## A NOTE ON MEASURE FUNCTIONS IN A LATTICE ${ }^{1}$

M. F. SMILEY

We give first an equivalent statement of the measurability criterion of Carathéodory ${ }^{2}$ which is applicable to an arbitrary lattice. We then study the closure with respect to finite and denumerable sums and products of the subset of measurable elements of a modular lattice. The case of regular ${ }^{3}$ "outer measure functions" is then briefly discussed. The elements of the theory of lattices are presupposed. ${ }^{4}$

Let us consider a lattice $L$ on which is defined a real-valued function $\mu(a)$. The elements $a \varepsilon L$ which satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(a+b)+\mu(a b)=\mu(a)+\mu(b) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $b \varepsilon L$ will be called $\mu$-measurable. The totality of $\mu$-measurable elements will be denoted by $L(\mu)$.

Remark 1. If $L$ is a Boolean algebra and $\mu(0)=0$, then $a \varepsilon L(\mu)$ if and only if $a \varepsilon L$ and satisfies the condition of Carathéodory, ${ }^{5}$ that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(b)=\mu(a b)+\mu(b-a b) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $b \varepsilon L$. For, if $a \in L$ satisfies (1), the equation (1) and

$$
\mu(a+(b-a b))+\mu(0)=\mu(a)+\mu(b-a b)
$$

yield (2). The converse is proved by Carathéodory. ${ }^{6}$
Theorem 1. If $L$ is a modular lattice, then $L(\mu)$ is a sublattice of $L$.
Proof. Let $a, c \varepsilon L(\mu), b \varepsilon L$. We obtain successively

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu(a+(c+b))+\mu(a(c+b)) & =\mu(a)+\mu(c+b) \\
& =\mu(a)+\mu(c)+\mu(b)-\mu(c b) \\
& =\mu(a+c)+\mu(b)+\mu(a c)-\mu(c b)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $c \varepsilon L(\mu)$ we have

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu(c+a(c+b))+\mu(a c)=\mu(c)+\mu(a(c+b)) \\
& \mu(c+(a+c) b)+\mu(c b)=\mu(c)+\mu((a+c) b)
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Using the modular law we see that $(a+c)(c+b)=c+a(c+b)=c$ $+(a+c) b$. It is then clear that

$$
\mu(a c)-\mu(c b)=\mu(a(c+b))-\mu((a+c) b)
$$

and (1) with $a$ replaced by $a+c$ follows easily. Thus $a+c \varepsilon L(\mu)$. By duality, ac $\varepsilon L(\mu)$. This completes the proof.

Definition 1. If, for each increasing (decreasing) sequence ( $a_{i}$; $i=1,2, \cdots$ ) of elements of $L(\mu)$ with a sum (product) a $\varepsilon L$, we have $\lim \mu\left(a_{i}\right)=\mu(a)$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ we say that ${ }^{7} L$ satisfies $B^{+}(\mu)\left(B^{-}(\mu)\right)$; if moreover $\lim \mu\left(a_{i}+b\right)=\mu(a+b)$ and $\lim \mu\left(a_{i} b\right)=\mu(a b)$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ for each $b \varepsilon L$, we say that $L$ satisfies $B^{+}\left(B^{-}\right)$.

Remark 2. If $L$ satisfies $B^{+}\left(B^{-}\right)$, then $L$ satisfies $B^{+}(\mu)\left(B^{-}(\mu)\right)$. It suffices to take $b=a$ in the definition of $B^{+}\left(B^{-}\right)$.

We shall assume throughout the remainder of this note that $L$ is modular and that $\mu(a)$ is monotone increasing.

Theorem 2. A sufficient condition for closure of $L(\mu)$ with respect to denumerable sums (products) in $L$ is that $L$ satisfy $B^{+}\left(B^{-}\right)$. This condition is necessary if $L$ satisfies $B^{+}(\mu)\left(B^{-}(\mu)\right)$.

