## SOME REMARKS ON EULER'S $\phi$ FUNCTION AND SOME RELATED PROBLEMS

## PAUL ERDÖS

The function $\phi(n)$ is defined to be the number of integers relatively prime to $n$, and $\phi(n)=n \cdot \prod_{p \mid n}\left(1-p^{-1}\right)$.

In a previous paper ${ }^{1}$ I proved the following results:
(1) The number of integers $m \leqq n$ for which $\phi(x)=m$ has a solution is $o\left(n[\log n]^{-1}\right)$ for every $\epsilon>0$.
(2) There exist infinitely many integers $m \leqq n$ such that the equation $\phi(x)=m$ has more than $m^{e}$ solutions for some $c>0$.

In the present note we are going to prove that the number of integers $m \leqq n$ for which $\phi(x)=m$ has a solution is greater than $c n(\log n)^{-1} \log \log n$.

By the same method we could prove that the number of integers $m \leqq n$ for which $\phi(x)=m$ has a solution is greater than $n(\log n)^{-1}(\log \log n)^{k}$ for every $k$. The proof of the sharper result follows the same lines, but is much more complicated. If we denote by $f(n)$ the number of integers $m \leqq n$ for which $\phi(x)=m$ has a solution we have the inequalities

$$
n(\log n)^{-1}(\log \log n)^{k}<f(n)<n(\log n)^{\epsilon^{-1}}
$$

By more complicated arguments the upper and lower limits could be improved, but to determine the exact order of $f(n)$ seems difficult.

Also Turán and I proved some time ago that the number of integers $m \leqq n$ for which $\phi(m) \leqq n$ is $c n+o(n)$. We shall give this proof, and also discuss some related questions:

Lemma 1. Let $a<\epsilon, b<n, a \neq b, \epsilon=(\log \log n)^{-100}$. Then the number of solutions $N_{n}(a, b)$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p-1) a=(q-1) b, \quad p \leqq n a^{-1}, \quad q \leqq n b^{-1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$p, q$ primes, does not exceed

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(a, b)}{a b} \frac{n}{(\log n)^{2}}(\log \log n)^{80} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Put $(a, b)=d$. Then we have $p \equiv 1 \bmod b d^{-1}$. Also $(p-1) a b^{-1}$ $+1=q$ is a prime. We can assume that both $p$ and $q$ in (1) are greater

[^0]than $n^{1 / 2}$, for the exceptional values of $p$ and $q$ give only $2 n^{1 / 2}$ solutions of (1). Let $r<n^{\delta}$, where $\delta=(\log \log n)^{-10}$, be a prime. If $p$ is a solution of (1) it must satisfy the following conditions
\[

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
p \equiv 1 \bmod b d^{-1}, & p<n a^{-1} \\
p \neq 0 \bmod r, & p \not \equiv\left(-b a^{-1}+1\right) \bmod r
\end{array}
$$
\]

If $r$ is not a divisor of $a(a-b)$ the excluded two residues are different. Thus we obtain by Brun's argument ${ }^{2}$

$$
N_{n}(a, b)<2 n^{1 / 2}+c_{1} n d(a b)^{-1} \prod_{r \nmid a(a-b)}\left(1-2 r^{-1}\right)
$$

where $r$ runs through the primes less than $n^{\delta}$.
Now it is well known that ${ }^{3}$

$$
\prod_{r \leqq x}\left(1-2 r^{-1}\right)<c_{2}(\log x)^{-2}, \quad \prod_{r \mid x}\left(1-2 r^{-1}\right)>c_{3}(\log \log x)^{-2}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{n}(a, b) & <2 n^{1 / 2}+c_{4} n d(a b)^{-1}(\log \log n)^{22}(\log n)^{-2} \\
& <n d(a b)^{-1}(\log \log n)^{30}(\log n)^{-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.
Lemma 2. $\sum(p-1)^{-1}<(\log \log n)^{20} d^{-1}$ if this sum is extended over all $p<n^{\epsilon}$ for which $p \equiv 1 \bmod d$.

Clearly (summing over the indicated $p$ )

$$
\sum p^{-1} \leqq d^{-1} \sum^{\prime} x^{-1}
$$

where the dash indicates that the summation is extended over the $x$ for which $x<n d^{-1}$ and $x d+1$ is a prime. Let $y<n d^{-1}$; first we estimate the number of these $x \leqq y \leqq n$. Let $r<y^{\delta}\left(\delta=(\log \log n)^{-10}\right)$ be a prime; if $(r, d)=1$ then $x \neq-d^{-1} \bmod r$. Brun's method ${ }^{4}$ gives that the number of these $x \leqq y$ is less than

$$
c y \Pi\left(1-r^{-1}\right)<c y(\log y)^{-1}(\log \log y)^{10} \log \log d
$$

where the product is extended over the $r$ which satisfy $r<y^{8},(r, d)=1$. Thus a simple argument gives

$$
\sum^{\prime} x^{-1}<c \sum_{z<n}(\log \log z)^{10}(\log \log d)(z \log z)^{-1}<(\log \log n)^{20}
$$

which proves the lemma.

[^1]Lemma 3. The number $A(n)$ of integers $m$ of the form $m=p q$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
p q \leqq n \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$p, q$ primes, $p>q, q<n^{6}$, equals
$n(\log \log n)(\log n)^{-1}+o\left(\left[n(\log \log n)(\log n)^{-1}\right]\right)=\pi_{2}(n)+o\left(\pi_{2}(n)\right)$.
Remark. Thus the number of integers satisfying (3) is asymptotically equal to the number $\pi_{2}(n)$ of integers which are less than $n$ and have 2 prime factors. ${ }^{5}$

The number of integers satisfying (3) is clearly not less than

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum\left(\pi\left(n q^{-1}\right)-n^{\epsilon}\right)= & \sum n q^{-1}\left(\log \left(n q^{-1}\right)\right)^{-1}-n^{2 \epsilon} \\
& +\sum o\left(n q^{-1}\left[\log \left(n q^{-1}\right)\right]^{-1}\right) \\
= & n(\log \log n)(\log n)^{-1}+o\left(n(\log \log n)(\log n)^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(here $\pi(n)$ denotes the number of primes, and the sums are taken over $q<n^{\epsilon}$ ), since $\sum q^{-1}=\log _{2} n+\log \epsilon+o(1)$ and $\log \left(n q^{-1}\right)$ is asymptotic to $\log n$ for $q<n^{e}$. (The sum $\sum q^{-1}$ is for $q<n^{e}$.)

Theorem. The number $f(n)$ of different integers $m$ of the form $m=\phi(p r)$ where $p, r$ are primes and $p r \leqq n$ equals

$$
n(\log \log n)(\log n)^{-1}+o\left(n(\log \log n)(\log n)^{-1}\right)=\pi_{2}(n)+o\left(\pi_{2}(n)\right)
$$

Denote by $B(n)$ the number of solutions of $(p-1)(r-1)$ $=(q-1)(s-1)$, where $p, q, r, s$ are primes, with $p q, r s<n$ and $s, r<n^{\epsilon}$. Clearly

$$
f(n) \geqq A(n)-B(n)
$$

We have by Lemma 1 (the following sum being for $r, s<n^{\iota}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(n) & =\sum N_{n}(r-1, s-1) \\
& <n(\log \log n)^{30}(\log n)^{-1} \sum(r-1, s-1)(r-1)^{-1}(s-1)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Put $(r-1, s-1)=d$. Then

$$
B_{n}<n(\log n)^{-2}(\log \log n)^{30} \sum \sum d(q-1)^{-1}(s-1)^{-1}
$$

where the first sum is for $d<n^{\epsilon}$ and the second for $r \equiv s \equiv 1 \bmod d$, with $r, s<n^{*}$. By Lemma 2 we have, summing over the same $r$ and $s$,

$$
\sum(r-1)^{-1}(s-1)^{-1}<(\log \log n)^{40} d^{-2}
$$

[^2]Hence

$$
B(n)=c \in n(\log n)^{-1}(\log \log n)^{70}=o\left(n(\log n)^{-1}\right)
$$

Hence by Lemma 3

$$
f(n) \geqq n(\log \log n)(\log n)^{-1}-o\left(n(\log n)^{-1}\right)
$$

which completes the proof. (Clearly $f(n)<\pi_{2}(n)<(1+\epsilon) n(\log \log n)$ $\cdot(\log n)^{-1}$.) Our result shows that the number of different integers not greater than $n$ of the form $(p-1)(q-1)$ is asymptotic to the total number of integers not greater than $n$ of the form $(p-1)(q-1)$. Nevertheless there exist integers $m$ such that $(p-1)(q-1)=m$ has arbitrarily many solutions. ${ }^{6}$

