

ON A CONVERGENCE THEOREM FOR THE LAGRANGE INTERPOLATION POLYNOMIALS

G. GRÜNWARD

The unique polynomial of degree $(n-1)$ assuming the values $f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n)$ at the abscissas x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , respectively, is given by the Lagrange interpolation formula

$$(1) \quad L_n(f) = f(x_1)l_1(x) + f(x_2)l_2(x) + \dots + f(x_n)l_n(x).$$

Here

$$(2) \quad l_k(x) = \frac{\omega(x)}{\omega'(x_k)(x - x_k)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

(fundamental polynomials of the Lagrange interpolation), and the polynomial $\omega(x)$ is defined by

$$(3) \quad \omega(x) = c(x - x_1)(x - x_2) \dots (x - x_n),$$

where c denotes an arbitrary constant not equal to zero. It is known and easy to verify that

$$(4) \quad l_1(x) + l_2(x) + \dots + l_n(x) \equiv 1.$$

In the Lagrange interpolation formula let

$$(5) \quad x_k = x_k^{(n)} = \cos(2k - 1)\pi/2n = \cos \theta_k^{(n)}$$

which implies

$$(6) \quad \omega(x) = T_n(x) = \cos(n \arccos x) = \cos n\theta, \quad \cos \theta = x$$

(Tchebyscheff polynomial). In this case we have

$$(7) \quad l_k(x) = l_k[\theta] = (-1)^{k+1} \frac{\cos n\theta \sin \theta_k^{(n)}}{n(\cos \theta - \cos \theta_k^{(n)})},$$

$$k = 1, 2, \dots, n; x = \cos \theta;$$

and

$$(8) \quad L_n(f) = L_n[f; \theta] = \sum_{k=1}^n f(\cos \theta_k^{(n)}) (-1)^{k+1} \frac{\cos n\theta \sin \theta_k^{(n)}}{n(\cos \theta - \cos \theta_k^{(n)})},$$

$$x = \cos \theta.$$

Suppose $f(x)$ to be a continuous function; then it is known that

the sequence $L_n(f)$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, is not convergent¹ for all $f(x)$. We may even find a continuous function $f_1(x)$ such that the sequence $L_n(f_1)$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, is divergent for all points of the interval $-1 \leq x \leq +1$.²

Therefore it is interesting to prove the following theorem:

THEOREM. *Let $f(x)$ be a continuous function in the interval $-1 \leq x \leq +1$; then*

$$(9) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \{L_n[f; \theta - \pi/2n] + L_n[f; \theta + \pi/2n]\} = f(x), \quad x = \cos \theta,$$

and the convergence is uniform in the whole interval $-1 \leq x \leq +1$.

Between the interpolation polynomials (8) and the partial sums $s_{n-1}(f)$ of the Fourier series of the even function $f(x)$ there is a far reaching analogy. We mention here only the following. On one hand, it is easy to verify that

$$(10) \quad L_n(f) = c_0 + c_1 \cos \theta + \dots + c_{n-1} \cos (n-1)\theta, \quad x = \cos \theta,$$

where

$$(11) \quad c_0 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f(\cos \theta_k^{(n)}), \quad c_r = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f(\cos \theta_k^{(n)}) \cos r\theta_k^{(n)},$$

$r = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$

On the other hand,

$$(12) \quad s_{n-1}(f) = a_0 + a_1 \cos \theta + \dots + a_{n-1} \cos (n-1)\theta,$$

where

$$(13) \quad a_0 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi f(\cos \theta) d\theta, \quad a_r = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi f(\cos \theta) \cos r\theta d\theta,$$

$r = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$

Our theorem is analogous with the well known theorem of Rogosinski in the theory of Fourier series.

We first prove the following lemma.

¹ G. Faber, *Über die interpolatorische Darstellung stetiger Funktionen*, Jahresbericht der Deutschen Mathematiker-Vereinigung, vol. 23 (1914), pp. 190–210. S. Bernstein, *Sur la limitation des valeurs* . . . , Bulletin de l'Académie des Sciences de l'URSS, 1931, pp. 1025–1050.

