ON AREOLAR MONOGENIC FUNCTIONS

MAXWELL O. READE

Let f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y), z = x + iy, be a complex-valued function defined in the unit circle D: |z| < 1. f(z) is said to be areolar monogenic in D if and only if u(x, y) and v(x, y) (and hence f(z)) have continuous partial derivatives of the second order such that

(1)
$$u_{xy} = -2^{-1}(v_{xx} - v_{yy}), \quad v_{xy} = 2^{-1}(u_{xx} - u_{yy})$$

hold in D [3]. It is known that an areolar monogenic function has partial derivatives of all orders [3].

Whereas (1) is a differential characterization of areolar monogenic functions, it is the integral characterization contained in the following theorem that forms the basis for this note.

THEOREM A [3]. If f(z) is continuous in D, then a necessary and sufficient condition that f(z) be areolar monogenic in D is that there exist a function g(z), analytic in D, such that

(2)
$$g(z) = \frac{1}{\pi r^2 i} \int_{G(z;r)} f(\zeta) d\zeta, \qquad \zeta = \xi + i\eta,$$

holds for all circles C(z; r), with center z and radius r, in D.

It should be noted that a symbol once introduced holds its meaning throughout the paper.

If f(z) is continuous in D, then the right-hand member of (2) is a function of z and r, defined for z in the circle D_r : |z| < 1 - r, and for all r such that 0 < r < 1. Now if the definition

(3)
$$G_r(z) \equiv \frac{1}{\pi r^2 i} \int_{C(z;r)} f(\zeta) d\zeta, \qquad 0 < r < 1, |z| < 1 - r,$$

is made, then the following is an extension of Theorem A.

THEOREM 1. If f(z) is continuous in D, then a necessary and sufficient condition that f(z) be areolar monogenic in D is that $G_r(z)$ be analytic in D_r , for all r, 0 < r < 1.

Necessity. This is precisely the necessity part of Theorem A. Sufficiency. Suppose that in addition f(z) has continuous partial

Presented to the Society, December 29, 1946; received by the editors June 17, 1946.

¹ Numbers in brackers refer to the bibliography at the end of the paper.

derivatives of the first order in D. Then from (3) and Green's lemma it follows that

(4)
$$G_r(z) = \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int \int_{D(z;r)} G(\zeta) d\xi d\eta,$$

where

(5)
$$G(z) \equiv U(x, y) + iV(x, y) \equiv (u_x - v_y) + i(u_y + v_x),$$

and where D(z;r) is the closed circular disc with center at z and radius r. Since f(z) has continuous partial derivatives of the first order in D, it follows from (4) and (5) that $G_r(z) \rightarrow G(z)$, as $r \rightarrow 0$, on each closed subset of D. Hence, since $G_r(z)$ is analytic in D_r , for all r, it follows that G(z) is analytic in D. Therefore U(x, y) and V(x, y) are conjugate harmonic functions such that (4) and the Gauss mean-value theorem for harmonic functions yield

$$G_r(z) = \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int \int_{D(z;r)} G(\zeta) d\xi d\eta = G(z)$$

for all z in D_r , 0 < r < 1.

It now follows from Theorem A that f(z) is areolar monogenic in D. Now if f(z) is merely continuous in D, then for sufficiently small ρ , the mean-value function

(6)
$$A(f;z;\rho) \equiv \frac{1}{\pi \rho^2} \int \int_{D(z;\rho)} f(\zeta) d\xi d\eta$$

satisfies the hypotheses of this lemma in the circle D_{ρ} , for $0 < \rho < 1$; moreover, $A(f; z; \rho)$ has continuous partial derivatives of the first order in D_{ρ} [1]. Therefore by the preceding part of this proof, it follows that for all sufficiently small ρ , the function

$$\frac{1}{\pi r^2 i} \int_{C(z;r)} A(f;\zeta;\rho) d\zeta, \qquad 0 < r + \rho < 1,$$

is analytic in D_{r+p} and independent of r. But $A(f; z; \rho) \rightarrow f(z)$ as $\rho \rightarrow 0$, on each closed subset of D [1]. Hence it follows that for 0 < r < 1, the right-hand member of (2) is analytic and independent of r in D_r . Hence, by Theorem A, f(z) is areolar monogenic in D.

