A RELATION BETWEEN MOMENT GENERATING FUNCTIONS AND CONVERGENCE RATES IN THE LAW OF LARGE NUMBERS

BY D. L. HANSON¹

Communicated by M. Loève, July 20, 1966

Let X_N for $N=0, \pm 1, \cdots$ be independent random variables with finite first absolute moments; let $A_N = \{a_{N,k}: k=0, \pm 1, \cdots\}$; let $||A_N||_{\infty} = \sup_k |a_{N,k}|$ and $||A_N||_p = [\sum_k |a_{N,k}|^p]^{1/p}$ for $1 \le p < \infty$; let $S_N = \sum_k a_{N,k}(X_k - EX_k)$; and let p and q be numbers in $[1, \infty]$ satisfying 1/p+1/q=1.

THEOREM. Suppose there exist positive constants M, γ , and $1 \leq p \leq 2$ such that for $0 < x < \infty$ and all values of k

(1)
$$P\{ |X_k - EX_k| \ge x \} \le \int_x^\infty M \exp(-\gamma t^p) dt.$$

Suppose $||A_N||_2$ and $||A_N||_q$ are finite for all N. Then

$$T_N = \lim_{\alpha \to -\infty; \beta \to \infty} \sum_{k=\alpha}^{\beta} a_{N,k} (X_k - EX_k)$$

exists as an almost sure limit for each N and there exist positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that for every $\epsilon > 0$

$$P\{T_N \ge \epsilon\} \le \exp\left[-\min\left\{C_1\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\|A_N\|_2}\right)^2, C_2\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\|A_N\|_q}\right)^p\right\}\right].$$

The constants C_1 and C_2 which are obtained depend only on M, γ , and p. They do not depend in any other way on the distribution of the X_k 's and they do not depend on the coefficient sequences A_N .

When p=1 the condition (1) is equivalent to the existence of constants T>0 and C>0 such that $E \exp(tX_k) \leq \exp(Ct^2)$ for all k and all |t| < T; when 1 it is equivalent to the existence of a constant <math>C>0 such that $E \exp(tX_k) \leq \exp[C(t^2 + |t|^q)]$ for all k and t.

If
$$p = 1$$
 and $a_{N,k} = 1/N$ for $k = 1, \dots, N$,
= 0 otherwise,

¹ Research partially supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Office of Aerospace Research, United States Air Force, under AFOSR Grant Nr AF-AFOSR-746-65.

then this theorem reduces to the well known result (see [1] and [2]) giving exponential convergence rates in the law of large numbers; that is, the theorem guarantees $0 \leq \rho_{\epsilon} < 1$ such that $P\{T_N \geq \epsilon\} \leq (\rho_{\epsilon})^N$ for all N.

If p=1 and $||A_N||_1 \leq M < \infty$ for all N, then since $||A_N||_2^2 \leq ||A_N||_1 \\ \times ||A_N||_{\infty}$ we see that there exists $0 \leq \rho_{\epsilon} < 1$ such that $P\{T_N \geq \epsilon\} \\ \leq [\rho_{\epsilon}]^{1/||A_N||_{\infty}}$. The assumption made here about the distributions of the X_k 's is equivalent to that made in (1) of Theorem 1 of [3]. Thus we obtain Theorem 1 of [3] as a corollary to the theorem given above. We actually obtain a stronger result than Theorem 1 of [3] since it is not necessary for $||A_N||_1$ to even be finite for our theorem to hold.

If p=2, then $||A_N||_2 = ||A_N||_q$ and we obtain $P\{T_N \ge \epsilon\} \le [\rho_\epsilon]^{1/||A_N||_2^2}$ for some $0 \le \rho_\epsilon < 1$. This is essentially Chow's Lemma 2 in [4]. We can obtain generalized versions of his Theorems 1 and 2 from our theorem.

Note that no improvement can be obtained by taking p>2, and in fact not even by assuming a uniform bound on the X_k 's. The Central Limit Theorem seems to provide a bound on the rate of convergence obtained in this theorem.

A continuous case analogue similar to the theorem of [5] and proofs will be published elsewhere.

References

1. H. Cramér, Sur un nouveau théorème-limite de la théorie des probabilités, Actualités Sci. Indust., No. 736, Paris, 1938.

2. Herman Chernoff, A measure of asymptotic efficiency for tests of a hypothesis based on the sum of observations, Ann. Math. Statist. 23 (1952), 493-507.

3. D. L. Hanson and L. H. Koopmans, On the convergence rate of the law of large numbers for linear combinations of independent random variables, Ann. Math. Statist. 36 (1965), 559-564.

4. Y. S. Chow, Some convergence theorems for independent random variables, Tech. Report No. 63, Purdue University, Lafayette, Indiana, 1966.

5. D. L. Hanson and L. H. Koopmans, A probability bound for integrals with respect to stochastic processes with independent increments, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1965), 1173-1177.

UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI

96