A NOTE ON LIPSCHITZ CLASSES

BY E. S. QUADE

This note consists in the application of some results of Hardy and Littlewood* on fractional integrals to a theorem of Paley and Zygmund[†] and gives a generalization of that theorem.

We consider only functions of the Fourier power series type. That is, f(x) is periodic in 2π , integrable, and with a Fourier series of the form

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n e^{inx}, \qquad c_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) e^{-inx} dx.$$

In dealing with functions of the class $Lip(\alpha)$ or $Lip(\alpha, p), \alpha \neq 1$, this restriction is a matter of convenience rather than one of necessity.[‡]

A function f(x) is said to belong to the class $\text{Lip}(\alpha)$, where $0 \le \alpha \le 1$, in the interval $(-\pi, \pi)$, if

$$f(x+h) - f(x-h) = O(h^{\alpha})$$

uniformly for $-\pi \leq x - h < x + h \leq \pi$, and to $\text{Lip}(\alpha, p)$, where $p \geq 1$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, in $(-\pi, \pi)$, if $f(x) \epsilon L$, and

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x+h) - f(x-h)|^{p} dx = O(h^{\alpha p}).$$

The functions $\phi_n(t)$, $(n=0, 1, 2, \cdots)$, are the Rademacher functions.§

^{*} Hardy and Littlewood, *Some properties of fractional integrals* I, Mathematische Zeitschrift, vol. 27 (1927–28), pp. 565–606. We will refer to this paper as (HL).

[†] Paley and Zygmund, On some series of functions, Proceedings Cambridge Philosophical Society, vol. 26 (1930), pp. 337–357. A. Zygmund, Trigonometrical Series, 1935, §5.61. We will refer to this book as (Z). It contains extensive bibliographical references.

[‡] Hardy and Littlewood, *A convergence criterion for Fourier series*, Mathematische Zeitschrift, vol. 28 (1928), pp. 612-634, in particular, §2 and §3.5. See also (Z), §7.4.

[§] For definitions and properties see (Z), §§1.32 and 5.5 to 5.61.

THEOREM 1. Let c_0 , c_1 , c_2 , \cdots , c_n , \cdots be a sequence of real or complex numbers such that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2\alpha} \left| c_n \right|^2 (\log n)^{1+\epsilon}$$

converges for an $\epsilon > 0$. Then, for almost all values of t, the function

$$f_t(x) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n e^{inx} \phi_n(t)$$

belongs to the class $\operatorname{Lip}(\alpha)$, $(0 \leq \alpha \leq 1)$. The theorem is false for the case $\alpha = 0$ or $\alpha = 1$ if $\epsilon = 0$.

As a consequence of the theorem of Paley and Zygmund mentioned above it follows that

$$f_{t}^{\alpha}(x) = i^{\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha} c_{n} e^{inx} \phi_{n}(t),$$

for almost all values of t, is a continuous function (since the series converges uniformly we put $f_t^{\alpha}(x)$ equal to the sum of the series). That is, we have

$$f_t^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(x)\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \operatorname{Lip}(0).$$

If by the symbol $f_{t,\alpha}^{\alpha}(x)$ we denote the integral of $f_t^{\alpha}(x)$ of order α , we have*

$$f_{t,\alpha}^{\alpha}(x) \epsilon \operatorname{Lip}(\alpha).$$

But

$$f_{t,\alpha}^{\alpha}(x) = i^{\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n n^{\alpha}}{(in)^{\alpha}} e^{inx} \phi_n(t)$$
$$= f_t(x) - c_0 \phi_0(t).$$

To show that the theorem is not true in the case $\alpha = 1$, for $\epsilon = 0$, we consider the function

850

[December,

^{* (}Z), §§9.80 and 9.81. A function satisfies a condition $\operatorname{Lip}^*(\alpha)$ or $\operatorname{Lip}^*(\alpha, p)$ when it satisfies a condition analogous to that for $\operatorname{Lip}(\alpha)$ or $\operatorname{Lip}(\alpha, p)$ but with o small in place of O large. In each of our theorems $\operatorname{Lip}(\alpha)$ or $\operatorname{Lip}(\alpha, p)$ may be replaced by $\operatorname{Lip}^*(\alpha)$ or $\operatorname{Lip}^*(\alpha, p)$, respectively, except in the case $\alpha = 1$; this follows from Theorems 18, 21, and 22 of (HL).

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\pm i e^{i 2^m x}}{2^m m \log (m+1)} \cdot$$

This can not belong to Lip(1) for any sequence of signs since it is the integral of

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\pm e^{i2^m x}}{m \log (m+1)},$$

which is Paley and Zygmund's example^{*} of a series which does not represent a bounded function for any sequence of signs.

For the case of Lip (α, p) , $(0 \le \alpha \le 1, p \ge 1)$, we have a similar theorem.

THEOREM 2. Let $c_0, c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_n, \cdots$ be a sequence of real or complex numbers such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2\alpha} |c_n|^2$ converges. Then, for almost all values of t, the function

$$f_t(x) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n e^{inx} \phi_n(t)$$

belongs to the class Lip (α, p) , $(p \ge 1, 0 \le \alpha \le 1)$.

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |n^{\alpha}c_n|^2$ is convergent, it follows that †

$$f_t^{\alpha}(x) \smile i^{\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha} c_n e^{inx} \phi_n(t)$$

belongs to L_p , $(p \ge 1)$.

Now by a theorem of Hardy and Littlewood‡

$$f_{t,\alpha}^{\alpha}(x) \epsilon \operatorname{Lip}(\alpha, p).$$

But, as before,

$$f_{t,\alpha}^{\alpha}(x) = f_t(x) - c_0\phi_0(t).$$

As a corollary of the following theorem we have a better theorem for the case $1 \le p \le 2$.

† (Z), §5.6 (iii).

1935.]

^{*} Paley and Zygmund, loc. cit., p. 350. This gives the case $\alpha = 0$, $\epsilon = 0$.

^{‡ (}HL), Theorems 21, 22, and ff.

[December,

THEOREM 3. If

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} n^{p'\alpha} \left| c_n \right|^{p'}, \qquad (1 < p' \leq 2),$$

converges, then

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_n e^{in\alpha}$$

belongs to the class Lip (α, p) , 1/p+1/p'=1, $(0 \le \alpha \le 1)$.

From the Young-Hausdorff* theorem we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|f^{\alpha}(x)\right|^{p}dx\right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty}\left|n^{\alpha}c_{n}\right|^{p'}\right)^{1/p'},$$

where

$$f^{\alpha}(x) \backsim i^{\alpha} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} n^{\alpha} c_n e^{inx}.$$

Since $f^{\alpha}(x) \epsilon L_p$, we have †

$$f(x) - c_0 = f_{\alpha}^{\alpha}(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_n e^{inx} \epsilon \operatorname{Lip} (\alpha, p), \quad (p \ge 2).$$

COROLLARY. If

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} n^{2\alpha} \left| c_n \right|^2, \qquad (0 \leq \alpha \leq 1),$$

converges, then

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_n e^{inx}$$

belongs to Lip (α, p) for every p such that $1 \leq p \leq 2$.

This follows because

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^{p} dx\right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^{2} dx\right)^{1/2}.$$

BROWN UNIVERSITY

* (Z), §9.1.

† (HL), Theorem 21.

852