COROLLARY 1. If f(z) is continuous in D, then a necessary and sufficient condition that f(z) be areolar monogenic in D is that $A(f; z; \rho)$ be areolar monogenic in D_{ρ} , for all ρ , $0 < \rho < 1$.

COROLLARY 2. If f(z) is areolar monogenic in D, then f(z) has the following mean-value property:

$$\int_{C(z;r)} f(\zeta)d\zeta = \int_{C(z;r)} A(f;\zeta;\rho)d\zeta$$

for each C(z;r) in D_{ϱ} .

Proofs of the corollaries are contained in the proof of Theorem 1.

The equation (2) and recent results on the polygonal mean-values of harmonic polynomials [2] suggest the analogue of (2) wherein C(z;r) is replaced by a regular n-gon $p_n(z;r;\phi)$, $n \ge 3$. Let $P_n(z;r;\phi)$ denote the closed, finite region bounded by the regular n-gon $p_n(z;r;\phi)$ whose center is at z and whose inscribed circle has radius r; ϕ denotes the angle from R to N, $-\pi/n \le \phi < \pi/n$, where R is the ray extending horizontally to the right from z and N is the exterior normal at the point where R emerges from the polygon.

Here *n* denotes a fixed, positive integer, $n \ge 3$, and ϕ always denotes an (arbitrary) angle, $-\pi/n \le \phi < \pi/n$. For brevity, $p_n(z; r; \phi)$ will be denoted by p(z; r) and $P_n(z; r; \phi)$ will be denoted by P(z; r); |P| will denote the area of P(z; r).

The analogue of (2) referred to above is

(7)
$$F_{r,\phi}(z) \equiv \frac{1}{|P|} \int_{p(z;r)} f(\zeta) d\zeta,$$

which is defined on an open subset $D_{r,\phi}$ of D, for sufficiently small r. The following result is comparable to the preceding theorem.

THEOREM 2. If f(z) is continuous in D, then a necessary and sufficient condition that f(z) be areolar monogenic in D is that $F_{r,\phi}(z)$ be analytic in $D_{r,\phi}$ for each pair r,ϕ .

Necessity. If f(z) is areolar monogenic in D, then f(z) has continuous partial derivatives (of all orders) in D [3]. Hence (7) and Green's lemma yield the following representation for $F_{r,\phi}(z)$:

(8)
$$F_{r,\phi}(z) = \frac{1}{|P|} \int \int_{P(z;r)} G(\zeta) d\xi d\eta,$$

where, by (1) and (5), G(z) is analytic in D. Now (8) shows that $F_{r,\phi}(z)$ is an integral mean of G(z), so that $F_{r,\phi}(z)$ is analytic wherever defined, that is, in $D_{r,\phi}$.

Sufficiency. First suppose that f(z) has continuous partial derivatives of the first order in D. Then it follows from (7) and Green's lemma that $F_{r,\phi}(z)$ can be written in the form (8). It now follows from (8) that $F_{r,\phi}(z) \rightarrow G(z)$, as $r \rightarrow 0$, on each closed subset of D. Since $F_{r,\phi}(z)$ is analytic in $D_{r,\phi}$, for all sufficiently small r, it follows that

G(z) is analytic in D. Hence, as in the proof of Theorem 1, it follows that f(z) is a reolar monogenic in D.

The requirement that f(z) have continuous partial derivatives of the first order in D may be removed as in the proof of Theorem 1. This completes the proof.

It should be noted that if f(z) is an arbitrary areolar monogenic function (hence, if G(z) is an arbitrary analytic function) in D, then $F_{r,\phi}(z)$ is analytic in $D_{r,\phi}$, though not necessarily independent of r. Indeed, if $F_{r,\phi}(z)$ is to be both analytic and independent of r, in $D_{r,\phi}$, then the following result holds.

THEOREM 3. If f(z) is continuous in D, then a necessary and sufficient condition that $F_{r,\phi}(z)$ be both analytic and independent of r in $D_{r,\phi}$, for fixed ϕ , is that f(z) be areolar monogenic in D, with the representation

(9)
$$f(z) \equiv 2^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k (\bar{z} z^k - z^{k-1}) + \Psi_y + i \Psi_x,$$

where $\bar{z} = x - iy$, where the c_k are arbitrary complex constants, and where $\Psi(x, y)$ is an arbitrary function harmonic in D.

