## NOTE ON POWER SERIES

MAX A. ZORN

1. The problem. The following question was raised by Bochner. Let $\sum \alpha_{i k} \xi^{i} \eta^{k}$ be a power series with complex coefficients, such that substitution of convergent power series $\sum_{1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i} \zeta^{i}$ and $\sum_{1}^{\infty} \beta_{i} \zeta^{i}$ for $\xi$ and $\eta$ produces always a convergent power series in $\zeta$. Is the double series $\sum \alpha_{i k} \xi^{i} \eta^{k}$ convergent?

The answer is yes; we present a proof which presupposes from function theory only the Cauchy estimate for the coefficients of polynomials in a complex variable:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{k} \zeta_{0}^{k}\right| \leqq\left(|\zeta|=\left|\zeta_{0}\right|\right) \sup \left|\sum \gamma_{k} \zeta^{k}\right| . \tag{C}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that this estimate is also valid in certain types of fields with non-Archimedian valuations, namely, those for which the values are dense and the index is infinite; this was shown by Schoebe in [1]. ${ }^{1}$
2. Homogeneous polynomials. We denote a vector $(\xi, \eta)$ by $x$ and introduce as the norm $\|x\|$ of $x$ the maximum of $|\xi|$ and $|\eta|$. A complex Banach space results which, as a complete metric space, is of the second category with respect to itself. We then consider homogeneous polynomials $P(x)=\sum_{i+k=n} \alpha_{i k} \xi^{i} \eta^{k}$; it is clear that $P(\zeta x)=\zeta^{n} P(x)$, that $P\left(x+\zeta x_{0}\right)$ is a polynomial in $\zeta$, and that $P$ is a continuous function of $x$.

The following three lemmata are immediate consequences of the estimate (C).
(2.1) Lemma. If $|P(x)| \leqq M$ for $\|x\| \leqq \zeta$, then $\left|\alpha_{i k} \xi^{i} \eta^{k}\right| \leqq M$ for $|\xi|,|\eta| \leqq \zeta$.
(2.2) Lemma. $|P(x)| \leqq(|\zeta|=1)$ sup $\left|P\left(x+\zeta x_{0}\right)\right|$.

This special case of the principle of the maximum is a special case of (C), applied to the constant term of $P\left(x+\zeta x_{0}\right)$, considered as a polynomial in $\zeta$. It is used in the proof of (2.3).
(2.3) Lemma. If $|P(x)| \leqq M$ for $\left\|x-x_{0}\right\| \leqq \zeta$, then $|P(x)| \leqq M$ for $\|x\| \leqq \zeta$.

Proof (compare [2, p. 590]): $|P(x)| \leqq(|\zeta|=1)$ sup $\left|P\left(\zeta x_{0}+x\right)\right|$ $=(|\zeta|=1) \sup \left|P\left(x_{0}+\zeta^{-1} x\right)\right| \leqq\left(\left\|x_{1}-x_{0}\right\| \leqq\|x\|\right)$ sup $\left|P\left(x_{1}\right)\right|$.

[^0]3. Proof of the theorem. We may now dispose of the problem by proving a slightly stronger result.
(3.1) Theorem. If the substitution $\xi=\alpha \zeta, \eta=\beta \zeta$ produces from $\sum \alpha_{i k} \xi^{i} \eta^{k}$ always a power series with a nonvanishing radius of convergence then the series $\sum\left|\alpha_{i k} \xi^{i} \eta^{k}\right|$ converges for sufficiently small $|\xi|$ and $|\eta|$.

Proof. The result of the substitution is, with $(\alpha, \beta)=a$,

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{i+k=n} \alpha_{i k} \alpha^{i} \beta^{k}\right) \zeta^{n}=\sum_{0}^{\infty} P_{n}(a) \zeta^{n}
$$

Now let $\delta$ be a complex number ${ }^{2}$ for which $0<|\delta|<1$; there will exist, for every vector $a$, an integer $m$ such that $\sum P_{n}(a)\left(\delta^{m}\right)^{n}=\sum P_{n}\left(a \delta^{m}\right)$ converges. We say that the set $D$ of the vectors $x$ for which $\sum P_{n}(x)$ converges is of the second category. For every vector is in one of the sets $\delta^{-m} D$; if $D$ were of the first category, the sets $\delta^{-m} D$ and therefore the whole space would be of the same character.

By virtue of the continuity of the functions $P_{n}$ there will exist (compare [3, p. 19]) a sphere $\left\|x-x_{0}\right\| \leqq \rho, \rho>0$, and an $M$ such that $\left|P_{n}(x)\right| \leqq M$ holds in it for all $n$. By Lemma (2.3), the same inequality is valid for $\|x\| \leqq \rho$; therefore, $\left|P_{n}(x)\right| \leqq M / 2^{n}$ will be true ${ }^{3}$ for $\|x\| \leqq \rho / 2$. By Lemma (2.1) we have, for $|\xi|,|\eta| \leqq \rho / 2$, the inequalities

$$
\left|\alpha_{i k} \xi^{i} \eta^{k}\right| \leqq M / 2^{n}=M / 2^{i+k},
$$

which establish the absolute convergence of the double series.
4. Comments. The main point of our arrangement was the weakening of the premise; this procedure was possible essentially because we worked with the complex numbers. It would be interesting to know whether the original conjecture holds in the real case.
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