## A CERTAIN MEAN-VALUE PROBLEM IN STATISTICS*

BY A. T. CRAIG

1. Introduction. It is the purpose of this paper to investigate, by means of the characteristic function, the arithmetic mean value, or mathematical expectation, of the sum of the squares of $n$ normally and independently distributed variables when those variables are subject to $m<n$ linear restrictions. For example, if $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}$ are $n$ independent values of a variable $x$ which is normally distributed with mean zero and variance $\sigma^{2}$, then the expected value of $\sum_{1}^{n} x_{j}{ }^{2}$ is $n \sigma^{2}$. However, the expected value of $\sum_{1}^{n}\left(x_{j}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}$, where $n \bar{x}=\sum_{1}^{n} x_{j}$, is $(n-1) \sigma^{2}$. It is fairly obvious that the latter example could be stated: if the $x$ 's are subject to the linear restriction $\sum_{1}^{n} x_{j}=0$, the expected value of $\sum_{1}^{n} x_{j}{ }^{2}$ is $(n-1) \sigma^{2}$. The numbers $n$ and $n-1$, which are equal respectively to the ranks of the matrices of the two quadratic forms, are frequently called the number of degrees of freedom of those quadratic forms.

Let $x$ be subject to the normal law of error

$$
f(x)=\frac{1}{\sigma(2 \pi)^{1 / 2}} e^{-x^{2} / 2 \sigma^{2}}
$$

and let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}$, be $n$ independent values of $x$. Write

$$
v=\sum_{1}^{n} x_{j}^{2}, \quad u_{1}=\sum_{1}^{n} a_{1 j} x_{j}, \cdots, \quad u_{m}=\sum_{1}^{n} a_{m j} x_{j}
$$

in which the $a$ 's are real numbers. We wish to find the mathematical expectation of $v$ when $u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{m}$ are assigned values which make the system consistent. It is well known that the variables $u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{m}$ are normally correlated with variances and covariances given by $\sigma^{2} \sum_{r} a_{i r} a_{k r}$.
2. The Characteristic Function. The characteristic function of the joint distribution of $v, u_{1}, \cdots, u_{m}$ is

[^0]$$
\phi\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots, t_{m}, t_{m+1}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}\right)^{n / 2} \int \cdots \int e^{\theta} d x_{n} \cdots d x_{1}
$$
where
$$
\theta=i t_{1} \sum_{1}^{n} a_{1 j} x_{j}+\cdots+i t_{m} \sum_{1}^{n} a_{m j} x_{j}+\left(i t_{m+1}-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right) \sum_{1}^{n} x_{j}{ }^{2},
$$
and $i=\sqrt{ }(-1)$. Throughout this paper we shall understand that the limits of integration are $-\infty$ and $\infty$ unless otherwise specified. If we write
$b_{11}=\sum a_{1 j}^{2}, \quad b_{22}=\sum a_{2 j}^{2}, \cdots, \quad b_{m m}=\sum a_{m j}^{2}$,
$b_{12}=b_{21}=\sum a_{1 j} a_{2 j}, \cdots, b_{m-1, m}=b_{m, m-1}=\sum a_{m-1, j} a_{m j}$,
and
$$
Q=\sum_{j, k} b_{j k} t_{j} t_{k}
$$
then
$$
\phi\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{m+1}\right)=\frac{e^{-\sigma^{2} Q / 2\left(1-2 i \sigma^{2} t_{m+1}\right)}}{\left[1-2 i \sigma^{2} t_{m+1}\right]^{n / 2}}
$$

From this latter result, it is fairly obvious that the problem has no solution unless $Q$ is a positive definite quadratic form of rank $m$. Upon writing $t_{m+1}=0$, we find the characteristic function of the joint distribution of the $m$ linear forms to be

$$
\phi\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{m}, 0\right)=e^{-\sigma^{2} Q / 2}
$$

Moreover, if $\psi=\psi\left(u_{1}, \cdots, u_{m}\right)$ is the simultaneous distribution function of these linear forms, then

$$
\psi=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right)^{m} \int \cdots \int e^{-L-\sigma^{2} Q / 2} d t_{m} \cdots d t_{1}
$$

where

$$
L=i t_{1} u_{1}+\cdots+i t_{m} u_{m}
$$

Since $Q$ is positive definite of rank $m$, the Cayley-Hamilton equation of the matrix $B=\left\|b_{j k}\right\|$ of $Q$ has $m$ real positive roots, say $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots, \lambda_{m}$. Moreover, there exists a real orthogonal matrix $C=\left\|c_{j k}\right\|$ such that

$$
C^{\prime} B C=\left\|\begin{array}{ccccc}
\lambda_{1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \lambda_{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
. & & & \\
\dot{0} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda_{m}
\end{array}\right\|
$$

If then, in the latter integral, we introduce new variables $z_{1}, \cdots, z_{m}$ by subjecting the $t$ 's to a linear homogeneous transformation with matrix $C$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi & =\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right)^{m} \int \cdots \int e^{-i S_{1} z_{1}-\cdots-i S_{m} z_{m}-\left(\sigma^{2} / 2\right) \Sigma \lambda_{j} z_{j}^{2}} d z_{m} \cdots d z_{1} \\
& =\frac{1}{\left(\lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{m}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(2 \pi \sigma^{2}\right)^{m / 2}} e^{-S_{1} / 2 \lambda \lambda_{1} \sigma^{2}-\cdots-S_{m}{ }^{2} / 2 \lambda_{m} \sigma^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $S_{p}=\sum c_{p j} u_{j},(p=1,2, \cdots, m)$.
3. The Mathematical Expectation of $v$. Let $F=F\left(u_{1}, \cdots, u_{m}, v\right)$ be the simultaneous distribution function of $v$ and the $m$ linear forms. Also, let $\bar{v}$ be the expected value of $v$ for $u_{1}, \cdots, u_{m}$ assigned. Thus

