ON A THEOREM OF HIGHER RECIPROCITY*

BY ALBERT WHITEMAN[†]

1. Introduction. Let \mathfrak{D} denote the totality of polynomials in an indeterminate x, with coefficients in a fixed Galois field $GF(p^{\pi})$ of order p^{π} . Let P be a primary irreducible polynomial in \mathfrak{D} ; then, if A is any polynomial in \mathfrak{D} not divisible by P, we define $\{A \mid P\}$ as that element in $GF(p^{\pi})$ for which

$$\left\{\frac{A}{P}\right\} \equiv A^{(p^{\pi\nu}-1)/(p^{\pi}-1)} \pmod{P},$$

where ν is the degree of P.

We have then the following theorem of reciprocity due to H. Kuhne^{\ddagger} and rediscovered by Schmidt[§] and Carlitz.

If P and Q are primary irreducible polynomials in \mathfrak{D} of degree ν and ρ respectively, then

$$\left\{\frac{P}{Q}\right\} = (-1)^{\rho\nu} \left\{\frac{Q}{P}\right\}.$$

If $M = P_1^{a_1} \cdots P_k^{a_k}$ and (A, M) = 1 we use the definition,

$$\left\{\frac{A}{M}\right\} = \left\{\frac{A}{P_1}\right\}^{a_1} \cdots \left\{\frac{A}{P_k}\right\}^{a_k}.$$

The purpose of this note is to give a simple new proof of the following theorem:

^{*} Presented to the Society, February 20, 1937.

[†] Harrison Scholar in Mathematics, University of Pennsylvania.

[‡] H. Kuhne, *Eine Wechselbeziehung zwischen Funktionen mehrerer Unbe*stimmter die zu Reziprozitätsgesetzen führt, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, vol. 124 (1901–02), pp. 121–133.

[§] F. K. Schmidt, Zur Zahlentheorie in Körpern von der Charakteristik p, Sitzungsberichte der Physikalish-medizinischen Societät zu Erlangen, vol. 58-59 (1928), pp. 159-172.

^{||} L. Carlitz, The arithmetic of polynomials in a Galois field, American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 54 (1932), pp. 39-50.

[August,

If M and N are primary relatively prime polynomials in \mathfrak{D} of degree m and n respectively, then

$$\left\{\frac{M}{N}\right\} = (-1)^{mn} \left\{\frac{N}{M}\right\}.$$

This generalized form of Kuhne's theorem is, of course, not new. The novelty of our method consists in proving the case M, N directly (rather than P, Q) by making use of the generalized analog of Gauss's lemma^{*} proved in §2.

2. Generalization of the Analog of Gauss's Lemma. We shall employ the following notation. If

$$F = a_0 x^{\nu} + a_1 x^{\nu-1} + \cdots + a_{\nu}, \qquad a_0 \neq 0,$$

is a polynomial in D, then

$$\operatorname{sgn} F = a_0, \quad \operatorname{deg} F = \nu;$$

for sgn F=1, F is said to be *primary*. Let $\Re(A/B)$ denote the remainder in the division of A by B. Then the generalization in question is furnished by the following lemma.

LEMMA. Let A and M be in \mathfrak{D} , M primary and relatively prime to A; then

$$\left\{\frac{A}{M}\right\} = \prod_{\deg H < m} \operatorname{sgn} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{HA}{M}\right),$$

the product extending over all primary H of degree less than the degree of M.

We shall now give a proof of this lemma along lines suggested by Schering's[†] proof in the numerical case.

3. Proof of the Lemma. Following Dedekind,[‡] we define $\phi(M)$ to be the number of polynomials in a reduced residue system, mod M; the number of primary polynomials prime to M

568

^{*} L. Carlitz, loc. cit., p. 46.

[†] E. Schering, Zur Theorie der quadratischen Reste, Acta Mathematica, vol. 1 (1882), pp. 153–170; see also P. Bachmann, Die Elemente der Zahlentheorie, 1892, pp. 144–148.

[‡] R. Dedekind, Abriss einer Theorie der höheren Congruenzen in Bezug auf einer reellen Primzahl-Modulus, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, vol. 54 (1857), pp. 1–26.

and of degree less than m is then evidently $\phi(M)/(p^{\pi}-1)$. Hence, just as in the numerical case, it is very easy to show that the number of primary polynomials H of degree less than m such that (H, M) = D is $\phi(M/D)/(p^{\pi}-1)$.

Put $H = H_1D$, $M = M_1D$. Then the congruence

$$HA \equiv H' \operatorname{sgn} \operatorname{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{HA}{M}\right) \pmod{M}, \operatorname{deg} H' < m, \operatorname{sgn} H' = 1,$$

becomes

(1)
$$H_1A \equiv H_1' \operatorname{sgn} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{HA}{M}\right) \pmod{M_1}.$$

Evidently the polynomials H_1 are the polynomials H'_1 in some order. Therefore, if we multiply all congruences of the type (1) together and divide each member of the resulting congruence by the product of the H_1 (which is prime to M_1), we have

(2)
$$A^{\phi(M_1)/(p^{\pi}-1)} \equiv \prod_{(H,M)=D} \operatorname{sgn} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{HA}{M}\right) \pmod{M_1}.$$

For $M_1 = P$, a primary irreducible polynomial of degree ν , the last congruence becomes

(3)
$$A^{(p^{\pi\nu}-1)/(p^{\pi}-1)} \equiv \left\{\frac{A}{P}\right\} \pmod{P}.$$

Writing this congruence in the form

$$A^{(p^{\pi\nu}-1)/(p^{\pi}-1)} = \left\{\frac{A}{P}\right\} + FP,$$

and raising both members to the $p^{\pi(k-1)}$ th power, we can readily show that

$$A^{p^{\pi(k-1)\nu}(p^{\pi\nu-1})/(p^{\pi-1})} = \left\{\frac{A}{P}\right\} + F'P^{p^{\pi(k-1)\nu}}.$$

But it is well known that

$$\phi(P^k) = p^{\pi(k-1)\nu}(p^{\pi\nu} - 1).$$

Hence

569

1937.]

(4)
$$A^{\phi(P^k)/(p^{\pi}-1)} \equiv \left\{ \frac{A}{P} \right\} \pmod{P^k}.$$

Finally, for $M_1 = P_1^{b_1} \cdots P_k^{b_k}$, $0 \leq b_i \leq a_i$, k > 1, deg $P_i = \nu_i$, we have

$$\frac{\phi(M_1)}{p^{\pi}-1}=\frac{1}{p^{\pi}-1}\prod_{i=1}^k p^{(b_i-1)\pi \nu_i}(p^{\pi\nu_i}-1).$$

Hence, since

$$A^{p\pi\nu_i} \equiv 1 \pmod{P_i},$$

it follows that

(5)
$$A^{\phi(M_1)/(p^{\pi-1})} \equiv 1 \pmod{M_1}$$

where, as already stated, M_1 is the product of at least two distinct irreducible polynomials.

Combining the results of $(2), \dots, (5)$ we now see that

(6)
$$\prod_{(H,M)=D} \operatorname{sgn} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{HA}{M}\right)$$

has the value 1 unless $M_1 = M/D$ is irreducible or the power of an irreducible polynomial. On the other hand, for $M_1 = P_i^b$ $(b = 1, \dots, a_i)$, (6) has the value $\{A \mid P_i\}$. Consequently

$$\prod_{D \mid M} \prod_{(H,M)=D} \operatorname{sgn} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{HA}{M}\right) = \prod_{\deg H < m} \operatorname{sgn} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{HA}{M}\right)$$
$$= \left\{\frac{A}{P_1}\right\}^{a_1} \cdots \left\{\frac{A}{P_k}\right\}^{a_k},$$

from which the Lemma follows at once.

4. Proof of the Theorem. Let A, N denote primary polynomials of degrees a, n respectively; let (A, N) = 1, $a \ge n$. Consider the congruence

$$A \equiv \Re(A/N) \pmod{N}, \quad \deg \Re(A/N) < n.$$

Evidently there exists a primary $H(\text{say } H_0)$ of degree a - n such that

$$A = \mathcal{R}(A/N) + H_0 N.$$

But this equation may be written in the form

[August,

570

(7)
$$H_0 N \equiv - \mathcal{R}(A/N) \pmod{A}.$$

Let E be any polynomial (not necessarily primary) of degree less than a-n. Then we may write

(8)
$$(H_0 + E)N \equiv EN - \mathcal{R}(A/N) \pmod{A}$$
,

where

(9)

$$0 < \deg(EN - \Re(A/N)) < a,$$

$$\operatorname{sgn}(EN - \mathcal{R}(A/N)) = \operatorname{sgn} EN = \operatorname{sgn} E.$$

Furthermore, we have the obvious identity

(10)
$$\prod_{\deg H=a-n} HN = H_0 N \prod_{\deg E < a-n} (H_0 + E) N, \qquad E \neq 0.$$

Therefore, by equations $(7), \cdots, (10),$

(11)
$$\prod_{\deg H=a-n} \operatorname{sgn} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{HN}{A}\right)$$
$$= \operatorname{sgn} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{H_0N}{A}\right) \prod_{\deg E < a-n} \operatorname{sgn} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{(H_0+E)N}{A}\right)$$
$$= -\operatorname{sgn} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{A}{N}\right) \prod_{\deg E < a-n} \operatorname{sgn} E.$$

Now, by the generalization of Wilson's theorem for a Galois field,

$$\prod_{b} b = -1, \qquad b \text{ in } GF(p^{\pi}),$$

from which it follows at once that

$$\prod_{\deg E < a-n} \operatorname{sgn} E = (-1)^{a-n}.$$

Hence (11) becomes

(12)
$$\prod_{\deg H=a-n} \operatorname{sgn} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{HN}{A}\right) = (-1)^{a-n+1} \operatorname{sgn} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{A}{N}\right).$$

Since

$$\mathcal{R}\left(\frac{HN}{A}\right) = -\mathcal{R}\left(\frac{A}{HN}\right), \deg HN = \deg A,$$

571

(12) may also be written in the form

(13)
$$\prod_{\deg H=a-n} \operatorname{sgn} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{A}{HN}\right) = (-1)^{a-n} \operatorname{sgn} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{A}{N}\right).$$

Let us now assume, as we may without any loss of generality, that $m \ge n$. In (12) replace A by KM, where K is any primary polynomial of degree k(k < n). Then we have

$$\prod_{\deg H=k+m-n} \operatorname{sgn} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{HN}{KM}\right) = (-1)^{k+m-n+1} \operatorname{sgn} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{KM}{N}\right).$$

Now let K run through all the $p^{\pi k}$ primary polynomials of degree k; we get

(14)
$$\prod_{\substack{\deg H=k+m-n\\\deg K=k}} \operatorname{sgn} \operatorname{\mathfrak{R}}\left(\frac{HN}{KM}\right) = (-1)^{k+m-n+1} \prod_{\deg K=k} \operatorname{sgn} \operatorname{\mathfrak{R}}\left(\frac{KM}{N}\right).$$

In a similar manner we may obtain from (13),

(15)
$$\prod_{\substack{\deg H=k+m-n\\\deg K=k}} \operatorname{sgn} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{HN}{KM}\right) = (-1)^k \prod_{\deg H=k+m-n} \operatorname{sgn} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{HN}{M}\right).$$

Comparing (14) and (15), we obtain

$$\prod_{\deg K=k} \operatorname{sgn} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{KM}{N}\right) = (-1)^{m+n-1} \prod_{\deg H=k+m-n} \operatorname{sgn} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{HN}{M}\right).$$

Therefore

$$\prod_{\deg K < n} \operatorname{sgn} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{KM}{N}\right) = (-1)^{mn+n^2-n} \prod_{m-n \leq \deg H < m} \operatorname{sgn} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{HN}{M}\right).$$

When we note that

$$\prod_{\deg H < m-n} \operatorname{sgn} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{HN}{M}\right) = 1,$$

the theorem follows at once.

UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA