RELATIONS AMONG THE FUNDAMENTAL SOLUTIONS OF THE GENERALIZED HYPERGEOMETRIC EQUATION WHEN p=q+1. I. NON-LOGARITHMIC CASES*

F. C. SMITH

It is the purpose of this paper to develop the relations among the non-logarithmic solutions of the equation

(1)
$$\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{p} (\theta + a_i) - \frac{1}{z} \theta \prod_{i=1}^{q} (\theta + c_i - 1)\right\} y = 0, \quad p = q + 1,$$

where $\theta = z(d/dz)$. The results of this paper generalize those of Mehlenbacher, \dagger who studied the case in which p = 2 and q = 1, and extend those of Barnes‡ who obtained the asymptotic developments of the non-logarithmic solutions of (1) for those cases in which p < q+1.

The succeeding analysis will be simplified if we rewrite equation (1) in the equivalent form

(2)
$$\left\{\prod_{t=1}^{q+1} (\theta + a_t) - \frac{1}{z} \prod_{t=1}^{q+1} (\theta + c_t - 1)\right\} y = 0, \qquad c_{q+1} = 1.$$

If no two of the a_t or c_t are equal or differ by an integer, then equation (2) has q+1 linearly independent solutions about the point z=0, which may be written

(3)
$$Y_{0j} = z^{1-c_j} \prod_{t=1}^{q+1} \frac{\Gamma(1+c_t-c_j)}{\Gamma(1+a_t-c_j)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \prod_{t=1}^{q+1} \frac{\Gamma(1+a_t-c_j+n)}{\Gamma(1+c_t-c_j+n)} z^n,$$
$$j = 1, 2, 3, \cdots, q+1; c_{q+1} = 1; |z| < 1;$$

and q+1 linearly independent solutions about the point $z = \infty$ which may be written

(4)
$$Y_{\infty j} = z^{-a_j} \prod_{i=1}^{q+1} \frac{\Gamma(1-a_i+a_j)}{\Gamma(1-c_i+a_j)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{q+1} \frac{\Gamma(1-c_i+a_j+n)}{\Gamma(1-a_i+a_j+n)} \frac{1}{z^n},$$
$$j = 1, 2, 3, \cdots, q+1; c_{q+1} = 1; |z| > 1.$$

^{*} Presented to the Society, December 30, 1937. The logarithmic cases will be considered in a later paper.

[†] See this Bulletin, Abstract 42-5-169.

[‡] E. W. Barnes, The asymptotic expansions of integral functions defined by generalized hypergeometric series, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, (2), vol. 5 (1907), pp. 59-116.

In this paper, we shall develop the relations among solutions (3) and (4) of equation (2).

In this connection, we may state the following theorem:

THEOREM 1. If no two of the a_i or c_i are equal or differ by an integer, then the solutions (3) of equation (2), when extended analytically outside their circle of convergence, may be expressed linearly in terms of solutions (4) in the following forms, it being understood throughout that $0 < \arg z < 2\pi$:

(5)

$$Y_{0j} = \sum_{k=1}^{q+1} \left\{ e^{i\pi(a_k - c_j + 1)} \frac{\Gamma(c_j - a_k)\Gamma(1 + c_k - c_j)}{\Gamma(c_k - a_k)} \right.$$

$$\left. \prod_{\substack{t=1\\t \neq k}}^{q+1} \frac{\Gamma(a_t - a_k)\Gamma(1 + c_t - c_j)}{\Gamma(c_t - a_k)\Gamma(1 + a_t - c_j)} Y_{\infty k} \right\},$$

$$j = 1, 2, 3, \cdots, q + 1; c_{q+1} = 1.*$$

PROOF. It is well known that the function

(6)
$$g(w) = \prod_{i=1}^{q+1} \frac{\Gamma(1 + a_i - c_j + w)}{\Gamma(1 + c_i - c_j + w)}$$

satisfies the relation

$$g(w) = w^{\sum_{t=1}^{q+1}(a_t-c_t)} [1+\gamma(w)], \qquad \lim_{|w|\to\infty} \gamma(w) = 0, \ |\arg w| < \pi.\dagger$$

Therefore,

$$|g(w)| \leq |w^{\sum_{t=1}^{e+1}(a_t-c_t)}| [1+|\gamma(w)|] = |w|^R e^{-I\phi} [1+|\gamma(w)|],$$

where R and I denote the real and imaginary parts of $\sum_{t=1}^{q+1} (a_t - c_i)$, respectively, and where $\phi = \arg w$. For sufficiently large values of |w|, we have $|\gamma(w)| < \epsilon$, $|\arg w| < \pi$. Thus, for such values of |w|

$$\left| g(w) \right| < \left| w \right|^{R} e^{-I\phi} (1+\epsilon) < \left| w \right|^{R} e^{I\pi} (1+\epsilon) < K \left| w \right|^{R},$$

where K is a constant independent of w. The function g(w), therefore, satisfies the conditions of a general theorem due to Ford, \ddagger but, as ap-

430

^{*} As far as relation (5) itself is concerned, the restriction $c_{q+1}=1$ is unnecessary. The restriction is necessary, however, in order that the Y_{0j} and the $Y_{\infty j}$ be solutions of equation (2).

[†] See, for example, Milne-Thomson, The Calculus of Finite Differences, Macmillan, 1933, p. 255.

[‡] See Ford, The Asymptotic Developments of Functions Defined by Maclaurin Series, University of Michigan Press, 1936, pp. 5, 9.

pears from (6), has simple poles at the points

(7)
$$w = c_j - a_k - n - 1$$
, $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$; $k = 1, 2, 3, \cdots, q + 1$.

An application of this theorem to the function

(8)
$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(n) z^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{q+1} \frac{\Gamma(1+a_i-c_j+n)}{\Gamma(1+c_i-c_j+n)} z^n$$

gives the result

(9)
$$f(z) \sim -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \prod_{t=1}^{q+1} \frac{\Gamma(1+a_t-c_j-n)}{\Gamma(1+c_t-c_j-n)} \frac{1}{z^n} - \sum_{k=1}^{q+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_{n,k}, \\ 0 < \arg z < 2\pi,$$

where $R_{n,k}$ represents the residue of the function

(10)
$$\frac{\pi(-z)^w g(w)}{\sin \pi w} = (-z)^w \Gamma(w) \Gamma(1-w) \prod_{i=1}^{q+1} \frac{\Gamma(1+a_i-c_i+w)}{\Gamma(1+c_i-c_i+w)}$$

at the point $w = c_j - a_k - n - 1$. But the first series on the right in (9) drops out since the denominator of every term contains a factor for which t=j, and

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(1+c_j-c_j-n)}=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-n)}=0, \qquad n=1, 2, 3, \cdots.$$

It follows that our problem reduces to that of computing the residue term.

For this purpose, consider

(11)
$$R_{n,k} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_{n,k}} (-z)^w \Gamma(w) \Gamma(1-w) \prod_{i=1}^{q+1} \frac{\Gamma(1+a_i-c_i+w)}{\Gamma(1+c_i-c_j+w)} dw,$$

where $C_{n,k}$ surrounds the pole $w = c_i - a_k - n - 1$ of (10) but no other pole of (10). If in (11) we replace w by $-(w - c_i + a_k + n + 1)$, we obtain

$$R_{n,k} = -\frac{(-z)^{c_j - a_k - n - 1}}{2\pi i}$$
(12) $\cdot \int_{C_y} (-z)^{-w} \frac{\Gamma(c_j - a_k - w - n - 1)\Gamma(w - c_j + a_k + n + 2)\Gamma(-w - n)}{\Gamma(c_k - a_k - w - n)}$
 $\cdot \prod_{\substack{t=1\\t\neq k}}^{q+1} \frac{\Gamma(a_t - a_k - w - n)}{\Gamma(c_t - a_k - w - n)} dw,$

1938]

F. C. SMITH

[June

where C_0 surrounds the origin. By several applications of the relation

(13)
$$\Gamma(1-w) = \frac{\pi}{\Gamma(w) \sin \pi w},$$

we may change (12) to the form

$$R_{n,k} = -\frac{(-z)^{c_j-a_k-n-1}(-1)^n}{2\pi i}$$

$$\cdot \int_{C_0} \frac{\pi(-z)^{-w}}{\sin \pi w} \frac{\Gamma(c_j-a_k-w)\Gamma(w-c_j+a_k+1)}{\Gamma(c_k-a_k-w)\Gamma(w-c_k+a_k+1)}$$
(14)
$$\cdot \prod_{\substack{t=1\\t\neq k}}^{q+1} \frac{\Gamma(a_t-a_k-w)\Gamma(w-a_t+a_k+1)}{\Gamma(c_t-a_k-w)\Gamma(w-c_t+a_k+1)}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{\substack{t=1\\t\neq k}}^{q+1} \frac{\Gamma(w-c_t+a_k+n+1)}{\Gamma(w-a_t+a_k+n+1)} dw,$$

which by virtue of a well known theorem in the calculus of residues* is equal to

(15)
$$R_{n,k} = -\frac{(-z)^{c_j - a_k - 1}}{z^n} \frac{\Gamma(c_j - a_k)\Gamma(1 - c_j + a_k)}{\Gamma(c_k - a_k)\Gamma(1 - c_k + a_k)} \\ \cdot \prod_{\substack{t=1\\t \neq k}}^{q+1} \frac{\Gamma(a_t - a_k)\Gamma(1 - a_t + a_k)}{\Gamma(c_t - a_k)\Gamma(1 - c_t + a_k)} \cdot \prod_{t=1}^{q+1} \frac{\Gamma(1 - c_t + a_k + n)}{\Gamma(1 - a_t + a_k + n)} \cdot$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_{n,k} = -e^{i\pi(a_k-c_j+1)}z^{c_j-a_{k-1}} \frac{\Gamma(c_j-a_k)\Gamma(1-c_j+a_k)}{\Gamma(c_k-a_k)\Gamma(1-c_k+a_k)}$$
(16)

$$\cdot \prod_{\substack{i=1\\ i\neq k}}^{q+1} \frac{\Gamma(a_t-a_k)\Gamma(1-a_t+a_k)}{\Gamma(c_i-a_k)\Gamma(1-c_t+a_k)} \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{q+1} \frac{\Gamma(1-c_i+a_k+n)1}{\Gamma(1-a_i+a_k+n)z^n}$$

$$= -e^{i\pi(a_k-c_j+1)}z^{c_j-1} \frac{\Gamma(c_j-a_k)\Gamma(1-c_j+a_k)}{\Gamma(c_k-a_k)}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{\substack{t=1\\ t\neq k}}^{q+1} \frac{\Gamma(a_t-a_k)}{\Gamma(c_i-a_k)} Y_{\infty k}.$$

Thus,

* See Ford, op. cit., p. 5, equation (20).

(17)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{q+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_{n,k} = -z^{c_j-1} \sum_{k=1}^{q+1} \left\{ e^{i\pi(a_k-c_j+1)} \frac{\Gamma(c_j-a_k)\Gamma(1-c_j+a_k)}{\Gamma(c_k-a_k)} + \prod_{\substack{l=1\\ t\neq k}}^{q+1} \frac{\Gamma(a_l-a_k)}{\Gamma(c_l-a_k)} Y_{\infty k} \right\}.$$

Substituting (17) into (9) and recalling that the first series of (9) is no longer present, we have

(18)
$$f(z) \sim z^{c_j-1} \sum_{k=1}^{q+1} \left\{ e^{i\pi(a_k-c_j+1)} \frac{\Gamma(c_j-a_k)\Gamma(1-c_j+a_k)}{\Gamma(c_k-a_k)} + \prod_{\substack{t=1\\t\neq k}}^{q+1} \frac{\Gamma(a_t-a_k)}{\Gamma(c_t-a_k)} Y_{\infty k} \right\}.$$

Since each $Y_{\infty k}$ which appears in (18) is defined by a series which converges for |z| > 1, we may replace \sim by = in (18). Then, upon noting that

(19)
$$Y_{0j} = z^{1-c_j} \prod_{t=1}^{q+1} \frac{\Gamma(1+c_t-c_j)}{\Gamma(1+a_t-c_j)} f(z),$$

we see that (18) is equivalent to the desired result (5).

By means of a similar proof, we may establish the following theorem:

THEOREM 2. If no two of the a_t or c_t are equal or differ by an integer, then the solutions (4) of equation (2), when extended analytically outside their circle of convergence, may be expressed linearly in terms of solutions (3) in the following forms, it being understood throughout that $0 < \arg(1/z) < 2\pi$:

(20)

$$Y_{\infty j} = \sum_{k=1}^{q+1} \left\{ e^{i\pi (a_j - c_k + 1)} \frac{\Gamma(c_k - a_j)\Gamma(1 - a_k + a_j)}{\Gamma(c_k - a_k)} + \sum_{\substack{l=1\\t \neq k}}^{q+1} \frac{\Gamma(c_k - c_l)\Gamma(1 - a_l + a_l)}{\Gamma(c_k - a_l)\Gamma(1 - c_l + a_l)} Y_{0k} \right\},$$

$$j = 1, 2, 3, \cdots, q+1; c_{q+1} = 1.$$

THE COLLEGE OF ST. FRANCIS

1938]