## NOTE ON ALMOST-ALGEBRAIC NUMBERS

## HARVEY COHN1

1. Introduction. According to a theorem of J. Liouville,<sup>2</sup> if  $\theta$  is an algebraic number of degree n, then any approximation by rationals, p/q, is of such a nature that

$$\left| \theta - p/q \right| \ge kq^{-n}$$

for a positive constant k. Liouville constructed his transcendental numbers as the limit of special sequences of rationals, p/q, which violated condition (1) regardless of the values of k and n, as  $q \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus Liouville constructed almost-rational numbers.

E. Maillet<sup>3</sup> likewise found a lower bound for  $\theta - \alpha$  where now  $\theta$  is approximated by the quadratic numbers,  $\alpha$ . He then violated his lower bound by substituting for  $\theta$  the value of an almost periodic simple continued fraction and for  $\alpha$  a quadratic number, namely a periodic simple continued fraction that  $\theta$  almost represented. Thus he constructed an almost-quadratic transcendental.

It is an elementary matter to find a lower bound for  $\theta-\alpha$ , where we now approximate  $\theta$  by an algebraic number not necessarily rational or quadratic. We could then try several departures. We could, for example, try to construct almost-cubic or almost-biquadratic transcendentals. On the other hand, we could use a diagonal method, that is, we could consider the limit of a rapidly converging sequence of algebraic numbers whose degree becomes indefinite. For example, a root of a power series with rational coefficients is the limit of a sequence of (algebraic) roots of the partial sums, and the speed of convergence is regulated by the remainder. If the remainder is too small we find that the root of our power series can be approximated too closely by algebraic numbers of varying degrees, namely the roots of

Received by the editors June 13, 1946.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Written at the Navy Port Director Unit, Yokosuka, Japan, March 1946. The author wishes to acknowledge the advice of Professors C. L. Siegel and B. P. Gill.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> J. Liouville, Sur des classes très étendues des quantités dont la valeur n'est ni algébrique ni même réductible à des irrationelles algébriques, J. Math. Pures Appl. vol. 16 (1851).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> E. Maillet, Théorie des nombres transcendents et des propriétés arithmétiques des fonctions, Paris, Gauthier-Villars, 1906, chap. 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> For instance, E. Maillet, op. cit., pp. 22, 100, considers certain "rapidly converging" power series with rational coefficients and algebraic values of the argument. The value of such a series is shown to be almost algebraic when regarded as the limit of the algebraic partial sums (which lie in the field generated by the argument and therefore are of no higher degree than the argument).

the partial sums. Thus the root of our power series must be transcendental. By this method we can obtain some transcendental numbers which seem to have previously escaped notice.

2. Approximation of a fixed algebraic number by an arbitrary algebraic number. We let  $\theta$  be a fixed algebraic number of degree n whose denominator is  $t_0$ , that is,  $\theta$  satisfies the equation with integral coefficients

$$t_0\theta^n+t_1\theta^{n-1}+\cdots+t_n=0.$$

We consider  $\alpha$  the root of an arbitrary polynomial with integral coefficients

$$\phi(x) = f_0 x^m + f_1 x^{m-1} + \cdots + f_m.$$

Denoting  $\max |f_i|$  by  $A[\phi(x)]$ , we shall measure the closeness of  $\alpha$  to  $\theta$  by the smallness of  $\phi(\theta)$  in terms of  $A[\phi(x)]$ .

Now setting  $\phi(x) = f_0 x^m + f_1 t_0 x^{m-1} + \cdots + f_0 t_0^m$ , we find  $\phi(\theta)$  can be written as  $\phi(t_0\theta)/t_0^m$ , where  $t_0\theta$  is an algebraic integer. Thus if we multiply  $\phi(\theta)$  by its conjugates, we obtain

(2) 
$$\prod \phi(\theta) = \left\{ \prod \overline{\phi}(t_0\theta) \right\} / t_0^{nm} = M \left[ \phi(\theta) \right] / t_0^{nm},$$

where  $M[\phi(\theta)]$  is an integer. If  $\phi(\theta) \neq 0$ , then the same inequality holds for the conjugates of  $\theta$  and thus

$$\big| M[\phi(\theta)] \big| \ge 1.$$

For any conjugate of  $\theta$ , we find easily

$$|\phi(\theta')| \leq A [\phi(x)] T_1^m,$$

where  $T_1$  is a constant determined by  $\theta$ .

Hence if  $\phi(\theta) \neq 0$ , we obtain from (2), (3), and (4)

$$| \phi(\theta) | \ge 1/\{ (A[\phi(x)])^{n-1}T_1^{m(n-1)}t_0^{mn} \}.$$

In short, if  $\theta$  is algebraic of degree n, then for an arbitrary polynomial  $\phi(x)$  of degree m with integral coefficients no greater than  $A\left[\phi(x)\right]$  in absolute value,

(5) 
$$|\phi(\theta)| \begin{cases} = 0 \text{ or } \\ \ge 1/\{(A[\phi(x)])^{n-1}T_2^m\}, \end{cases}$$

where  $T_2$  depends only on  $\theta$ . We should note in passing that if  $\phi(x) = -p + qx$ , then (5) is Liouville's theorem.

3. Construction of transcendentals. We shall construct a power series  $\sigma(x) = a_0 x^{e_0} + a_1 x^{e_1} + a_2 x^{e_2} + \cdots$   $(e_i \ge 0)$ , where  $a_i$  are rational fractions  $r_i/s_i$  ( $\ne 0$ ), and  $e_i$  are increasing integral exponents. For sim-

plicity set  $a_0 = 1$ . Let  $\sigma_h(x)$  be the hth partial sum (up to and including the exponent  $e_h$ ). Then of the coefficients  $a_i$  in  $\sigma_h(x)$  let  $g_h$  be the maximum  $|a_i|$   $(g_h \ge 1)$  and let  $d_h$  be the least common multiple of the denominators  $s_i$  in  $\sigma_h(x)$ . Then if as  $h \to \infty$ ,  $e_{h+1}$  is of a higher order of infinity<sup>5</sup> than  $e_h$ , log  $g_h$ , and log  $d_h$ , we find that  $\sigma(x)$  is transcendental for all algebraic x within its circle of convergence, except x = 0.

To see this, let x take the algebraic value  $\theta$  of degree n within a circle of convergence of radius  $\rho$ . We suppose  $E(\sigma)$  to be an arbitrary polynomial of degree k with integral coefficients of which the greatest has the absolute value  $A[E(\sigma)]$ . Then we wish to show  $E(\sigma(\theta)) \neq 0$ .

First we write  $E(\sigma(x))$  as partial sum and remainder

$$E(\sigma(x)) = E(\sigma_h(x)) + R_h(x).$$

Now  $\phi_h^k(x) = d_h E(\sigma_h(x))$  is a polynomial of degree  $ke_h$  with integral coefficients. Its maximum coefficient  $A[\phi_h(x)]$  easily satisfies the condition

$$A\left[\phi_{h}(x)\right] \leq d_{h}^{k} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{k} A\left[E(\sigma)\right] (h+1)^{\kappa} g_{h}^{\kappa}$$
  
$$\leq d_{h}^{k} (k+1) A\left[E(\sigma)\right] (h+1)^{k} g_{h}^{k}$$

since any coefficient in the polynomial  $\phi_h(x)$  is less than the value this polynomial assumes when we replace each  $a_i$  by  $g_h$  and set x=1.

Furthermore, since  $E(\sigma)$  has only a limited number of roots,  $E(\sigma_h(\theta))$  can not vanish for all h. For, when h changes to h+1,  $\sigma_h(\theta)$  changes by  $a_{h+1}\theta^{e_h+1}$ , which approaches zero as h approaches infinity, although this term never vanishes,  $\theta=0$  having been excluded. Thus by (5), for an infinity of values of h,

$$\left| d_h^k E(\sigma_h(\theta)) \right| \ge \left\{ d_h^k(k+1) A \left[ E(\sigma) \right] (h+1)^k g_h^k \right\}^{-n+1} T_2^{-k e_h}.$$

But since h can not exceed  $2^{eh}$  (or even  $e_h$ ), we can simplify the last inequality and obtain

(6) 
$$\left| E(\sigma_h(\theta)) \right| \ge d_h^{-nk} g_h^{-(n-1)k} T_3^{-e_h},$$

where  $T_3$  depends only on  $\theta$  and on  $E(\sigma)$  as a polynomial in  $\sigma$ .

On the other hand  $R_h(\theta) = E(\sigma(\theta)) - E(\sigma_h(\theta))$  and, by elementary considerations,

(7) 
$$|R_h(\theta)| \leq T_4 |\sigma(\theta) - \sigma_h(\theta)| \leq T_5 |(\theta/\rho)^{e_{h+1}}/(1-\theta/\rho)|$$

where  $T_5$  is determined by  $\sigma(x)$  and  $E(\sigma)$ , independently of h.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> If we have two quantities u and v each depending on h we say u is of a higher order of infinity than v, if  $u/v \to \infty$  with h.

By our condition on the order of magnitude of  $e_{h+1}$ , it follows from (6) and (7) that for some h,  $|E(\sigma(\theta))| \ge |E(\sigma_h(\theta))| - |R_h(\theta)| > 0$ ; and  $\sigma(\theta)$  can not satisfy the algebraic equation  $E(\sigma) = 0$ . Q.E.D.

A simple method of satisfying the conditions on  $\sigma(x)$  is to take  $\sigma_1(x) = 1 + x^{11} + x^{21} + \cdots + x^{n1} + \cdots$ , |x| < 1. Then  $\sigma_1(x)$  and x are not both algebraic unless x = 0, and indeed, the values of  $x \neq 0$  for which  $\sigma_1(x)$  is algebraic are some instances of the type of transcendentals under discussion.

NEW YORK CITY