Proof. To show that $B^{+}$is sufficient, consider a sequence ( $a_{i}$; $i=1,2, \cdots)$ of elements of $L(\mu)$ with a sum $a \varepsilon L$. Define $c_{i} \equiv \sum\left(a_{j}\right.$; $j=1,2, \cdots, i)$. Clearly $a=\sum c_{i}$, and ( $c_{i} ; i=1,2, \cdots$ ) is increasing. By Theorem 1, $c_{i} \varepsilon L(\mu)$ for each $i=1,2, \cdots$, and hence $\mu\left(c_{i}+b\right)$ $+\mu\left(c_{i} b\right)=\mu\left(c_{i}\right)+\mu(b)$ for each $b \varepsilon L$. On taking the limit and using $B^{+}$ we see that $\mu(a+b)+\mu(a b)=\mu(a)+\mu(b)$. Thus $a \varepsilon L(\mu)$, and $B^{+}$is sufficient. For the necessity, consider an increasing sequence ( $a_{i}$; $i=1,2, \cdots$ ) of elements of $L(\mu)$ with a sum $a \varepsilon L$. For each $b \varepsilon L$ and each $i=1,2, \cdots, a+b \geqq a_{i}+b$ and $a b \geqq a_{i} b$; and hence $\mu(a+b)$ $\geqq \mu\left(a_{i}+b\right), \mu(a b) \geqq \mu\left(a_{i} b\right)$. Define $\alpha \equiv \lim \mu\left(a_{i}+b\right)$ and $\beta \equiv \lim \mu\left(a_{i} b\right)$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Since $a_{i} \varepsilon L(\mu)$ we have $\mu\left(a_{i}+b\right)+\mu\left(a_{i} b\right)=\mu\left(a_{i}\right)+\mu(b)$. On taking the limit and using $B^{+}(\mu)$ and the fact that $a \varepsilon L(\mu)$ we obtain $\alpha+\beta=\mu(a)+\mu(b)$. It follows that $\alpha=\mu(a+b), \beta=\mu(a b)$. Thus $B^{+}$ is necessary when $L$ satisfies $B^{+}(\mu)$. The alternate reading is dual. The proof is complete.

Definition 2. (1) For each $a \varepsilon L$ we define $\mu^{+}(a) \equiv$ g.l.b. $[\mu(c)$; $c \varepsilon L(\mu), c \geqq a], \mu^{-}(a) \equiv$ l.u.b. $[\mu(c) ; c \varepsilon L(\mu), c \leqq a]$.

[^1](2) We say that $\mu(a)$ is outer (inner) regular ${ }^{8}$ in case $\mu(a)=\mu^{+}(a)$ ( $\left.\mu(a)=\mu^{-}(a)\right)$ for every $a \varepsilon L$.

Lemma 1. If $\mu(a)$ is outer regular, then

$$
\mu(a+b)+\mu(a b) \leqq \mu(a)+\mu(b)
$$

for every $a, b \varepsilon L$.
Proof. Consider $a, b \in L$. For each $c, d \in L(\mu)$ for which $c \geqq a, d \geqq b$ we have $c+d \geqq a+b, c d \geqq a b$; and, by Theorem $1, c+d, c d \varepsilon L(\mu)$. Consequently, since $\mu(a)$ is outer regular, $\mu(a+b)+\mu(a b) \leqq \mu(c+d)$ $+\mu(c d)=\mu(c)+\mu(d)$. The lemma follows by applying a simple property of the greatest lower bound.

Theorem 3. If $L$ satisfies $B^{+}(\mu)\left(B^{-}(\mu)\right)$ and $\mu(a)$ is outer (inner) regular, then $L$ satisfies $B^{+}\left(B^{-}\right)$.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 1 and its dual by the method used in proving Theorem 2.

We now assume that $L$ is closed with respect to denumerable sums and products.

Lemma 2. If $L$ satisfies $B^{-}\left(B^{+}\right)$, then for each $a \mathrm{\varepsilon} L$ there is an element $c \varepsilon L(\mu)$ such that $c \geqq a(c \leqq a)$ and $\mu(c)=\mu^{+}(a)\left(\mu(c)=\mu^{-}(a)\right)$.

Proof. This is an easy consequence of Theorem 2.
Remark 3. It is now clear that when $L$ satisfies $B^{-}$and $\mu(a)$ is outer regular the distance function ${ }^{9} \delta(a, b)=2 \mu(a+b)-\mu(a)-\mu(b)$ identifies each $a \varepsilon L$ with an element $c \varepsilon L(\mu)$.

Theorem 4. If $L$ satisfies $B^{+}\left(B^{-}\right)$and $\mu(a)$ is outer (inner) regular, then an element $a \varepsilon L$ belongs to $L(\mu)$ if and only if $\mu^{-}(a)=\mu(a)$ $\left(\mu^{+}(a)=\mu(a)\right)$.

Proof. Consider an element $a \varepsilon L$ for which $\mu^{-}(a)=\mu(a)$. By hypothesis and Lemma 2 there is an element $c \varepsilon L(\mu)$ such that $c \leqq a$ and $\mu(c)=\mu^{-}(a)$. Thus, for each $b \varepsilon L, \mu(a)+\mu(b)=\mu^{-}(a)+\mu(b)=\mu(c)$ $+\mu(b)=\mu(c+b)+\mu(c b) \leqq \mu(a+b)+\mu(a b)$. Consequently, by Lemma $1, a \varepsilon L(\mu)$. The converse is trivial. The alternate reading is dual. The proof is complete.
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