² G. Grünwald, *Über Divergenzerscheinungen der Lagrangeschen Interpolationspolynome stetiger Funktionen*, Annals of Mathematics, (2), vol. 37 (1936), pp. 908–918. See also J. Marcinkiewicz, *Sur la divergence des polynomes d'interpolation*, Acta Litterarum ac Scientiarum Regiae Universitatis Hungaricae Francisco-Iosephinae, vol. 8 (1937), pp. 131–135.

LEMMA.

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n |l_k[\theta - \pi/2n] + l_k[\theta + \pi/2n]| < c_1,$$

where $c_1 > 0$ is an absolute constant.

From (7) we have, for $\theta \neq \theta_k^{(n)} \pm \pi/2n$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}(l_k[\theta - \pi/2n] + l_k[\theta + \pi/2n]) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(-1)^{k+1} \left(\frac{\cos n(\theta - \pi/2n) \sin \theta_k^{(n)}}{n(\cos(\theta - \pi/2n) - \cos \theta_k^{(n)})} \right. \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. + \frac{\cos n(\theta + \pi/2n) \sin \theta_k^{(n)}}{n(\cos(\theta + \pi/2n) - \cos \theta_k^{(n)})} \right) \\ (14) \quad &= \frac{1}{2}(-1)^{k+1} \frac{\sin \theta_k^{(n)} \sin n\theta \sin \theta \sin \pi/2n}{4n \sin \frac{1}{2}(\theta + \theta_k^{(n)} + \pi/2n) \sin \frac{1}{2}(\theta - \theta_k^{(n)} + \pi/2n)} \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \frac{1}{\sin \frac{1}{2}(\theta + \theta_k^{(n)} - \pi/2n) \sin \frac{1}{2}(\theta - \theta_k^{(n)} - \pi/2n)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{2}(l_k[\theta - \pi/2n] + l_k[\theta + \pi/2n]) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2n} \left| \frac{\sin \pi/2n}{\sin \frac{1}{2}(\theta - \theta_k^{(n)} + \pi/2n) \sin \frac{1}{2}(\theta - \theta_k^{(n)} - \pi/2n)} \right| \\ (15) \quad & \leq \frac{1}{2n} \frac{\pi/2n}{(2/\pi) \left| \frac{1}{2}(\theta - \theta_k^{(n)} + \pi/2n) \right| (2/\pi) \left| \frac{1}{2}(\theta - \theta_k^{(n)} - \pi/2n) \right|} \\ & \leq \frac{\pi^3}{4n^2} \frac{1}{(\theta - \theta_k^{(n)} - \pi/2n)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let θ be fixed and

$$(16) \quad 1 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_j < x = \cos \theta < x_{j+1} < \dots < x_n < -1.$$

It is known that³

$$|l_k(x)| < 4/\pi, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n; \quad n = 1, 2, \dots; \quad -1 \leq x \leq +1.$$

Thus

³ P. Erdős and G. Grünwald, *Note on an elementary problem of interpolation*, this Bulletin, vol. 44 (1938), pp. 515-578. This bound is the best possible; Fejér proved earlier $|l_n(x)| < 2^{1/2}$. See L. Fejér, *Lagrangesche Interpolation und die zugehörigen konjugierten Punkte*, *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 106 (1932), pp. 1-55.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n |l_k[\theta - \pi/2n] + l_k[\theta + \pi/2n]| \\
 & \leq \frac{16}{\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n, k \neq j-2, j-1, j, j+1} |l_k[\theta - \pi/2n] + l_k[\theta + \pi/2n]| \\
 (17) \quad & \leq \frac{16}{\pi} + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n, k \neq j-2, j-1, j, j+1} \frac{\pi^3}{4n^2} \frac{1}{(\theta - \theta_k^{(n)} - \pi/2n)^2} \\
 & \leq \frac{16}{\pi} + \frac{\pi^3}{4n^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2n}{\pi}\right)^2 \frac{1}{k^2} = c_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

If $\theta = 0, \pi$, the same inequality evidently holds.

