## EXTENDING COHERENT ANALYTIC SHEAVES THROUGH SUBVARIETIES

## BY YUM-TONG SIU<sup>1</sup>

Communicated by Arthur Mattuck, July 29, 1968

We announce the following

THEOREM I. Suppose V is a subvariety of dimension  $\leq n$  in a (not necessarily reduced) complex space X and F is a coherent analytic sheaf on X - V with codh  $\mathfrak{F} \geq n+3$ . Let  $\theta: X - V \rightarrow X$  be the inclusion map. Then  $\theta_0(\mathfrak{F})$  is a coherent analytic sheaf on X extending F (where  $\theta_q(\mathfrak{F})$  is the qth direct image of F under  $\theta$ ).

The case n=0 was proved in [7]. The case where X is a manifold of dimension n+3 was proved in [5].

We give here only a very brief outline of the proof together with some related results and application. Details will appear elsewhere.

Suppose  $\mathfrak{F}$  is an analytic sheaf on a complex space X and n is a nonnegative integer. We denote by  $\mathfrak{F}^{[n]}$  the analytic sheaf on X defined by the following presheaf: if  $U \subset W$  are open subsets of X, then  $\mathfrak{F}^{[n]}(U) =$  the direct limit of  $\{\Gamma(U-A, \mathfrak{F}) | A \in \mathfrak{A}\}$ , where  $\mathfrak{A}$  is the set of all subvarieties of dimension  $\leq n$  in U directed by inclusion, and  $\mathfrak{F}^{[n]}(W) \to \mathfrak{F}^{[n]}(U)$  is induced by restriction maps. There is a canonical sheaf-homomorphism from  $\mathfrak{F}$  to  $\mathfrak{F}^{[n]}$ . We denote by  $O_{[n]\mathfrak{F}}$ the analytic subsheaf of  $\mathfrak{F}$  defined as follows: for  $x \in X$ ,  $s \in (O_{[n]\mathfrak{F}})_x$ if and only if there exist an open neighborhood U of x in X, a subvariety A in U of dimension  $\leq n$ , and  $t \in \Gamma(U, \mathfrak{F})$  such that  $t_x = s$  and  $t_y = 0$  for  $y \in U-A$ .

PROPOSITION 1 [6]. Suppose  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a coherent analytic sheaf on a complex space X and n is a nonnegative integer.

(a) If  $O_{[n+1]\mathfrak{F}}=0$ , then  $\mathfrak{F}^{[n]}$  is coherent and the subvariety where  $\mathfrak{F}^{[n]}$  is not isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{F}$  canonically is of dimension  $\leq n$ .

(b) If  $\mathfrak{F}$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{F}^{[n]}$ , then  $O_{[n+1]\mathfrak{F}}=0$ .

The following can be proved from Proposition 1 and by induction on n.

**PROPOSITION 2.** Suppose  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a coherent analytic sheaf on a complex space X and n is a nonnegative integer such that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is canonically iso-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Supported partially by NSF Grant GP-7265.

morphic to  $\mathfrak{F}^{[n]}$ . Then for  $-1 \leq k < n$  the subvariety  $\{x \in X | \operatorname{codh} \mathfrak{F}_x \leq k+2\}$  has dimension  $\leq k$ .

Let  $z_1, \dots, z_N$  and  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  be respectively coordinates of  $\mathbb{C}^N$ and  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $\mathfrak{O}$  and  $\mathfrak{O}$  be respectively the structure-sheaves of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ and  $\mathbb{C}^N \times \mathbb{C}^n$ . For  $0 \leq a < b$  and  $\rho > 0$ , let

$$G(b) = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^N \mid \max(|z_1|, \cdots, |z_N|) < b \},\$$
  

$$G(a, b) = \{ z \in G(b) \mid a < |z_i| \text{ for some } 1 \leq i \leq N \},\$$

and  $K(\rho) = \{t \in \mathbb{C}^n | \max(|t_1|, \cdots, |t_n|) < \rho\}$ . K = K(1). Let  $\pi: G(a, b) \times K \to K$  be the projection.

PROPOSITION 3. Suppose  $0 < \bar{a} < a < b < \bar{b}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a coherent analytic sheaf on  $G(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \times K$  such that  $\operatorname{codh} \mathfrak{F} \ge n+3$  and  $t_n$  is not a zero-divisor for  $\mathfrak{F}_x$  for  $x \in G(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \times 0$ . Suppose  $\mathfrak{F}/t_n\mathfrak{F}$  can be extended to a coherent analytic sheaf  $\mathfrak{G}$  on  $G(\bar{b}) \times K$  such that  $\operatorname{codh} \mathfrak{G} \ge n+1$  and  $t_1, \cdots, t_{n-1}$ is a  $\mathfrak{G}_x$ -sequence for  $x \in G(\bar{b}) \times 0$ . Then  $(\pi_1(\mathfrak{F}))_0$  is finitely generated over  $\mathfrak{O}_0$ .

The proof of Proposition 3 is rather complicated where modifications of techniques of [1] and [2] are used.

PROPOSITION 4. Suppose a, b,  $\tilde{a}$ ,  $\tilde{b}$ , and  $\mathfrak{F}$  are as in Proposition 3. If  $z_j - z_j(x)$  is not a zero-divisor for  $\mathfrak{F}_x$  for  $x \in G(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) \times K$  and  $1 \leq j \leq N$ . Then for some a < c < d < b and  $0 < \rho < 1 \Gamma(G(c, d) \times K(\rho), \mathfrak{F})$  generates  $\mathfrak{F}$  on  $G(c, d) \times K(\rho)$ .

PROOF (SKETCH). Consider

(\*)<sub>k</sub> For some a < c < d < b and  $0 < \rho < 1$  there exists a subvariety Z in  $G(c, d) \times K(\rho)$  such that  $\Gamma(G(c, d) \times K(\rho), \mathfrak{F})$  generates  $\mathfrak{F}$  on  $G(c, d) \times K(\rho) - Z$  and dim  $Z \cap G(c, d) \times 0 \leq k$ .

The Proposition follows by proving  $(*)_k$  by backward induction on k for  $0 \le k \le N$ . For the induction process we need only prove the following.

(†) If Z is a positive-dimensional subvariety of  $G(a, b) \times 0$ , then for some  $x \in Z$  and some  $0 < \rho < 1$   $\Gamma(G(a, b) \times K(\rho), \mathfrak{F})$  generates  $\mathfrak{F}_x$ .

To prove  $(\dagger)$ , choose  $1 \leq j \leq N$  and  $\{x_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \subset Z$  such that  $|z_j(x_m)| > a$ and  $|z_j(x_m)| \rightarrow b$ . Let  $V_m = \{x \in G(a,b) \times K | z_j(x) = z_j(x_m)\}$  and  $V = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} V_m$ . Let f be a holomorphic function on  $G(b) \times K$  generating the ideal-sheaf of V. The short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{F} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}/f\mathfrak{F} \rightarrow 0$ (where  $\phi$  is defined by multiplication by f) gives rise to the exact sequence

(#) 
$$(\pi_0(\mathfrak{F}))_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha} (\pi_0(\mathfrak{F}/f\mathfrak{F}))_0 \xrightarrow{\beta} (\pi_1(\mathfrak{F}))_0.$$

Let  $\gamma: \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}^p \to \mathfrak{F}$  be a sheaf-epimorphism on  $\{x \in G(b) \times K(\frac{1}{2}) \mid a < |z_j(x)|\}$ .  $\gamma$  induces  $\gamma': \tilde{\mathfrak{O}}^p/f^{\tilde{p}} \to \mathfrak{F}/f\mathfrak{F}$ . Let  $s_m^{(i)} \in (\pi_0(\mathfrak{F}/f\mathfrak{F}))_0$  be induced under  $\gamma'$  by the *p*-tuple of holomorphic functions on V which is  $(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$  on  $V_m$  with 1 in the *i*th place and is zero otherwise. By considering the direct sum of p copies of (#) and using Proposition 3 we obtain  $a_1, \dots, a_{m-1} \in \mathfrak{O}_0$  for some m such that for all  $1 \leq i \leq p \quad \beta(s_m^{(i)} - \sum_{q=1}^{m-1} a_q s_q^{(i)}) = 0$ . For some  $t_m^{(1)}, \dots, t_m^{(p)}$   $\in (\pi_0(\mathfrak{F}))_0, \quad \alpha(t_m^{(p)}) = s_m^{(i)}. \mathfrak{F}_{x_m}$  is generated by sections of  $\mathfrak{F}$  inducing  $t_m^{(1)}, \dots, t_m^{(p)}.$  Q.E.D.

PROPOSITION 5. Suppose D is a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $0 \leq a < b$ , and  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a coherent analytic sheaf on  $G(b) \times D$ . If  $\mathfrak{F}^{(n-1)} \approx \mathfrak{T}$ , then the restriction map  $\phi \colon \Gamma(G(b) \times D, \mathfrak{F}) \to \Gamma(G(a, b) \times D, \mathfrak{F})$  is injective. If  $\mathfrak{F}^{(n)} \approx \mathfrak{F}$ , then  $\phi$  is surjective.

PROOF (SKETCH). The injectivity of  $\phi$  follows from Proposition 1(b). For the surjectivity of  $\phi$  consider first the special case codh  $\mathfrak{F} \geq n+2$ . For the general case use Proposition 2 and induction on n. Q.E.D.

PROPOSITION 6. Suppose D is a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $0 \leq a < a' < b$ , and  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a coherent analytic sheaf on  $G(a, b) \times D$  with  $\mathfrak{F}^{[n+1]} \approx \mathfrak{F}$ . Then the restriction map  $\Gamma(G(a, b) \times D, \mathfrak{F}) \rightarrow \Gamma(G(a', b) \times D, \mathfrak{F})$  is bijective.

PROOF (SKETCH). Use Proposition 5 and consider the restriction maps  $\Gamma((G(a, b) \cap U_i) \times D, \mathfrak{F}) \to \Gamma((G(a', b) \cap U_i) \times D, \mathfrak{F})$  and  $\Gamma((G(a, b) \cap U_i \cap U_j) \times D, \mathfrak{F}) \to \Gamma((G(a', b) \cap U_i \cap U_j) \times D, \mathfrak{F}),$  where  $U_i = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^N | |z_i(x)| > a\}.$  Q.E.D.

By using Propositions 1, 2, 4, 5, and 6 and by induction on n, we can obtain

THEOREM II. Suppose D is a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^N$ ,  $0 \leq a < b$ , and  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a coherent analytic sheaf on  $G(a, b) \times D$  with  $\mathfrak{F}^{[n+1]} \approx \mathfrak{F}$ .

(a) There exists a coherent analytic sheaf  $\mathfrak{F}'$  on  $G(b) \times D$  which extends  $\mathfrak{F}$  and satisfies  $(\mathfrak{F}')^{[n+1]} \approx \mathfrak{F}'$ .

(b) If  $\mathfrak{F}'$  and  $\mathfrak{F}''$  are two coherent analytic sheaves on  $G(b) \times D$  both extending  $\mathfrak{T}$  such that  $(\mathfrak{F}')^{[n+1]} \approx \mathfrak{F}'$  and  $(\mathfrak{F}'')^{[n+1]} \approx \mathfrak{F}''$ , then there exists a unique sheaf-isomorphism from  $\mathfrak{F}'$  to  $\mathfrak{F}''$  which is equal to the identity map of  $\mathfrak{F}$  on  $G(a, b) \times D$ .

As a corollary of Theorem II we have

THEOREM III. Suppose V is a subvariety of dimension  $\leq n$  in a com-

1969]

plex space X and  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a coherent analytic sheaf on X - V. If  $\mathfrak{F}^{[n+1]} \approx \mathfrak{F}$ , then  $\theta_0(\mathfrak{F})$  is a coherent analytic sheaf on X extending  $\mathfrak{F}$ , where  $\theta: X - V \to X$  is the inclusion map.

Theorem I follows from Theorem III and Korollar zu Satz III of [3].

Theorem III answers in the affirmative the following question posed by Serre [4, p. 372]: Suppose V is a subvariety of codimension  $\geq 3$  in a normal reduced complex space X. If  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a reflexive coherent analytic sheaf on X - V, is  $\theta_0(\mathfrak{F})$  coherent (where  $\theta: X - V \rightarrow X$  is the inclusion map)?

## References

1. A. Andreotti and H. Grauert, Théorèmes de finitude pour la cohomologie des espaces complexes, Bull. Soc. Math. France 90 (1962), 193-259.

2. H. Grauert, Ein Theorem der analytischen Garbentheorie und die Modulräume komplexer Strukturen, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 5 (1960).

3. G. Scheja, Riemannsche Hebbarkeitssätze für Cohomologieklassen, Math. Ann. 144 (1961), 345–360.

4. J -P. Serre, Prolongement de faisceaux analytiques cohérents, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 16 (1966), 363-374.

5. Y.-T. Siu, Extension of locally free analytic sheaves, Math. Ann. (to appear).

6. ——, Absolute gap-sheaves and extensions of coherent analytic sheaves (to appear).

7. G. Trautmann, Ein Kontinuitätssatz für die Fortsetzung kohärenter analytischer Garben, Arch. Math. (Basel) 18 (1967), 188–196.

UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME, NOTRE DAME, INDIANA 46556