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Let $\Sigma$ denote the class of functions $F(\zeta)=\zeta+a_{0}+a_{1} / \zeta+\cdots$ regular in $1<|\zeta|<\infty$. In this paper the radius of convexity for the subclass $\Sigma_{\alpha}$ defined by the additional condition $\operatorname{Re} F^{\prime}(\zeta)>\alpha$, where $0 \leqq \alpha<1$, is determined. The results are sharpened for functions with missing terms in the expansion. The proofs are based on inequalities for analytic functions established by the author [3]. The functions $F(\zeta)$ are not assumed to be schlicht; in fact, the extremal functions for $\alpha<\frac{1}{2}$ will not be schlicht. It is not known whether the univalence of $F(\zeta)$ follows from the condition $\operatorname{Re} F^{\prime}(\zeta)>\frac{1}{2}$ for $R_{c}>|\zeta|>R>1$. The radius of convexity $\left(R_{c} \sim 1.78\right)$ for the class $\Sigma$ with the assumption of schlichtness is due to Goluzin [1, p. 136]; Robertson [2, Theorem 4] found $R_{c}=3^{1 / 2}$ for the subclass of schlicht and starlike functions. It will be shown that: for the class $\Sigma_{1 / 2}, R_{c}=3^{1 / 2} ;$ and $R_{c}<3^{1 / 2}$ for $\alpha>\frac{1}{2}$.

THEOREM 1. The radius of convexity, $R_{0}$, for functions $F(\zeta) \in \Sigma_{\alpha}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{0}^{2} \leqq\left\{\left[(3+c)^{2}+4 c\right]^{1 / 2}+(3+c)\right\} / 2 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=1-2 \alpha$.
Proof. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z) \equiv F^{\prime}(1 / z)=1+b_{1} z^{2}+\cdots \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From [4, Theorem 7], we have

$$
\left|\frac{h^{\prime}(z)}{h(z)}\right| \leqq \frac{2(1+c)|z|}{\left(1+c|z|^{2}\right)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)} \text { for }|z|<1 .
$$

By differentiation of (2) we obtain

$$
z h^{\prime}(z) / h(z)=-\zeta F^{\prime \prime}(\zeta) / F^{\prime}(\zeta)
$$

The condition for convexity $\operatorname{Re}\left(\zeta F^{\prime \prime}(\zeta) / F^{\prime}(\zeta)+1\right) \geqq 0$ will be satisfied if

$$
2(1+c)|z|^{2} \leqq\left(1+c|z|^{2}\right)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)
$$

This is equivalent to $|\zeta|>R_{0}$.
Let $p^{0}(z)=\left(1+c z^{2}\right) /\left(1-z^{2}\right)$, then $F^{0}(\zeta)=\zeta+[(c+1) / 2][\log (\zeta-1) /(\zeta+1)]$

[^0]will have $\operatorname{Re}\left(\zeta F^{\prime \prime}(\zeta) / F^{\prime}(\zeta)+1\right)=0$ for $\zeta=R_{0}$. We have $F^{0}(\zeta)=$ $\zeta-(c+1) / \zeta+\cdots$.

If $c>0$, i.e., $\alpha<\frac{1}{2},\left|a_{1}\right|>1$ and $F^{0}(\zeta)$ is not schlicht.
Corollary. For the special case, $\operatorname{Re} F^{\prime}(\zeta)>0$, we have $c=1$ and $R_{0}=\left[5^{1 / 2}+2\right]^{1 / 2} ;$ for $\operatorname{Re} F^{\prime}(\zeta)>\frac{1}{2}, c=0$ and $R_{0}=3^{1 / 2}$.

Theorem 2. Let $F(\zeta) \in \Sigma_{\alpha}$ have the expansion $F(\zeta)=\zeta+a_{0}+a_{n} / \zeta^{n}+$ $a_{n+1} / \zeta^{n+1}+\cdots$ then the radius of convexity

$$
R_{0}^{n+1}=\left\{\left[(n+2+n c)^{2}+4 c\right]^{1 / 2}+[n+2+n c]\right\} / 2
$$

The proof is similar to Theorem 1, based on the inequality [4]

$$
\left|h^{\prime}(z) / h(z)\right| \leqq(1+c) n|z|^{n-1} /\left[1-(1-c)|z|^{n}-c|z|^{2 n}\right]
$$

for functions with expansion $h(z)=1+c_{n} z^{n}+\ldots, n \geqq 1$.
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