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In this paper we show that every semisimple Banach algebra over $\boldsymbol{R}$ or $\boldsymbol{C}$ has the uniqueness of norm property, that is we show that if $\mathfrak{N}$ is a Banach algebra with each of the norms $\|\|\|$,$\| then these$ norms define the same topology. This result is deduced from a maximum property of the norm in a primitive Banach algebra (Theorem 1).

In the following $F$ is a field which may be taken throughout as $R$, the real field, or $\boldsymbol{C}$, the complex field. If $\mathfrak{X}$ is a normed space then $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{X})$ will denote the space of bounded linear operators on $\mathfrak{X}$.

Lemma 1. Let $F, G$ be closed subspaces of the Banach space $E$ such that $F+G=E$. Then there exists $L>0$ such that if $x \in E$ then there is an $f \in F$ with
(i) $\|f\| \leqq L\|x\|$.
(ii) $x-f \in G$.

Proof. The map $(f, g) \rightarrow f+g$ is a continuous map of $F \oplus G$ onto $E$ and so is open by the open mapping theorem [1, p. 34]. Thus there is $\delta>0$ such that if $y \in E$ with $\|y\|<\delta$ then there are $f^{\prime}, g^{\prime} \in G$ with $\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|,\left\|g^{\prime}\right\| \leqq 1$ and $f^{\prime}+g^{\prime}=y$. The result of the lemma then follows if we take $L=\delta^{-1}, y=x\|x\|^{-1} \delta$ and $f=f^{\prime} L\|x\|$.

Theorem 1. Let $\mathfrak{H}$ be a Banach algebra over $F$ and let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a normed space over $F$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{X}$ is a faithful strictly irreducible left $\mathfrak{Y}$ module and that the maps $\xi \rightarrow a \xi$ from $\mathfrak{X}$ into $\mathfrak{X}$ are continuous for each $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. Then there exists a constant $M$ such that

$$
\|a \xi\|^{\prime} \leqq M\|a\| \cdot\|\xi\|^{\prime}
$$

for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}, \xi \in \mathfrak{X}$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is the norm in $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\|\cdot\|^{\prime}$ the norm in $\mathfrak{X}$.
The theorem asserts that the natural map $\mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Q}(\mathfrak{X})$ is continuous. It is a much stronger version of [4, Theorem 2.2.7] but applicable only to primitive algebras. It would be interesting to know how far it can be generalized.

Proof. If $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}$ and $a \rightarrow a \xi(\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X})$ is continuous then the map $a \rightarrow a b$ $\rightarrow a b \xi$, being a composition of continuous maps, is continuous. Since $\mathfrak{X}$ is strictly irreducible, if $\xi \neq 0$ we can, by a suitable choice of $b$, make $b \xi$ any particular vector in $\mathfrak{X}$ and so if $a \rightarrow a \xi$ is continuous for one nonzero $\xi$ it is continuous for all $\xi$ in $\mathfrak{X}$. We shall deduce a contradic-
tion by assuming $a \rightarrow a \xi$ continuous only for $\xi=0$ and hence show that all these maps are continuous. We assume $\mathfrak{X} \neq\{0\}$ since this case is trivial.

The $\mathfrak{Y}$-module $\mathfrak{X}$ is of infinite dimension over $F$ since otherwise, as $\mathfrak{X}$ is faithful, $\mathfrak{U}$ would be a finite dimensional algebra and any linear map $\mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ would be continuous. Since $\mathfrak{X}$ is a strictly irreducible $\mathfrak{N}-$ module the norm on $\mathfrak{A}$ determines a complete norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathfrak{X}[4$, Theorem 2.2.6] and so the centralizer $\mathfrak{D}$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ on $\mathfrak{X}$ is isomorphic with $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{C}$ or the quarternions [4, Lemma 2.4.4] and in any case is of finite dimension over $F$. Since $\mathfrak{X}$ is of infinite dimension over $F$ it is of infinite dimension over $\mathfrak{D}$. We can thus choose a linearly independent (over (D) sequence $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \cdots$ from $\mathfrak{X}$ with $\left\|\xi_{i}\right\|^{\prime}=1$.

We now show that for each $K, \epsilon>0$ and for each positive integer $m$ there is $x \in \mathscr{H}$ such that
(i) $\|x\|<\epsilon$.
(ii)' $x \xi_{1}=x \xi_{2}=\cdots=x \xi_{m-1}=0$.
(iii) $\left\|x \xi_{m}\right\|^{\prime}>K$.

Put $J_{i}=\left\{a ; a \in \mathfrak{N}, a \xi_{i}=0\right\}$, then [3, p. 6, Theorem 2] $J_{i}$ is a maximal modular left ideal and $I=\left(J_{1} \cap J_{2} \cdots \cap J_{m-1}\right)+J_{m}$ is a left ideal containing $J_{m}$. Since $\xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{m}$ are linearly independent over $\mathfrak{D}$ we can find, by the density theorem [3, p. 28], $y \in \mathfrak{U}$ such that $y \xi_{1}=y \xi_{2}$ $=\cdots=y \xi_{m-1}=0$ and $y \xi_{m}=\xi_{m} \neq 0$. We have $y \in I, y \notin J_{m}$ so that $I$ contains $J_{m}$ properly and, by maximality of $J_{m}, I=\mathfrak{A}$. Take the number $L$ given by applying Lemma 1 with $E=\mathfrak{U}, F=J_{1} \cap J_{2} \cdots \cap J_{m-1}$, $G=J_{m}$. By the discontinuity of the $\operatorname{map} x \rightarrow x \xi_{m}$ we can find $x_{0} \in \mathfrak{U}$ satisfying (i)' with $\epsilon$ replaced by $\epsilon / L$ and (iii)'. Then, by Lemma 1 , there exists $x \in J_{1} \cap J_{2} \cdots \cap J_{m-1}$ (so that (ii)' holds for $x$ ), such that $x_{0}-x \in J_{m}$ (i.e. $x_{0} \xi_{m}=x \xi_{m}$ ) and $\|x\| \leqq L\left\|x_{0}\right\|<\epsilon$.

Now choose, by induction, a sequence $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots$ in $\mathfrak{A}$ such that
(i) ${ }^{\circ}\left\|x_{n}\right\|<2^{-n}$.
(ii) ${ }^{\circ} x_{n} \xi_{1}=\cdots=x_{n} \xi_{n-1}=0$.
(iii) ${ }^{\circ}\left\|x_{n} \xi_{n}\right\|^{\prime} \geqq n+\left\|x_{1} \xi_{n}+\cdots+x_{n-1} \xi_{n}\right\|^{\prime}$.

Put $z_{i}=\sum_{n>i} x_{n}$. Since $x_{n} \in J_{i}$ for $n>i$ and $J_{i}$ is closed in $\mathfrak{N}$ we see that $z_{i} \in J_{i}$, that is $z_{i} \xi_{i}=0$, and $z_{0}=x_{1}+\cdots+x_{i}+z_{i}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|z_{0} \xi_{i}\right\|^{\prime} & =\left\|x_{1} \xi_{i}+\cdots+x_{i} \xi_{i}+z_{i} \xi_{i}\right\|^{\prime} \\
& \geqq\left\|x_{i} \xi_{i}\right\|^{\prime}-\left\|x_{1} \xi_{i}+\cdots+x_{i-1} \xi_{i}\right\|^{\prime} \\
& \geqq i
\end{aligned}
$$

using (iii) ${ }^{\circ}$. Since $\left\|\xi_{i}\right\|^{\prime}=1$ this contradicts the hypothesis that $\xi \rightarrow z_{0} \xi$ is a bounded linear operator in $\mathfrak{X}$.

We have shown that $(a, \xi) \rightarrow a \xi$ is continuous $(\mathfrak{A},\| \|) \rightarrow(\mathfrak{X},\| \|$ )
for each $\xi \in \mathcal{X}$. The result of the theorem now follows since we also have that $(a, \xi) \rightarrow a \xi$ is continuous for fixed $a$ (by hypothesis) and so by [2, p. 38, Proposition 2] $(a, \xi) \rightarrow a \xi$ is jointly continuous.

Theorem 2. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a semisimple algebra over $R$ or C. Let $\|\|$, || ||' be norms on $\mathfrak{A}$ such that ( $\mathfrak{A},\| \|$ ) and ( $\mathfrak{A},\| \| \|^{\prime}$ ) are Banach algebras. Then the norms $\|\|\|$,$\| define the same topology on \mathfrak{N}$.

Proof. By [4, Chapter 2, §5, in particular p. 74] it is enough to prove the result for primitive $\mathfrak{N}$. Thus we are in the position of Theorem 1 with $\mathfrak{X}=\mathfrak{Y} / J$ for some maximal modular left ideal $J$ in $\mathfrak{N}$. We denote the quotient norms on $\mathfrak{X}$ obtained from $\|\|$ and $\| \|^{\prime}$ on $\mathfrak{U}$ by the same symbols. Suppose $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\left\|x_{n}-y\right\| \rightarrow 0\left(x_{n}, y \in \mathfrak{H}\right)$. Then for each $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}$ we have $\left\|x_{n} \xi-y \xi\right\|^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$. However using Theorem 1 we see that $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ implies $\left\|x_{n} \xi\right\|^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$ so that $y \xi=0$ for each $\xi \in \mathcal{X}$ and, since the representation is faithful, $y=0$. The closed graph theorem [1, p. 37] then shows that the identity map $(\mathfrak{H},\| \|) \rightarrow\left(\mathfrak{H},\| \|^{\prime}\right)$ is continuous and the result follows by arguing with $\|\|$ and $\| \|^{\prime}$ interchanged.
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