

## ON $k$ -TO-1 TRANSFORMATIONS

W. H. GOTTSCHALK

The following results are extensions of certain of the theorems of O. G. Harrold (*Exactly  $(k, 1)$  transformations on connected linear graphs*, Amer. J. Math. vol. 62 (1940) pp. 823–834).

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be compact Hausdorff spaces and let  $f$  be a continuous transformation of  $X$  onto  $Y$ . Let  $k$  be a positive integer and let  $\mu E$  denote the cardinal of the set  $E$ . We say that  $f$  is at most  $k$ -to-1 (or exactly  $k$ -to-1) in case  $y \in Y$  implies  $\mu f^{-1}(y) \leq k$  (or  $\mu f^{-1}(y) = k$ ). Let  $o(x)$  denote the order of the point  $x$ . That is to say,  $o(x)$  is the smallest integer  $m$  such that  $\mu \text{ bdy } U = m$  for an arbitrarily small open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$ , if such exists; otherwise  $o(x)$  is  $\infty$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $f$  is at most  $k$ -to-1 and if the inverse points of  $y \in Y$  are  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^n o(x_i) \leq k \cdot o(y)$ .*

**PROOF.** We may suppose  $o(y)$  is finite. Let  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  be neighborhoods (open neighborhoods) of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  whose closures are pairwise disjoint. There exists a neighborhood  $W$  of  $y$  such that  $\mu \text{ bdy } W = o(y)$  and  $f^{-1}(W) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$ . Define  $V_i = U_i \cap f^{-1}(W)$ . It follows that  $k \cdot o(y) = k \cdot \mu \text{ bdy } W \geq \mu f^{-1}(\text{bdy } W) \geq \mu \text{ bdy } f^{-1}(W) = \mu \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{bdy } V_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu \text{ bdy } V_i$ . We conclude that each  $o(x_i)$  is finite. By taking the  $U_i$  sufficiently small,  $\mu \text{ bdy } V_i \geq o(x_i)$ . The conclusion follows.

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $X$  and  $Y$  are continua and if  $f$  is exactly  $k$ -to-1, then each inverse point of an end point of  $Y$  is an end point of  $X$ .*

Let  $P$  denote the property of being a continuum in  $X$  on which  $f$  is exactly  $k$ -to-1.

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $X$  has property  $P$  irreducibly, then  $Y$  has no end point; if moreover  $k = 2$ , then  $Y$  has no cut point.*

**PROOF.** We prove the first statement. Suppose  $Y$  has an end point  $y$ . Write  $f^{-1}(y) = \bigcup_{i=1}^k x_i$ . Let  $U_1, \dots, U_k$  be neighborhoods of  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  whose closures are pairwise disjoint. There exists a neighborhood  $W$  of  $y$  such that  $\mu \text{ bdy } W = o(y) = 1$  and  $f^{-1}(W) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k U_i$ . Define  $V_i = U_i \cap f^{-1}(W)$ . As in the proof of Theorem 1 it follows that  $k = k \cdot o(y) \geq \sum_{i=1}^k \mu \text{ bdy } V_i$ . Hence, each  $\text{bdy } V_i$  consists of a single point and it follows easily that  $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^k V_i = X - f^{-1}(W)$  is a proper

---

Presented to the Society, September 10, 1942; received by the editors July 26, 1946.

subcontinuum of  $X$  on which  $f$  is exactly  $k$ -to-1. This is a contradiction.

We prove the second statement. Suppose  $y$  is a cut point of  $Y$  and let  $Y - y = Y_1 \cup Y_2$  be a separation. Then,  $X - f^{-1}(y) = f^{-1}(Y_1) \cup f^{-1}(Y_2)$  is a separation and since also  $f^{-1}(y)$  consists of only two points, at least one of the sets  $f^{-1}(Y_i) \cup f^{-1}(y)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) is a continuum. This contradicts the hypothesis of irreducibility.

**COROLLARY 2.** *No dendrite is a continuous exactly  $k$ -to-1,  $k > 1$ , image of a continuum.*

**PROOF.** Suppose  $f(X) = Y$  is exactly  $k$ -to-1,  $k > 1$ , where  $X$  is a continuum and  $Y$  is a dendrite. By use of Zorn's lemma it may readily be seen that there exists a subcontinuum  $X_0$  of  $X$  which has property P irreducibly. The nondegenerate continuum  $f(X_0)$  is a dendrite and hence has an end point. This is impossible by Theorem 2.

UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA AND  
UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA