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In 1929 G. D. Birkhoff established ${ }^{1}$ the noteworthy result that an entire function $F(z)$ exists such that to an arbitrary entire function $g(z)$ corresponds a sequence $a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots$ depending on $g(z)$ with the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F\left(z+a_{n}\right)=g(z) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z$, uniformly for $z$ on every closed bounded set.
It is the object of the present note (a) to indicate that not merely an arbitrary entire function $g(z)$ can be expressed in the form (1), but also any function analytic in a simply connected region, and (b) to study the non-euclidean analogue of the entire problem; precisely analogous results are obtained. Some related topics under (a) have recently been studied by A. Roth, ${ }^{2}$ who, however, does not mention the results to be proved here.

The immediate occasion of the interest of the present writers ${ }^{3}$ in the problem is through (b), for non-euclidean translations have been widely used in the study of derivatives of univalent and other functions analytic in the unit circle $|z|=1$; limit functions under such translations are of great significance in the study of derivatives and of limit values of a given function as a variable point $z$ approaches the circumference $|z|=1$.

We shall give a proof of the following theorem, proof and theorem differing only in detail from those of Birkhoff:

Theorem 1. There exists an entire function $F(z)$ such that given an arbitrary function $f(z)$ analytic in a simply connected region $R$ of the $z$-plane, we have for suitably chosen $a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots$ the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F\left(z+a_{n}\right)=f(z) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z$ in $R$, uniformly on any closed bounded set in $R$.

[^0]Here and throughout the sequel we are concerned with the finite plane, that is to say, the plane of finite points $z$.

By way of geometric entities, we introduce the circles $C_{1}:|z-4|=2$, $C_{2}:\left|z-4^{2}\right|=2^{2}, \cdots, C_{n}:\left|z-4^{n}\right|=2^{n}, \cdots$, and also the circles $\Gamma_{n}:|z|=4^{n}+2^{n}+1$; it follows that the $C_{n}$ are mutually exterior, and that $\Gamma_{n}$ contains in its interior all the circles $C_{1}, C_{2}, \cdots, C_{n}$ but no point in or on any of the circles $C_{n+1}, C_{n+2}, \cdots$.

Let us enumerate the polynomials in $z$ with rational coefficients: $p_{1}(z), p_{2}(z), \cdots$. It is of course true that any sequence of polynomials can be replaced by a sequence of polynomials with rational coefficients, without altering whatever properties may exist of convergence or uniform convergence to a given function on bounded point sets.

We choose $\pi_{1}(z)$ as a polynomial in $z$ which satisfies the inequality $\left|p_{1}(z-4)-\pi_{1}(z)\right|<1 / 2, z$ on or within $C_{1}$; indeed we may choose $\pi_{1}(z) \equiv p_{1}(z-4)$. We choose $\pi_{2}(z)$ as a polynomial in $z$ which satisfies the two inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\pi_{1}(z)-\pi_{2}(z)\right|<1 / 4, & z \text { on or within } \Gamma_{1} \\
\left|p_{2}\left(z-4^{2}\right)-\pi_{2}(z)\right|<1 / 4, & z \text { on or within } C_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

such a polynomial $\pi_{2}(z)$ exists, by Runge's classical theorem. In general, let $\pi_{n}(z)$ be a polynomial in $z$ which satisfies the inequalities

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|\pi_{n-1}(z)-\pi_{n}(z)\right|<1 / 2^{n}, \quad z \text { on or within } \Gamma_{n-1}, \\
\left|p_{n}\left(z-4^{n}\right)-\pi_{n}(z)\right|<1 / 2^{n}, \quad z \text { on or within } C_{n} .
\end{array}
$$

