THE CHARACTERIZATION OF FUNCTIONS ARISING AS POTENTIALS

BY E. M. STEIN

Communicated by P. R. Halmos, August 21, 1960

1. Introduction. The purpose of this note is to announce results giving the characterization of classes of functions arising by fractional integration. We consider two fractional integral operators I_{α} and J_{α} , defined for a suitable class of functions on E_n as follows:

$$I_{\alpha}(f)^{(x)} = |x|^{-\alpha} f^{(x)}, \qquad 0 < \alpha < n,$$

$$J_{\alpha}(f)^{(x)} = (1 + |x|^{2})^{-\alpha/2} f^{(x)}, \qquad 0 < \alpha.$$

The symbol $\widehat{}$ denotes the Fourier transform.

The integral I_{α} is a well-known Riesz potential, while the integral J_{α} is a modification of it, the so-called "Bessel potential." The local behavior of I_{α} and J_{α} are equivalent, but the global behavior of J_{α} is more tractable since $J_{\alpha}f = K_{\alpha}^*f$, where $K_{\alpha} \ge 0$, and $K_{\alpha} \in L^1(E_n)$.

We denote by L^p_{α} the class of functions f of the form $f = J_{\alpha}(\phi) = K^*_{\alpha}\phi$, where $\phi \in L^p(E_n)$. We shall always make the restriction $1 . The classes <math>L^p_{\alpha}$ and the operators J_{α} have been studied by several authors; see [1] for the L_2 theory, and [2] for the L^p theory. We seek to characterize the functions $f \in L^p_{\alpha}$ in terms of their "smoothness," i.e., in terms of the smallness of f(x+y) - f(x).

We recall first a useful fact. If $f \in L^p_{\alpha}$, $\alpha \ge 1$, then $f \in L^p_{\alpha-1}$ and $\partial f/\partial x_k \in L^p_{\alpha-1}$, $k=1, \cdots, n$, and conversely. Thus in many cases it is sufficient to restrict our attention to $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Our characterization will be in terms of the "functional" \mathfrak{D}_{α}

(1)
$$\mathbb{D}_{\alpha}(f)(x) = \left(\int_{B_n} \frac{|f(x-y) - f(x)|^2}{|y|^{n+2\alpha}} dy\right)^{1/2}, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1,$$

and its variants.

2. Main results.

THEOREM 1. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$, $2n/(n+2\alpha) . Then <math>f \in L^p_{\alpha}$ (i.e., $f = J_{\alpha}(\phi), \phi \in L^p$) if and only if (a) $f \in L^p$, and (b) $\mathfrak{D}_{\alpha}(f) \in L^p$. Also

$$B_{\alpha,p} \|\phi\|_p \leq \|\mathfrak{D}_{\alpha}(f)\|_p + \|f\|_p \leq A_{\alpha,p} \|\phi\|_p.$$

REMARKS. (i) The restriction $2n/(n+2\alpha) < p$ is essentially necessary. If $p < 2n/(n+2\alpha)$, there exists $\phi \in L^p$, so that $f = J_{\alpha}(\phi)$ is not locally in L^2 and so that $\mathfrak{D}_{\alpha}(f)(x) = \infty$, all x.

(ii) We can obtain results analogous to Theorem 1 by replacing the functional \mathfrak{D}_{α} by either $\mathfrak{D}_{\alpha}^{(1)}$ or by $\mathfrak{D}_{\alpha}^{(2)}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^{(1)}(f)(x) = \left(\int_{E_{n}} \frac{\left|f(x-y) - f(x+y)\right|^{2}}{\left|y\right|^{n+2\alpha}} \, dy\right)^{1/2},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^{(2)}(f)(x) = \left(\int_{E_{n}} \frac{\left|f(x+y) + f(x-y) - 2f(x)\right|^{2}}{\left|y\right|^{n+2\alpha}} \, dy\right)^{1/2}.$$

In the case $\mathfrak{D}_{\alpha}^{(1)}$, and when n=1, we recover essentially some of the results of Hirschman [4] (see also Flett [3]), which results were the starting point of this investigation. The results for $\mathfrak{D}_{\alpha}^{(2)}$ hold, in fact, for the wider range $0 < \alpha < 2$. In this instance the special case $\alpha=1$ may be viewed as another generalization of the integral of Marcinkiewicz to several variables.

(iii) The variants of Theorem 1 which hold for all p, 1 , are closely related to the generalization of the integral of Marcinkiewicz discussed in §8 of [6]. These will be treated elsewhere.

We outline the proof of Theorem 1. The idea is to reduce the problem to one dealing with the functions g^* and g, considered previously (see [5; 6]). That such a reduction might be possible is indicated by the one-dimensional case treated by Hirschman.

LEMMA 1. Let ϕ be bounded and vanish outside a bounded set. Let $\phi_{\alpha} = I_{\alpha}(\phi)$. Then if $0 < \alpha < 1$, $2n/(n+2\alpha) ,$

$$B_{p,\alpha} \|\phi\|_p \leq \|\mathfrak{D}_{\alpha}(\phi_{\alpha})\|_p \leq A_{p,\alpha} \|\phi\|_p.$$

This lemma is a consequence of another two:

LEMMA 2.
$$\mathfrak{D}_{\alpha}(\phi_{\alpha})(x) \leq A_{\lambda,\alpha}g_{\lambda}^{*}(x;\phi), 0 < \lambda < 2\alpha, 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

LEMMA 3. $B_{\alpha}g(x; \phi) \leq \mathfrak{D}_{\alpha}(\phi_{\alpha})(x), \ 0 < \alpha < 1.$

A combination of Lemmas 2 and 3, together with known facts about g_{λ}^{*} and g (see [5; 6]) proves Lemma 1.

The theorem then follows from Lemma 1 and the following lemma which relates the operators I_{α} and J_{α} .

LEMMA 4. Let $0 < \alpha$. There exists finite measures on E_n , $d\mu_{\alpha}^{(1)}$, $d\mu_{\alpha}^{(2)}$, and $d\mu_{\alpha}^{(3)}$, so that if $F_{\alpha}^{(t)}(x)$ are the Fourier transforms of $d\mu_{\alpha}^{(t)}$, then

$$(1 + |x|^{2})^{\alpha/2} = F_{\alpha}^{(1)}(x) + F_{\alpha}^{(2)}(x) \cdot |x|^{\alpha}, |x|^{\alpha} = F_{\alpha}^{(3)}(x) \cdot (1 + |x|^{2})^{\alpha/2}.$$

3. Another characterization. We shall now consider another characterization of the functions of class L^p_{α} , which is in some ways simpler than the above. We consider the linear functional

E. M. STEIN

(2)
$$D_{\alpha}(f)(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{c_{\alpha}} \cdot \int_{|y| \ge \epsilon} \frac{f(x+y) - f(x)}{|y|^{n+\alpha}} \cdot dy, \qquad 0 < \alpha < 2,$$

where

$$c_{\alpha} = \pi^{n/2} 2^{-\alpha} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(-\alpha/2)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+\alpha}{2}\right)}$$

We remark that if f is sufficiently restricted (say C_0^{∞}) then it may be shown that the limit (2) exists (if $0 < \alpha < 2$), is in L^2 , and $D_{\alpha}(f)^{\frown} = |x|^{\alpha} f^{\frown}(x)$.

THEOREM 2. Let $0 < \alpha < 2$, $1 . Then <math>f \in L^p_{\alpha}$ if and only if (a) $f \in L^p$, and (b) the limit (2) defining $D_{\alpha}(f)$ converges in L^p norm. Then $f = J_{\alpha}(\phi)$ with

$$B_{p,\alpha} \|\phi\|_p \leq \|D_{\alpha}(f)\|_p + \|f\|_p \leq A_{p,\alpha} \|\phi\|_p.$$

The proof of this theorem is based on Lemma 4.

It should be pointed out that the integral (2) in general does not converge absolutely; thus its existence depends only in part on the smallness of f(x+y)-f(x). The case $\alpha=1$ is of interest since the integral (2) extends to several variables the "integrated" form of the Hilbert transform.

The following theorem is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 2. It shows that functions in L^p_{α} can be "localized."

THEOREM 3. Let $f \in L^p_{\alpha}$, $1 , <math>0 \le \alpha$, and $\psi \in C^{\infty}_0$. Then $\psi \cdot f \in L^p_{\alpha}$.

The restriction that ψ be indefinitely differentiable can of course be relaxed.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. N. Aronszajn and K. T. Smith, *Theory of Bessel potentials*. I, Studies in eigenvalue problems, Technical report no. 22, University of Kansas.

2. A. P. Calderon, Lebesgue spaces of functions and distributions, Symposium on partial differential equations, April 1960, Berkeley, California.

3. T. M. Flett, Some more theorems concerning the absolute summability of Fourier series and power series, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) vol. 8 (1958) pp. 357-387.

4. I. I. Hirschman, Fractional integration, Amer. J. Math. vol. 75 (1953) pp. 531-546.

5. E. M Stein, On some functions of Littlewood-Paley and Zygmund, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 67 (1961) pp. 99-101.

6. ——, On the functions of Littlewood-Paley, Lusin, and Marcinkiewicz, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 88 (1958) pp. 430–466.

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

104