1}$ is a constant, $\alpha=\sum_{\lambda=1}^{p} \alpha_{\lambda}, p=\sum_{\lambda=1}^{p} \lambda \alpha_{\lambda}, \beta=\sum_{\sigma=1}^{q} \beta_{\sigma}$, $q=\sum_{\sigma=1}^{q} \sigma \beta_{\sigma}$, and any, or all but one, of $\alpha_{\lambda}$ or $\beta_{\sigma}$ may be zero. It will be noted that $p \geqq \alpha, q \geqq \beta$. By Lemma 2 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|T\left[\phi_{i j}^{(p, q)}(\rho, \tau)\right]\right| \leqq B_{2 \rho^{f(i)} \tau^{g(j)}} \frac{[f(i)]^{\alpha}[g(j)]^{\beta}}{i^{p} j^{q}}|\log \rho|^{\alpha}|\log \tau|^{\beta},  \tag{9}\\
& \left|T\left[\phi_{i j}^{(p, q)}(\rho, \tau)\right]\right| \leqq B_{3}{ }^{f(i)} \tau_{\tau}^{g(j)}\left[\frac{\left.f^{\prime}(i)\right]^{\alpha}\left[g^{\prime}(j)\right]^{\beta}}{i^{p-\alpha} j^{q-\beta}}|\log \rho|^{\alpha}|\log \tau|^{\beta} .\right. \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 1, in $E^{\prime}(z, w),\left|\phi_{i j}^{(p, q)}(z, w)\right| \leqq B_{4}\left|\phi_{i j}^{(p, q)}(\rho, \tau)\right|$; so that if we denote by $\sum T_{i j}^{(p, q)}(\rho, \tau)$ the sum of all terms of $\phi_{i j}^{(p, q)}(\rho, \tau)$ whose signs are unlike that of $\rho^{f(i)} \tau^{g(j)}[f(i) \log \rho]^{p}[g(j) \log \tau]^{q}$, the leading term, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi \leqq(-1)^{p}(-1)^{q} B_{4}\left\{\phi_{i j}^{(p, q)}(\rho, \tau)-2 \sum T_{i j}^{(p, q)}(\rho, \tau)\right\} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi=\left|\phi_{i j}^{(p, q)}(z, w)\right|$. From (6) and (11) we obtain
$\left|\Delta_{r r} \phi_{i j}(z, w)\right| \leqq B_{4} \int_{0}^{1} d \xi_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d \eta_{1} \ldots$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} d \xi_{r} \int_{0}^{1}\left\{\phi_{\mu \nu}^{(r, r)}(\rho, \tau)-2 \sum T_{\mu \nu}^{(r, r)}(\rho, \tau)\right\} d \eta_{r} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu=i+\xi_{1}+\cdots+\xi_{r}, \nu=j+\eta_{1}+\cdots+\eta_{r}$. By (9), since $i \leqq \mu, \rho^{f(u)} \leqq \rho^{f(i)}, f(\mu) \leqq f(i+r)$, with similar inequalities for $j$ and $\nu$, we have for fixed $(z, w)$, if we set $M=\left|\Delta_{r r} \phi_{i j}(z, w)\right|$,

* The proof of Lemma 1 may be found in my paper cited above; Lemma 2 may be deduced from certain remarks by Hardy, in his Orders of Infinity.

$$
\begin{gather*}
M \leqq B_{5} \int_{0}^{1} d \xi_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d \eta_{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1} d \xi_{r} \int_{0}^{1} \rho^{f(\mu)} \tau^{g(\nu)} \frac{[f(\mu)]^{\alpha}[g(\nu)]^{\beta}}{\mu^{r} \nu^{r}} d \eta_{r}  \tag{13}\\
\leqq B_{5} \rho^{f(i)} \tau^{g(j)} \frac{[f(i+r)]^{r}[g(j+r)]^{r}}{i^{r} j^{r}} .
\end{gather*}
$$

It can be shown easily that if $a, b$ are positive constants

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \rho^{f(i)}[f(i+a)]^{b}=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \tau^{\theta(j)}[g(j+a)]^{b}=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{i, j \rightarrow \infty}\left|\Delta_{r r} \phi_{i j}(z, w)\right| & =\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left|\Delta_{r r} \phi_{i j}(z, w)\right| \\
& =\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left|\Delta_{r r} \phi_{i j}(z, w)\right|=0 \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

