## A NEW UNIVERSAL WARING THEOREM FOR EIGHTH POWERS <br> BY ALVIN SUGAR

1. Introduction. Hardy and Littlewood* in their proof of Waring's theorem obtained a constant $C=C(s, k)$ beyond which every number is a sum of $s$ integral $k$ th powers $\geqq 0$. Recently Dickson perfected an algebraic method by which he was able to show that every positive integer $\leqq C$ is a sum of $s$ integral $k$ th powers $\geqq 0$. Thus we are now able to obtain universal Waring theorems for relatively small values of $s$.

We shall consider in this paper the problem of meeting the Hardy and Littlewood constant by Dickson's method and establishing a new universal Waring theorem for eighth powers. The earlier result for eighth powers was 575, obtained by Dickson. $\dagger$
2. Proof of the Principal Theorem. We write
(1) $\quad a=2^{8}, \quad b=3^{8}, \quad c=4^{8}, \quad d=5^{8}, \quad e=6^{8}$ 。

The right side of

$$
m=n+A a+B b+\cdots+Q q, \quad(n, A, B, \cdots, Q \text { integral })
$$

is a resolution of $m$ of weight $w(m)=n+A+B+\cdots+Q$. When $n, A, B, \cdots, Q \geqq 0$ the resolution is a decomposition.

By division we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
b=161+25 a, c=-74+10 b, d=56+15 a+9 b+5 c  \tag{2}\\
e=21+22 a+7 b+c+4 d \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Consider an integer $M$, such that $2 d+e \leqq M \leqq 3 d+e$. We can express the integer $P=M-2 d-e$ uniquely in the form $R+N$, where

$$
\begin{gather*}
0 \leqq R<a=256, \quad N=A a+B b+C c  \tag{4}\\
C=[P / c], \ddagger B=[(P-C c) / b], A=[(P-B b-C c) / a] \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

[^0]Since $N<d$, we obtain by (4) and (5) the inequalities $C c<d$, $B b<c$, and $A a<b$. Hence

$$
0 \leqq A<26, \quad 0 \leqq B<10, \quad 0 \leqq C<6
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=R+A a+B b+C c+2 d+e \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
w(M) & =R+A+B+C+3 \\
& \leqq 255+25+9+5+3=297 \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Since (7) defines a decomposition of $M$, we can state the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Every integer $M$, such that $2 d+e \leqq M \leqq 3 d+e$, is a sum of 297 eighth powers.

Let us now consider the problem of obtaining a smaller value for $w(M)$. Table I contains a list of certain equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=A^{\prime} a+B^{\prime} b+C^{\prime} c+D^{\prime} d+E^{\prime} e \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such an equation defines a resolution of $r$ of weight $w$. We shall refer to an equation of Table I by citing its $r$ value whenever we may do so without ambiguity. For example, equation 31, $31=-10 a+6 c-d$, which defines a resolution of 31 of weight -5 , is the first equation listed in Table I. We can readily verify these equations by (1).

We write (7) in the form $M_{1}=A_{1} a+B_{1} b+C_{1} c+2 d+e+r+r^{\prime}$, $A_{1}, B_{1}, C_{1}$ fixed and $R=r+r^{\prime}$, where $0 \leqq r^{\prime}<r_{f}-r$ (the subscript $f$ is used to denote that $r_{f}$ is the equation immediately following $r$ in Table I and possessing the property $r_{f}>r$ ). Eliminating $r$ between this equation and (9), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{1}= & \left(A_{1}+A^{\prime}\right) a+\left(B_{1}+B^{\prime}\right) b+\left(C_{1}+C^{\prime}\right) c \\
& +\left(2+D^{\prime}\right) d+\left(1+E^{\prime}\right) e+r^{\prime} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

We construct a tablette $A=A_{1}, B=B_{1}, C=C_{1}$ by listing the $r$ and $w$ values of resolutions of Table I whose coefficients satisfy the inequalities $A_{1}+A^{\prime} \geqq 0, B_{1}+B^{\prime} \geqq 0, C_{1}+C^{\prime} \geqq 0$ (it should be noted that for such resolutions, (10) gives decompositions of $M_{1}$ since all the resolutions in Table I satisfy the inequalities

TABLE I*
List of Equations

| $r$ | $w$ | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $d$ | $e$ | $r$ | $w$ | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $d$ | $e$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | -5 | -10 | 0 | 6 | -1 | 0 | 140 | 9 | -3 | 8 | 1 | 4 | -1 |
| 41 | 23 | 2 | 7 | 13 | 2 | -1 | 148 | 18 | 0 | 20 | -2 | 0 | 0 |
| 62 | -10 | -20 | 0 | 12 | -2 | 0 | 157 | 15 | 6 | -1 | 12 | -2 | 0 |
| 66 | 0 | -3 | -2 | 2 | 4 | -1 | 158 | 46 | 12 | 27 | 5 | 3 | -1 |
| 70 | 48 | 31 | 8 | 11 | -2 | 0 | 169 | 34 | 26 | 9 | -1 | 0 | 0 |
| 72 | 18 | -8 | 7 | 19 | 1 | -1 | 171 | 4 | -13 | 8 | 7 | 3 | -1 |
| 81 | 58 | 1 | 47 | 9 | 2 | -1 | 189 | 41 | 2 | 27 | 11 | 2 | -1 |
| 84 | 37 | 12 | 17 | 6 | 3 | -1 | 192 | 20 | 13 | -3 | 8 | 3 | -1 |
| 95 | 25 | 26 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 29 | 16 | 9 | 5 | -1 | 0 |
| 97 | -5 | -13 | -2 | 8 | 3 | -1 | 210 | 8 | $-20$ | 20 | 10 | -2 | 0 |
| 105 | 4 | -10 | 10 | 5 | -1 | 0 | 214 | 18 | -3 | 18 | 0 | 4 | -1 |
| 105 | 53 | 38 | 6 | 7 | 3 | -1 | 223 | 15 | 3 | -3 | 14 | 2 | -1 |
| 115 | 32 | 2 | 17 | 12 | 2 | -1 | 231 | 24 | 6 | 9 | 11 | -2 | 0 |
| 126 | 20 | 16 | -1 | 6 | -1 | 0 | 245 | 13 | -13 | 18 | 6 | 3 | -1 |