$$
\bar{v}=\int \frac{v F}{\psi} d v
$$

in which the limits of integration on $v$ are here and elsewhere taken to cover all admissible values of that variable when $u_{1}, \cdots, u_{m}$ are regarded as assigned. Now

$$
\phi\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{m}, t_{m+1}\right)=\int \cdots \int e^{L+i v t_{m+1}} F d v d u_{m} \cdots d u_{1}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t_{m+1}}\right|_{t_{m+1}=0} & =i \int \cdots \int v e^{L} F d v d u_{m} \cdots d u_{1} \\
& =i \int \cdots \int v e^{L} \frac{F}{\psi} \psi d v d u_{m} \cdots d u_{1} \\
& =i \int \cdots \int \bar{v} e^{L} \psi d u_{m} \cdots d u_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
i \bar{\vartheta} \psi=\left.\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right)^{m} \int \cdots \int e^{-L} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t_{m+1}}\right|_{t_{m+1}=0} d t_{m} \cdots d t_{1} .
$$

But

$$
\left.\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t_{m+1}}\right|_{t_{m+1}=0}=i\left(n \sigma^{2}-Q \sigma^{4}\right) e^{-\sigma^{2} Q / 2}
$$

Accordingly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{v} \psi=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right)^{m} \int \cdots \int\left(n \sigma^{2}-Q \sigma^{4}\right) e^{-L-\sigma^{2} Q / 2} d t_{m} \cdots d t_{1} \\
&=n \sigma^{2} \psi-\sigma^{2} \psi\left[\left(1-\frac{S_{1}^{2}}{\lambda_{1} \sigma^{2}}\right)+\left(1-\frac{S_{2}^{2}}{\lambda_{2} \sigma^{2}}\right)+\right. \cdots \\
&\left.+\left(1-\frac{S_{m}^{2}}{\lambda_{m} \sigma^{2}}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\bar{v}=\sigma^{2}\left[n-m+\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}}\left(\frac{S_{1}^{2}}{\lambda_{1}}+\cdots+\frac{S_{m}^{2}}{\lambda_{m}}\right)\right] .
$$

We now see that if each linear form is set equal to zero, the expected value of $v$ is $\bar{v}=(n-m) \sigma^{2}$. Thus, when $u_{1}=u_{2}=\cdots=u_{m}$ $=0$, we may say that we lose one degree of freedom for each linear restriction in estimating $\sigma^{2}$ from $v$.
4. Independent Linear Restrictions. Of particular interest is the case in which the variables $u_{j}$ are not correlated. A necessary and sufficient condition for the independence of the variables $u_{j}$ is that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi\left(t_{1}, 0, \cdots, 0,0\right) \cdot \phi\left(0, t_{2}, 0, \cdots, 0\right) \cdots \phi\left(0, \cdots, 0, t_{m}, 0\right) \\
&=\phi\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{m}, 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, when $b_{j k} \neq 0, j=k$, and $b_{j k}=0, j \neq k$. Under these conditions, $\psi$ becomes

$$
\psi=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}\right)^{m / 2} \frac{1}{\left(b_{11} \cdots b_{m \dot{m}}\right)^{1 / 2}} e^{-u_{1}^{2} / 2 \sigma^{2} b_{11}-\cdots-u_{m}^{2} / 2 \sigma^{2} b_{m m}}
$$

and

$$
\bar{v}=\sigma^{2}\left[n-m+\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}}\left(\frac{u_{1}^{2}}{b_{11}}+\cdots+\frac{u_{m}^{2}}{b_{m m}}\right)\right] .
$$

Again we observe that the expected value of $v$ is $(n-m) \sigma^{2}$ when each of the $m$ linear forms is equated to zero. However, if $s$ of the $m$ linear forms are equated to their respective standard derivations while the remaining $m-s$ are equated to zero, then $\bar{v}=(n-m+s) \sigma^{2}$. Finally we see that the expected value of $v$, for a fixed set of $u$ 's, is not in general an integral multiple of $\sigma^{2}$.
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# ON THE PRESERVATION OF ANGLES AT A BOUNDARY POINT IN CONFORMAL MAPPING $\dagger$ 

## BY S. E. WARSCHAWSKI

The object of this note is to prove the following theorem.
Theorem. Let $R$ be a simply connected "schlicht" region in the $w$-plane whose boundary contains the point $w=0$. Let $w=0$ be "accessible" along the Jordan curve L. Suppose that there is a circle $|w|<\rho$ such that the part of the boundary of $R$ which is inside this circle lies within the angles

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg w-h_{+}\right| \leqq k_{+}, \quad\left|\arg w-h_{-}\right| \leqq k_{-}, \quad\left(h_{-} \leqq h_{+}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose, furthermore, that $L$ connects $w=0$ with a boundary point outside $|w|=\rho$ such that $L$ divides $R$ into two sub-regions. Let all boundary points of one sub-region which are in $|w|<\rho$, and not on $L$, be in one of the angles (1), and those of the other sub-region which are in $|w|<\rho$, and not on $L$, be in the other.

Let $w=w(z)$ map $|z-1|<1$ conformally on $R$ in such a manner that its inverse function approaches 0 as $w \rightarrow 0$ along $L$. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& H(\alpha)=\frac{1}{\pi}\left[\left(\frac{\pi}{2}+\alpha\right) h_{+}+\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\alpha\right) h_{-}\right]  \tag{2}\\
& K(\alpha)=\frac{1}{\pi}\left[\left(\frac{\pi}{2}+\alpha\right) k_{+}+\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\alpha\right) k_{-}\right]
\end{align*}
$$
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