5. Proof of Theorem. C. N. Moore* has given necessary and sufficient conditions that a double series $\sum a_{i j} F_{i j}(z, w)$ shall converge in $E(z, w)$ and approach a limit $s$ as $(z, w) \rightarrow(1,1)$ in $E^{\prime}(z, w)$, the series $\sum a_{i j}$ being summable ( $C, r-1$ ) with the value $s$, and condition (a) of the Theorem being satisfied. For series of our type, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{i j} z^{f(i)} w^{g(j)}$, these conditions are:
(A) $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} i^{r-1} j^{r-1}\left|\Delta_{r r} \phi_{i j}(z, w)\right|<K(z, w), \quad \quad(E(z, w))$;
( $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ ) $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{r-1} \sum_{i=1}^{p} i^{r-1}\left|\Delta_{r 0} \phi_{i j}(z, w)\right|=0,(E(z, w) ; p=1,2, \cdots)$;
$\left(\mathrm{B}_{2}\right) \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} i^{r-1} \sum_{j=1}^{q} j^{r-1}\left|\Delta_{0 r} \phi_{i j}(z, w)\right|=0, \quad(E(z, w) ; q=1,2, \cdots)$;
(C) $\quad i^{r-1} j^{r-1}\left|\phi_{i j}(z, w)\right|<M(z, w), \quad(E(z, w) ; i, j=1,2, \cdots)$;
(A') $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} i^{r-1} j^{r-1}\left|\Delta_{r r} \phi_{i j}(z, w)\right|<K, \quad \quad\left(E^{\prime}(z, w)\right) ;$
( $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ ) $\lim _{(z, w) \rightarrow(1,1)} \sum_{j=q}^{\infty} j^{r-1}\left|\Delta_{r r} \phi_{i j}(z, w)\right|=0, \quad(i, q=1,2, \cdots) ;$
$\left(\mathrm{D}_{2}\right) \lim _{(z, w) \rightarrow(1,1)} \sum_{i=p}^{\infty} i^{r-1}\left|\Delta_{r r} \phi_{i j}(z, w)\right|=0, \quad(p, j=1,2, \cdots)$;
(E) $\quad \lim _{(z, w) \rightarrow(1,1)} \phi_{i j}(z, w)=1, \quad(i, j=1,2, \cdots)$;

* Loc. cit.
where $K(z, w)$ and $M(z, w)$ are finite for each $(z, w)$ in $E(z, w)$, and $K$ is a positive constant. We proceed to show that these eight conditions are fulfilled in the present case.

Condition (A). By (12), since $i \leqq \mu, j \leqq \nu$,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} i^{r-1} j^{r-1}\left|\Delta_{r r} \phi_{i j}(z, w)\right| \leqq B_{4} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} d \xi_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d \eta_{1} \cdots
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdot \int_{0}^{1} d \xi_{r} \int_{0}^{1} \mu^{r-1} \nu^{r-1}\left\{\phi_{\mu \nu}^{(r, r)}(\rho, \tau)-2 \sum T_{\mu \nu}^{(r, r)}(\rho, \tau)\right\} d \eta_{r} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering first that part of this integrand involving $\phi_{\mu \nu}^{(r, r)}$, and integrating by parts with respect to $\eta_{r}$ and then with respect to $\xi_{r}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{4} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} d \xi_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d \eta_{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1} d \xi_{r} \int_{0}^{1} \mu^{r-1} \nu^{r-1} \phi_{\mu \nu}^{(r, r)}(\rho, \tau) d \eta_{r} \\
=B_{4} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\{G(i, j)-G(i+1, j)-G(i, j+1)  \tag{17}\\
+G(i+1, j+1)\}
\end{gather*}
$$

where
$G(i, j)=\int_{0}^{1} d \xi_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d \eta_{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1} d \xi_{r-1} \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \sum_{t=0}^{r-1}(-1)^{s}(-1)^{t}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdot \frac{(r-1)!}{(r-1-s)!} \frac{(r-1)!}{(r-1-t)!} \mu_{0}^{r-1-s} \nu_{0}^{r-1-t} \phi_{\mu_{0} \nu_{0}}^{(r-1-s, r-1-t)}(\rho, \tau) d \eta_{r-1} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which expression $\mu_{0}=i+\xi_{1}+\cdots+\xi_{r-1}$, and $\nu_{0}=j+\eta_{1}$ $+\cdots+\eta_{r-1}$. By the aid of (9) we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& G(i, j) \leqq B_{6}[(r-1)!]^{2} \int_{0}^{1} d \xi_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d \eta_{1} \cdots \\
& \quad \cdot \int_{0}^{1} d \xi_{r-1} \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \sum_{t=0}^{r-1} \rho^{f\left(\mu_{0}\right)} \tau^{g\left(\nu_{0}\right)}\left[f\left(\mu_{0}\right)\right]^{r-1-s}\left[g\left(\nu_{0}\right)\right]^{r-1-t} d \eta_{r-1}  \tag{19}\\
& \quad \leqq B_{6}(r!)^{2} \rho^{f(i)} \tau^{g(j)}[f(i+r-1) \cdot g(j+r-1)]^{r-1}
\end{align*}
$$

This expression, by virtue of (14), approaches zero when $i$, or $j$, or both, increase indefinitely; so that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
B_{4} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\{G(i, j)-G(i+1, j)-G(i, j+1)+G(i+1, j+1)\} \\
20) \tag{20}
\end{array}
$$

Thus (17) is bounded for fixed $z$ and $w$.
Returning now to the remaining part of the integrand in (16), we have, by (10), for each term

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 B_{4} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} d \xi_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d \eta_{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1} d \xi_{r} \int_{0}^{1}-\mu^{r-1} \nu^{r-1} T_{\mu \nu}^{(r, r)}(\rho, \tau) d \eta_{r}  \tag{21}\\
& \leqq 2 B_{4} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} d \xi_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d \eta_{1} \cdots \\
& \cdot \int_{0}^{1} d \xi_{r} \int_{0}^{1} B_{3} \mu^{\alpha-1} \nu^{\beta-1} \rho^{f(\mu)} \tau^{g(\nu)}\left[f^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{\alpha}\left[g^{\prime}(\nu)\right]^{\beta}|\log \rho|^{\alpha}|\log \tau|^{\beta} d \eta_{r} .
\end{align*}
$$

This integrand is, except for a constant factor, the leading term of $\mu^{\alpha-1} \nu^{\beta-1} \phi_{\mu \nu}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\rho, \tau)$. Now $T_{\mu \nu}^{(r, r)}(\rho, \tau)$, being negative, cannot be the leading term of $\phi_{\mu \nu}^{(r, r)}$; hence $\phi_{\mu \nu}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is of lower order than $\phi_{\mu \nu}^{(r, r)}$, and may be substituted for $T_{\mu \nu}^{(r, r)}$. We now set up a new expression, like (16) but with $\phi_{\mu \nu}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ in place of $\phi_{\mu \nu}^{(r, r)}$, and sufficient repetition of this process must eventually lead, by (20), to

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{8} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} d \xi_{1} & \int_{0}^{1} d \eta_{1} \cdots  \tag{22}\\
& \cdot \int_{0}^{1} d \xi_{r} \int_{0}^{1} \phi_{\nu, \mu}^{(1,1)}(\rho, \tau) d \eta_{r} \leqq B_{9 \rho}{ }^{f(1)} \tau^{g(1)}
\end{align*}
$$

We have, therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} i^{r-1} j^{r-1}\left|\Delta_{r r} \phi_{i j}(z, w)\right|<B_{10}(r!)^{2} \rho^{f(1)} \tau^{g(1)}[f(r) \cdot g(r)]^{r-1}, \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which proves that condition (A) is satisfied. By an entirely similar procedure we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& j^{r-1} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{p} i^{r-1}\left|\Delta_{r 0} \phi_{i j}(z, w)\right| \\
& \quad \leqq B_{11} j^{r-1} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \int_{0}^{1} d \xi_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d \xi_{2} \cdots \int_{0}^{1} d \xi_{r-1} \int_{0}^{1} \mu^{r-1}  \tag{24}\\
& \quad \cdot\left\{\phi_{\mu j}^{(r, 0)}(\rho, \tau)-2 \sum T_{\mu j}^{(r, 0)}(\rho, \tau)\right\} d \xi_{r} \\
& \quad<B_{12} j^{(r-1)}(r!) \tau^{g(j)}\left\{\rho^{f(p+1)}[f(p+r)]^{r-1}-\rho^{f(1)}[f(r)]^{r-1}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

By (14) $\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} \rho^{f(p+1)}[f(p+r)]^{r-1}=0$, so that the expression within the braces is bounded for $p \geqq 1$; and since, by condition (c) of the Theorem, $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{r-1} \tau^{g(j)}=0$, we have

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{r-1} \sum_{i=1}^{p} i^{r-1}\left|\Delta_{r 0} \phi_{i j}(z, w)\right|=0, \quad(E(z, w) ; p=1,2, \cdots)
$$

Condition ( $B_{1}$ ) is therefore satisfied. The argument for $\left(B_{2}\right)$ is, of course, precisely similar.

Proceeding to condition (C), we note that by condition (c) of the Theorem, for an assigned $\epsilon>0$ there exist $i_{0}, j_{0}$, such that for $i>i_{0}, \log i<\epsilon f(i)$, and for $j>j_{0}, \log j<\epsilon g(j)$. By choosing $\epsilon$ less than both $|\log \rho| /(r-1)$, and $|\log \tau| /(r-1)$ we have, for such $i$ and $j, \epsilon(r-1) f(i)<f(i)|\log \rho|, \epsilon(r-1) g(j)<g(j)|\log \tau|$, and hence

$$
\begin{align*}
i^{r-1} j^{r-1}\left|\phi_{i j}(z, w)\right| & =i^{r-1} j^{r-1} \rho^{f(i)} \tau^{g(j)} \\
& <e^{(r-1)\{\log i-\epsilon f(i)\}} \cdot e^{(r-1)\{\log j-\epsilon g(j)\}}<1 . \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Condition (C) is therefore satisfied.
In condition (A), the bound $K(z, w)$ depends upon $z$ and $w$, for the constant $B_{10}$ in (23) depends upon $\log \rho$ and $\log \tau$. We now further define $E^{\prime}(z, w)$ as follows. For a given $L, 0<L<1$, let all values of $(z, w)$ in $E^{\prime}(z, w)$ be such that $|\log \rho| \leqq|\log L|$, $|\log \tau| \leqq|\log L|$. If we now set $B_{13}$ equal to the value of $B_{10}$ corresponding to $\rho=\tau=L$, we have, for all $(z, w)$ in $E^{\prime}(z, w)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} i^{r-1} j^{r-1}\left|\Delta_{r r} \phi_{i j}(z, w)\right|<B_{13}(r!)^{2}[f(r) \cdot g(r)]^{r-1}=K . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus condition ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ) is satisfied.
For condition ( $D_{1}$ ) we have by (6), for fixed $i$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{j=q}^{\infty} j^{r-1}\left|\Delta_{r r} \phi_{i_{l}}(z, w)\right|  \tag{27}\\
=\sum_{i=q}^{\infty} j^{r-1}\left|\sum_{s_{1}=0}^{r} \sum_{s_{2}=0}^{r}(-1)^{s_{1}}(-1)^{s_{2}}\binom{r}{s_{1}}\binom{r}{s_{2}} \phi_{i+s_{1}, j+s_{2}}(z, w)\right| \\
\leqq\left|\sum_{s_{1}=0}^{r}(-1)^{s_{1}}\binom{r}{s_{1}} z^{f\left(i+s_{1}\right)}\right| \sum_{j=q}^{\infty} j^{r-1}\left|\sum_{s_{2}=0}^{r}(-1)^{s_{2}}\binom{r}{s_{2}} w^{g\left(j+s_{2}\right)}\right| .
\end{gather*}
$$

The first part of this expression is the sum of $(r+1)$ terms, each a continuous function of $z$; whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow 1}\left|\sum_{s_{1}=0}^{r}(-1)^{s_{1}}\binom{r}{s_{1}} z^{f\left(i+s_{1}\right)}\right|=0 . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, if $z \neq 0$, we have by (7),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=q}^{\infty} j^{r-1}\left|\sum_{s_{2}=0}^{r}(-1)^{s_{2}}\binom{r}{s_{2}} w^{g\left(j+s_{2}\right)}\right|  \tag{29}\\
&=\frac{1}{\rho^{f(i)}} \sum_{j=q}^{\infty} j^{r-1}\left|\Delta_{0 r} \phi_{\imath j}(z, w)\right|
\end{align*}
$$

By a procedure similar to that followed for $\left(B_{1}\right)$ we now find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\rho^{f(i)}} \sum_{j=q}^{\infty} j^{r-1}\left|\Delta_{0 r} \phi_{i j}(z, w)\right|<B_{14}(r!) \tau^{g(q)}[g(q+r-1)]^{r-1} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is bounded for $\tau<1$, and fixed $q$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{(z, w) \rightarrow(1,1)} \sum_{j=q}^{\infty} j^{r-1}\left|\Delta_{r r} \phi_{i j}(z, w)\right|=0 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and condition $\left(D_{1}\right)$ is satisfied. The argument for $\left(D_{2}\right)$ is exactly similar.

Finally, for condition (E) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{(z, w) \rightarrow(1,1)} \phi_{i j}(z, w)=\lim _{(z, w) \rightarrow(1,1)} z^{f(i)} w^{o(j)}=1 ; \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this completes the proof of the Theorem.
It will be noted that condition (c), $\log t=\sigma[f(t)]$, etc., is necessitated by Moore's condition (C), $i^{r-1} j^{r-1}\left|\phi_{i j}(z, w)\right|<M(z, w)$. It insures the convergence of the series $J(z, w)$. If, however, a suitable restriction be placed upon $s_{m_{1} m_{2}}$, namely, $s_{m_{1}, m_{2}}=O\left[\lambda^{f\left(m_{1}\right)+g\left(m_{2}\right)}\right]$ for every $\lambda>1$, condition (c) may be omitted. We may then have $f(t)=\log t, g(t)=\log t$, or even more slowly increasing functions. The proof, however, is somewhat long. It will be observed that the Theorem can be extended in an obvious way to multiple series of order $n$.
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