$\left.2+D^{\prime} \geqq 0,1+E^{\prime} \geqq 0\right)$. From the $r$ and $w$ columns we form a new column, the $W$ column, where $W=\left(r_{f}-1\right)-r+w$. We obtain our tablette in its final form by deleting all equations $r_{f}$ for which $w_{f}>W$. We denote the greatest $W$ value in this tablette by $G\left(A_{1}, B_{1}, C_{1}\right)$. For example, upon constructing the tablette $A=0, B=0, C=0$ we get

| $A=0$, | $B=0$, | $C=0$ | $A=0$ | $B=0$ | $C=0$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $r$ | $w$ | $W$ | $r$ | $w$ | $W$ |
| 0 | 0 | 40 | 115 | 32 | 74 |
| 41 | 23 | 51 | 158 | 46 | 76 |
| 70 | 48 | 58 | 189 | 41 | 51 |
| 81 | 58 | 60 | 200 | 29 | 59 |
| 84 | 37 | 57 | 231 | 24 | 48 |
| 105 | 53 | 62 |  | $G=76$ |  |

By constructing eleven such tablettes, we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
G(0,0,0)=76, & G(3,0,0)=62, & G(15,4,0)=41 \\
G(0,1,0)=60, & G(5,4,0)=47, & G(20,0,0)=39  \tag{11}\\
G(0,0,1)=74, & G(14,0,0)=50, & G(10,0,0) 57 \\
G(0,0,2)=64, & G(20,4,0)=37, &
\end{array}
$$

[^1]Because of the exclusive condition $w_{f}>W$, the tablettes have the property $(P), G\left(A_{2}, B_{2}, C_{2}\right) \leqq G\left(A_{1}, B_{1}, C_{1}\right)$ if $A_{1} \leqq A_{2}$, $B_{1} \leqq B_{2}, C_{1} \leqq C_{2}$.

Let us now consider $M_{1}=R+A_{1} a+B_{1} b+C_{1} c+2 d+e$. Since $w(R) \leqq G\left(A_{1}, B_{1}, C_{1}\right)$,

$$
w\left(M_{1}\right) \leqq A_{1}+B_{1}+C_{1}+3+G\left(A_{1}, B_{1}, C_{1}\right)
$$

Dropping the subscripts we seek the maximum value $H$ of the function $A+B+C+3+G(A, B, C)$ in the range (6). From (11) and $(P)$ it is evident that $H \quad 81$. This result may be expressed as follows.

Lemma 2. Every integer $M$, such that $2,460,866 \leqq M \leqq 2,851,491$, is a sum of 81 eighth powers.

From this interval we ascend to the Hardy and Littlewood constant by employing two theorems of Dickson, Theorems 10 and 12 in this Bulletin.* Using Theorem 10 and a table of eighth powers it was found that 102 eighth powers suffice for the enlarged interval from $q=2 d+e$ to $L_{0}=2,235,617 \cdot 10^{9}$. Ap plying Theorem 12, we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\log L_{t}=(8 / 7)^{t}\left(\log L_{0}+h\right)-h, \quad h=-8 \log 8 \\
h=\overline{8} .775280, \log \left(\log L_{0}+h\right)=0.909806, \log (8 / 7)=0.057992
\end{gathered}
$$

We take $t=464$; then $\log \log L_{t}=27.818$. Hence 566 eighth powers suffice from $q$ to $L_{t}$.

James showed that $\log _{e} C=20 \cdot 8^{3} 2^{\eta}$, where $C=C(s)$ is the Hardy and Littlewood constant, $s$ is the number of eighth powers, and

$$
\eta=\frac{20.1 s-162}{s-426}
$$

For $s=566$ we obtain $\log \log C=27.762$. Hence every integer $>q$ is a sum of 566 eighth powers. It is evident from (8) that 294 eighth powers suffice from 0 to $d$. Consequently 300 eighth powers suffice from 0 to $7 d$. Since $q<7 d$, we have the following theorem.

Theorem. Every positive integer is a sum of 566 integral eighth powers.
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[^2]
[^0]:    * A simplified proof can be found in Landau, Vorlesungen über Zahlentheorie, vol. 1, 1927, pp. 235-360.
    $\dagger$ This Bulletin, vol. 39 (1933), p. 713.
    $\ddagger[x]$ denotes the largest integer $\leqq x$.

[^1]:    * The method of obtaining these equations is analogous to that explained in Dickson's paper on ninth powers in this Bulletin, vol. 40 (1934), pp. 487-493.

[^2]:    * Loc. cit., vol. 39 (1933), pp. 710-711.

