## SOME REMARKS ON EULER'S $\phi$ FUNCTION AND SOME RELATED PROBLEMS

## PAUL ERDÖS

The function  $\phi(n)$  is defined to be the number of integers relatively prime to n, and  $\phi(n) = n \cdot \prod_{p|n} (1-p^{-1})$ .

In a previous paper<sup>1</sup> I proved the following results:

(1) The number of integers  $m \leq n$  for which  $\phi(x) = m$  has a solution is  $o(n \lfloor \log n \rfloor^{\epsilon-1})$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$ .

(2) There exist infinitely many integers  $m \leq n$  such that the equation  $\phi(x) = m$  has more than  $m^{\circ}$  solutions for some c > 0.

In the present note we are going to prove that the number of integers  $m \leq n$  for which  $\phi(x) = m$  has a solution is greater than  $cn(\log n)^{-1}\log\log n$ .

By the same method we could prove that the number of integers  $m \leq n$  for which  $\phi(x) = m$  has a solution is greater than  $n(\log n)^{-1}(\log \log n)^k$  for every k. The proof of the sharper result follows the same lines, but is much more complicated. If we denote by f(n) the number of integers  $m \leq n$  for which  $\phi(x) = m$  has a solution we have the inequalities

$$n(\log n)^{-1}(\log \log n)^k < f(n) < n(\log n)^{\epsilon-1}$$

By more complicated arguments the upper and lower limits could be improved, but to determine the exact order of f(n) seems difficult.

Also Turán and I proved some time ago that the number of integers  $m \leq n$  for which  $\phi(m) \leq n$  is cn + o(n). We shall give this proof, and also discuss some related questions:

LEMMA 1. Let  $a < \epsilon$ , b < n,  $a \neq b$ ,  $\epsilon = (\log \log n)^{-100}$ . Then the number of solutions  $N_n(a, b)$  of

(1) 
$$(p-1)a = (q-1)b, \quad p \leq na^{-1}, \quad q \leq nb^{-1},$$

p, q primes, does not exceed

(2) 
$$\frac{(a, b)}{ab} \frac{n}{(\log n)^2} (\log \log n)^{s_0}$$
.

PROOF. Put (a, b) = d. Then we have  $p \equiv 1 \mod bd^{-1}$ . Also  $(p-1)ab^{-1} + 1 = q$  is a prime. We can assume that both p and q in (1) are greater

Received by the editors February 9, 1945.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> On the normal number of prime factors of p-1, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. vol. 6 (1935) pp. 205-213.

than  $n^{1/2}$ , for the exceptional values of p and q give only  $2n^{1/2}$  solutions of (1). Let  $r < n^{\delta}$ , where  $\delta = (\log \log n)^{-10}$ , be a prime. If p is a solution of (1) it must satisfy the following conditions

$$p \equiv 1 \mod bd^{-1}, \qquad p < na^{-1},$$
  
$$p \neq 0 \mod r, \qquad p \neq (-ba^{-1} + 1) \mod r.$$

If r is not a divisor of a(a-b) the excluded two residues are different. Thus we obtain by Brun's argument<sup>2</sup>

$$N_n(a, b) < 2n^{1/2} + c_1 n d(ab)^{-1} \prod_{r \nmid a(a-b)} (1 - 2r^{-1}),$$

where r runs through the primes less than  $n^{\delta}$ .

Now it is well known that<sup>3</sup>

$$\prod_{r \leq x} (1 - 2r^{-1}) < c_2(\log x)^{-2}, \qquad \prod_{r \mid x} (1 - 2r^{-1}) > c_3(\log \log x)^{-2}.$$

Hence

$$N_n(a, b) < 2n^{1/2} + c_4 n d(ab)^{-1} (\log \log n)^{22} (\log n)^{-2} < n d(ab)^{-1} (\log \log n)^{30} (\log n)^{-2},$$

which completes the proof.

LEMMA 2.  $\sum (p-1)^{-1} < (\log \log n)^{20} d^{-1}$  if this sum is extended over all  $p < n^{\epsilon}$  for which  $p \equiv 1 \mod d$ .

