

# MOMENT PROBLEM FOR A BOUNDED REGION<sup>1</sup>

L. B. HEDGE

1. **Introduction.** In this paper a solution of the moment problem given by Hausdorff<sup>2</sup> for a bounded interval is extended to any bounded region in euclidean  $n$ -space, under certain conditions on polynomial expansions over the region. The resulting solution is valid for the  $n$ -dimensional sphere, and includes the Hausdorff case as well as the known conditions on the "class" of Fourier and Fourier-Stieltjes series.<sup>3</sup>

2. **Definitions and notation.** Let  $n$  be a positive integer, fixed but arbitrary.  $R^n$  will denote the euclidean  $n$ -space,  $(x)$  and  $(y)$  will stand for  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  and  $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ , points of  $R^n$ , and  $E$  a bounded, closed subset of  $R^n$ .  $\nu, \tau, i, j, k$ , and  $s$ , will be used for non-negative integers, and  $(k), (s)$ , and so on, will denote ordered  $n$ -tuples of non-negative integers  $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n), (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$ , and so on,  $(k) = (s)$  will mean  $k_i = s_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .  $(0)$  will mean  $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $\{\mu_{(m)}\}$  will be a sequence of real numbers, and  $\{U_{(k)}(x)\}$  and  $\{V_{(k)}(x)\}$  will be two sequences of polynomials such that

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} U_{(0)}(x) &= V_{(0)}(x) = \text{const.}, \\ \int_E U_{(k)}(x)V_{(s)}(x)dx &= \begin{cases} 0, & (k) \neq (s), \\ 1, & (k) = (s), \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and by  $\int_E f(x, y)d\Phi(E)$  will be meant the Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral over  $E$  of  $f$  considered as a function of a point  $(y)$ .  $B$  will be used for any Borel set with  $B \subseteq E$ .

If  $f$  is integrable over  $E$  we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}(f, x) &\simeq \sum_{(k)} A_{(k)}V_{(k)}(x), & A_{(k)} &= \int_E f(x)U_{(k)}(x)dx, \\ S(x, y) &\simeq \sum_{(k)} U_{(k)}(x)V_{(k)}(y). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $L_\nu$  for every  $\nu$  be a partition of  $R^n$  into two subsets, one closed and bounded. We write  $(k) \in L_\nu$  to indicate that  $(k)$  belongs to the

<sup>1</sup> Presented to the Society, June 20, 1940.

<sup>2</sup> F. Hausdorff, *Momentprobleme für ein endliches Intervall*, Mathematische Zeitschrift, vol. 16 (1923), pp. 220-248.

<sup>3</sup> See, for example, A. Zygmund, *Trigonometrical Series*, Monografie Matematyczne, vol. 5, Warsaw, 1935, pp. 79-86.

bounded subset defined by  $L_\nu$ , and require that for every  $(k)$  there exist a  $\nu$  such that  $(k) \in L_\nu$ , and that  $(k) \in L_\nu$  shall imply  $(k) \in L_{\nu'}$  for all  $\nu' \geq \nu$ . Now let

$$S_\nu(x, y) = \sum_{(k) \in L_\nu} U_{(k)}(x)V_{(k)}(y),$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_\nu(f, x) = \sum_{(k) \in L_\nu} A_{(k)}V_{(k)}(x) = \int_E S_\nu(x, y)f(y)dy.$$

If  $T: \|a_{ij}\|$  is any regular Toeplitz transformation,<sup>4</sup> we write

$$T\mathfrak{S}_\nu(f, x) = \int_E TS_\nu(x, y)f(y)dy = \int_E K_\nu(x, y)f(y)dy.$$

If  $P$  is a polynomial in  $(x)$  we denote by  $\mu_{(x)}(P)$  the expression resulting from the substitution of  $\mu_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n}$  for  $x_1^{m_1}x_2^{m_2} \dots x_n^{m_n}$  in  $P$ .

**3. Moment problem.** A solution of the moment problem for the set  $E$  is given in the following theorem:

**THEOREM.** *Given  $\{U_{(k)}(x)\}$ ,  $\{V_{(k)}(x)\}$ ,  $\{L_\nu\}$ , and  $T$  satisfying the conditions above, and such that  $TS_\nu(x, y) = K_\nu(x, y) \geq 0$  for all  $(x), (y) \in E$ , and all  $\nu$ , and such that for any  $f$  integrable over  $E$   $T\mathfrak{S}_\nu(f, x) \rightarrow f(x)$  for every  $(x) \in E$  for which  $f$  is continuous, and uniformly on  $E$  if  $f$  is continuous on  $E$ , then in order that a sequence  $\{\mu_{(m)}\}$  be expressible in the form*

$$\mu_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n} = \int_E x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2} \dots x_n^{m_n} d\Phi(E),$$

where  $\Phi$  is completely additive, defined over at least all Borel sets of  $R^n$ , and with

- (1)  $\int_E |d\Phi(E)| \leq M$ ,
- (2)  $\Phi(B) \geq 0$ ,
- (3)  $\Phi(B) = \int_B \phi(x)dx$  and with
  - (3a)  $\phi \in L^p_B, p > 1$ ,
  - (3b)  $\phi \in L_E$ ,
  - (3c)  $|\phi| \leq M$ ,
  - (3d)  $\phi \in C_E$ ,

it is necessary and sufficient that

- (1)  $\int_E |\mu_{(y)}\{K_\nu(x, y)\}| dx \leq M$  for all  $\nu$ ,
- (2)  $\mu_{(y)}\{K_\nu(x, y)\} \geq 0$  for all  $(x) \in E$  and all  $\nu$ ,
- (3a)  $\int_E |\mu_{(y)}\{K_\nu(x, y)\}|^\nu dx \leq M$  for all  $\nu$ ,

<sup>4</sup> Zygmund, loc. cit., pp. 40-43.

