

## MECHANICAL INVARIANTS OF THE SWEEPING-OUT PROCESS\*

BY C. H. DIX

In this paper we prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *If a general bounded distribution of positive mass in a closed connected region  $R$  is swept out on a surface  $S$  entirely enclosing  $R$  in its interior, then the center of gravity and the principal axes are invariants for the sweeping-out transformation.*

Let the distribution be given by  $\Phi(e)$ , which means the mass associated with the point set  $e$ . Then the potential is

$$V(M) = \int_R \frac{1}{MP} d\Phi(e_P).$$

The coordinates  $\bar{x}$ ,  $\bar{y}$ ,  $\bar{z}$  of the center of gravity of the distribution are, respectively,

$$\frac{1}{\Phi(R)} \int_R x_P d\Phi(e_P), \quad \frac{1}{\Phi(R)} \int_R y_P d\Phi(e_P), \quad \frac{1}{\Phi(R)} \int_R z_P d\Phi(e_P).$$

We have the following lemma.

**LEMMA.** *If  $\Phi$  is such that a density  $\rho$  exists and  $\nabla^2 V = -4\pi\rho$  is satisfied everywhere, then the sweeping-out on a level surface  $\Sigma$  of  $V$  entirely including  $R$  leaves the center of gravity and the principal axes invariant.*

The surface  $\Sigma$  is formed by setting  $V = \delta > 0$ . Let  $P_0$  be the center of gravity of the distribution  $\Phi$ . Let  $R_0$  be the lower bound of the radii of all spheres containing  $R$  with center  $P_0$ . If  $M_0$  is a large integer,  $P$  on  $\Sigma$ , and  $\delta = \Phi(R)(M_0 R_0)^{-1}$ , then we shall have  $R_0(M_0 - 1) \leq \overline{PP_0} \leq R_0(M_0 + 1)$ . Hence  $\Sigma$  lies in the spherical shell whose center is the point  $P_0$  and whose bounding radii are  $R_0(M_0 - 1)$  and  $R_0(M_0 + 1)$ .

Let  $u$  be any function harmonic in a closed region  $v$  containing  $P_0$  whose boundary is the level surface  $\Sigma$ . Then, applying Green's Theorem, we have

---

\* Presented to the Society, June 20, 1934.

$$\int_{\Sigma} u \frac{\partial V}{\partial n} d\sigma - \int_{\Sigma} V \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} d\sigma = \int_v (V\nabla^2 u - u\nabla^2 V) d\tau = 4\pi \int_v u\rho d\tau.$$

Since  $V$  is a constant on  $\Sigma$  and  $u$  is harmonic inside  $\Sigma$ ,

$$\int_{\Sigma} V \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} d\sigma = V \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} d\sigma = 0.$$

Hence

$$\int_{\Sigma} u \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\partial V}{\partial n} d\sigma = \int_v u\rho d\tau.$$

Let  $u = x$ . Then

$$\int_{\Sigma} x \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\partial V}{\partial n} d\sigma = \int_v x\rho d\tau = \bar{x}\Phi(R),$$

with similar relations for  $\bar{y}$ ,  $\bar{z}$ ,  $\overline{xy}$ ,  $\overline{yx}$ , and  $\overline{zx}$ . The expression  $(\partial V/\partial n)/(4\pi)$  is of course the surface density of the swept-out mass on  $\Sigma$ . So the lemma is proved.

The extension to a general distribution is made by taking the iterated volume average of the potential until the corresponding mass distribution is sufficiently smooth to give rise to a potential satisfying Poisson's equation.

The treatment of these average functions has been carried out by G. C. Evans in a forthcoming paper.\* They are used to prove the fundamental theorem of F. Riesz on the mass associated with a sub- or super-harmonic function. Now assuming the Riesz Theorem, let  $\{\rho_i(P)\}$  be the sequence of positive densities corresponding to the super-harmonic functions  $\{V(r_i, r_i, r_i, r_i; M)\}$  which are the fourth volume averages of  $V$  over spheres of center  $M$  and radius  $r_i$ . For each of these density distributions the conditions of the hypothesis in the lemma are satisfied.

