sphere, then we have found a Galois extension of the field of rational functions, such that the Galois group is isomorphic to the preassigned group $G$.
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# A NOTE ON ENTIRE FUNCTIONS AND A CON JECTURE OF ERDÖS 

BY ALFRED GRAY AND S. M. SHAH ${ }^{1}$<br>Communicated by R. C. Buck, March 14, 1963

1. Introduction. Let $f(z)=\sum_{0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ be an entire (transcendental) function and let

$$
M(r)=M(r, f)=\max _{|z|=r}|f(z)|, \quad \mu(r)=\mu(r, f)=\max _{n}\left(\left|a_{n}\right| r^{n}\right)
$$

Erdös conjectured that [1] for every entire function, either

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=U(f) \equiv \limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \mu(r) / M(r)>u=u(f) \equiv \liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \mu(r) / M(r) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(f)=0 . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove this conjecture, except in one case, when broadly speaking the Taylor series for $f(z)$ has "wide latent" gaps. For $r>0$, let $\nu(r)$ $=\max \left(n\left|\mu(r)=\left|a_{n}\right| r^{n}\right)\right.$, and denote by $\left\{\rho_{n}\right\}$ the sequence of jump-

[^0]points of $\nu(r)$, so that $0 \leqq \rho_{1} \leqq \rho_{2} \leqq \cdots, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{n}=\infty$, and $\nu(r)=n$ when $\rho_{n} \leqq r<\rho_{n+1}\left[2\right.$, p. 4]. Let $\left\{n_{k}\right\}$ be the range of $\nu(r)$ for $0<r<\infty$ and $R=\lim \sup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\{n_{k+1}-n_{k}\right\}, L=\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{n+1} / \rho_{n}$.

Theorem 1.
(1.3) If $L>1$, then $U>u$.
(1.4) If $L=1, R<\infty$, then $U=0$.
(1.5) Suppose that $L=1, R=\infty$ and

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\rho_{n_{k}} / \rho_{n_{k+p}}\right\}^{n_{k+p}-n_{k}+p-1}=1,
$$

for $p=1,2, \cdots$, then $U=0$.
Corollary. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \log \mu(r) /(\log r)^{2}<\infty \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $U>u$.
It is not possible to improve on the hypothesis (1.6), for we have
Theorem 2. Given any function $\psi(x)$ tending to infinity (howsoever slowly) with $x$, there exists an entire function $f(z)$, for which $U=0$, and as $r$ tends to infinity, $\log M(r, f)=o\left((\log r)^{2} \psi(r)\right)$.
2. Lemma $1 .{ }^{2} u(f) \leqq 2 / \pi$.

Proof. Suppose $|z|=r$ is a value such that at least two terms $a_{k} z^{k}$ have moduli equal to $\mu(r)$. If these terms are $a_{n} z^{n}$ and $a_{m} z^{m}$, then

$$
a_{n} z^{n}+a_{m} z^{m}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|\xi|=r} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi}\left\{\left(\frac{z}{\xi}\right)^{n}+\left(\frac{z}{\xi}\right)^{m}\right\} d \xi .
$$

Choose $z$ such that $\arg \left(a_{n} z^{n}\right)=\arg \left(a_{m} z^{m}\right)$. Then

$$
2 \mu(r) \leqq \frac{M(r)}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|1+e^{(m-n) i \theta}\right| d \theta=\frac{4 M(r)}{\pi} .
$$

Lemma 2. Let

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho_{n+1}}{\rho_{n}}=l ; \quad \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{\inf } \frac{\log \mu(r)}{(\log r)^{2}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q, \\
q .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then

$$
1 / 2 \log L \leqq q \leqq Q \leqq 1 / 2 \log l .
$$

[^1]We omit the proof which is straightforward.
3. Proof of Theorem 1. If $P(z)$ is any polynomial, then

$$
\mu(r, f+P) / M(r, f+P) \sim \mu(r, f) / M(r, f)
$$

and so we may suppose $a_{0}=1$. We have then $0<\rho_{1} \leqq \rho_{2} \cdots$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=1+\sum_{1}^{\infty} z^{n} / \rho_{1} \cdots \rho_{n} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $F(z)$ is an entire function and $M(r, f) \leqq F(r), \mu(r, f)=\mu(r, F)$ for all $r$. Let $1<L_{1}<L$. There exists a sequence $\left\{n_{p}\right\}$ such that, setting $\rho_{n}=\rho(n)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(n_{p}+1\right) / \rho\left(n_{p}\right)>L_{1}, \quad p=1,2, \cdots \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $z=W \rho\left(n_{p}\right)$. If $1<|W|<L_{1}$, then for all $p$, (3.3) $1<|W|<\rho\left(n_{p}+1\right) / \rho\left(n_{p}\right) ; \rho\left(n_{p}\right)<|z|<\rho\left(n_{p}+1\right)$.

Define for these values of $z$,

$$
\mu(z, F)=\mu(z, f)=\mu\left(W \rho\left(n_{p}\right), f\right)=\left(W \rho\left(n_{p}\right)\right)^{n_{p}} / \rho(1) \cdots \rho\left(n_{p}\right)
$$

Then $|\mu(z, f)|=\mu(|z|, f)$, and from (3.1)-(3.3)

$$
\frac{F(|z|)}{\mu(|z|, F)}=\frac{F\left(|W| \rho\left(n_{p}\right)\right)}{\mu\left(|W| \rho\left(n_{p}\right), F\right)} \leqq C(W)
$$

where

$$
C(W)=1+\sum_{1}^{\infty}|W|^{-J}+\sum_{1}^{\infty}\left(|W| L_{i}^{-1}\right)^{J}
$$

Define

$$
\phi_{p}(W)=f\left(W \rho\left(n_{p}\right)\right) / \mu\left(W \rho\left(n_{p}\right)\right)
$$

and let $\Omega=\left\{W\left|1<|W|<L_{1}\right\}\right.$. For $W \in \Omega$, we have

$$
\left|\phi_{p}(W)\right| \leqq M\left(|W| \rho\left(n_{p}\right), f\right) / \mu\left(|W| \rho\left(n_{p}\right), f\right) \leqq C(W)
$$

for all $p$. Hence $\phi_{p}(W)$ is analytic in $\Omega$ for all $p$ and the family $\left\{\phi_{p}(W)\right\}$ is uniformly bounded on every compact subset of $\Omega$. Hence $\left\{\phi_{p}(W)\right\}$ is a normal family and so there exists a sequence $\left\{p_{k}\right\}$ such that $\left\{\phi_{p_{k}}(W)\right\}$ converges uniformly to a function $G(W)$ on every compact subset of $\Omega$, and $G(W)$ is finite in $\Omega$. Let $1<R<L_{1}$. Then $\left\{\phi_{p_{k}}(W)\right\}$ converges uniformly to $G(W)$ on $|W|=R$. Now

$$
\left|M\left(R, \phi_{p_{k}}\right)-M(R, G)\right| \leqq \max _{|W|=R}\left|\phi_{p_{k}}(W)-G(W)\right|
$$

and since by uniform convergence

$$
\lim _{\boldsymbol{p}_{k} \rightarrow \infty} \max _{|W|=R}\left|\phi_{p_{k}}(W)-G(W)\right|=0
$$

we have

$$
\lim _{p_{k} \rightarrow \infty} M\left(R, \phi_{p_{k}}\right)=M(R, G)
$$

Now

$$
M\left(R, \phi_{p_{k}}\right)=\max _{|z|=R \rho\left(n_{p_{k}}\right)}\left|\frac{f(z)}{\mu(z)}\right|=\frac{M\left(R \rho\left(n_{p_{k}}\right), f\right)}{\mu\left(R \rho\left(n_{p_{k}}\right), f\right)} .
$$

Hence

$$
M(R, G)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} M\left(R \rho\left(n_{p_{k}}\right), f\right) / \mu\left(R \rho\left(n_{p_{k}}\right), f\right)
$$

Consider first the case when $G(W)$ is a constant on $\Omega$. Then for $1<R<L_{1}$,

$$
G(W)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|W|=R} \frac{G(W)}{W} d W=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|W|=R}\left(\lim _{p_{k} \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{p_{k}}(W) / W\right) d W
$$

By considering the Laurent expansion of $\phi_{p_{k}}(W)$ about the origin, we obtain

$$
1=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|W|=R}\left\{\phi_{p_{k}}(W) / W\right\} d W
$$

and so

$$
G(W)=1=M(R, G)=\lim _{p_{k} \rightarrow \infty} M\left(R \rho\left(n_{p_{k}}\right), f\right) / \mu\left(R \rho\left(n_{p_{k}}\right), f\right)
$$

Now by Lemma $1, \lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty} M(r, f) / \mu(r, f) \geqq \pi / 2$ and so $U(f)>u(f)$. If $G(W)$ is not a constant, then let $1<R_{1}<R_{2}<R_{3}<L_{1}$. Since $G(W)$ is analytic for $R_{1} \leqq|W| \leqq R_{3},|G(W)|$ assumes its maximum, for this closed region on either $|W|=R_{1}$ or $|W|=R_{3}$ or both. Hence

$$
M\left(R_{2}, G\right)<\max \left\{M\left(R_{1}, G\right), M\left(R_{3}, G\right)\right\}=M\left(R_{i}, G\right)
$$

say. Then

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M\left(R_{i} \rho\left(n_{p_{k}}\right), f\right)}{\mu\left(R_{i} \rho\left(n_{p_{k}}\right), f\right)} \neq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M\left(R_{2} \rho\left(n_{p_{k}}\right), f\right)}{\mu\left(R_{2 \rho} \rho\left(n_{p_{k}}\right), f\right)}
$$

and so $U(f)>u(f)$ and (1.3) is proved.
To prove (1.4), (1.5) we may assume $a_{0}=1$. Then
$\rho(1)>0, \rho\left(n_{k}\right)<\rho\left(n_{k}+1\right)=\cdots=\rho\left(n_{k+1}\right)<\cdots, k=1,2, \cdots$.
Further

$$
\{M(r, f)\}^{2} \geqq \sum_{0}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} r^{2 n} \geqq 1+\sum_{1}^{\infty}\left\{r^{n_{k}} / \rho(1) \cdots \rho\left(n_{k}\right)\right\}^{2} .
$$

Hence for $\rho\left(n_{k}\right) \leqq r<\rho\left(n_{k}+1\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{\frac{M(r)}{\mu(r)}\right\}^{2} & \geqq 1+\left(\frac{r}{\rho\left(n_{k}+1\right)}\right)^{2\left(n_{k+1}-n_{k}\right)}  \tag{3.4}\\
& +\left(\frac{r}{\rho\left(n_{k}+1\right)}\right)^{2\left(n_{k+1}-n_{k}\right)}\left(\frac{r}{\rho\left(n_{k+1}+1\right)}\right)^{2\left(n_{k+2}-n_{k+1}\right)}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

and (1.4) follows. To prove (1.5) we note that the second term, third term, $\cdots p$ th term in the right side of (3.4) tend to 1 , as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and so $U(f)=0$.

Proof of corollary. By Lemma 2 we must have $L>1$, and so by (1.3), $U>u$.

The proof of Theorem 2 and the bounds for $U$ and $u$ will be published elsewhere. ${ }^{3}$
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