

CYCLIC SUSPENSION OF KNOTS AND PERIODICITY OF SIGNATURE FOR SINGULARITIES¹

BY WALTER D. NEUMANN

Communicated by Glen E. Bredon, March 8, 1974

By a knot we mean a pair (S^m, M^{m-2}) with M^{m-2} a smooth closed oriented submanifold of S^m ($m \geq 3$). If such a knot is given and $i: S^m \rightarrow S^{m+2}$ is the standard embedding, then one can isotope i in an essentially unique way (Lemma 1 below) to an embedding $j: S^m \rightarrow S^{m+2}$ whose intersection with iS^m is $M \subset S^m$ transversally. The n -fold cyclic branched cover of (S^{m+2}, iS^m) branched along (jS^m, M^{m-2}) exists uniquely and is a manifold pair (S_n^{m+2}, M_n^m) , where S_n^{m+2} is diffeomorphic to the sphere. This pair we call the n -fold cyclic suspension of (S^m, M^{m-2}) , or briefly n -suspension.

This construction is motivated by the following theorem. Recall that if $g: (C^k, 0) \rightarrow (C, 0)$ is a polynomial with isolated singularity at zero, the link $K_g \subset S^{2k-1}$ of g is the intersection of $g^{-1}(0)$ with a sufficiently small sphere $S^{2k-1} \subset C^k$ at the origin.

THEOREM 1. *If $g: (C^k, 0) \rightarrow (C, 0)$ is a polynomial with isolated singularity at zero, and $f: (C^{k+1}, 0) \rightarrow (C, 0)$ is the polynomial $f(z_1, \dots, z_{k+1}) = g(z_1, \dots, z_k) + z_{k+1}^n$, then the link (S^{2k+1}, K_f) of f at zero is diffeomorphic to the n -suspension of the link (S^{2k-1}, K_g) of g .*

In particular we get a remarkably simple iterative topological construction of the Brieskorn manifolds [2] as repeated cyclic suspensions of torus links.

The above result has been announced independently by L. Kauffman [4] for weighted homogenous polynomials using an equivalent construction defined for knots whose complement $S^m - M^{m-2}$ fibres over S^1 (fibred knots). Another version is due to Bredon [1] when $n=2$.

ADDED IN PROOF. The full construction and Theorem 1 have been found independently by Kauffman (private communication); a more general construction, which for links of isolated singularities of polynomials $f(x)$ and $g(y)$ gives the link for $f(x)+g(y)$, has also been found independently by Kauffman and the author.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 32C40, 57C54; Secondary 57A65.
Key words and phrases. Knot, isolated singularity, signature.

¹ Research partly supported by the Sonderforschungsbereich Theoretische Mathematik 40 in Bonn.

For any knot (S^{2k+1}, M^{2k-1}) the *signature* is defined (as the signature of a Seifert surface if k is even or—up to sign—as the signature of the symmetrized Seifert linking form for arbitrary k). For the link (S^{2k+1}, K_r) of a singularity this is also called $\text{sign}(f)$.

THEOREM 2. *Let (S^{2k+1}, M_n^{2k-1}) , $n=1, 2, \dots$, be the cyclic suspensions of a knot (S^{2k-1}, M^{2k-3}) with M^{2k-3} $(k-3)$ -connected. Then there exists a unique $c \in \mathbf{R}$ such that*

$$\text{sign}(S^{2k+1}, M_n^{2k-1}) = cn + p(n),$$

where $p(n)$ is an almost periodic (hence bounded) function of n .

By “almost periodic” we mean the restriction of an almost periodic function (linear combination of periodic functions) from \mathbf{R} to \mathbf{R} . If all the periods are rational, then $p(n)$ is of course genuinely periodic. Before describing a case where this happens, let me remark that the connectivity assumption in Theorem 2 can be greatly weakened and probably dropped. It suffices that the knot be bordant to a knot having a Seifert surface F with $H_{k-2}(F; \mathbf{Q})=0$. For a fibred knot whose fibre F satisfies this condition, the data c and $p(n)$ of Theorem 2 are calculated out of the monodromy $\mu: H_{k-1}(F) \rightarrow H_{k-1}(F)$, and the intersection form on this group. In fact if the eigenvalues of μ of unit length are $\exp(2\pi i/q_j)$ ($0 < q_j \leq 1$, $j=1, \dots, r$), then c and $p(n)$ only depend on the part of $H_{k-1}(F)$ belonging to these eigenvalues, and the q_j are just the periods occurring in $p(n)$. In particular, the link of a singularity is such a fibred knot [5] and all the eigenvalues are roots of unity [3], so

COROLLARY. *If f is as in Theorem 1, then $\text{sign}(f)$, as a function of n , is of the form $cn + p(n)$ with $p(n)$ periodic of period the l.c.m. of the orders of the eigenvalues of the monodromy of f .*

The existence of such a statement had been conjectured by Brieskorn, Durfee, and Zagier.

Proofs. We will show the proof of Theorem 1 in some detail. The existence and uniqueness of the embedding j in the definition of cyclic suspension is given by the following lemma, which we deduce from Lemma 2 below.

LEMMA 1. *Let $M^{m-2} \subset N^m \subset X^{m+2}$ be closed oriented manifolds and smooth embeddings with N 2-connected. If $i: N \rightarrow X$ is the given embedding, there exists an embedding $j: N \rightarrow X$ such that*

- (a) j is transversal to i with intersection M ;
- (b) j is isotopic to i through embeddings satisfying (a).

Further, j is uniquely defined by these properties up to isotopy through embeddings satisfying (a).

LEMMA 2. *If $M^{m-2} \subset N^m$ are as in Lemma 1, then there exists a map $f: N \rightarrow D^2$ having zero as a regular value and $M = f^{-1}(0)$. Further f is unique up to homotopy through maps with the same property.*

To prove Lemma 2, note that its conclusion is equivalent to saying that there is a homotopy unique map $f_0: N - M \rightarrow S^1$ which restricts to a bundle trivialization $\partial U \rightarrow S^1$ of the boundary of a tubular neighbourhood of M in N . Standard algebraic topology shows the existence and uniqueness to be equivalent, respectively, to: the dual class of M in $H^2(N)$ is zero; $H^1(N) = 0$. This proves Lemma 2. To prove Lemma 1: the existence of a trivialized tubular neighbourhood $t: N \times D^2 \subset X$ of N in X is implied by $H^2(N) = 0$. With f as in Lemma 2, the map $j(x) = t(x, f(x))$ then satisfies (a) and (b). To see uniqueness, let j_1 be any map satisfying (a) and (b), and let $j_s, 0 \leq s \leq 1$, be the isotopy of (b). For $s > 0$ sufficiently small, j_s has the form $j_s = t(h_s(x), f_s(x))$ with f_s satisfying Lemma 2, and h_s a diffeomorphism of N which is isotopic to the identity by $h_r, 0 \leq r \leq s$. The uniqueness thus follows from the uniqueness in Lemma 2.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let f and g be as in Theorem 1. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ and put

$$S_\varepsilon^{2k+1} = \{z \in C^{k+1} \mid \|z\| = \varepsilon\},$$

and for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ put $S_\varepsilon(t) = \{z \in S_\varepsilon^{2k+1} \mid tg(z) + z_{k+1} = 0\}$. For ε small the $S_\varepsilon(t)$ are $(2k-1)$ -spheres and give an isotopy of the standard sphere $S_\varepsilon(0) = S_\varepsilon^{2k-1}$ to $S_\varepsilon(1)$. Also $S_\varepsilon(t) \cap S_\varepsilon(1) = K_g$ transversally for each $t < 1$. Thus we can take $S_\varepsilon(1) \subset S_\varepsilon^{2k+1}$ as our "standard embedding", and $S_\varepsilon(0) \subset S_\varepsilon^{2k+1}$ as the embedding j of Lemma 1. If

$$\bar{S}_\varepsilon^{2k+1} = \{z \in C^{k+1} \mid \|z_1\|^2 + \dots + \|z_k\|^2 + \|z_{k+1}\|^{2n} = \varepsilon^2\}$$

and

$$\bar{K}_f = \bar{S}_\varepsilon^{2k+1} \cap f^{-1}(0),$$

then $\pi: \bar{S}_\varepsilon^{2k+1} \rightarrow S_\varepsilon^{2k+1}$ given by $\pi(z_1, \dots, z_{k+1}) = (z_1, \dots, z_{k+1}^n)$ gives a branched covering $(\bar{S}_\varepsilon^{2k+1}, \bar{K}_f) \rightarrow (S_\varepsilon^{2k+1}, S_\varepsilon(1))$ branched along $(S_\varepsilon(0), K_g)$, and hence identifies $(\bar{S}_\varepsilon^{2k+1}, \bar{K}_f)$ as the n -suspension of $(S_\varepsilon^{2k-1}, K_g)$. It thus just remains to show that the "stretched" link $(\bar{S}_\varepsilon^{2k+1}, \bar{K}_f)$ is diffeomorphic to $(S_\varepsilon^{2k+1}, K_f)$. This is done by pushing the latter pair out to the "stretched" pair along a vector field defined on a small disc minus origin in $C^{k+1} - \{0\}$. Such a vector field w can be obtained as follows: by a slight sharpening of Lemma 5.9 of Milnor [5] there is a vector field v on a small disc minus origin in $C^k - \{0\}$ such that (in the notation of [5]) $\langle v(z), \text{grad log } g(z) \rangle = 1$ for $g(z) \neq 0$ and $\langle v(z), z \rangle$ has positive real part. Then the vector field $w_0(z) = (v(z_1, \dots, z_k), (z_{k+1}/n))$ is suitable on $C^k \times C - \{0\} \times C$, and $w_1(z) = (0, z_{k+1})$ is suitable in a thin neighbourhood of $\{0\} \times (C - \{0\})$, so pasting w_0 and w_1 with a partition of unity gives the required w .

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. First some fairly easy remarks.

- (i) Iterated cyclic suspensions commute with each other.
- (ii) Cyclic suspension preserves fibered structure of fibered knots.
- (iii) Bordisms of knots can be cyclically suspended.
- (iv) If F^{m-1} is a Seifert surface of (S^m, M^{m-2}) , then a typical Seifert surface F_n^{m+1} of the n -suspension is the n -fold branched cover of D^{m+1} along a properly embedded $F^{m-1} \subset D^{m+1}$ obtained by pushing $F^{m-1} \subset S^m = \partial D^{m+1}$ slightly into D^{m+1} .

Now given a knot (S^{2k-1}, M^{2k-3}) as in Theorem 2 or the subsequent remarks, the fact that 2-suspension preserves signature up to sign ([1], see also (v) below) and (i) above show we can assume k even. Also we can assume we have a Seifert surface F^{2k-2} with $H_{k-2}(F; \mathbb{Q})=0$, since (using (iv)) cyclic suspension preserves this property. This is enough to show (using C. T. C. Wall [6]) that cutting the branch locus F out of F_n in (iv) does not change the signature of F_n . We then have an unbranched covering and Theorem 2 becomes a special case of the following, which will be discussed in detail elsewhere.

THEOREM. *If X^{4r} is a compact manifold with boundary and, $a: X \rightarrow S^1$ is a map, let $X_n \rightarrow X$ be the induced cover from the n -fold cyclic cover $S^1 \rightarrow S^1$. Then as a function of n , $\text{sign}(X_n) = cn + p(n)$ with c constant and $p(n)$ almost periodic. Further, if $a|_{\partial X}$ is a fibration, then the periods of $p(n)$ are q_j ($j=1, \dots, r$) where $\exp(2\pi i/q_j)$ are the eigenvalues of unit length of the middle dimensional monodromy of $a|_{\partial X}$. (Actually in general $p(n)$ and $c - \text{sign}(X)$ are homotopy invariants of $(\partial X, a|_{\partial X})$ and are calculated out of a generalized "monodromy" analogous to monodromy of a fibration.)*

Finally, an alternative proof of Theorem 2—I do not know how feasible—is suggested by the fact:

- (v) n -suspension tensors the Seifert linking form of (S^{2k-1}, M^{2k-3}) by the $(n-1)$ -square matrix (up to sign)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & & & & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & & & & \cdot \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

This has also been shown by Kauffman for fibered knots, and by Bredon for $n=2$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Glen E. Bredon, *Regular $O(n)$ manifolds, suspension of knots, and knot periodicity*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **79** (1973), 87–91. MR **46** #9999.

2. E. Brieskorn, *Beispiele zur Differentialtopologie von Singularitäten*, Invent. Math. **2** (1966), 1–14. MR **34** #6788.
3. ———, *Die Monodromie der isolierten Singularitäten von Hyperflächen*, Manuscripta Math. **2** (1970), 103–161. MR **42** #2509.
4. Louis H. Kauffman, *Branched coverings, open books and knot periodicity*, Preprint and Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (1973), 619. Abstract #708-G7.
5. J. Milnor, *Singular points of complex hypersurfaces*, Ann. of Math. Studies, no. 61, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J.; Univ. of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1968. MR **39** #969.
6. C. T. C. Wall, *Non-additivity of the signature*, Invent. Math. **7** (1969), 269–274. MR **39** #7615.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT BONN, 53 BONN, GERMANY