

**AN ASYMPTOTIC FORMULA FOR THE EIGENVALUES
OF THE LAPLACIAN OPERATOR IN AN
UNBOUNDED DOMAIN**

BY COLIN CLARK¹

Communicated by F. Browder, February 10, 1966

F. Rellich [5] and, more generally, A. M. Molcanov [3] have shown that the problem

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\Delta^2 u(x) + \lambda u(x) &= 0, & x \in \Omega \\ u(x) &= 0, & x \in \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

has a discrete spectrum (and consequently a complete orthonormal system of eigenfunctions in $\mathfrak{L}_2(\Omega)$) provided that Ω is a "quasi-bounded" domain in E_n . A domain Ω is said to be quasi-bounded if it is either bounded or satisfies

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty, x \in \Omega} \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) = 0.$$

(See [1] for a proof of Molcanov's result, based on a generalization of the Kondrachoff embedding theorem for the Sobolev spaces $H_0^m(\Omega)$.) The problem of determining the asymptotic behavior of the eigenvalues of (1) has remained open (cf. [2, p. 233]).

In the present note we consider the above problem from the point of view of random processes, as described in detail for the case of a bounded domain, as well as for the case of the operator $-\frac{1}{2}\Delta^2 + V(x)$ (with $V(x) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$) on an unbounded domain, in the papers of D. Ray [4] and M. Rosenblatt [6]. We will show that if Ω satisfies the following condition

$$(2) \quad m(\Omega \cap [a < |x| < a + 1]) = O(a^{-\beta})$$

for some $\beta > \frac{1}{2}$, then simple modifications of Ray's arguments suffice to prove discreteness of the spectrum, as well as to obtain an asymptotic formula for the eigenvalues.

We take Ray's paper [4] as a starting point. Thus (assuming a cone condition for Ω , as described in Theorem 1 below) we already have a Green's function $K(x, y, t)$ corresponding to the equation

¹ Research supported in part by the United States Air Force Office of Scientific Research, grant number AF-AFOSR-379-65.

$$(3) \quad \Delta^2\phi(x, t) = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi(x, t), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0,$$

and zero boundary conditions. We first wish to verify that the integral operator K_t with kernel $K(x, y, t)$ is completely continuous in $\mathfrak{L}_2(\Omega)$. As in [4, Lemma 3] we see that it is sufficient to show, for fixed $t > 0$,

$$(4) \quad \int_{\Omega \cap \{|x| > a\}} |K_t \psi(x)|^2 dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow \infty,$$

uniformly for $\psi \in \mathfrak{L}_2(\Omega)$, $\|\psi\| = 1$. But, as in [4],

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega \cap \{|x| > a\}} |K_t \psi(x)|^2 dx \\ \leq \int_{\Omega \cap \{|x| > a\}} dx \cdot \text{prob}\{x + x(\tau) \in \bar{\Omega}, 0 \leq \tau \leq t\} \cdot \|\psi\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By an elementary calculation using (2), we have for any $\beta' < \beta$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{prob}\{x + x(\tau) \in \bar{\Omega}, 0 \leq \tau \leq t\} \\ \leq \text{prob}\{x + x(t) \in \bar{\Omega}\} = O(|x|^{-\beta'}), \quad x \in \Omega; \end{aligned}$$

here t is fixed. Hence, writing $\Omega_i = \Omega \cap [i \leq |x| < i + 1]$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, we have (taking $\beta' > \frac{1}{2}$)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \text{prob}\{x + x(\tau) \in \bar{\Omega}, 0 \leq \tau \leq t\} dx \\ = \sum_i \int_{\Omega_i} \text{prob}\{x + x(\tau) \in \bar{\Omega}, 0 \leq \tau \leq t\} dx \\ = O\left(\sum_i i^{-2\beta'}\right) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and (4) follows from this. We therefore have

THEOREM 1. *Let Ω be an open set in E_n , satisfying condition (2) and the following cone condition: for each $x \in \partial\Omega$ there is an open cone with vertex x , lying outside $\bar{\Omega}$. Let K_t be the integral operator in $\mathfrak{L}_2(\Omega)$ with kernel $K(x, y, t)$.*

Then K_t is completely continuous and hence has a countable set of eigenvalues $\{\exp(-\lambda_j t), j = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ with corresponding complete orthonormal eigenfunctions $\{\phi_j(x)\}$, which are independent of t . Moreover the λ_j are eigenvalues and the ϕ_j eigenfunctions of the problem (1).

COROLLARY. Let Ω be as in Theorem 1. Then

$$\sum_{\lambda_j < \lambda} \phi_j^2(x) \sim \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right)^{n/2} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + n/2)}$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, for each $x \in \Omega$.

The proofs of the asserted properties of the λ_j and ϕ_j are the same as in Ray's paper. In particular, Ray shows that

$$(5) \quad \sum_j \exp(-\lambda_j t) \phi_j^2(x) = K(x, x, t) \sim \left(\frac{1}{2\pi t}\right)^{n/2}$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$, uniformly for $x \in \Omega$; in the present case this follows from the fact that $K(x, y, t)$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt kernel, as can be proved in a manner similar to the above verification of (4)—it is useful to notice that $0 \leq K(x, y, t) \leq (2\pi t)^{-n/2} \cdot \exp(-|x - y|^2/2t)$.

THEOREM 2. Let $\Omega \subset E_n$ satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1. Let $\rho(x)$ be a nonnegative function in $\mathcal{L}_1(\Omega)$. Define

$$N_\rho(\lambda) = \sum_{\lambda_j \leq \lambda} \int_\Omega \rho(x) \phi_j^2(x) dx.$$

Then

$$(6) \quad N_\rho(\lambda) \sim \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right)^{n/2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + n/2)} \int_\Omega \rho(x) dx.$$

PROOF. Applying (5) to the Laplace-Stieltjes transform of $N_\rho(\lambda)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} dN_\rho(\lambda) &= \int_\Omega \rho(x) \sum_j \exp(-\lambda_j t) \phi_j^2(x) dx \\ &= \int_\Omega \rho(x) K(x, x, t) dx \\ &\sim \left(\frac{1}{2\pi t}\right)^{n/2} \int_\Omega \rho(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the Tauberian theorem of Karamata applies, and yields (6). q.e.d.

We obviously obtain the classical formula of Weyl if we put $\rho(x) \equiv 1$ on a bounded region (or even on an unbounded region of finite volume). If in the general case we choose a bounded function $\rho(x)$, we obtain $N_\rho(\lambda) \leq c \cdot N(\lambda)$ where $N(\lambda) = N_1(\lambda)$ is the usual function; we

therefore obtain a one-sided estimate for $N(\lambda)$:

$$N(\lambda) \succ \lambda^{n/2}$$

where $f(\lambda) \succ g(\lambda)$ means the same as $g(\lambda) = O(f(\lambda))$. We remark that our results are unaffected if the operator $-\Delta^2$ is replaced by $-\Delta^2 + V(x)$ if $V(x)$ is a bounded function on Ω .

The foregoing results can also be derived using analytical methods similar to those of Titchmarsh [7]; the basic properties of the Green's function $G(x, y, \lambda)$ in this case are due to D. Hewgill (Thesis, University of British Columbia).

REFERENCES

1. Colin Clark, *An embedding theorem for function spaces*, Pacific J. Math. (to appear)
2. I. M. Glazman, *Prijamye metody kačestvennogo spectral'nogo analiza singularnyh differencial'no operatorov*, Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1963.
3. A. M. Molcanov, *On conditions for the discreteness of the spectrum of a differential operator of second order* (Russian), Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč. 2 (1953), 169–200.
4. Daniel Ray, *On the spectra of second order differential operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1954), 299–321.
5. F. Rellich, *Das Eigenwertproblem von $\Delta u + \lambda u = 0$ in Halbröhren*, in Essays presented to R. Courant, Interscience, New York, 1948, 329–344.
6. M. Rosenblatt, *On a class of Markov processes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 71 (1951), 120–135.
7. E. C. Titchmarsh, *Eigenfunction expansions associated with second order differential equations*, Part II, Oxford Univ. Press, 1958.

THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA