# ON THE MODULUS OF THE DERIVATIVE OF A POLYNOMIAL* 

BY W. E. SEWELL

1. Introduction. Let $P_{n}(z)$ be an arbitrary polynomial of degree $n$ in $z$ and let $\left|P_{n}(z)\right| \leqq M$ on a set $C$. The modulus of $P_{n}^{\prime}(z) \dagger$ on $C$ has an upper bound depending on $M$, on $n$, and on the set $C$. In this connection A. Markoff $\ddagger$ has proved the following theorem.

Let $\left|P_{n}(z)\right| \leqq 1$ in the interval $-1 \leqq z \leqq+1$. Then $\left|P_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqq n^{2}$ for $-1 \leqq z \leqq+1$. This bound is attained only by the polynomial $\pm \alpha \cos n \operatorname{arc} \cos z,|\alpha|=1$.

A second fundamental result is the following theorem of S . Bernstein.§

Let $\left|P_{n}(z)\right| \leqq 1$ on $C:|z| \leqq 1$. Then $\left|P_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqq n$ on C. This bound is attained only by the polynomial $\alpha z^{n},|\alpha|=1$.

These theorems have been generalized in various directions by P. Montel, \| G. Szegö, © Dunham Jackson,** and the author. $\dagger \dagger$ Here we will prove the following generalization.

Theorem A. Let $P_{n}(z)$, a polynomial of degree $n$ in $z$, be in modulus less than a constant $M$ on a set $C$ which has no isolated points and whose complement has finite connectivity. Then

[^0]$\left|P_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqq M K(C) n^{2}$ on $C$, where the constant $K(C)$ depends only on $C$.

An application* of this result gives the following theorem.
Theorem B. Let $f(z)$ be a function of $z$ defined on C. If for every $n$ there exists a polynomial of degree $n$, such that

$$
\left|f(z)-P_{n}(z)\right|<\frac{M}{n^{\alpha}}, \quad(\alpha>2)
$$

on $C, \alpha$ and $M$ independent of $n$ and $z$, then $f(z)$ has a first derivative on $C$.
2. Proof of Theorem $A$. The result is obvious if $P_{n}(z)$ is a constant and in all other cases the set $C$ is bounded. The theorem is not true for an isolated point. $\dagger$ Let $C^{\nu}$ be any one of the finite number of pieces composing the set $C$; the complement $D^{\nu}$ of $C^{\nu}$ is simply connected and contains the point $z=\infty$. Let $w=\phi(z)$ map $D^{\nu}$ conformally on $|w|>1$ so that the points at infinity correspond. Call the image of $|w|=1+\rho,(\rho>0)$, under the inverse map, $C_{\rho}{ }^{\nu}$. By a result of the author $\ddagger$ it is known that the distance from $C^{\nu}$ to $C_{\rho}{ }^{\nu}$ is at least as great as $B\left(C^{\nu}\right) \rho^{2}$, where $B\left(C^{\nu}\right)$ is a constant depending only on $C^{\nu}$. Also by Walsh's§ generalization of a theorem of Bernstein, it is true that $\left|P_{n}(z)\right| \leqq M(1+\rho)^{n}, z$ on or within $C_{\rho}^{\nu}$. Now let $z_{0}$ be any point on $C^{\nu}$ and describe a circle $\gamma$ about $z_{0}$ of radius $B\left(C^{\nu}\right) \rho^{2}$. Then

$$
P_{n}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{P_{n}(t) d t}{\left(t-z_{0}\right)^{2}} .
$$

Since $\gamma$ lies interior to $C_{\rho}^{\nu}$, we know that $\left|P_{n}(t)\right| \leqq M(1+\rho)^{n}$, for $t$ on $\gamma$, and consequently

[^1]$$
\left|P_{n}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right| \leqq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{M(1+\rho)^{n} 2 \pi B\left(C^{\nu}\right) \rho^{2}}{\left[B\left(C^{\nu}\right) \rho^{2}\right]^{2}}=\frac{M}{B\left(C^{\nu}\right)} \frac{(1+\rho)^{n}}{\rho^{2}}
$$

If we take* $\rho=2 /(n-2),(n>2)$, we have

$$
\left|P_{n}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right| \leqq M K\left(C^{\nu}\right) n^{2}, \quad(n>2)
$$

where $K\left(C^{\nu}\right)$ is independent of $n$ for $n>2$. Since we may consider a polynomial of degree $n-1$ as a polynomial of degree $n$ with leading coefficient 0 , the constant can be adjusted so that the inequality holds for all $n . \dagger$ There are only a finite number of pieces $C^{\nu}$, and the proof is complete.
3. Proof of Theorem B. By hypothesis

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|f(z)-P_{n}(z)\right|<\frac{M}{n^{\alpha}} \quad \text { on } C \\
\left|f(z)-P_{n+1}(z)\right|<\frac{M}{(n+1)^{\alpha}} \text { on } C
\end{gathered}
$$

then

$$
\left|P_{n+1}(z)-P_{n}(z)\right|<\frac{2 M}{n^{\alpha}} \quad \text { on } C
$$

Hence by Theorem A

$$
\left|P_{n+1}^{\prime}(z)-P_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right|<\frac{2 M K(C)(n+1)^{2}}{n^{\alpha}} \text { on } C .
$$

By taking $2^{m-1} \leqq n<2^{m}$ and considering the remainder of the series $\ddagger$

$$
\left[P_{2^{m}}^{\prime}(z)-P_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right]+\left[P_{2^{m+1}}^{\prime}(z)-P_{2^{m}}^{\prime}(z)\right]+\cdots
$$

we can establish uniform convergence of the series

$$
P_{0}^{\prime}(z)+\left[P_{1}^{\prime}(z)-P_{0}^{\prime}(z)\right]+\left[P_{2}^{\prime}(z)-P_{1}^{\prime}(z)\right]+\cdots
$$

for any $\alpha>2$, and thus the proof is complete.
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