## GERŠGORIN THEOREMS BY HOUSEHOLDER'S PROOF

## BY J. L. BRENNER

Communicated by I. Reiner, December 11, 1967

0. The method. Given an  $m \times m$  matrix  $A = [a_{ij}]$  of complex numbers, S. Geršgorin [4] proved that every proper value  $\lambda$  lies in the union of the *m* disks  $D_i$ , where  $D_i \equiv \{\lambda \mid |\lambda - a_{ii}| < R_i, R_i = \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|\}$ . Generalizations of this theorem have appeared in several papers, see for example [1], [3], [5], [7], [8], and a convenient summary in [6]. The theorem is derivable from the following (older) result, if we set  $B = A - \lambda I$ .

THEOREM 1. Let  $B = [b_{ij}]$  be a matrix of complex numbers. If B is not invertible, then for some i we must have  $|b_{ii}| \leq \sum_{j \neq i} |b_{ij}| = R_i$ .

COROLLARY.  $\forall_i \{ |b_{ii}| > R_i \} \Rightarrow B \text{ is invertible.}$ 

This is the contrapositive of Theorem 1. To prove Theorem 1, find  $x = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  so that Bx = 0; choose *i* so that  $x_i \neq 0$  and  $\forall_j \{ |x_i| \geq |x_j| \}$ . Then  $|b_{ii}| \leq \sum |b_{ij}| \cdot |x_j/x_i| \leq R_i$ .

Householder [5, p. 66] looks at the theorem from a different point of view. He writes B=D-C, where D is the diagonal part of B, i.e.  $D=[d_{ij}], d_{ij}=\delta_j^i \cdot b_{ij}$ , and C has zero diagonal. If  $\forall_i \{b_{ii} \neq 0\}$ , then  $B=D(I-D^{-1}C)$ . The condition  $||D^{-1}C|| < 1$  guarantees that B be invertible. The corollary follows on applying this condition and using the row-sum norm.

1. A new result. In the preceding paragraph, a known result was recovered by Householder's method. This does not demonstrate the full power of the method. In this section, we obtain a new result by the same method. (This result can be obtained also by other methods; see [2].)

DEFINITION. The notation

$$B\binom{1\cdots n}{1\cdots n}$$

means the minor matrix obtained from the large matrix B by retaining only rows  $1 \cdot \cdot \cdot n$  and columns  $1 \cdot \cdot \cdot n$ . The notation

$$B\binom{1\cdots n}{\{1\cdots n\}\setminus t, j}$$

means the minor matrix based on rows  $1 \cdots n$  and columns  $1 \cdots n$ , but with column t omitted and column j (j > n) appended.

LEMMA. Let  $c_{ik}$  be the t, k element of

$$W = B \begin{pmatrix} 1 \cdots n \\ 1 \cdots n \end{pmatrix}^{-1}.$$

Then

$$\left|\det\left\{WB\begin{pmatrix}1\cdot\cdot\cdot n\\ \{1\cdot\cdot\cdot n\}\setminus t,j\end{pmatrix}\right\}\right|=\left|\sum c_{tk}b_{kj}\right|.$$

**PROOF.** The matrix product Q on the left side of the lemma is equal to the identity matrix except in the *t*th column, which is replaced by the *j*th column as shown. The determinant of Q is therefore equal to the *t*, *t* element of Q, i.e. the inner product of the *t*th row of W by the *j*th column of B.

THEOREM 2. Let B be an  $m \times m$  matrix of complex numbers; let S(1), S(2),  $\cdots$  be a partitioning of  $\{1 \cdots m\}$  into disjoint sets. Let

$$V(r) = \begin{pmatrix} S(r) \\ S(r) \end{pmatrix}$$

be the (principal) submatrix of B on the rows and columns with indices in S(r). Let

$$U(\mathbf{r}, j, t) = A \begin{pmatrix} S(\mathbf{r}) \\ S(\mathbf{r}) \setminus j, t \end{pmatrix}$$

be the submatrix of B that uses rows with indices in S(r), and columns with indices from the same set, but with the column of index j deleted and the column of index t appended.

The matrix B is nonsingular if the following m inequalities hold among certain minor determinants of B:

$$\forall_{j\in \mathcal{S}(r)} \ \forall_r \left\{ \left| \det V(r) \right| > \sum_{t\in \mathcal{S}(r)} \left| \det U(r, j, t) \right| \right\}.$$

REMARK. If  $S(i) = \{i\}$ , this theorem reduces to the Geršgorin corollary.

PROOF. We write  $B = D - C = D(I - D^{-1}C)$  as before, but interpret D as the block diagonal  $V(1) + V(2) + \cdots$  of B. If we apply the lemma (read from right to left) to the matrix  $D^{-1}C$ , and use row-sum norm in the condition  $||D^{-1}C|| < 1$ , Theorem 2 follows.

626

COROLLARY. Every proper value of the matrix A lies in one or another of the m loci

$$\begin{vmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{r,r} - \lambda, & a_{r,r+1} \\ a_{r+1,r}, & a_{r+1,r+1} - \lambda \end{pmatrix} \end{vmatrix} \leq \sum_{\substack{i \neq r, r+1}}^{\prime\prime} \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{r,r} - \lambda & a_{r,i} \\ a_{r+1,r} & a_{r+1,i} \end{pmatrix} \right|,$$
$$\left| \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{r,r} - \lambda, & a_{r,r+1} \\ a_{r+1,r}, & a_{r+1,r+1} - \lambda \end{pmatrix} \right| \leq \sum^{\prime\prime} \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{r,i} & a_{r,r+1} \\ a_{r+1,i} & a_{r+1,r+1} - \lambda \end{pmatrix} \right|,$$

 $r=1, 3, 5, \cdots, m-1$ . (If m is odd, the last value of r is m-2, and the disk  $|a_{mm}-\lambda| \leq R_m$  must be appended.)

This corollary has been used in numerical analysis, in a case in which complex numbers are replaced by  $2 \times 2$  matrices.

## References

1. A. Brauer, Limits for the characteristic roots of a matrix, Duke Math. J. 19 (1952), 75-91.

2. J. L. Brenner, New root-location theorems for partitioned matrices, Summary Report, #748, MRC, Madison, Wis., 1967; SIAM J. Appl. Math. 16, No. 3 (1968).

3. D. G. Feingold and R. S. Varga, Block diagonally dominant matrices and generalizations of the Geršgorin circle theorem, Pacific J. Math. 12 (1962), 1241-1250.

4. S. Geršgorin, Über die Abgrenzung der Eigenwerte einer Matrix, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR 7 (1931), 749-754.

5. A. S. Householder, The theory of matrices in numerical analysis, Blaisdell, New York, 1964.

6. M. Marcus and H. Minc, A survey of matrix theory and matrix inequalities, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, Mass., 1964.

7. A. M. Ostrowski, Sur les conditions générales pour la regularité des matrices, Rend. Mat. e Appl. 10 (1951), 1-13.

8. O. Taussky, A recurring theorem on determinants, Amer. Math. Monthly 56 (1948), 672-676.

STANFORD RESEARCH INSTITUTE AND UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA