6. M. Riesz, Sur la sommation des séries de Dirichlet, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris vol. 149 (1909) pp. 18-21.

7. ——, Sur l'équivalence de certaines méthodes de sommation, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) vol. 22 (1923-1924) pp. 412-419.

8. L. L. Silverman, On the definition of the sum of a divergent series, University of Missouri Studies, Mathematical Series, vol. 1, no. 1, 1913.

9. O. Toeplitz, Über allgemeine lineare Mittelbildungen, Prace Matematycznofizyczne vol. 22 (1911) pp. 113-119.

THE UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER

ON THE REPRESENTATION OF A FUNCTION AS A HELLINGER INTEGRAL

RICHARD H. STARK

We derive in this note a necessary and sufficient condition that a nondecreasing, continuous function h of a single variable x be representable as a Hellinger integral of the form $\int_0^x (df)^2/dg$. This condition was first proved by Hellinger in his dissertation [1].¹ Other proofs have been given by Hahn [2] and Hobson [3], who transform to Lebesgue integrals and make use of Lebesgue theory. Hellinger's proof and the less complicated proof given here have a certain simplicity in that they avoid reliance on these notions and even remain entirely within the range of monotone functions.

We consider nondecreasing functions of a real variable x on the interval $0 \le x \le 1$ (henceforth denoted as [0, 1]). For such a function f(x) and a closed interval Δ with end points x_1 and x_2 ($x_1 \le x_2$), we define a new function $f_{\Delta}(x)$ to denote the length of the interval on the *f*-axis determined by the interval on the *x*-axis common to Δ and (0, x). More precisely, denoting

$$f(x \pm 0) = \lim_{h \to 0} f(x \pm |h|) \quad \text{if } 0 < x < 1,$$

$$f(0 - 0) = f(0); \quad f(1 + 0) = f(1),$$

we define

(1)
$$f_{\Delta}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq x < x_1, \\ f(x+0) - f(x_1-0) & \text{if } x_1 \leq x \leq x_2, \\ f(x_2+0) & \text{if } x_2 < x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Received by the editors January 30, 1948.

¹ Numbers in brackets refer to the references cited at the end of the paper.

R. H. STARK

The interval $[f(x_1-0), f(x_2+0)]$ on the *f*-axis will be denoted as $f(\Delta)$. We retain the notation Δf for the proper difference $f(x_2) - f(x_1)$.

Given sets $\{E_i\} \subset [0, 1]$ for which the symbols $f_{E_i}(x)$ and $f(E_i)$ have been defined, we define

(2)
$$\begin{cases} f_{\Sigma E_{i}}(x) = \sum f_{E_{i}}(x) \\ f(\sum E_{i}) = \sum f(E_{i}) \end{cases} \text{ if } E_{i} \cdot E_{j} = 0 \text{ when } i \neq j. \\ f_{E_{2}-E_{1}}(x) = f_{E_{2}}(x) - f_{E_{1}}(x) \\ f(E_{2} - E_{1}) = f(E_{2}) - f(E_{1}) \end{cases} \text{ if } E_{1} \subset E_{2}.$$

The sets which we shall consider will be constructed from sets of intervals in a manner such that (1) and (2) will assign to each such set E a function $f_E(x)$ called the measure function of E on the *f*-axis and a set f(E) of points on the *f*-axis. Our $f_E(1)$ is just the measure with respect to f(x) of the set E as defined in [4; p. 277]. It is immediate that if $f_{E_D}(x)$ denotes the measure on the $f_E(x)$ axis of the set D on the x-axis, then

$$(3) f_{E_D}(x) = f_{E \cdot D}(x).$$

We now consider functions f(x), g(x), and h(x) which are nondecreasing and continuous in [0, 1] and satisfy the inequality

(4)
$$(\Delta f)^2 \leq \Delta g \Delta h$$
 on every subinterval Δ of $[0, 1]$.

Let M be an arbitrary set on the x-axis. For arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, the set g(M) on the g-axis may be enclosed in a set I_{ϵ} of countably many mutually disjoint intervals d_i such that the measures on the g-axis of the sets g(M) and I_{ϵ} differ by less than ϵ ; that is, the inverse function x(g) defines a corresponding set $x(I_{\epsilon})$ of the x-axis which we denote for brevity as X_{ϵ} such that

(5)
$$0 \leq g_{X\epsilon}(1) - g_M(1) \leq \epsilon.$$

Now for Δ an arbitrary interval of the x-axis and Δ_i the intersection of Δ with $x(d_i)$, we have:

(6)
$$\Delta f_M \leq \Delta f_{Xe} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Delta_i f.$$

But $(\Delta_i f)^2 \leq \Delta_i g \Delta_i h$ on every Δ_i so that from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

(7)
$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Delta_i f\right)^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Delta_i g \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Delta_i h \leq \Delta g_{X_i} \Delta h.$$

With use of (5) and (6), this gives

(8)
$$(\Delta f_M)^2 \leq \Delta g_M \Delta h.$$

Replacing (4) by (8) and carrying out an analogous argument for a set N and the h-axis, we have

(9)
$$(\Delta f_{M \cdot N})^2 \leq \Delta g_M \Delta h_N$$
 if (4) holds.

THEOREM. To a given pair of nondecreasing, continuous functions g(x) and h(x) for which g(0) = 0 = h(0) there corresponds an f(x) such that

$$h(x) = \int_0^x \frac{(df)^2}{dg}$$

if and only if for every set E of the x-axis such that $g_E(1) = g(1)$, we have $h_E(1) = h(1)$.

For the proof, we use the following elementary properties of Hellinger integrals [1] which hold for arbitrary functions g(x) and h(x) that are nondecreasing and continuous in [0, 1]:

a. Existence of $t(x) = \int_0^x (du)^2/dg$ implies $t(x) = \int_0^x (d\int_0^x (dgdt)^{1/2})^2/dg$. (10) b. $f(x) = \int_0^x (dgdh)^{1/2}$ exists and $(\Delta f)^2 \leq \Delta g \Delta h$.

c. The inequality $(\Delta f)^2 \leq \Delta g \Delta h$ implies that $s(x) = \int_0^x (df)^2/dg$ exists and $(\Delta f)^2/\Delta g \leq \Delta s \leq \Delta h$ if $\Delta g \neq 0$.

It follows from (10a) that the desired representation of h(x) exists only if it is given by $\int_0^x (df)^2/dg$ where $f(x) = \int_0^x (dgdh)^{1/2}$. By (10b), $(\Delta f)^2 \leq \Delta g \Delta h$. Let *E* be any set such that $g_E(1) = g(1)$. Then from (8), we have on replacing *M* by the complement \overline{E} of *E* with respect to [0, 1] and taking for Δ the interval [0, 1] that

(11)
$$(f_{\overline{E}}(1))^2 \leq g_{\overline{E}}(1)h(1) = 0$$

and consequently $f_E(1) = f(1)$. It follows from application of (11) to (9) that

(12)
$$(\Delta f)^2 \leq \Delta g \Delta h_E.$$

Property (10c) with (12) gives that $\Delta s \leq \Delta h_E$ so that the function $a(x) = h_E(x) - s(x)$ is nondecreasing and if $\Delta g \neq 0$

$$0 \leq \Delta a = \Delta h_E - \Delta s \leq \Delta h - (\Delta f)^2 / \Delta g$$
$$= ((\Delta g \Delta h)^{1/2} - \Delta f)((\Delta h / \Delta g)^{1/2} + \Delta f / \Delta g).$$

Consequently

1949]

(13)
$$0 \leq \Delta a = \Delta h_E - \Delta s \leq 2(\Delta h/\Delta g)^{1/2}((\Delta g \Delta h)^{1/2} - \Delta f),$$

if $g_E(1) = g(1)$ and $\Delta g \neq 0$.

We next choose a sequence of divisions D_n of the interval (0, 1) into finitely many nonoverlapping intervals (that is, no two intervals of D_n have more than an end point in common) such that each D_n is formed from D_{n-1} by addition of finitely many division points and

(14)
$$\sum_{D_n} \left((\Delta g \Delta h)^{1/2} - \Delta f \right) \leq 4^{-n}.$$

That such a choice is possible is implied by (10a).

For each division D_n , we distinguish two types of intervals:

(15) a. The set G_n of intervals such that $\Delta g \ge 4^{-n} \Delta h$; b. The set S_n of intervals such that $\Delta g < 4^{-n} \Delta h$.

Then from (13), (14), (15), we have

(16)
$$a_{G_n}(1) = \sum_{G_n} \Delta a \leq 2^{-n+1},$$

(17)
$$g_{S_n}(1) = \sum_{S_n} \Delta g \leq 4^{-n} h(1).$$

We define $R_n = \prod_{m=n}^{\infty} G_m$ and $R = \lim_{n \to \infty} R_n$. It is immediate that (18) $a_R(1) = \lim_{n \to \infty} a_{R_n}(1) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} a_{G_n}(1) = 0$ (see (16)),

and since $\overline{R} \subset \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} S_m$,

$$g_{\overline{R}}(1) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} g_{S_m}(1) = 0 \qquad (\text{see (17)}).$$

Thus *R* is a set of the type *E* assumed in (13). Consequently, $0 \leq \Delta a \leq \Delta h_R$, and it follows from (9) and (3) that $a_{\overline{R}}(1) \leq h_R \cdot \overline{k}(1) = 0$. Hence

$$a_{\overline{R}}(1) = 0.$$

The function a(x) is nondecreasing with a(0) = 0 and by (18) and (19) has a(1) = 0. Thus $a(x) \equiv 0$, that is,

$$(20) s(x) = h_R(x).$$

Therefore,

$$s(x) = \int_0^x \frac{\left(d\int_0^x (dgdh)^{1/2}\right)^2}{dg}$$

is the measure function on the h-axis of a set R of the x-axis. This

[February

integral is actually equal to h(x) if and only if $h_E(1) = h(1)$. The condition that $g_E(1) = g(1)$ implies $h_E(1) = h(1)$ is surely necessary to provide that h(x) = s(x), for $g_E(1) = g(1)$ implies $s_E(1) = s(1)$. On the other hand, if $g_E(1) = g(1)$ does imply $h_E(1) = h(1)$, the condition that $h_R(1) = h(1)$ is fulfilled and $h(x) \equiv s(x)$. This completes the proof.

References

1. E. D. Hellinger, Die Orthogonalinvarianten quadratischer Formen von unendlich vielen Veränderlichen, Inaugural-Dissertation, Göttingen, 1907.

2. Hans Hahn, Über die Integrale des Herrn Hellinger und die Orthogonalinvarianten der quadratischer Formen von unendlich vielen Veränderlichen, Monatshefte für Mathematik und Physik vol. 23 (1912) pp. 161–224.

3. E. W. Hobson, On Hellinger's integrals, Proc. London Math. Soc. vol. 18 (1919) pp. 249-265.

4. Henri Lebesgue, Leçons sur l'intégration, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 2d ed., 1928.

NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY