## AN ARITHMETIC FUNCTION

## BY LEONARD CARLITZ

1. Introduction. The function\*

(1) 
$$\psi(k,m) = \sum_{st=k} \mu(s)m^t,$$

where  $\mu(s)$  is the Möbius function, has the property

(2) 
$$\psi(k,m) \equiv 0 \pmod{k},$$

for arbitrary integral m. Gegenbauer<sup>†</sup> has generalized this by replacing  $\mu(s)$  by an arbitrary integral-valued function w(s) for which

(3) 
$$\sum_{s \mid k} w(s) \equiv 0 \pmod{k},$$

for all k. Clearly (3) holds for the function  $\mu(s)$ . Since (1) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{s\,|\,k}\psi(s,\,m)\,=\,m^{\,k}\,,$$

we put

(4) 
$$W(k,m) = \sum_{st=k} w(s)m^t = \sum_{sde=k} w(s)\psi(e,m) = \sum_{te=k} \psi(e,m) \sum_{s|t} w(s)$$

and therefore by (2) and (3),

(5) 
$$W(k, m) \equiv 0 \pmod{k},$$

for all *m*. Conversely it is easy to show, by an induction on k, that (5) implies (3). Indeed, if (3) holds for all integers  $\langle k$ , it follows from (4) and (5) that

$$\psi(1, m) \sum_{s \mid k} w(s) = m \sum_{s \mid k} w(s) \equiv 0 \pmod{k}.$$

Since this must hold for all m, we may select an m prime to k, and therefore we have (3).

1937.]

<sup>\*</sup> For references see Dickson's *History of the Theory of Numbers*, vol. 1, pp. 84-86. Cited as Dickson.

<sup>†</sup> See Dickson, p. 86.

2. The Generalized Function. In the right member of (1) replace  $m^t$  by an arbitrary integral-valued function g(t), and define

(6) 
$$\psi(k) = \psi(k, g) = \sum_{st=k} \mu(s)g(t).$$

From the definition it follows at once that

$$g(k) = \sum_{s \mid k} \psi(s),$$

and for (h, k) = 1,

$$\psi(hk) = \sum_{st=k} \mu(s)\psi(ht).$$

We shall now show that

(7) 
$$\psi(k) \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$$

for all integers k if and only if

(8) 
$$g(p^e t) \equiv g(p^{e-1}t) \pmod{p^e}$$

for all primes p and all integers t. Clearly we may assume in (8) that  $p \nmid t$ . Then if we write  $k = p^e K$ , where  $p \nmid K$ , it is easily seen that (6) implies

(9) 
$$\psi(p^{e}k) = \sum_{st=k} \mu(s) \{ g(p^{e}t) - g(p^{e-1}t) \}.$$

This shows that if (8) holds, then  $\psi(k) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^e}$  for every  $p^e$  that divides k. Hence (8) is certainly a sufficient condition. Interchanging K and t in (9), and then inverting, we get

$$g(p^{e}K) - g(p^{e-1}k) = \sum_{s \mid k} \psi(p^{e}s),$$

from which it follows that (8) is also a necessary condition that (7) hold. Note that if (8) holds for each of two functions, it holds also for their product.

If now we replace the  $\mu(s)$  of (6) by an integral-valued function w(s) for which (3) is satisfied, we may define

$$W(k) = W(k, g) = \sum_{st=k} w(s)g(t)$$

as generalizing  $\psi(k, g)$ . Then as above,

272

$$W(k, g) = \sum_{st=k} \psi(s, g) \sum_{d \mid t} w(d),$$

and therefore if (7) and (3) hold, it follows that

(10) 
$$W(k, g) \equiv 0 \pmod{k}.$$

Conversely it can be proved that (10) and (7) imply (3); similarly (10) and (3) imply (7).

3. Connection with Irreducible Polynomials. As is well known, if in (1) we put  $m = p^n$ , the power of a prime, the resulting function  $\psi(k, p^n)$  is k times the number of irreducible polynomials of degree k in a single indeterminate, and with coefficients in the Galois field  $GF(p^n)$ . More generally, the number of irreducible factorable polynomials\* in  $GF(p^n)$ ,

$$G \equiv \prod_{j=1}^{k} (\alpha_{j0} + \alpha_{j1}x_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{js}x_s), \qquad \prod_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_{js} \neq 0,$$

is  $\psi(k, p^{ns})/k$ .

In the case of the general function  $\psi(k) = \psi(k, g)$ , for which (7) is assumed to hold, we consider a set of polynomials M with coefficients in a field (the precise nature of which need not be defined). The degree of M is assumed defined; the number of polynomials M of fixed degree m will be denoted by f(m), f(0) = 1. It is assumed that M can be factored into a product of powers of irreducible polynomials (of the set) in essentially one way. If  $\psi(k)/k$  be the number of irreducible polynomials P of degree k, we shall show that

(11) 
$$mf(m) = \sum_{s=1}^{m} \psi(s) \{ f(m-s) + f(m-2s) + \cdots \},\$$

or what is the same thing,

(12) 
$$mf(m) = \sum_{s=1}^{m} g(s)f(m-s).$$

We put

(13) 
$$F(m) = \prod_{\deg M=m} M, \qquad \Theta(m) = \prod_{\deg P=m} P,$$

\* Duke Mathematical Journal, vol. 2 (1936), pp. 660-670.

1937.]