From (17) we obtain for sufficiently large $n, \delta > 0$ fixed,

$$(18) \quad \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n, |\theta - \theta_k^{(n)}| > \delta} \frac{1}{2} |l_k[\theta - \pi/2n] + l_k[\theta + \pi/2n]| = O(1/n).$$

Now we are in the position to prove our theorem. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be a fixed number. The identity (4) gives

$$(19) \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n (l_k[\theta - \pi/2n] + l_k[\theta + \pi/2n]) = 1;$$

hence for a fixed $x = \cos \theta$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2} \{L_n[f; \theta - \pi/2n] + L_n[f; \theta + \pi/2n]\} - f(\cos \theta) \\
 (20) \quad & = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2} (f(\cos \theta_k^{(n)}) - f(\cos \theta)) (l_k[\theta - \pi/2n] + l_k[\theta + \pi/2n]).
 \end{aligned}$$

The function $f(\cos \theta)$ is continuous; thus we can find a positive number δ such that

$$(21) \quad |f(\cos \theta) - f(\cos \theta_k^{(n)})| < \epsilon/2c_1$$

whenever $|\theta - \theta_k^{(n)}| < \delta$. From (20) and (21) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta & = \left| \frac{1}{2} \{L_n[f; \theta - \pi/2n] + L_n[f; \theta + \pi/2n]\} - f(\cos \theta) \right| \\
 & = \left| \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n, |\theta - \theta_k^{(n)}| < \delta} \frac{1}{2} (f(\cos \theta_k^{(n)}) - f(\cos \theta)) (l_k[\theta - \pi/2n] + l_k[\theta + \pi/2n]) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n, |\theta - \theta_k^{(n)}| > \delta} \frac{1}{2} (f(\cos \theta_k^{(n)}) - f(\cos \theta)) (l_k[\theta - \pi/2n] + l_k[\theta + \pi/2n]) \right| \\
 (22) \quad & \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2c_1} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n, |\theta - \theta_k^{(n)}| < \delta} \frac{1}{2} |l_k[\theta - \pi/2n] + l_k[\theta + \pi/2n]| \\
 & \quad + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n, |\theta - \theta_k^{(n)}| > \delta} \frac{1}{2} |f(\cos \theta_k^{(n)}) - f(\cos \theta)| |l_k[\theta - \pi/2n] + l_k[\theta + \pi/2n]|
 \end{aligned}$$

and by the lemma and (18) for sufficiently large n

$$\Delta \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2c_1} c_1 + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n, |\theta - \theta_k^{(n)}| > \delta} \frac{1}{2} 2M |l_k[\theta - \pi/2n] + l_k[\theta + \pi/2n]|$$

$$< \epsilon/2 + MO(1/n) < \epsilon,$$

where $M = \max_{-1 \leq x \leq +1} |f(x)|$, and this proves our theorem.

BUDAPEST, HUNGARY

DISCONTINUOUS CONVEX SOLUTIONS OF DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS¹

FRITZ JOHN

This paper contains some conditions for continuity of convex solutions of a difference equation.

A function $f(x)$ defined for $a \leq x \leq b$ is *convex*, if

$$(1) \quad \left(\frac{x+y}{2} \right) \leq \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2}.$$

If $f(x)$ is convex and bounded from above in $a \leq x \leq b$, then $f(x)$ is continuous (see Bernstein [1, p. 422]).² If $f(x)$ is convex in $a \leq x \leq b$ and y a fixed number with $a < y < b$, let the function $\phi_y(x)$ be defined by

$$\phi_y(x) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow x-y} f(y + \alpha),$$

where α assumes *rational* values only. Then $\phi_y(x)$ is uniquely defined, continuous, and convex for $a < x < b$ (F. Bernstein [1, p. 431, Theorem 7]); moreover $\phi_y(x) = f(x)$ for rational $y - x$.

THEOREM 1. *If there exists at most one continuous convex solution of the difference equation*

$$(2) \quad F(x, f(x), f(x+1), \dots, f(x+n)) = g(x), \quad x > 0,$$

where F and g are continuous functions of their arguments, then there exist no discontinuous convex solutions.

PROOF. If $f(x)$ is a convex solution, then, for $x - y$ rational,

$$F(x, \phi_y(x), \phi_y(x+1), \dots, \phi_y(x+n)) = g(x);$$

¹ Presented to the Society, September 12, 1940.

² The numbers in brackets refer to the bibliography.