

SPLITTINGS OF SURFACES

RICHARD K. SKORA

Let F be a compact 2-manifold without boundary and with Euler characteristic $\chi(F) < 0$. Only for convenience endow F with a fixed hyperbolic structure, i.e., a discrete, faithful representation of the fundamental group $\pi_1 F$ into the space of isometries of hyperbolic 2-space. *Teichmüller space*, $\mathcal{T}(F)$, is the space of all hyperbolic structures on F divided out by conjugation. W. P. Thurston [Th1] showed that $\mathcal{T}(F)$ admits a compactification as a ball of dimension $-3\chi(F)$. There is a natural identification of the interior of the ball with $\mathcal{T}(F)$ and the boundary of the ball with the space of projective measured geodesic laminations on F (defined below).

J. W. Morgan and P. B. Shalen [MS1, Mo] considered a more general problem. Let Γ be a finitely generated, nonvirtually Abelian group and let $\mathcal{D}_n = \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, \text{Isom}(H^n))$ be the space of discrete, faithful representations of Γ into the group of isometries of hyperbolic n -space divided out by conjugation. They showed that \mathcal{D}_n admits a compactification $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_n$ where each point of $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_n - \mathcal{D}_n$ corresponds to a small action of Γ on an \mathbf{R} -tree. When $\Gamma = \pi_1 F$ and $n = 2$, they too show that their boundary $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_n - \mathcal{D}_n$ is homeomorphic to the space of projective measured geodesic laminations on F .

An \mathbf{R} -tree is a metric space (T, d) , such that any two distinct points are joined by a unique arc and every arc is isometric to an interval in \mathbf{R} . It is understood that if a group acts on an \mathbf{R} -tree, then it acts by isometries and there is no invariant, proper subtree. An action is *small* if the stabilizer of each arc does not contain a free group of rank two.

The above results motivate studying small actions of Γ on \mathbf{R} -

Received by the editors June 28, 1989 and, in revised form, December 20, 1989.
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 30F25; Secondary 32G15, 22E40, 20F32.

Key words and phrases. Surface, hyperbolic structure, lamination, tree.

Supported in part by a SUNY Research Development Grant and by a National Science Foundation Postdoctoral Research Fellowship.

trees. When $\Gamma = \pi_1 F$, we completely characterize small actions and answer a question from [Sh]. Recall that the only small subgroups of $\pi_1 F$ are cyclic groups. Here is our main theorem (definitions follow immediately). It has a generalization for a compact 2-manifold with boundary.

Theorem. *Let $\pi_1 F \times T \rightarrow T$ be an action on an \mathbf{R} -tree. Then $\pi_1 F \times T \rightarrow T$ is dual to a measured geodesic lamination if and only if the stabilizer of each arc is cyclic.*

A geodesic lamination \mathcal{L} is a closed subset of F , such that each path component is a simple geodesic. A geodesic lamination is *discrete* if it is a finite union of simple closed geodesics. Say an arc in F is *transverse* to \mathcal{L} if its endpoints lie on the complement of \mathcal{L} and it is transverse locally. A *transverse measure* μ is a function from the set of transverse arcs to the set $[0, +\infty)$, such that (i) $\mu(\gamma + \gamma') = \mu(\gamma) + \mu(\gamma')$; and (ii) $\mu(\gamma) = \mu(\gamma')$, whenever γ, γ' differ by a 1-parameter family of transverse arcs. One may think of a transverse measure on a discrete geodesic lamination as simply an assignment of weights to each geodesic. The set of measured discrete geodesic laminations is dense in the space of measured geodesic laminations [Th1].

Let $\mathbf{H}^2 \rightarrow F$ be the universal covering. Given a measured geodesic lamination (\mathcal{L}, μ) in F its preimage in \mathbf{H}^2 is $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \tilde{\mu})$. Say the action $\pi_1 F \times T \rightarrow T$ is *dual* to (\mathcal{L}, μ) if there is an equivariant, locally constant map $p: \mathbf{H}^2 - \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow T$, such that $\tilde{\mu}(\gamma) = d(p(\gamma(0)), p(\gamma(1)))$, for every transverse arc $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^2$ meeting each path component of \mathcal{L} at most once.

Morgan and J.-P. Otal [MO] proved the above theorem under an additional geometric hypothesis (cp. [Sk]). And H. Gillet and P. B. Shalen [GS] proved it under the additional hypothesis that the action has rank equal to 1 or 2.

The techniques of J. Stallings [MS1] prove the theorem when the \mathbf{R} -tree is a simplicial tree. In this case it has the following interpretation. The Bass–Serre theory [Se] implies that the action on the simplicial tree gives a *splitting* of $\pi_1 F$, e.g., a free product with amalgamation or HNN-extension. And the lamination which will be discrete is called a *splitting* of F .

1. DEGENERATIONS OF HYPERBOLIC STRUCTURES ON SURFACES

Let $\rho \in \mathcal{D}_n = \mathcal{D}(\pi_1 F, \text{Isom}(H^n))$. Define its *length function* $l: \pi_1 F \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by $l(g) = \inf_{x \in \mathbf{H}^n} d(x, \rho(g)(x))$. Now form the

projective space $\mathcal{P} = [0, +\infty)^{\pi_1 F} - 0 / \sim$. One gets a map $\Theta : \mathcal{D}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ by sending a representation to its projectivized length function.

Let $\pi_1 F \times T \rightarrow T$ be an action on an \mathbf{R} -tree. Define its *length function* $l : \pi_1 F \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by $l(g) = \inf_{x \in T} d(x, g(x))$. A small action of $\pi_1 F$ is determined by its length function [CM]. The *space of projective classes of small length functions on trees* $\mathcal{SLLF}(\pi_1 F)$ is the image in \mathcal{P} of all small actions on \mathbf{R} -trees.

Morgan and Shalen showed that the closure $\overline{\Theta(\mathcal{D}_n)}$ is compact and that $\overline{\Theta(\mathcal{D}_n)} - \Theta(\mathcal{D}_n)$ is a subset of $\mathcal{SLLF}(\pi_1 F)$. This leads to the compactification $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_n$. Identify \mathcal{D}_n with its image in $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_n$ and let $\partial \mathcal{D}_n = \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_n - \mathcal{D}_n$.

Finally given a measured geodesic lamination (\mathcal{L}, μ) it has a *length function* $l : \pi_1 F \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, where $l(g)$ is the transverse measure of the geodesic representative of g . Again the measured geodesic lamination is determined by its length function [Th1, PH]. The *space of projective measured geodesic laminations* $\mathcal{PML}(F)$ is the image in \mathcal{P} of all measured geodesic laminations.

Thurston showed $\mathcal{PML}(F) = \partial \mathcal{D}_2$. By construction $\partial \mathcal{D}_2 \subseteq \partial \mathcal{D}_n \subseteq \mathcal{SLLF}(\pi_1 F)$. And the main theorem implies

$$\mathcal{SLLF}(\pi_1 F) \subseteq \mathcal{PML}(F).$$

Theorem. For all $n \geq 2$, $\partial \mathcal{D}_n = \mathcal{PML}(F)$. ■

The above theorem in the cases $n = 2, 3$ was first proved by Thurston [Th2].

2. SMALL ACTIONS OF SURFACE GROUPS ON \mathbf{R} -TREES

The main theorem also has applications to the study of surface group actions on \mathbf{R} -trees. From [Ha] or [MS2] $\mathcal{PML}(F) \subseteq \mathcal{SLLF}(\pi_1 F)$. Again by the main theorem $\mathcal{SLLF}(\pi_1 F) \subseteq \mathcal{PML}(F)$. The following answers a question of M. Culler and J. W. Morgan [CM] in the case the group is $\pi_1 F$.

Theorem. $\mathcal{SLLF}(\pi_1 F) = \mathcal{PML}(F)$. ■

Since every measured geodesic lamination is approximable by a measured discrete geodesic lamination, the above theorem tells us that every small action of $\pi_1 F$ on an \mathbf{R} -tree is approximable by a small action on a simplicial tree. It is an open question whether every (small) action of a finitely generated group on an \mathbf{R} -tree is approximable by a (small) action on a simplicial tree [Sh].

Finally we may deduce two finiteness results. Fix a small action $\pi_1 F \times T \rightarrow T$ and the dual measured geodesic lamination (\mathcal{L}, μ) . A *vertex* of T is a point x , where $T - \{x\}$ has more than two connected components. The vertices of T correspond to the connected components of $\mathbf{H}^2 - \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$. An area calculation shows the number of orbits of vertices is no greater than $-2\chi(F)$.

The *rank* of the action is the dimension of $G \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ as a vector space over \mathbf{Q} , where G is the subgroup of \mathbf{R} equal to $\langle l(g) \rangle_{g \in \pi_1 F}$. Again referring back to the lamination, one sees that the rank is no greater than one plus the dimension of $\mathcal{PML}(F)$ which is $-3\chi(F)$.

3. SKETCH OF THE PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Suppose that $\pi_1 F \times T \rightarrow T$ is dual to a measured geodesic lamination. Then the stabilizer of each arc in T is contained in the fundamental group of a path component of the lamination which is cyclic.

