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By a valuation on a commutative ring $R$ with 1 we mean a pair $(v, \Gamma)$ where $\Gamma$ is an ordered (multiplicative) group with zero adjoined and $v$ is a map from $R$ onto $\Gamma$ satisfying
(1) $v(x y)=v(x) v(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$,
(2) $v(x+y) \leqq \max \{v(x), v(y)\}$ for all $x, y \in R$.

This generalizes the field concept; the insistence on "onto" is what allows us to generalize the main field theorems.

Proposition 1. Let $A$ be a subring of a ring $R, P$ a prime ideal of $A$. Then the following are equivalent:
(1) For each subring $B$ of $R$ and prime ideal $Q$ of $B$ with $A \subset B$, $Q \cap A=P$, one has $A=B$.
(2) For $x \in R \backslash A$ there exists a $y \in P$ with $x y \in A \backslash P$.
(3) There is a valuation ( $v, \Gamma$ ) on $R$ with

$$
A=\{x \in R \mid v(x) \leqq 1\}, \quad P=\{x \in R \mid v(x)<1\}
$$

We call pairs $(A, P)$ satisfying the three equivalent conditions valuation pairs.

Proposition 2. The valuations ( $v, \Gamma$ ) and ( $w, \Lambda$ ) determine the same valuation pair $(A, P)$ if and only if there is an order isomorphism $\phi$ of $\Gamma$ onto $\Lambda$ such that $w=\phi \circ v$.

Let the valuation $(v, \Gamma)$ determine the valuation pair $(A, P)$. Then an ideal $\mathfrak{A}$ of $A$ is called $v$-closed if $x \in \mathfrak{M}, y \in R$ and $v(y) \leqq v(x)$ implies $y \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Proposition 3. The v-closed ideals of $A$ are linearly ordered by inclusion. The v-closed prime ideals are in 1-1 correspondence with the isolated subgroups of $\Gamma$. If $\phi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma / \Sigma$ is the natural map with $\Sigma$ an isolated subgroup of $\Gamma$, then the v-closed prime ideal corresponding to $\Sigma$ is the ideal of the valuation pair determined by the valuation ( $\phi \circ v, \Gamma / \Sigma$ ).

Independence and dominance of valuations are defined as in [5] and the "same" computational lemmas are obtained.

Let $R$ be a ring extension of a ring $K$, $\left(v_{0}, \Gamma_{0}\right)$ a valuation on $K$. By an extension of ( $v_{0}, \Gamma_{0}$ ) to $R$ we mean a valuation ( $v, \Gamma$ ) on $R$ and an order isomorphism $\phi$ of $\Gamma_{0}$ into $\Gamma$ such that $v(x)=\phi \circ v_{0}(x)$ for all $x \in K$.

Proposition 4. A valuation ( $v_{0}, \Gamma_{0}$ ) on $K$ has extensions to $R$ if and only if $R \mathfrak{H} \cap K \subset \mathfrak{H}$ where $\mathfrak{H}=\left\{x \in K \mid v_{0}(x)=0\right\}$.

For the remainder of this announcement we assume that $R$ is an integral extension of $K$ and ( $v_{0}, \Gamma_{0}$ ) is a valuation on $K$. If $(v, \Gamma)$ is an extension of ( $v_{0}, \Gamma_{0}$ ) we identify and get $\Gamma_{0} \subset \Gamma$.

Proposition 5. The following hold:
(1) ( $v_{0}, \Gamma_{0}$ ) has extensions to $R$,
(2) $\Gamma / \Gamma_{0}$ is torsion for any extension ( $\left.v, \Gamma\right)$ of $\left(v_{0}, \Gamma_{0}\right)$,
(3) Given $x \in R$ there is an $x^{\prime} \in R$ such that $v\left(x x^{\prime}\right)=1$ for all extensions $(v, \Gamma)$ of $\left(v_{0}, \Gamma_{0}\right)$ with $v(x) \neq 0$.

Proposition 6. Let ( $v_{i}, \Gamma_{i}$ ) be pairwise independent extensions of $\left(v_{0}, \Gamma_{0}\right)$ and $\alpha_{i}$ nonzero elements of $\Gamma_{i}, i=1,2, \cdots, n$. Then there is an $x \in R$ such that $v_{i}(c)=\alpha_{i}$ for each $i$.

For $(v, \Gamma)$ an extension of ( $v_{0}, \Gamma_{0}$ ), define $e_{v}$ to be the index of $\Gamma_{0}$ in $\Gamma$ and $f_{v}$ be the rank of $A / P$ over $A_{0} / P_{0}$, where $(A, P)$ is the valuation pair determined by $(v, \Gamma)$ and $\left(A_{0}, P_{0}\right)$ the valuation pair determined by $\left(v_{0}, \Gamma_{0}\right)$. Let $n$ be the rank of $R / R \mathfrak{H}$ over $K / \mathfrak{Y}$, where $\mathfrak{N}=\left\{x \in K \mid v_{0}(x)=0\right\}$.

Proposition 7. Let ( $v_{i}, \Gamma_{i}$ ) $i=1,2, \cdots, r$, be extensions of $\left(v_{0}, \Gamma_{0}\right)$ which determine distinct valuation pairs. Then $\sum_{i=1}^{r} e_{v_{i}} f_{v_{i}} \leqq n$.

Results and definitions when $R$ is a Galois extension of $K$ are almost identical to those for fields as in [5], including the classical.

Proposition 8. efg $\pi^{d}=n$, where $e=e_{v}, f=f_{v}$ for any extension $(v, \Gamma)$ of $\left(v_{0}, \Gamma_{0}\right) ; g$ is the number of extensions of $\left(v_{0}, \Gamma_{0}\right) ; \pi$ is the characteristic of the residue ring $A_{0} / P_{0}$ if this is prime, 1 otherwise; $d$ is a nonnegative integer; and $n$ is the number of elements in a Galois group for $R$ over $K$.
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