## ON SOME NEW CONGRUENCES IN THE THEORY OF BERNOULLI'S NUMBERS

N. G. W. H. BEEGER

For Bernoulli's numbers the following relations are known:

$$(h+1)^n = h^n;$$
  $n > 1,$   $h_1 = -\frac{1}{2},$   $B_n = (-1)^{n-1}h_{2n};$   
 $h_{2n+1} = 0$  for  $n > 0.$ 

For the symbol  $k^n = h^{n+1}/(n+1)$  Kummer proved the congruence

(1) 
$$k^a(1-k^b)^c \equiv 0 \pmod{(p^a, p^{ec})},$$

p being a prime,  $b = p^{e-1}(p-1)b_1$ ,  $a+1 \neq 0 \pmod{(p-1)}$ . G. Frobenius\* has given another proof of this congruence, without using infinite series. I shall now prove the congruence

(2) 
$$(-1)^{i-1}k^{a+mb} \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{i} (-1)^{s-1}C_{m,s-1}C_{m-s,i-s}k^{a+(s-1)b} \pmod{p^{i}},$$
  
 $b = p - 1,$ 

which is equivalent to

(3)  
$$(-1)^{i-1} \frac{B_{n+m\mu}}{2n+2m\mu} \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{i} (-1)^{s-1+(m-s+1)\mu} C_{m,s-1}C_{m-s,i-s} \frac{B_{n+(s-1)\mu}}{2n+2(s-1)\mu} \pmod{p^i}$$

 $C_{m,0} = 1, m \ge i, i < 2n - 1, 2n \ne 0 \pmod{(p-1)}, \mu = (p-1)/2.$ Take, in (1), b = p - 1, c = i, a = 2n - 1; then (1) gives

$$(-1)^{i-1}k^{a+bi} \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{i} (-1)^{s-1}C_{i,s-1}k^{a+(s-1)b} \pmod{p^{i}}.$$

Hence (2) is proved for the case m = i. Now suppose that (2) is proved for  $m = i, i+1, i+2, \cdots, m$ . From (1) it follows that

(4)  

$$(-1)^{m}k^{a+(m+1)b} \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{i} (-1)^{s-1}C_{m+1,s-1}k^{a+(s-1)b} + \sum_{s=i+1}^{m+1} (-1)^{s-1}C_{m+1,s-1}k^{a+(s-1)b} \pmod{p^{m+1}}.$$

<sup>\*</sup> Sitzungsberichte der Preussischen Akademie, vol. 39 (1910), p. 809

(5)  

$$(-1)^{m}k^{a+(m+1)b} \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{i} (-1)^{s-1}k^{a+(s-1)b}(C_{m+1,s-1} - C_{m+1,i}C_{i,s-1}C_{i-s+1,i-s} - C_{m+1,i}C_{i,s-1}C_{i-s,i-s} + C_{m+1,i+1}C_{i+1,s-1}C_{i-s+1,i-s} - \cdots \pm C_{m+1,m}C_{m,s-1}C_{m-s,i-s}) \pmod{p^{i}}.$$

Let the coefficient of  $k^a$  be denoted by  $S_m$ . Then

(6) 
$$S_m = 1 - C_{m+1,i}C_{i-1,i-1} + C_{m+1,i+1}C_{i,i-1} - \cdots + (-1)^{m+i-1}C_{m+1,m}C_{m-1,i-1},$$

and, using the known relation

$$C_{m+1,c} - C_{m,c} = C_{m,c-1},$$

we have

$$S_{m} - S_{m-1} = \sum_{j=i}^{m-1} (-1)^{j-i+1} C_{m,j-1} C_{j-1,i-1} + (-1)^{m+i-1} C_{m+1,m} C_{m-1,i-1}$$

$$= C_{m,i-1} \sum_{j=i}^{m-1} (-1)^{j-i+1} C_{m-i+1,m-j+1}$$

$$+ (-1)^{m+i-1} C_{m+1,m} C_{m-1,i-1}$$

$$= (-1)^{m-i+1} (C_{m,i-1} + C_{m-1,i-1}).$$

From (6) it follows that  $S_i = -i$ ; hence from (7) we have

$$S_m - S_i = C_{i,i-1} - C_{i+1,i-1} + \dots + (-1)^{m-i+1} C_{m-1,i-1} + (C_{i+1,i-1} - C_{i+2,i-1} + \dots + (-1)^{m-i+1} C_{m,i-1}),$$
(8) 
$$S_m = (-1)^{m-i+1} C_{m,i-1}.$$

Let further the coefficient of  $k^{a+jb}$  in the second member of (5) be denoted by  $S_m'$ ; then

$$S'_{m} = C_{m+1,j} - C_{m+1,j}C_{i,j}C_{i-j-1,i-j-1} + \cdots \pm C_{m+1,m}C_{m,j}C_{m-j-1,i-j-1}$$
  
=  $C_{m+1,j}(1 - C_{m-j+1,i-j}C_{i-j-1,i-j-1} + C_{m-j+1,i-j+1}C_{i-j,i-j-1}$   
 $- \cdots \pm C_{m-j+1,m-j}C_{m-j-1,i-j-1});$ 

hence

$$S'_{m} = C_{m+1,j}S_{m-j} = C_{m+1,j}C_{m-j,i-j-1}(-1)^{m-i+1}$$

by (8). From (5) the congruence (2) is now proved for (m+1); hence (2) is true in general for all numbers  $m=i, i+1, \cdots$ .

In order to get a congruence analogous to (2) and (3), but for a modulus which is a higher power of p than  $p^a$ , take, in (2), a+jb in place of a, and replace (m+j) by m. We have

(9) 
$$(-1)^{i-1}k^{a+mb} \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{i} (-1)^{s-1}C_{m-j,s-1}C_{m-j-s,i-s}k^{a+(s+j-1)b} \pmod{p^{i}},$$
$$m \ge i+j, \ i \le a+jb, \ a+1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{(p-1)},$$

with the equivalent relation

$$(-1)^{i-1} \frac{B_{n+m\mu}}{2n+2m\mu} \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{i} (-1)^{s-1+(m-s+1)\mu}$$

$$(10) \cdot C_{m-j,s-1}C_{m-j-s,i-s} \frac{B_{n+(s+j-1)\mu}}{2n+2\mu(s+j-1)} \pmod{p^{i}},$$

$$m \ge i+j, \ i \le 2n-1+j(p-1), \ 2n \ne 0 \pmod{(p-1)}.$$

A prime p > 3 is said to be irregular if it divides one of the numbers  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{\mu-1}$ , say  $B_n$ . It is known by (1) that in this case each number  $B_{n+m\mu}$  is divisible by p.

THEOREM. If p is an irregular prime, and if  $k^a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , then for each number i the positive integers  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{i-1} < p$ , can be determined uniquely by the chain of congruences

provided that

$$P = k^a - k^{a+b} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2};$$

consequently

$$k^{a+(m_1+m_2p+\cdots+m_{i-1}p^{i-2})b} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^i}.$$

In the above k is defined as at the beginning of the article, and b = p - 1.

**PROOF.** In the congruence (2) take i=2; this gives

(11) 
$$- k^{a+mb} \equiv (m-1)k^a - mk^{a+b} \pmod{p^2}, \\ k^{a+mb} \equiv k^a - m(k^a - k^{a+b}) \pmod{p^2}.$$

686

The congruence

$$k^a - m(k^a - k^{a+b}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2},$$

wherein  $k^a$  and  $k^{a+b}$  are divisible by p, has one solution  $m_1 < p$  if and only if  $P = k^a - k^{a+b} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$ , and it follows from (11) that

$$k^{a+m_1b} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$

Hence the theorem is proved for i=2. Suppose that it is proved for 2, 3, 4,  $\cdots$ , *i*, and put

$$m_1 + m_2 p + \cdots + m_{i-2} p^{i-3} = m';$$

then  $k^{a+m'b} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^i}$ . Now take the congruence (9) for (i+1) in place of *i*, which gives

$$(-1)^{i}k^{a+mb} \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{i+1} (-1)^{s-1} C_{m-j,s-1} C_{m-j-s,i+1-s} k^{a+(s+j-1)b} \pmod{p^{i+1}}.$$