For a small value of  $\delta$  selected as in the lemma,  $V_i(M) = V(r_i, r_i, r_i, r_i; M)$  is constant with respect to  $i$  on  $\Sigma$ , since the spherical volume average of a harmonic function gives the same harmonic function. Hence the same level surface  $\Sigma$  may be used at each stage in the sequence. At each stage

$$\int_{\Sigma} u \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\partial V_i}{\partial n} d\sigma = \int_v u\rho_i d\tau = \int_{\Sigma} u \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\partial V}{\partial n} d\sigma = \int_v u d\Phi_i(e_P),$$

\* G. C. Evans, *On potentials of positive mass*, Transactions of this Society, vol. 37 (1935), No. 2.

where

$$\Phi_i(e) = \int_e \rho_i d\tau.$$

The limit of the sequence of integrals we may denote by  $\bar{u}\Phi(R)$ . Since  $u$  is bounded and continuous in  $v$ , and the  $\Phi_i$  are of uniformly bounded variation on  $v$ , we may apply the Helly-Bray\* theorem to obtain the result

$$\bar{u}\Phi(R) = \int_v u d\Phi(e_P).$$

Hence the lemma is true if  $\Phi$  is a general bounded positive distribution.

To prove the theorem consider a level surface  $\Sigma$  of  $V$  enclosing both  $R$  and  $S$  in its interior. Consider the following sweeping-out transformations:  $T_{RS}$  = sweeping-out of  $R$  on  $S$ ,  $T_{S\Sigma}$  = sweeping-out of  $S$  on  $\Sigma$ , and  $T_{R\Sigma}$  = sweeping-out of  $R$  on  $\Sigma$ . Now  $T_{R\Sigma} \equiv T_{S\Sigma} T_{RS}$ . Furthermore,  $T_{R\Sigma}$  and  $T_{S\Sigma}$  leave the center of gravity invariant. Thus we have *center of gravity of distribution on  $R$  = center of gravity of distribution on  $\Sigma$  = center of gravity of distribution on  $S$* . A similar argument handles the principal axes.

If we have a closed surface  $S'$  bounding a region  $R'$  for which the Green's function can be constructed, this Green's function is the potential of the negative unit mass that has been swept out from the pole on  $S'$  and the positive unit point mass at the pole. Concerning the distribution of this swept-out mass we may observe the following property which is a corollary of our theorem: *the swept-out point mass has its center of gravity at the pole and its principal axes of inertia are arbitrary.*

That the distributions arising from the sweeping-out of a point mass are not the only ones with indeterminate principal axes is immediate. Take for example four equal point masses at the vertices of a regular tetrahedron (or take a homogeneous cube). In the cube the three moments of inertia about axes through the center normal to the faces are all equal. The momental ellipsoid is therefore a sphere. The momental ellipsoid for the tetrahedron has the same form relative to the four lines

---

\* H. E. Bray, *Annals of Mathematics*, (2), vol. 20 (1918), pp. 177-186; see p. 180.

through the center of gravity and the vertices and so is a sphere.

The statement of our main theorem can be given in more general form but our statement is chosen on account of its intuitive simplicity. The set  $R$  we may take as merely closed and bounded;  $S$  may be the frontier of a bounded domain,  $D$ , which contains  $R$ . Then the conclusion remains the same as we have stated it in the simpler case.

THE RICE INSTITUTE

---

## A DECOMPOSITION THEOREM FOR CLOSED SETS\*

BY G. T. WHYBURN

Let  $P$  be any local<sup>†</sup> topological property of a closed set such that if  $K$  is any compact closed set lying in a metric space, then the set of all non- $P$ -points of  $K$  is either vacuous or such that its closure is of dimension  $>0$ . The following are examples of such properties: (i) local connectivity, (ii) regularity (Menger-Urysohn sense), (iii) rationality, (iv) being of dimension  $<n$ , (v) belonging to no continuum of convergence, (vi) belonging to no continuum of condensation. In fact, it will be noted that in each of these cases, every non- $P$ -point of a compact set  $K$  lies in a non-degenerate continuum of non- $P$ -points of  $K$ . We proceed to prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *If  $N$  denotes the set of all non- $P$ -points of a compact closed set  $K$  in a metric space and if  $K$  is decomposed upper semi-continuously<sup>‡</sup> into the components of  $\bar{N}$  and the points of  $K - \bar{N}$ , then every point of the hyperspace  $H$  is a  $P$ -point of  $H$ .*

---

\* Presented to the Society, October 27, 1934.

† For the purposes of the present paper we shall understand by a local property of a set  $K$  a point property  $P$  such that if some neighborhood of a point  $x$  in  $K$  has property  $P$  at  $x$ , then  $K$  has property  $P$  at  $x$ ; and conversely, if  $K$  has property  $P$  at  $x$ , then any neighborhood of  $x$  in  $K$  also has property  $P$  at  $x$ . A point  $x$  of  $K$  will be called a  $P$ -point or a non- $P$ -point of  $K$  according as  $K$  does or does not have property  $P$  at  $x$ .

‡ For the notions relating to upper semi-continuous decompositions and for a proof that our particular decomposition is upper semi-continuous, the reader is referred to R. L. Moore, *Foundations of Point Set Theory*, American Mathematical Society, Colloquium Publications, 1932, Chapter 5.