The sequence $\left\{\pi_{n}(z)\right\}$ converges uniformly in each of the circles $\Gamma_{m}$; hence converges at every point of the plane, uniformly on any bounded set. The limit function $F(z)$ is entire, and has the required properties. Indeed, let $f(z)$ be analytic in a simply connected region $R$; there exist polynomials $p_{n_{k}}(z)$ of the set already defined with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n_{k} \rightarrow \infty} p_{n_{k}}(z)=f(z) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

at every point of $R$, uniformly on any closed bounded set in $R$. For $z$ in $C_{n}:\left|z-4^{n}\right|<2^{n}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(z)= & \pi_{n}(z)+\left[\pi_{n+1}(z)-\pi_{n}(z)\right] \\
& +\left[\pi_{n+2}(z)-\pi_{n+1}(z)\right]+\cdots, \\
\left|F(z)-p_{n}\left(z-4^{n}\right)\right| \leqq & \left|p_{n}\left(z-4^{n}\right)-\pi_{n}(z)\right|+\left|\pi_{n+1}(z)-\pi_{n}(z)\right| \\
& +\left|\pi_{n+2}(z)-\pi_{n+1}(z)\right|+\cdots \\
< & \frac{1}{2^{n}}+\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}+\cdots=\frac{1}{2^{n-1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[F\left(z+4^{n}\right)-p_{n}(z)\right]=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $z$, uniformly on any bounded set. To return to $f(z)$, we now have from (3) and (4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n_{k} \rightarrow \infty}\left[F\left(z+4^{n_{k}}\right)-f(z)\right]=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z$ in $R$, uniformly on any closed bounded set in $R$. Theorem 1 is established.

The special case of Theorem 1 that $f(z)$ is an entire function and $R$ is the (finite) $z$-plane is included here, and is the case considered by Birkhoff. We add the remark that whenever a function $g(z)$ can be represented on a point set $E$ (bounded or unbounded) by a sequence of polynomials, that function can also be represented on $E$ in the manner indicated by (2), with preservation of the property of uniform convergence whenever that occurs on a bounded set belonging to $E$. For instance, $E$ may consist of a sequence of disjoint simply connected regions $R_{1}, R_{2}, \cdots$, with $g(z)$ analytic on $E$; then $g(z)$ can be represented on $E$ either by a sequence of polynomials or, as in (2), with uniform convergence on any closed bounded subset of $E$. On the general subject of representation by polynomials there exist modern researches due to Montel, Walsh, Hartogs and Rosenthal, and Lavrentieff. ${ }^{4}$

A further remark in connection with Theorem 1 is that if the numbers $A_{0}, A_{1}, A_{2}, \cdots$ are arbitrary, there exists a sequence $a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots$ with the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F^{(k)}\left(a_{n}\right)=A_{k}, \quad k=0,1,2, \cdots \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

To establish (6) it is sufficient to remark that when $m$ is given, the number $a_{m}$ exists with the property

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|F\left(z+a_{m}\right)-\left[A_{0}+A_{1} z+\frac{A_{2}}{2!} z^{2}+\cdots+\frac{A_{m}}{m!} z^{m}\right]\right|<\frac{1}{2^{m} \cdot m!} \\
& \text { for }|z| \leqq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

from Cauchy's inequality it then follows that we have $\left|F^{(k)}\left(a_{m}\right)-A_{k}\right|$ $<1 / 2^{m}, k=0,1,2, \cdots, m$; the relation (6) follows.

[^1]We turn now to the non-euclidean analogue of Theorem 1:
Theorem 2. There exists a function $\Phi(z)$ analytic in the region $|z|<1$ such that given an arbitrary function $\phi(z)$ analytic in a simply connected subregion $R$, we have for suitably chosen $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots$ the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi\left(\frac{z+\alpha_{n}}{1+\bar{\alpha}_{n} z}\right)=\phi(z) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z$ in $R$, uniformly on any closed set interior to $R$.
As in Theorem 1 we needed to use only real $a_{n}$, so here we shall actually employ only real $\alpha_{n}$.

For geometric entities we choose here $C_{1}$ as the n.e. circle of n.e. radius 2 whose n.e. center is the point $z=\beta_{1}$ of the axis of reals whose n.e. distance from $z=0$ is 4 , and in general choose $C_{n}$ as the n.e. circle of n.e. radius $2^{n}$ whose n.e. center is the point $z=\beta_{n}$ of the axis of reals whose n.e. distance from $z=0$ is $4^{n}$. Let $\Gamma_{n}$ be the circle whose center is $z=0$ and n.e. radius $4^{n}+2^{n}+1$, so that $\Gamma_{n}$ contains in its interior all the circles $C_{1}, C_{2}, \cdots, C_{n}$, but no point in or on any of the circles $C_{n+1}, C_{n+2}, \cdots$.

As before, we use the polynomials $p_{1}(z), p_{2}(z), \cdots$ with rational coefficients. Choose $\pi_{1}(z)$ as a polynomial in $z$ which satisfies the inequality

$$
\left|p_{1}\left(\frac{z-\beta_{1}}{1-\beta_{1} z}\right)-\pi_{1}(z)\right|<1 / 2, \quad z \text { on or within } C_{1}
$$

choose $\pi_{2}(z)$ as a polynomial in $z$ which satisfies the two inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\pi_{1}(z)-\pi_{2}(z)\right|<1 / 4, & z \text { on or within } \Gamma_{1}, \\
\left|p_{2}\left(\frac{z-\beta_{2}}{1-\beta_{2} z}\right)-\pi_{2}(z)\right|<1 / 4, & z \text { on or within } C_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In general, let $\pi_{n}(z)$ be a polynomial in $z$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|\pi_{n-1}(z)-\pi_{n}(z)\right|<1 / 2^{n}, \quad z \text { on or within } \Gamma_{n-1} ; \\
\left|p_{n}\left(\frac{z-\beta_{n}}{1-\beta_{n} z}\right)-\pi_{n}(z)\right|<1 / 2^{n}, \quad z \text { on or within } C_{n} .
\end{array}
$$

The sequence $\left\{\pi_{n}(z)\right\}$ converges uniformly in each of the circles $\Gamma_{m}$, hence converges at every point of the region $|z|<1$, uniformly on any closed subset. The limit function $\Phi(z)$ is analytic throughout the region $|z|<1$, and will now be shown to have the required properties.

For $z$ in $C_{n}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(z)= & \pi_{n}(z)+\left[\pi_{n+1}(z)-\pi_{n}(z)\right] \\
& +\left[\pi_{n+2}(z)-\pi_{n+1}(z)\right]+\cdots, \\
\left|\Phi(z)-p_{n}\left(\frac{z-\beta_{n}}{1-\beta_{n} z}\right)\right| \leqq & \left|p_{n}\left(\frac{z-\beta_{n}}{1-\beta_{n} z}\right)-\pi_{n}(z)\right| \\
& +\left|\pi_{n+1}(z)-\pi_{n}(z)\right|+\cdots \\
< & \frac{1}{2^{n}}+\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}+\cdots=\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\Phi\left(\frac{z+\beta_{n}}{1+\beta_{n} z}\right)-p_{n}(z)\right]=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $z$ in $|z|<1$, uniformly on any closed set in $|z|<1$.
Let $\phi(z)$ be analytic in the simply connected region $R$ in $|z|<1$. There exist polynomials $p_{n_{k}}(z)$ of the set already defined with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n_{k} \rightarrow \infty} p_{n_{k}}(z)=\phi(z) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

at every point of $R$, uniformly on any closed subset. From (8) and (9) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n_{k} \rightarrow \infty}\left[\Phi\left(\frac{z+\beta_{n_{k}}}{1+\beta_{n_{k}} z}\right)-\phi(z)\right]=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z$ in $R$, uniformly on any closed set in $R$.
Theorem 2 is established. The region $R$ may in particular be the region $|z|<1$. Remarks for Theorem 2 entirely analogous to those for Theorem 1 are also valid.
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