Clearly (summing over the indicated p)

$$\sum p^{-1} \leq d^{-1} \sum' x^{-1},$$

where the dash indicates that the summation is extended over the x for which  $x < nd^{-1}$  and xd+1 is a prime. Let  $y < nd^{-1}$ ; first we estimate the number of these  $x \le y \le n$ . Let  $r < y^{\delta}$  ( $\delta = (\log \log n)^{-10}$ ) be a prime; if (r, d) = 1 then  $x \ne -d^{-1} \mod r$ . Brun's method<sup>4</sup> gives that the number of these  $x \le y$  is less than

$$cy \prod (1 - r^{-1}) < cy(\log y)^{-1}(\log \log y)^{10} \log \log d$$
,

where the product is extended over the r which satisfy  $r < y^{\delta}$ , (r, d) = 1. Thus a simple argument gives

$$\sum' x^{-1} < c \sum_{z < n} (\log \log z)^{10} (\log \log d) (z \log z)^{-1} < (\log \log n)^{20},$$

which proves the lemma.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Landau, Vorlesungen über Zahlentheorie, vol. 1, p. 71.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Hardy-Wright, Theory of numbers.

<sup>4</sup> Landau, ibid.

LEMMA 3. The number A(n) of integers m of the form m = pq, where (3)  $pq \leq n$ ,

$$p, q \text{ primes}, p > q, q < n^{\epsilon}, equals$$

$$n(\log \log n)(\log n)^{-1} + o([n(\log \log n)(\log n)^{-1}]) = \pi_2(n) + o(\pi_2(n)).$$

REMARK. Thus the number of integers satisfying (3) is asymptotically equal to the number  $\pi_2(n)$  of integers which are less than n and have 2 prime factors.<sup>5</sup>

The number of integers satisfying (3) is clearly not less than

$$\sum (\pi (nq^{-1}) - n^{\epsilon}) = \sum nq^{-1} (\log (nq^{-1}))^{-1} - n^{2\epsilon} + \sum o(nq^{-1} [\log (nq^{-1})]^{-1}) = n(\log \log n) (\log n)^{-1} + o(n(\log \log n) (\log n)^{-1})$$

(here  $\pi(n)$  denotes the number of primes, and the sums are taken over  $q < n^{\epsilon}$ ), since  $\sum q^{-1} = \log_2 n + \log \epsilon + o(1)$  and  $\log (nq^{-1})$  is asymptotic to log *n* for  $q < n^{\epsilon}$ . (The sum  $\sum q^{-1}$  is for  $q < n^{\epsilon}$ .)

THEOREM. The number f(n) of different integers m of the form  $m = \phi(pr)$  where p, r are primes and  $pr \leq n$  equals

 $n(\log \log n)(\log n)^{-1} + o(n(\log \log n)(\log n)^{-1}) = \pi_2(n) + o(\pi_2(n)).$ 

Denote by B(n) the number of solutions of (p-1)(r-1) = (q-1)(s-1), where p, q, r, s are primes, with pq, rs < n and  $s, r < n^{\epsilon}$ . Clearly

$$f(n) \geq A(n) - B(n).$$

We have by Lemma 1 (the following sum being for  $r, s < n^{\epsilon}$ )

$$B(n) = \sum N_n(r-1, s-1)$$
  
<  $n(\log \log n)^{30}(\log n)^{-1} \sum (r-1, s-1)(r-1)^{-1}(s-1)^{-1}$ 

Put (r-1, s-1) = d. Then

$$B_n < n(\log n)^{-2} (\log \log n)^{30} \sum \sum d(q-1)^{-1} (s-1)^{-1},$$

where the first sum is for  $d < n^{\epsilon}$  and the second for  $r \equiv s \equiv 1 \mod d$ , with  $r, s < n^{\epsilon}$ . By Lemma 2 we have, summing over the same r and s,

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (r-1)^{-1} (s-1)^{-1} < (\log \log n)^{40} d^{-2}.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>k</sup> Denote by  $\pi_k(n)$  the number of integers having k different prime factors. Landau proves (*Verteilung der Primzahlen*, vol. 1, pp. 208-213) that  $\pi_k(n) \sim (n/\log n)(\log \log n)^{k-1}/(k-1)!$ . The same asymptotic formula holds if  $\pi_k(n)$  denotes the number of integers having k prime factors, multiple factors counted multiply. (Landau, ibid.)

Hence

$$B(n) = c \epsilon n (\log n)^{-1} (\log \log n)^{70} = o(n (\log n)^{-1}).$$

Hence by Lemma 3

$$f(n) \ge n(\log \log n)(\log n)^{-1} - o(n(\log n)^{-1}),$$

which completes the proof. (Clearly  $f(n) < \pi_2(n) < (1+\epsilon)n(\log \log n) \cdot (\log n)^{-1}$ .) Our result shows that the number of different integers not greater than n of the form (p-1)(q-1) is asymptotic to the total number of integers not greater than n of the form (p-1)(q-1). Nevertheless there exist integers m such that (p-1)(q-1)=m has arbitrarily many solutions.<sup>6</sup>

By similar but more complicated methods we can prove:

The number of integers not greater than n of the form

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k} (p_i - 1) = \phi(p_1, \cdots, p_k) \qquad (p_i \text{ primes})$$

is greater than

$$cn(\log \log n)^{k-1}[(k-1)! \log n]^{-1} = c\pi_k(n) + o(\pi_k(n))$$

 $(\pi_k(n) \text{ denotes the number of integers not greater than } n \text{ having exactly } k \text{ prime factors})$ . The constant c depends on k and tends to 0 as  $k \to \infty$ . For  $k \ge 3$ , c < 1. We omit the proof of these results.