- (3b)  $\lim_{\nu, \tau \rightarrow \infty} \int_E |\mu_{(y)} \{K_\nu(x, y)\} - \mu_{(y)} \{K_\tau(x, y)\}| dx = 0,$
- (3c)  $|\mu_{(y)} \{K_\nu(x, y)\}| \leq M$  for all  $(x) \in E$  and all  $\nu,$
- (3d)  $\lim_{\nu, \tau \rightarrow \infty} |\mu_{(y)} \{K_\nu(x, y)\} - \mu_{(y)} \{K_\tau(x, y)\}| = 0$  uniformly in  $(x) \in E.$

The proof in each of the six cases closely parallels that of Hausdorff.<sup>2</sup> The proof is given for case (1) to indicate the modifications:

*Necessity.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu_{(y)} \{K_\nu(x, y)\}| &= \left| \int_{E(y)} K_\nu(x, y) d\Phi(E) \right| \\ &\leq \int_{E(y)} K_\nu(x, y) |d\Phi(E)|, \\ \int_E |\mu_{(y)} \{K_\nu(x, y)\}| dx &\leq \int_E \left\{ \int_E K_\nu(x, y) dx \right\} |d\Phi(E)| \\ &\leq C \int_E |d\Phi(E)| \leq M \end{aligned}$$

for

$$\begin{aligned} K_\nu(x, y) &= \sum_{j=0}^\infty a_{\nu j} \sum_{(k) \in L_j} U_{(k)}(x) V_{(k)}(y) \\ \int_E K_\nu(x, y) dx &= \sum_{j=0}^\infty a_{\nu j} \sum_{(k) \in L_j} V_{(k)}(y) \int_E U_{(k)}(x) dx \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^\infty a_{\nu j} \leq \sum_{j=0}^\infty |a_{\nu j}| \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

*Sufficiency.* Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\nu(B) &= \int_B \mu_{(y)} \{K_\nu(x, y)\} dx, \\ \int_E |d\Phi_\nu(E)| &= \int_E |\mu_{(y)} \{K_\nu(x, y)\}| dx \leq M \end{aligned}$$

and, by a well known theorem of Helly, there is a subsequence  $\{\Phi_{\nu'}\}$  and a function  $\Phi$  such that  $\int_E |d\Phi_\nu(E)| \leq M$  and  $\Phi_{\nu'}(B) \rightarrow \Phi(B),$  and also  $\int_E V_{(k)}(y) d\Phi_{\nu'}(E) \rightarrow \int_E V_{(k)}(y) d\Phi(E)$  whence  $\mu_{(y)} \{V_{(k)}(y)\} = \int_E V_{(k)}(y) d\Phi(E),$  and  $\Phi$  is a solution.

**4. Examples and conclusion.** If  $E$  is the unit sphere in  $R^n, \{U_{(k)}(x)\}$  and  $\{V_{(k)}(x)\}$  may be taken as the normalized polynomials of Appell-

Didon,<sup>5</sup>  $(k) \in L_\nu$  to mean  $\sum_{i=1}^n k_i \leq \nu$ , and  $T$  any  $(C, r)$  with  $r \geq n+1$ .<sup>6</sup> In particular, for  $n=1$  this reduces to the Hausdorff solution for the unit interval. If  $E$  is the circumference of the unit circle we may set  $U_0(x) = V_0(x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2}$ , and, for  $k > 0$ ,

$$U_{2k}(x) = V_{2k}(x) = (\pi)^{-1/2} \cos k\theta, \quad U_{2k-1}(x) = V_{2k-1}(x) = (\pi)^{-1/2} \sin k\theta$$

with  $(s) \in L_\nu$  meaning  $s \leq 2\nu$ ,  $T$  any  $(C, r)$  with  $r \geq 1$ .<sup>7</sup> Sequences  $\{U_{(k)}(x)\}$  and  $\{V_{(k)}(x)\}$  can be constructed by the Schmidt process for any bounded region in  $R^n$ . It would be interesting to know whether regular Toeplitz transformations of the type required for the present theorem exist in general.

BROWN UNIVERSITY

---

<sup>5</sup> P. Appell and J. Kampé de Fériet, *Fonctions Hypergéométriques et Hypersphériques; Polynômes d'Hermite*, Paris, 1926.

<sup>6</sup> L. Koschmieder, *Über die C-Summierbarkeit gewisser Reihen von Didon und Appell*, *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 104 (1931), pp. 387-402.

<sup>7</sup> L. Fejer's theorem. See, for instance, Zygmund, loc. cit., p. 45.