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1. Introduction. In this note we shall give some sufficient conditions for the existence of solutions of a certain type of boundary value problem (BVP) for delay-differential equations (d.d.e.'s). The conditions given are of two kinds, in Theorem 1 a relationship between the boundary conditions and the size of the interval under consideration implies the existence of solutions; in Theorem 4 the existence of solutions of delay-differential inequalities implies the existence of solutions. A discussion concerning the formulation of BVP's of the type considered here may be found in [1], [2], and [3]; these sources in turn reveal much of the literature concerning such problems.
2. The problem. Let $f$ be a real-valued continuous function defined on $R^{n+m+2} \times I$, where $I$ is the compact interval $[a, b]$. Let $h_{1}(t), \cdots$, $h_{n}(t), g_{1}(t), \cdots, g_{m}(t)$ be nonnegative continuous functions with domain $I$. Assume that $t-g_{i}(t)$ assumes the value $a$ at most a finite number of times as $t$ ranges over $I$ and $i=1, \cdots, m$. Define the real number $c$ by

$$
c=\min \left\{\min _{1 \leqq l \leqq n} \inf _{t \in I}\left(t-h_{i}(t)\right), \min _{1 \leqq j \leqq m} \inf _{t \in I}\left(t-g_{j}(t)\right)\right\}
$$

and let $J=[c, a]$. Let $\phi(t) \in C^{1}(J)$ and let $B$ be any real number; we then seek a function $x(t) \in C(J \cup I) \cap C^{1}(J) \cap C^{1}(I)$ having a piecewise continuous second derivative such that
(1) $x(t)=\phi(t), \quad x^{\prime}(t)=\phi^{\prime}(t), \quad t \in J, \quad x(b)=B, \quad \delta \leqq b$.
and

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)=f\left(x(t), x\left(t-h_{1}(t)\right)\right. & , \cdots, x\left(t-h_{n}(t)\right)  \tag{2}\\
& x^{\prime}(t), x^{\prime}\left(t-g_{1}(t)\right), \cdots, x^{\prime}\left(t-g_{m}(t), t\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for $a \leqq t \leqq \delta$.
In general we must expect that a solution of problem (1) - (2) will have a discontinuous derivative at $t=a$, and therefore the second derivative will in general only be piecewise continuous if the right side of (2) depends on delays in $x^{\prime}$.

[^0]3. Existence results. Consider now the BVP (1)-(2).

Theorem 1. Let $M>0, N>0$ be given and let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q=\sup \left\{\left|f\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n+m+2}, t\right)\right|:\left|x_{i}\right| \leqq 2 M, i=1, \cdots, n+1 ;\right. \\
& \left.\left|x_{j}\right| \leqq 2 N, j=n+2, \cdots, n+m+2 ; a \leqq t \leqq b\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then if $b, a<b \leqq b$, is chosen so that

$$
\bar{b}-a \leqq \min \left\{(8 M / Q)^{1 / 2}, 2 N / Q\right\},
$$

$B V P$ (1)-(2) has a solution for any $\phi \in C^{1}(J)$ with $|\phi(t)| \leqq M$, $\left|\phi^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqq N$ and any real number $B,|B| \leqq M$ and

$$
|(\phi(a)-B) /(\bar{b}-a)| \leqq N .
$$

The proof of Theorem 1 may be obtained by means of the SchauderTychonoff Fixed Point Theorem in the following way. We define a mapping $T$ from the Banach space

$$
(B,\|\cdot\|)=\left(C[c, b] \cap C^{1}[c, a] \cap C^{1}[a, b],\|\cdot\|\right),
$$

where

$$
\|x\|=\sup _{c \leq t \leq \bar{b}}|x(t)|+\max \left\{\sup _{c \leq t \leq a}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|, \sup _{a \leq t \leq \bar{b}}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|\right\}
$$

into $B$ by

$$
T x(t)=\int_{a}^{\bar{b}} \bar{G}(t ; s) f\left(x(s), \cdots, x^{\prime}(s), \cdots, s\right) d s+l(t)
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\bar{G}(t ; s) & =G(t ; s), & & a \leqq t \leqq \delta, \\
& =0, & & \\
& c \leqq t \leqq a, & a \leqq s \leqq \delta,
\end{array}
$$