THEOREM. The number M(n) of integers for which  $\phi(m) \leq n$  equals cn + o(n).

Denote by f(x) the density of integers for which  $m/\phi(m) \ge x$ . It is well known that this density exists.<sup>7</sup> We are going to prove that

$$c=1+\int_1^\infty f(x)dx.$$

First we have to show that  $\int_{1}^{\infty} f(x) dx$  exists. Since f(x) is nondecreasing it will suffice to show that for large r,  $f(r) < cr^{-2}$ . We have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{n} (m/\phi(m))^2 = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \prod_{p \mid m} (1 + p^{-1} + \cdots)^2 < \sum_{m=1}^{n} \prod_{p \mid m} (1 + 5p^{-1})$$
$$= \sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{d \mid m} \mu(d) d^{-1} 5^{\nu(d)} < n \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} 5d^{-2} < cn.$$

<sup>6</sup> P. Erdös, On the totient of the product of two primes, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. vol. 7 (1936) pp. 227-229.

1945]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Schönberg, Math. Zeit. vol. 28 (1928) pp. 171-199.

Hence

$$\lim n^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^{n} (m/\phi(m))^{2} < c$$

and this shows  $f(r) < cr^{-2}$ .

Let k be a large number. Consider the integers m satisfying  $nuk^{-1} \leq m < n(u+1)k^{-1}$ ,  $u \geq k$ . We clearly have

$$\limsup M(n)/n < 1 + k^{-1} \sum_{u=k}^{\infty} f(uk^{-1}),$$
$$\liminf M(n)/n > 1 + k^{-1} \sum_{u=k}^{\infty} f((u+1)k^{-1}).$$

(If  $uk^{-1} \le m \le (u+1)k^{-1}$  and  $m/\phi(m) \ge (u+1)k^{-1}$ ,  $\phi(m) < n$  and if  $m/\phi(m) < uk^{-1}$ ,  $\phi(m) > n$ .) If  $k \to \infty$  both sums tend to  $\int_1^{\infty} f(x) dx$ , thus

$$\lim M(n)/n = 1 + \int_1^\infty f(x) dx$$

which completes the proof.

Let  $\sigma(m)$  be the sum of the divisors of m. By the same methods as used before we can prove the following results:

(1) The number of integers *m* for which  $\sigma(m) \leq n$  is cn + o(n).

(2) Denote by g(m) the number of integers  $m \le n$  for which  $\sigma(x) = m$  is solvable. Then  $n(\log n)^{-1}(\log \log n)^k < g(n) < n(\log n)^{-1}(\log n)^{\epsilon}$ .

It seems likely that there exist integers m such that the equation  $\phi(x) = m$  has more than  $m^{1-\epsilon}$  solutions, and also that there exist, for every k, consecutive integers n, n+1,  $\cdots$ , n+k-1 such that  $\phi(n) = \phi(n+1) \cdots \phi(n+k-1)$ .<sup>8</sup> We can make analogous conjectures for  $\sigma(n)$ . It also would seem likely that there are infinitely many pairs of integers x and y with  $\sigma(x) = \sigma(y) = x+y$ , that is, there are infinitely many friendly numbers, but these conjectures seem intractable at present.

One final remark: Let  $\psi(n) \ge 0$  be a multiplicative function which has a distribution function.<sup>9</sup> f(x) denotes the density of integers with  $\psi(n) \ge x$ . Denote by M(n) the number of integers for which  $n\psi(n) \le n$ . Then  $\lim M(n)/n$  always exists since it can be shown that  $\int_0^{\infty} f(x) dx$  always exists. The proof is the same as in the case of  $\phi(n)$ .

544

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

<sup>\*</sup> It is known that there exists a number n < 10000 such that  $\phi(n) = \phi(n+1) = \phi(n+2)$ , but I do not remember n and cannot trace the reference.

<sup>•</sup> The necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of the distribution function is given by Erdös-Wintner, Amer. J. Math. vol. 61 (1939) pp. 713-721.