To prove Theorem 3, the following lemma is needed.

LEMMA. If f(z) is a reolar monogenic in D, then a necessary and sufficient condition that G(z) be a polynomial in z of degree at most (n-1) is that f(z) have the representation (9).

Necessity. Let G(z) have the representation

(10)
$$G(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k z^k.$$

Now Haskell has shown [3] that for areolar monogenic f(z) there exist real functions $\mu(x, y)$, $\nu(x, y)$, $\Psi(x, y)$, with $\Psi(x, y)$ harmonic in D, such that

(11)
$$f(z) \equiv (\mu_x + \nu_y) + i(\nu_x - \mu_y) + \Psi_y + i\Psi_x,$$

where

(12)
$$\mu + i\nu \equiv -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int \int_{D} \log \frac{1}{|z-\zeta|} G(\zeta) d\xi d\eta.$$

If the substitutions $\zeta = re^{i\theta}$, $z = \rho e^{i\psi}$ are made in (10) and (12), then (12) yields

$$\mu + i\nu = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\rho} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left[\log \frac{1}{\rho} + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{r^{k}}{k\rho^{k}} \cos k(\theta - \psi) \right] \cdot \left[\sum_{0}^{n-1} c_{k} r^{k} e^{ik\theta} \right] r dr d\theta$$

$$-\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\rho}^{1} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left[\log \frac{1}{r} + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^{k}}{kr^{k}} \cos k(\theta - \psi) \right] \cdot \left[\sum_{0}^{n-1} c_{k} r^{k} e^{ik\theta} \right] r dr d\theta$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \left[\sum_{0}^{n-1} \frac{c_{k}}{k+1} \bar{z} z^{k+1} - \sum_{1}^{n-1} \frac{c_{k}}{k} z^{k} - c_{0} \right].$$

The representation (9) for f(z) now follows from (11) and (13).

Sufficiency. If f(z) is given by (9), then a computation shows that G(z), given by (5), has the form (10).

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. If f(z) is areolar monogenic in D, with representation (9), then it follows from the lemma that G(z) has the form (10), such that U(x, y) and V(x, y) are harmonic polynomials of degree at most (n-1). It is known that such harmonic polynomials satisfy

(14)
$$U(x, y) \equiv U(z) = \frac{1}{|P|} \int \int_{P(z;r)} U(\zeta) d\xi d\eta,$$

$$V(x, y) \equiv V(z) = \frac{1}{|P|} \int \int_{P(z;r)} V(\zeta) d\xi d\eta,$$

for each P(z;r) in D[2]. From (5), (8) and (14), it follows that $F_{r,\phi}(z)$ is independent of r, ϕ . This proves the necessity part of the theorem.

On the other hand, if $F_{r,\phi}(z)$ is analytic and independent of r in $D_{r,\phi}$, then by the lemma, f(z) is areolar monogenic in D. Moreover, it follows that the real and imaginary parts of G(z) satisfy (14) and hence U(x, y) and V(x, y) have the representations implied by

(15)
$$G(z) \equiv U + iV = \sum_{n=0}^{n-1} c_k z^k + c_n I(z_k \alpha), \qquad \alpha = e^{i\psi},$$

where the symbol "I" means "the imaginary part of" [2]. However, since U(x, y) and V(x, y) are conjugate harmonic functions, it follows that $c_n = 0$ in (15). Hence G(z) is a polynomial of degree at most (n-1); therefore, by the lemma, f(z) is areolar monogenic in D with representation (9). The proof is now complete.

The author is indebted to the referee for the observation that Theorem 3 above is true for variable ϕ .

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. H. E. Bray, *Proof of a formula for an area*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 29 (1923) pp. 264–270.
- 2. E. F. Beckenbach and Maxwell Reade, *Mean-values and harmonic polynomials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 53 (1943) pp. 230-238.
- 3. R. N. Haskell, Areolar monogenic functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 52 (1946) pp. 332-337.

PURDUE UNIVERSITY