$G(t ; s)$ is the Green's function with respect to the BVP

$$
x^{\prime \prime}=0, \quad x(a)=0=x(b)
$$

and $l(t)$ is the function

$$
\begin{aligned}
l(t) & =\phi(t), \quad c \leqq t \leqq a, \\
& =\frac{B-\phi(a)}{\bar{b}-a}(t-a)+\phi(a), \quad a \leqq t \leqq \delta .
\end{aligned}
$$

One may then show that $T$ has a fixed point. Fixed points of $T$, however, are solutions of BVP (1)-(2).

The following corollary is important in the proof of the results to follow.

Corollary 2. Assume there exists a constant $Q$ such that $|f| \leqq Q$ on $R^{n+m+2} \times I$. Then any $B V P(1)-(2)$ has a solution.

Definition. A function $\alpha(t) \in C(J \cup I) \cap C^{1}(J) \cap C^{1}(I)$ having a piecewise continuous second derivative is called a lower solution with respect to BVP (1)-(2) provided
(i) $\alpha(t) \leqq \phi(t), \quad t \in J, \quad \alpha(b) \leqq B$,
(ii) $\alpha^{\prime \prime}(t) \geqq f\left(\alpha(t), \alpha\left(t-h_{1}(t)\right), \cdots, \alpha^{\prime}(t), \alpha^{\prime}\left(t-g_{1}(t)\right), \cdots, t\right)$

$$
\text { for } a \leqq t \leqq b
$$

An upper solution $\beta$ of (1)-(2) is defined by reversing the inequalities in (i) and (ii).

Consider now the d.d.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)=f\left(x(t), x\left(t-h_{1}(t)\right), \cdots, x\left(t-h_{n}(t)\right), x^{\prime}(t), t\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3. Let there exist a constant $Q$ such that $|f| \leqq Q$. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be lower and upper solutions of $B V P(1)-(3)$ with $\alpha(t) \leqq \beta(t)$ for $t \in I$. Furthermore, assume that $f$ is nonincreasing in the second through $(n+1)$ st argument. Then there exists a solution $x(t)$ of $B V P$ (1)-(3) such that $\alpha(t) \leqq x(t) \leqq \beta(t)$ for $t \in I$.

Making use of Lemma 3 we may now obtain results for d.d.e.'s of the form (3) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)=f\left(x(t), x\left(t-h_{1}(t)\right), \cdots, x\left(t-h_{n}(t)\right), t\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4. Let $f$ be nonincreasing in the second through $(n+1)$ st argument. Then $B V P(1)-(4)$ has a solution if and only if there exist lower and upper solutions $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of (1)-(4) with $\alpha(t) \leqq \beta(t)$ on $I$.

This theorem is very useful in many instances where lower and upper solutions may easily be found. Consider e.g. the following BVP:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x(t)=\phi(t), \quad c \leqq t \leqq a, \quad x(b)=B  \tag{5}\\
& x^{\prime \prime}(t)=x(t)-x(t-h(t)), \quad a \leqq t \leqq b \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Then it is clear that

$$
\beta=\max \left\{\sup _{c \leqq t \leqq a} \phi(t), B\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha=\min \left\{\inf _{c \leqq t \leqq a} \phi(t), B\right\}
$$

are upper and lower solutions of (5)-(6). Hence there exists a solution $x(t)$ of (5)-(6) such that $\alpha \leqq x(t) \leqq \beta$.

Results similar to Theorem 4 for BVP (1)-(3) may be obtained provided some condition is imposed on $f$ which guarantees a bound
on the derivative of a solution in terms of a bound on the solution. For example if $f$ satisfies a growth condition

$$
|f| \leqq C_{1}+C_{2}\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2}
$$

where $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are nonnegative functions of the remaining arguments, then the existence of lower and upper solutions $\alpha$ and $\beta$, $\alpha(t) \leqq \beta(t)$, implies the existence of a solution of BVP (1)-(3).

Proofs of the above results and other existence theorems concerning such BVP's and periodic solutions of d.d.e.'s will appear elsewhere.
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