[April,

so that F(m) is the product of all the polynomials of degree m,  $\Theta(m)$  the product of the irreducible polynomials. To express F(m) in terms of  $\Theta$ , let

$$M = P^{e}A, \qquad P \nmid A,$$

where P is of degree s, say. Then by (13),

(14) 
$$F(m) = \prod_{P,e} P^{e\phi_m - es(P)},$$

the product extending over all P, e such that  $es \leq m$ , and  $\phi_k(P)$  denotes the number of polynomials of degree k, not divisible by P. Evidently

$$\phi_k(P) = \begin{cases} f(k) \text{ for } k < s, \\ f(k) - f(k-s) \text{ for } k \ge s. \end{cases}$$

Thus (14) becomes

$$F(m) = \prod_{P} P^{\sum_{e} e \phi_m - es(P)};$$

the exponent in the right member is

$$\{f(m-s) - f(m-2s)\} + 2\{f(m-2s) - f(m-3s)\} + \cdots + rf(m-rs) = f(m-s) + f(m-2s) + \cdots + f(m-rs),$$

where r = [m/s], the greatest integer  $\leq m/s$ . Grouping together all P of equal degree, we have finally

(15) 
$$F(m) = \prod_{s=1}^{m} \{\Theta(s)\}^{f(m-s)+\cdots+f(m-rs)}.$$

Comparison of the degree of the two members of (15) leads to

$$mf(m) = \sum_{s=1}^{m} \psi(s) \sum_{e=1}^{r} f(m - es)$$
$$= \sum_{es \le m} \psi(s) f(m - es)$$
$$= \sum_{k \le m} f(m - k) \sum_{es = k} \psi(s)$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{m} f(m - k) g(k),$$

so that we have proved both (11) and (12).

4. The L.C.M. Property. In the paper previously referred to, the following formula appears incidentally:

(16) 
$$\sum_{[s,t]=k} \psi(s, p^n) \psi(t, p^n) = \psi(k, p^{2n}),$$

the summation on the left extending over all s, t with least common multiple equal to k. This formula may be proved very easily; indeed it follows at once from a formula due to von Sterneck.\*

Let  $g_1(m)$ ,  $g_2(m)$  denote arbitrary arithmetic functions, and  $g(m) = g_1(m)g_2(m)$ . Then for  $\psi(k, g)$  as defined by (6), von Sterneck's formula is

(17) 
$$\sum_{[s,t]=k} \psi(s, g_1) \psi(t, g_2) = \psi(k, g).$$

To prove this, consider the equivalent formula

(18) 
$$\sum_{k \mid m} \sum_{[s,t]=k} \psi(s, g_1) \psi(t, g_2) = \sum_{k \mid m} \psi(k, g).$$

The summation conditions on the left are equivalent to  $s \mid m$ ,  $t \mid m$ , that is, s and t independently ranging over the divisors of m. Thus we have

$$\sum_{s \mid m} \psi(s, g_1) \sum_{t \mid m} \psi(t, g_2) = g_1(m)g_2(m) = \sum_{k \mid m} \psi(k, g_1g_2),$$

which proves (18), and therefore (17).

If in (17) we take

$$g_1(s) = m^s, \qquad g_2(s) = n^s,$$

the formula becomes

$$\sum_{[s,t]=k} \psi(s, m) \psi(t, n) = \psi(k, mn),$$

a direct generalization of (16).

Formula (17) may be generalized to the case of m functions  $g_1, \dots, g_m, g = g_1 g_2 \dots g_m$ ,

$$\sum_{[s_1,\cdots,s_m]=k} \psi(s_1,\,g_1)\,\cdots\,\psi(s_m,\,g_m)\,=\,\psi(k,\,g)\,,$$

<sup>\*</sup> See Dickson, p. 151. For details of the L.C.M. calculus, see D. H. Lehmer, American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 53 (1931), pp. 843-854.

the summation extending over all sets  $s_1, \dots, s_m$ , with least common multiple equal to 1.

5. A Polynomial Analog of  $\psi(k)$ . It is easy to define analogs of  $\psi(k)$  having the property (2). For example, for an algebraic field, we have\*

$$\psi(\mathfrak{m},\beta) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}=\mathfrak{m}} \mu(\mathfrak{a})\beta^{n(\mathfrak{b})} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}},$$

where m is an ideal and  $\beta$  an integer in the field.

We now define an analog in the domain of polynomials in a single indeterminate, with coefficients in a  $GF(p^n)$ :

(19) 
$$\psi(M,G) = \sum_{AB=M} \mu(A) G^{|B|}$$

Here  $\mu(A)$  is the Möbius function for the polynomial domain, and the *absolute value* |B| is defined by

$$|B| = p^{nb}, \qquad b = \deg B.$$

Then it is easy to show that

(20) 
$$\psi(M,G) \equiv 0 \pmod{M},$$

for arbitrary polynomials G. For M irreducible, (20) reduces to Fermat's theorem.

More generally if g(M) is a function of the polynomial M whose values are polynomials in  $GF(p^n)$ , we may define

$$\psi(M, g) = \sum_{AB=M} \mu(A)g(B),$$

and prove, exactly as above, that  $\psi(M, g) \equiv 0 \pmod{M}$  if and only if

$$g(P^{e}M) \equiv g(P^{e-1}M) \pmod{P^{e}}.$$

Generally speaking, all our results for  $\psi(m, g)$  carry over to the polynomial  $\psi(M, g)$ . In particular this is true of the L.C.M. property. The one exception is §3; there seems to be no connection between  $\psi(M, G)$  and classes of irreducible polynomials.

DUKE UNIVERSITY

<sup>\*</sup> Due to J. Westlund; see Dickson, p. 86.