By similar but more complicated methods we can prove:
The number of integers not greater than $n$ of the form

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(p_{i}-1\right)=\phi\left(p_{1}, \cdots, p_{k}\right) \quad \quad\left(p_{i} \text { primes }\right)
$$

is greater than

$$
c n(\log \log n)^{k-1}[(k-1)!\log n]^{-1}=c \pi_{k}(n)+o\left(\pi_{k}(n)\right)
$$

( $\pi_{k}(n)$ denotes the number of integers not greater than $n$ having exactly $k$ prime factors). The constant $c$ depends on $k$ and tends to 0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$. For $k \geqq 3, c<1$. We omit the proof of these results.

Theorem. The number $M(n)$ of integers for which $\phi(m) \leqq n$ equals $c n+o(n)$.

Denote by $f(x)$ the density of integers for which $m / \phi(m) \geqq x$. It is well known that this density exists. ${ }^{7}$ We are going to prove that

$$
c=1+\int_{1}^{\infty} f(x) d x
$$

First we have to show that $\int_{1}^{\infty} f(x) d x$ exists. Since $f(x)$ is nondecreasing it will suffice to show that for large $r, f(r)<c r^{-2}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=1}^{n}(m / \phi(m))^{2} & =\sum_{m=1}^{n} \prod_{p \mid m}\left(1+p^{-1}+\cdots\right)^{2}<\sum_{m=1}^{n} \prod_{p \mid m}\left(1+5 p^{-1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{d \mid m} \mu(d) d^{-1} 5^{\nu(d)}<n \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} 5 d^{-2}<c n
\end{aligned}
$$

[^3]Hence

$$
\lim n^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^{n}(m / \phi(m))^{2}<c
$$

and this shows $f(r)<c r^{-2}$.
Let $k$ be a large number. Consider the integers $m$ satisfying $n u k^{-1}$ $\leqq m<n(u+1) k^{-1}, u \geqq k$. We clearly have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim \sup M(n) / n<1+k^{-1} \sum_{u=k}^{\infty} f\left(u k^{-1}\right) \\
& \lim \inf M(n) / n>1+k^{-1} \sum_{u=k}^{\infty} f\left((u+1) k^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(If $u k^{-1} \leqq m \leqq(u+1) k^{-1}$ and $m / \phi(m) \geqq(u+1) k^{-1}, \phi(m)<n$ and if $m / \phi(m)<u k^{-1}, \phi(m)>n$.) If $k \rightarrow \infty$ both sums tend to $\int_{1}^{\infty} f(x) d x$, thus

$$
\lim M(n) / n=1+\int_{1}^{\infty} f(x) d x
$$

which completes the proof.
Let $\sigma(m)$ be the sum of the divisors of $m$. By the same methods as used before we can prove the following results:
(1) The number of integers $m$ for which $\sigma(m) \leqq n$ is $c n+o(n)$.
(2) Denote by $g(m)$ the number of integers $m \leqq n$ for which $\sigma(x)=m$ is solvable. Then $n(\log n)^{-1}(\log \log n)^{k}<g(n)<n(\log n)^{-1}(\log n)^{e}$.

It seems likely that there exist integers $m$ such that the equation $\phi(x)=m$ has more than $m^{1-e}$ solutions, and also that there exist, for every $k$, consecutive integers $n, n+1, \cdots, n+k-1$ such that $\phi(n)=\phi(n+1) \cdots \phi(n+k-1) .^{8}$ We can make analogous conjectures for $\sigma(n)$. It also would seem likely that there are infinitely many pairs of integers $x$ and $y$ with $\sigma(x)=\sigma(y)=x+y$, that is, there are infinitely many friendly numbers, but these conjectures seem intractable at present.

One final remark: Let $\psi(n) \geqq 0$ be a multiplicative function which has a distribution function. ${ }^{9} f(x)$ denotes the density of integers with $\psi(n) \geqq x$. Denote by $M(n)$ the number of integers for which $n \psi(n) \leqq n$. Then $\lim M(n) / n$ always exists since it can be shown that $\int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) d x$ always exists. The proof is the same as in the case of $\phi(n)$.
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