Now conversely suppose the action has cyclic arc stabilizers. The starting point is a theorem of A. Hatcher [Ha] or Morgan and Otal [MO]. They prove that there is an action on an \mathbf{R} -tree $\pi_1 F \times R \rightarrow R$ and an equivariant morphism $\phi: R \rightarrow T$, such that $\pi_1 F \times R \rightarrow R$ is dual to a measured geodesic lamination (\mathcal{L}, μ) on F .

A *morphism* from R to T is a map $\phi: R \rightarrow T$, such that for each arc $[x, y]$ in R there is an arc $[x, z] \subseteq [x, y]$, such that $\phi|_{[x, z]}$ is an isometry. The morphism ϕ *folds* at a point $x \in R$ if there are arcs $[x, y]$ and $[x, y']$ such that $[x, y] \cap [x, y'] = \{x\}$; $\phi|_{[x, y]}$ and $\phi|_{[x, y']}$ are embeddings; and $\phi([x, y]) = \phi([x, y'])$. A morphism either is a monomorphism or folds at some point. Thus it suffices to show that ϕ does not fold.

We will prove the theorem by contradiction. Suppose ϕ folds at x . Let $[x, y]$ and $[x, y']$ be as in the definition of fold. We may suppose x is a vertex.

Suppose R is a simplicial tree. Then \mathcal{L} is a discrete geodesic lamination and up to rechoosing we may suppose $[x, y]$, $[x, y']$ have infinite cyclic stabilizers $\langle g \rangle$, $\langle g' \rangle$, respectively. It is easy to see from the geometry of \mathcal{L} that $\langle g \rangle$, $\langle g' \rangle$ are conjugate, but $\langle g, g' \rangle$ is free of rank two. Therefore the stabilizer of $\phi([x, y]) = \phi([x, y'])$ contains this free group of rank two which is a contradiction.

Now for R a general \mathbf{R} -tree the proof proceeds by studying (\mathcal{L}, μ) more carefully. An important tool is a train track. A *train track* is a smooth subgraph τ of F , such that the double of each component of $F - \tau$ along its smooth frontier has negative Euler characteristic. An important combinatorial property of τ is that every smoothly immersed curve γ in τ is determined by the lift to \mathbf{H}^2 of its initial and terminal points [Th1, PH]. In particular, every smoothly immersed loop is nontrivial. Say that a lamination is *carried* by a train track τ if there is a map $f: F \rightarrow F$ fixed on τ and homotopic to the identity, such that f composed with each smooth curve in \mathcal{L} is a smooth immersion. Every geodesic lamination is carried by a train track [Th1, PH].

The second tool is an interval exchange map. Let α be transverse to \mathcal{L} with lift $\tilde{\alpha}$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, such that the image of $\tilde{\alpha}$ in R is $[x, y]$ and $\mu(\alpha) = d(x, y)$. Fix an orientation and transverse orientation on α and let I be an interval of length $\mu(\alpha)$. Then parallel translation of α along \mathcal{L} determines an interval exchange map $A: I \rightarrow I$. If we identify $[x, y]$ with I , then there are a finite number of elements $g_1, \dots \in \pi_1 F$ which permute the subarcs of $[x, y]$ exactly the same way A permutes the subintervals of I . It follows that words of length n in g_1, \dots permute the subarcs of $[x, y]$ exactly the same way A^n permutes the subintervals of I .

So corresponding to both $[x, y]$, $[x, y']$ are interval exchange maps A, A' respectively. Or equivalently, there are group elements g_1, \dots and g'_1, \dots which permute subarcs of $[x, y]$ and $[x, y']$, respectively. Let τ carry \mathcal{L} . Up to passing to a finite fold covering and rechoosing $[x, y]$ and $[x, y']$ we may suppose that distinct positive words in g_1, \dots, g'_1, \dots are represented by distinct smoothly immersed loops in τ .

Since $[x, y]$ and $[x, y']$ have identical images in T , we should consider the way g_1, \dots, g'_1, \dots permute the subarcs of $\phi([x, y])$. For any $z \in \phi([x, y])$, let \mathcal{E}_n be the set of sequences $\langle h_1, \dots, h_n \rangle$, such that $h_i \in \{g_1, \dots, g'_1, \dots\}$ and $h_i \circ \dots \circ h_1(z) \in \phi([x, y])$, for all i . The set \mathcal{E}_n grows exponentially with n .

However, A, A' are defined by finitely many translations. So their n -fold compositions are defined by a certain number of translations which grows polynomially with n . Therefore $\mathcal{E}_n(z)$ grows polynomially with n . Now one may argue for all but at most