Let the polynomial in the right-hand side be denoted by G(m). Then

(11a) 
$$G(m' + p^{i-1}x) \equiv G(m') + p^{i-1}xG'(m') \pmod{p^{i+1}};$$

also

$$G(m') \equiv (-1)^{i} k^{a+m'b} \pmod{p^{i+1}}$$

from the definition of G, and (2) gives, for i=2,

$$G(m) = (-1)^{i} k^{a+mb} \equiv (-1)^{i-1} \{ (m-1)k^{a} - mk^{a+b} \} \pmod{p^{2}};$$

hence

$$G'(m) \equiv (-1)^{i-1} \{ k^a - k^{a+b} \} \pmod{p^2},$$

and setting m = m' in this relation, we get from (11a)

$$G(m' + p^{i-1}x) \equiv (-1)^{i}k^{a+m'b} + (-1)^{i-1}p^{i-1}x(k^{a} - k^{a+b}) \pmod{p^{i+1}},$$

and hence

$$(-1)^{i}k^{a+(m'+p^{i-1}x)b} \equiv (-1)^{i}k^{a+m'b} + (-1)^{i-1}p^{i-1}x(k^{a}-k^{a+b}) \pmod{p^{i+1}}.$$

Now  $k^{a+m'b} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^i}$ . The congruence

$$k^{a+m'b} - p^{i-1}x(k^a - k^{a+b}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{i+1}}$$

has therefore one solution  $x = m_i < p$  if and only if  $k^a - k^{a+b} \neq 0$  (mod  $p^2$ ), and then  $k^{a+(m'+p^{i-1}m_i)b} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{i+1}}$ . The theorem is proved for (i+1) and hence is true for all values of *i*.

It follows immediately from this theorem that for each number i, as large as we please, the numbers  $m_1, m_2, \cdots, m_{i-1}$  can be deter-

1938]

mined so that if  $B_n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , n < p, p an irregular prime, then

$$B_{n+(m_1+m_2p+\cdots+m_{i-1}p^{i-2})\mu} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^i},$$

if

$$\frac{B_n}{2n} \neq (-1)^{(p-1)/2} \frac{B_{n+(p-1)/2}}{2n+p-1}$$

Pollaczek\* calculated, in the cases n = 16, p = 37; n = 22, p = 59; and n = 29, p = 67, the number  $m_1$  for which  $B_{n+m_1\mu} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$ . His calculations gave me the idea to construct my congruences (3) and (10) and to formulate the theorem.

The substitution of m = 2n in (3) gives the result

(12)  

$$(-1)^{i-1} \frac{B_{np}}{np} \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{i} (-1)^{(s-1)(\mu+1)} C_{2n,s-1} C_{2n-s,i-s}$$

$$(12) \qquad \cdot \frac{B_{n+(s-1)\mu}}{2n+2(s-1)\mu} \pmod{p^i}, \ 2n \neq 0 \pmod{(p-1)}.$$

The case i=2 is of special interest. H. S. Vandiver and his collaborators, in their researches about the second case of Fermat's last theorem,<sup>†</sup> have made very extensive calculations to find the residues of  $B_{np}$ , modulo  $p^3$ , p being an irregular prime less than 211, not knowing the congruence (12). For  $B_n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , we have  $n < \mu$ ; then  $B_{np} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$ , and (12) gives, for i=2,

$$B_{np} \equiv -\frac{p}{2n-1} \left\{ (2n-1)^2 B_n - (-1)^{(p-1)/2} (2n)^2 B_{n+\mu} \right\} \pmod{p^3}.$$

Using the existing tables of Bernoulli's numbers we can obtain from this congruence the residue of  $B_{np}$ , modulo  $p^3$ , after a simple calculation. Thus I have checked the results of Vandiver (except for p=157 and 149;  $B_{133}$  and  $B_{139}$  not being in the tables) and have found them all correct.

Amsterdam, Holland

\* Mathematische Zeitschrift, vol. 21 (1924), pp. 28-31. Some of his results are wrong. They should be  $B_{22} \equiv 50 \cdot 59$ ,  $B_{51} \equiv 42 \cdot 59 \pmod{592}$ ,  $B_{62} \equiv 37 \cdot 67 \pmod{67^2}$ .

<sup>†</sup> Transactions of this Society, vol. 31 (1929), pp. 613, 639-642.