

## CLASSIFICATION OF INVOLUTIVE BANACH-LIE ALGEBRAS<sup>1</sup>

BY K. P. HANSCOMBE

Communicated by Paul R. Halmos, April 21, 1977

1. The structure and classification theory of semisimple complex Lie algebras is extended to a class of infinite dimensional Banach-Lie algebras. The work abandons the use of a bilinear form, generalizing instead the notion of a compact form.

Following Bonsall and Duncan [1], an operator  $T$  on a Banach space is called Hermitian if  $|\exp(itT)| = 1$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . A complex Banach-Lie algebra  $E$  with involution  $*$  is called symmetric if  $\forall x \in E$ ,  $x = x^*$ , the operator  $\text{ad } x \in B(E)$  given by the left regular representation is Hermitian. If  $E$  is a symmetric Lie algebra then  $\{x \in E: x^* = -x\}$  is a natural analogue of a compact form. A Cartan subalgebra  $M$  of  $E$  is a maximal selfadjoint abelian subalgebra. Roots are defined as usual:  $\alpha \in M'$  is a root of  $E$  if the root space  $E(\alpha) = \{x \in E: [h, x] = \alpha(h)x \ \forall h \in M\} \neq \{0\}$ . The maximality of  $M$  implies  $E(0) = M$ , and for each nonzero root  $\alpha$ ,  $E(\alpha)$  is one dimensional.

A pair  $(E, M)$  is called chromatic if  $E$  is a semisimple symmetric Lie algebra with  $[E, E]$  dense in  $E$ ,  $M \subset E$  is a Cartan subalgebra, and the orbits  $G(x)$  in  $E$  under the action of the group  $G = \{\exp(iad h): h \in M, h = h^*\}$  are relatively compact. Henceforth,  $(E, M)$  will always denote an infinite dimensional chromatic pair, and  $\Delta$  will denote the system of nonzero roots of  $(E, M)$ .

Harmonic analysis shows that the linear span of all root spaces is dense in  $E$ . All results from the finite dimensional root theory carry through for chromatic pairs. A compactness argument on nets of finite dimensional subalgebras shows that two chromatic pairs with isomorphic root systems are algebraically isomorphic. Further,  $(E, M)$  has a Chevalley form, i.e. there exists  $\{x_\alpha, \tau_\alpha: \alpha \in \Delta\}$  such that  $x_\alpha \in E(\alpha)$ ,  $x_\alpha^* = x_{-\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha(\tau_\alpha) = 2$  where  $\tau_\alpha = [x_\alpha, x_{-\alpha}]$  and  $[x_\alpha, x_\beta] = n(\alpha, \beta)x_{\alpha+\beta}$  where  $n(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbf{Z}$ . The Cartan integers  $\alpha\langle\beta\rangle = \alpha(\tau_\beta)$  are independent of the choice of  $x_\alpha$ .

2. Henceforth,  $(E, M)$  will be simple (that is,  $\Delta$  will be indecomposable). Simple chromatic pairs can be classified; they fall into the four big classes  $A, B, C, D$ . The proof for the type  $A$  or  $D$  cases uses ideas due to Kibler (see Kaplansky [4]) but the lack of a bilinear form necessitates modifications.  $U \subset \Delta$

---

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 17B65, 17B20; Secondary 47D99.

<sup>1</sup> Partial results of author's thesis [3] under J. P. O. Silberstein.

is called a foundation if  $U$  is maximal with respect to  $\alpha\langle\beta\rangle = 1 \ \forall\alpha, \beta \in U, \alpha \neq \beta$ , and  $\Delta$  always contains a foundation of cardinality  $\geq 9$ . Following Kaplansky [4],  $\Delta$  is an  $H$ -system if  $\alpha\langle\beta\rangle = 0, \pm 1 \ \forall\alpha, \beta \in \Delta, \alpha \neq \beta$ . In the sequel, the notations  $\mathfrak{sl}(H; C_0)$  etc. follows de la Harpe's [2] usage, where  $H$  is a suitable Hilbert space.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $U \subset \Delta$  be a foundation of cardinality  $\geq 6$ . If  $\Delta$  is an  $H$ -system, then either*

1.  $\Delta = \Delta_U$ , the root system generated by  $U$ , in which case  $(E, M)$  is of type  $A$ , or
2.  $\Delta = \Delta_{U \cup \{\nu\}} \exists \nu \in \Delta \setminus \Delta_U$ , in which case  $(E, M)$  is of type  $D$ .

The proof depends on Lemma 2, adapted from Kaplansky [4],

**LEMMA 2.** *If  $\Delta, U$  are as above and  $\nu \in \Delta \setminus \Delta_U$  satisfies  $\nu\langle\mu\rangle = 1 \ \exists \mu \in U$ , then one of the following holds:*

1.  $\nu\langle\eta\rangle = \nu\langle\mu\rangle = 1 \ \exists \eta \in U, \eta \neq \mu; \nu\langle\xi\rangle = 0 \ \forall \xi \in U, \xi \neq \eta, \mu$ ,
2.  $\nu\langle\eta\rangle = 0 \ \exists \eta \in U, \eta \neq \mu; \nu\langle\xi\rangle = 1 \ \forall \xi \in U, \xi \neq \eta$ ;

and proceeds through a case-by-case study of possible root strings to show that  $\Delta$  is isomorphic to the root system of  $\mathfrak{sl}(H; C_0)$  or  $\mathfrak{o}(H, J_R; C_0)$ , and hence  $(E, M)$  is of type  $A$  or  $D$  respectively.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $U \subset \Delta$  be a foundation of cardinality  $\geq 9$ , and let  $\Gamma \subset \Delta$  be a maximal sub  $H$ -system such that  $U \subset \Gamma$ . If  $\Delta$  is not an  $H$ -system, then either*

1.  $\exists \alpha \in \Delta \setminus \Gamma$  such that  $\alpha\langle\eta\rangle = 2 \ \exists \eta \in U$ , in which case  $(E, M)$  is of type  $C$ , or
2.  $\exists \alpha \in \Delta \setminus \Gamma$  such that  $\eta\langle\alpha\rangle = 2 \ \exists \eta \in U$ , in which case  $(E, M)$  is of type  $B$ .

The proof depends on

**LEMMA 4.** *Let  $\Delta, \Gamma, U$  be as above.*

1. *If  $\alpha \in \Delta \setminus \Gamma$  satisfies  $\alpha\langle\eta\rangle = 2 \ \exists \eta \in U$ , then either*
  - (a)  $\alpha\langle\mu\rangle = 2 \ \forall \mu \in U$ , or
  - (b)  $\alpha\langle\mu\rangle = 0 \ \forall \mu \in U, \mu \neq \eta$ , in which case  $\alpha'\langle\mu\rangle = 2 \ \forall \mu \in U$ ,*where  $\alpha' = 2\eta - \alpha$ .*
2. *If  $\alpha \in \Delta \setminus \Gamma$  satisfies  $\eta\langle\alpha\rangle = 2 \ \exists \eta \in U$ , then either*
  - (a)  $\mu\langle\alpha\rangle = 2 \ \forall \mu \in U$ , or
  - (b)  $\mu\langle\alpha\rangle = 0 \ \forall \mu \in U, \mu \neq \eta$ , in which case  $\mu\langle\alpha'\rangle = 2 \ \forall \mu \in U$ ,*where  $\alpha' = \eta - \alpha$ ;*

and shows via a case-by-case analysis that  $\Delta$  is isomorphic to the root system of  $\mathfrak{sp}(H, J_Q; C_0)$  or  $\mathfrak{o}(H, J_R; C_0)$  and hence that  $(E, M)$  is of type  $C$  or  $B$  respectively.

Thus any simple chromatic pair is the completion, in a suitable norm, of a classical simple involutive Lie algebra of operators on a Hilbert space.

## REFERENCES

1. F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Numerical ranges of operators on normed spaces and of elements of normed algebras*, London Math. Society Lecture Note Series 2, Cambridge Univ. Press, London and New York, 1971. MR 44 # 5779.
2. P. de la Harpe, *Classical Banach-Lie algebras and Banach-Lie groups of operators in Hilbert space*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 285, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1972.
3. K. P. Hanscombe, *Thesis*, University of Western Australia, 1976.
4. I. Kaplansky, *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, Scripta Math. 29 (1973), 237–241.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN AUSTRALIA,  
NEDLANDS, W. A., 6009, AUSTRALIA